THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF WORLDWAR II

THE ENCYCLOPEDIA WEAPONS OF WORLDWARIT

General Editor CHRIS BISHOP



This edition published by Barnes & Noble, Inc., by arrangement with Brown Packaging Books Ltd 1998 Barnes £ Noble Books

 $M\,109\,8\,7\,6\,5\,4\,3\,2\,1$

ISBN: 0-7607-1022-8

Copyright © 1998 Orbis Publishing Ltd Copyright © 1998 Aerospace Publishing

This material was previously published in 1984 as part of the reference set *War Machine*.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright holder.

Editorial and design by Brown Packaging Books Ltd Bradley's Close 74-77 White Lion Street London NI 9PF

Picture credits

TRH Pictures: 7,9, 20, 31,42, 52,63,73, 83,93, 101, 111, 123, 136,148,159,169,179,191,203,215,225,236,249,264,275, 283,293,305, 318, 330,340, 350, 361, 372,383, 393,404,414, 424,434,443,452,462,470,478,487,497,509,519,529,540.

Printed in Singapore

Contents

Introduction	7
Axis Tanks	9
British and French Tanks	20
Soviet and American Tanks	31
Tank Destroyers	42
Special Purpose Tanks	52
Amphibious Vehicles	63
Allied and Axis Halftracks	73

Armoured Cars	83
Allied and Axis Trucks	93
Light Vehicles	101
Self-Propelled Guns	111
Heavy Artillery	123
Field Artillery	136
Heavy Anti-Aircraft Guns	148
Light Anti-Aircraft Guns	159
War Rockets	169
Anti-Tank Guns	179
Infantry Support Weapons	191
Infantry Anti-Tank Weapons	203
Allied and Axis Rifles	215
Allied and Axis Pistols	225
Allied and Axis Machine-Guns	236
Allied and Axis Sub-Machine Guns	249
Allied and Axis Flamethrowers	264
Allied Fighters	275
Axis Fighters	283
Heavy Bombers	293

Contents

Light and Medium Rombers	305
	505
Jet Aircraft	318
Axis Ground Attack Aircraft	330
Allied Ground Attack Aircraft	340
Night-Fighters	350
Allied and Axis Flying-Boats	361
Allied and Axis Seaplanes	372
Anti-Shipping Aircraft	383
Carrier Aircraft	393
Transport and Assault Aircraft	404
Air-to-Ground Weapons	414
Light Aircraft	424
Axis Submarines	434
Allied Submarines	443
British Aircraft Carriers	452
Japanese Aircraft Carriers	462

American Aircraft Carriers	470
Allied and Axis Battleships	478
Allied and Axis Cruisers	487
Axis Destroyers	497
Escort Vessels	509
Coastal Craft	519
Assault Ships	529
Glossary of Weapons	540



Introduction

-w^TT^TTorldWarII affected virtually every corner

jL I of the globe. In the six years between 1939 Y Y and 1945, some 50 million people lost their lives, and very few who survived were not affected. It was the costliest and most widespread conflict the world has ever seen.

World War II was fought on land, sea and in the air with weapons which had first been used in the Great War of 1914-18. Ironically, an even greater conflict was to emerge from the burning embers of that "war to end all wars", and with it huge advances in weapons technology. The countries involved in World War II now had the means and the capability to fight each other in a more efficient - and more deadly - manner.

Yet only Great Britain, her Empire allies and Germany were involved during the whole period. For other nations the conflict was of a shorter duration. The USA and Japan, for example, were at war from December 1941 to August 1945 (and the USA was simultaneously at war with Germany, until Hitler's defeat in May 1945).

The situation was so complicated, the skeins of alliance and enmity so intertwined that it would take a very large chart indeed to describe them. Only one factor was more straightforward and common to all the countries involved: the nature of the weapons that the men (and sometimes women) used to fight their way to victory - or defeat.

There were differences in detail, of course: the German Panzerkampfwagen V 'Panther' tank was a very different vehicle from the American M4 Sherman, the Russian T-34, or the British Cromwell. But essentially they were all much the same armoured vehicles mounting powerful guns running on tracks. The small arms with which the various combatant nations equipped their armies were very different in detail too, but essentially they were all devices for launching projectiles at high speed.

In short, many would simply say that guns are guns, bombs are bombs, aircraft are aircraft, and so on. But there is certainly more to it than that, for the capacity to win or lose a war actually rested on these weapons' qualities, just as much as it did on the fighting skills of those who employed them and on the strategic sense of those who directed them in their use.

We cannot simply bundle these weapons together not if we really want to understand why and how 20th century history unfolded the way it did.

The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II makes a very important contribution to the subject - perhaps even a vital one - for it describes every major weapon and vehicle employed during the full period of the conflict, on land, sea and in the air, in enormous detail, both in textual and in graphic form. It also provides detailed specifications about the 'core' weapon or system and all its major variants. Thus it allows straightforward comparisons to be made accurately and effectively.

Its sheer comprehensiveness makes *The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II* compelling reading. Clearly it will have considerable appeal to all manner of students of the period as the first - and probably the definitive - source of clear, concise information on the nature and history of different weapons, including specifications, capabilities

Introduction

and capacities, varying forms, the colour schemes in which they appeared and the manner in which they were employed.

The text and tables have been prepared by some of the foremost experts in the field, and this same team provided and approved specifications, plans and drawings and photographic reference material to assist the best graphic artists available to produce illustrations, the like of which, in terms of quality, precision and accuracy, are seldom seen outside offical circles.

The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II covers the terrestrial equipment of all arms of service, from the infantryman's handgun, rifles and machine-guns, to the support weapons he used to take on tanks and subdue fortified defensive positions; from light armoured cars used for reconnaissance to heavy assault tanks and special-purpose armoured vehicles; from towed anti-tank guns to tank destroyers and from lightweight field artillery pieces to self-propelled guns and howitzers, not forgetting wheeled and tracked utility vehicles.

The war was also conducted at sea, and World War II saw warships of every calibre employed all over the globe, from the 70,000-tonne monster battleships to the diminutive motor gun-boats and motor torpe-do-boats, and the best of these are described in detail. Pride of place, however, goes to the new breed of capital ships - the aircraft carriers, which were born in the inter-war period and which achieved maturity just as hostilities broke out. Alongside them space is also given to another new naval weapon: the submarine.

Here, too, are described the last of the old generation of capital ships - for which World War II was to be their swansong. The battleships of both sides were to become household names all over the world between 1939 and 1945, and here they are described and illustrated in full colour and in tremendous detail. Cruisers, destroyers and escorts, coastal craft and assault ships also played vitally important parts, and they, too, are described, illustrated and documented here.

New weapons appeared throughout the war, but it was in the air that the real changes were rung. Until quite late in the 1930s, the world's air forces were equipped with biplanes with relatively low-powered engines, thus limiting their performance, endurance and load-carrying capacity. Germany, risen from the ashes of defeat in 1918 and plagued throughout the next decade by internal strife and near-revolution, was the first to recognize the potential for a new generation of all-metal aircraft, and soon produced such masterpieces as the Bf 109 interceptor/fighter, and the Dornier, Heinkel and Junkers medium bombers.

Britain followed suit, and began turning out longrange heavy bomber aircraft, such as the Lancaster, widely held to be the best of its type, while the USA - slow to get going initially - built up an aircraft industry second to none, which came to dominate the field by the end of the war, producing magnificent aircraft, such as the Mustangs and Thunderbolts, which doubled as both fighters and ground attack aircraft, and the redoubtable B-7 and B-29 Fortresses. The former USSR's powerful aviation industry also had its roots in World War II, and its products, as well as those of Japan, are also covered in great detail.

In all, *The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II* is a unique and essential document, covering the equipment and weapons systems, which themselves dictated the nature of the most widespread, most expensive and most destructive conflict the world has ever seen. World War II quite literally altered the face of the planet and the nature of its peoples' lives, and its reverberations are still to be felt half a century later. Here, at least and at last, we have the means to understand how technological advances and fantastic leaps of imagination of this vitally important period manifested themselves in the tools with which the war was won - and lost.

Axis Tanks

By the end of World War I the tank was a familiar sight on the battlefield; it took the power of the German Blitzkrieg to convince conventional military strategists that the tank, and more importantly its method of use, can have a profound effect upon the outcome of a battle.

lthough Italy and Japan pro-Aduced significant numbers of tanks before and during World War II, it is the German tanks which are best known. At the outbreak of the war the Panzerkampfwagen (PzKpfw) I and PzKpfw II were the most common models, but within a few years these had been phased out of service and replaced by the PzKpfw III and PzKpfw IV. The latter had the distinction of remaining in production throughout the war. It was an excellent design that proved to be capable of being upgunned and up-armoured to meet the changing battlefield threat. The Panther and Tiger arrived on the scene towards the end of the war, but these could not be produced in anything like the required numbers as a result of shortages in materials and manpower and of the effectiveness of Allied bombing on German plants, even though many of these had been dispersed early in the war. The Panther and Tiger were rushed into production without proper trials, however, and many were lost during their initial deployments as a result of mechanical breakdown rather than direct enemy action. The Tiger was, in particular, a very heavy tank and lacked mobility on the battlefield. Its armour protection and guns



A German PzKpfw IV tank being held in reserve in anticipation of a call to action following the Allied landings at Normandy in June 1944. Note the side skirt.

were first class, and this tank proved a difficult one to destroy on both the Eastern and Western Fronts. Often four Shermans would be required to neutralize just one Tiger: two would try to draw its fire, often being knocked out in the process, while the others worked round its flanks and attacked it from its more vulnerable sides. To wards the end of World War II Germany turned its attention to producing more and more tank destroyers as by that time the German army was on the defensive, and these vehicles were quicker, easier and cheaper to produce than tanks, such as the Panther and Tiger.

While some of the Italian tanks were fairly modern in 1939, by the early part of Italy's war they had become completely obsolete. The better armed and armoured P 40 heavy tank never entered service with the Italian army, although a few were taken over by the Germans.

Japan used tanks during the invasion of China before World

War II as well as during the Far Eastern campaigns from 1941. As few Allied AFVs were available at that time the Japanese vehicles were quite adequate, the more so as their primary role was infantry fire support rather than tankagainst-tank operations.

Czech tanks are included, as many were subsequently taken over by the Germans during the invasion of France in 1940 and remained in production in Czechoslovakia after that country's occupation.



LT vz 35 light tank

In October 1934 the Czech army placed an order for two prototypes of a medium tank called the S-ll-a (or I-11) which were completed in the fol-lowing year, Army trials with these vehicles started in June 1935 and soon uncovered many faults as a result of the tank's rushed development. Without waiting for these faults to be corrected an order was placed for a first batch of 160 vehicles in October 1935, and the for the second s ordered for the Czech army, which called it the LT vz 35, while Romania ordered 126 under the designation R-2. Gradually most of the faults were overcome and the vehicle gained a good reputation. The Germans took over the remaining vehicles under the designation Romarkampfungen 35(t) designation Panzerkampfwagen 35(t), and a further 219 were built specifical-ly for the German army in the Skoda works. Such was the shortage of tanks in the German army at that time that the 6th Panzer Division was equipped with the PzKpfw 35(t) in time to take part in the invasion of France in 1940. These continued in service until 1942 when most of these were converted into other roles such as mortar tractors (German designation Mörserzugmit*tel*), artillery tractors (German de-signation Zugkraftwagen) or mainte-nance vehicles with tank battalions. It is often not realized that Czechoslovais often not realized that Czechoslova-kia was a leading exporter of armoured vehicles and artillery prime movers before World War II, with sales made to Austria, Bulgaria, Hun-gary, Latvia, Peru, Romania, Sweden, Switzerland and Turkey.



The hull of the LT vz 35 was of riveted construction that varied in thickness from 12mm (0.47 in) to a maximum of 35mm (1.38m). The bow machine-gunner was seated at the front of the vehicle on the left and operated the 7.92-mm (0.31-in) ZB vz 35 or 37 machine-gun, with the driver to his right. The commander/gunner and loader/radio operator were seated in the two-man turret in the centre of the hull. Mam armament consisted of a 37.2-mm Skoda vz 34 gun with a 7.92mm (0.31-in) ZB 55 or 37 machine-gun mounted co-axially to the right. Totals of 72 rounds of 37 mm and 1,800 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried. The engine and transmission were at the rear of the hull, the transmission having one reverse and six forward gears. The suspension on each side consisted of eight small road wheels (two per bogie), with the drive sprocket at the rear, and idler at the front; there were four track-return rollers,

An unusual feature of the tank was that the transmission and steering were assisted by compressed air to reduce driver fatigue, so enabling the tank to travel long distances at high speed, Problems were encountered with these systems when the tanks were operated by the Germans on the Eastern Front because of the very low temperatures encountered.

Specification LTvz35 Crew: 4 Weight: 10500 kg (23,148 lb) Dimensions: length 4.9 m(16ft 1 in); Czechoslovakia provided many of the tanks used by the Wehrmacht in the battle for France. ThePz35(t) equipped the 6th Panzer Division in that campaign, and some tanks continued in service until 1942.

width 2.159 m (7 ft 1 in); height 2.209 m (7 ft 3 in)

Powerplant: one Skoda six-cylinder water-cooled petrol engine developing 120 hp (89 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum range 193 km (120 miles); fording 0.8 m (3 ft 4 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.787 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1,981 m (6 ft 6 in)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA TNH P-S light tank

In 1937 the international situation was rapidly deteriorating, so the Czech army issued a requirement for a new light tank. This time the army was determined that the troubles encountered with the LT vz 35 light tank when it entered service, resulting from a lack of testing, would not be repeated. Skoda entered its S-II-a and S-II-b, while CKD entered an LT vz 35 with the engine and transmission of the TNH tank, the LTL, the TNH P-S (already produced for export) as well as a new medium tank called the V-8-H. During the extensive trials the TNH P-S was found to be the best design and on 1 July 1938 was adopted as the standard light tank of the Czech army under the designation LT vz 38, but none had entered service at the time of the German army between 1939 and 1942, more than 1,400 being built under the designation Panzerkampfwagen 38(t) Ausf S to PzKpfw 38(t) Ausf G. (*Ausfilhrung* is the German word for model or mark.) The Germans also exported 69 vehicles to Slovakia, 102 to Hungary, 50 to Romania and 10 to Bulgaria. During the invasion of France the tank was used by the 7th and 8th Panzer Divisions, and continued in service as a light tank until 1941-2.



The hull and turret of the vehicle were of riveted construction, the top of the superstructure being bolted into position, Minimum armour thickness was 10 mm (0.4 in) and maximum thickness 25 mm (1 in), although from the Ausf E this was increased to 50 mm (1.96 in). The driver was seated at the front of the tank on the right, with the

bow machine-gunner to his left and operating the 7.92-mm (0,31-in) MG 37(t) machine-gun. The two-man turret was in the centre of the hull and armed with a 37.2-mm Skoda A7 gun, which could fire both armour-piercing and HE rounds with an elevation of $+12^{\circ}$ and a depression of -6° . Mounted coaxial with and to the right of the main armament was another 7.92-mm (0,31in) machine-gun. Totals of 90 rounds of 37-mm and 2,550-rounds of machinegun ammunition were carried. The engine was at the rear of the hull and coupled to a transmission with one reverse and five forward gears. Suspension on each side consisted of four large rubber-tyred road wheels suspended in pairs on leaf springs, with the drive sprocket at the front and idler at the rear, and with two track-return rollers.

When outclassed as a tank the when outclassed as a tank the PzKpfw 38(t) was widely used as a re-connaissance vehicle, and the Ger-mans even fitted some chassis with the turret of the SdKfz 222 light armoured car complete with its 20-mm cannon.

The chassis of the light tank was also used as the basis for a large number of vehicles including the Marder tank destroyer, which was fitted with a new superstructure armed with 75-mm (2.95-in) anti-tank gun, various self-propelled 15-cm (5.9-in) guns, a 20-mm self-propelled anti-aircraft gun, several types of weapons carriers and the Hetzer tank destroyer, to name just a few. The last was armed with a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun in a fully enclosed fighting compartment with limited traverse, compartment with limited traverse, and was considered by many to be one of the best vehicles of its type during World War II. A total of 2,584 was built between 1944 and 1945, and produc-tion continued after the war for the Czech army, a further 158 being sold to

Switzerland in 1946-7 under the designation G-13. These were finally withdrawn from service in the late 1960s.

Specification TNHP-S Crew:4 Weight: 9700 kg (21,385 lb) Dimensions: length 4.546 m (14 ft 11 in); width 2.133 m (7 ft 0 in); height 2,311m (7 ft 7 in) Powerplant: one Praga EPA six-cylinder water-cooled inline petrol engine developing 150 hp (112 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 42 km/h (26 mph); maximum range 200 km (125 miles); fording 0.9 m (3 ft); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.787 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1.879 m (6 ft 2 in)

A PzKpfw 38(t) during the invasion of France; the 7th and 8th Panzer Divisions used the tank. The commander of the 7th Division became well known later in the warhisname was Rommel.



55

GERMANY Panzerkampfwagen I light tank

In 1933 the German Army Weapons Department issued a requirement for a light armoured vehicle weighing ab-out 5000kg (11,025 lb) that could be used for training purposes, and five companies subsequentlay built pro-totype vehicles. After trials the Army Weapons Department accepted the Krupp design for further development, the design company being responsi-ble for the chassis and Daimler-Benz for the superstructure. To conceal the real use of the vehicle the Army Weapons Department called the vehi-cle the Landwirtschaftlicher Schlepper (industrial tractor). The first batch of 150 vehicles was ordered from Henschel, and production com-menced in July 1934 under the de-signation PzKpfw I(MG) (SdKfz 101) Ausf A and powered by a Krupp M 305 Patrol engine developing only 57 hp (42 kW). There were problems with the engine, however, and the next-batch Ausf B had a more powerful en-gine which meant that the hull had to be longer and an additional roadwheel added on each side. This model was a little heavier, but its more powerful en-Inttle heavier, but its more powerful en-gine gave it a maximum road speed of 40 km/h (25 mph). This entered ser-vice in 1935 under the designation of the PzKpfw 1(MG) (SdKfz 101) Ausf B. Most of the vehicles were built by Henschel but Wegmann also became involved in the programme, peak pro-duction being achieved in 1935 when

over 800 vehicles were completed. The Panzerkampfwagen 1 was first used operationally in the Spanish Civil War, and at the start of the invasion of Poland in 1939 no less than 1,445 such vehicles were on strength. It had already been realized, however, that the vehicle was ill-suited for front-line use because of its lack of firepower and armour protection (7-13mm/0.28-0.51 in), and in the invasion of France in 1940 only 523 were used, although many more were still in Germany and Poland. By the end of 1941 the PzKpfw I had been phased out of front-line ser-vice, although the kleiner Panzer-befehlwagen I (SdKfz 265) command



model remained in service longer.

Once the light tank was obsolete its chassis underwent conversion to other roles, and one of the first of these was the Munitions-Schlepper used to carry ammunition and other valuable cargoes. For the anti-tank role the chassis was fitted with captured Czech 47-mm anti-tank guns on top of the superstructure with limited traverse, These were used on both the Eastern and North African fronts, but soon became obso-lete with the arrival of the more heavily armoured tanks on the battlefield. The largest conversion entailed the in-stallation of a 15-cm (5.9-in) infantry gun in a new superstructure, but this really overloaded the chassis and less than 40 such conversions were made.

The turret was in the centre of the vehicle, offset to the right and armed with twin 7,92-mm (0.31-in) machine-guns,forwhichatotalof1,525roundsof ammunition were carried. The driver was seated to the left of the turret.

Above: TwoPzKpfwIs and a heavier PzKpfwIII in France in 1940.523 of the little light tanks were used in the campaign in spite of their campaign, in spite of their unsuitability for combat.

Right: The PzKpfw I was heavily involved in the Polish campaign after its operational debut in theSpanish Civil War.

Specification PzKpfw I Ausf B Crew:2 Weight: 6000 kg (13,230 lb) Dimensions: length4.42 m (14 ft 6 in); width2.06m (6ft 9 in); height1.72m

(5 ft 8 in) Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38 TR six-cylinder petrol engine developing 100 hp (75 kW)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum road range 140 km (87 miles); fording 0.58 m (1ft11in); gradient60percent; verticalobstacle0.36m(1ft2in); trench1.4m(4ft7in)





GERMANY

Panzerkampfwagen II light tank

To bridge the gap until the arrival of the PzKpfw III and PzKpfw IV tanks, a decision was made in 1934 to order an interim model which became known as the Panzerkampfwagen II. Development contracts were awarded to Henschel, Krupp and MAN under the designation Industrial Tractor 100 (LaS 100) to conceal its true role. After evaluation of these prototypes the MAN model was selected for further development, MAN being responsible for the chassis and Daimler-Benz for the superstructure. Production was eventually undertaken also by Famo, MIAO and Wegmann, and the tank formed the backbone of the German armoured divisions during the invasion of France, about 1,000 being in front line service. The tank was also used in the invasion of the USSR in the following year although by that time it was obsolete, had inadequate armour protection and lacked firepower. It was in fact intended primarily as a training machine rather than for actual combat.

The first production PzKpfw II Ausf A vehicles were delivered in 1935, and were armed with a 20-mm cannon and 7.92-mm (0.31-in) co-axial machinegun. There was a three-man crew, and combat weight was 7,2 tonnes. Tests with the early production models showed that the vehicle was underpowered with its 130-hp (97-kW) engine, so the PzKpfw II Ausf B was introduced with a 140-hp (104-kW) engine and other improvements (notably thicker frontal armour) which pushed up its weight to just under 8 tonnes, The PzKpfw II Ausf C was introduced in 1937, and had better armour protection. Additionally, the small bogie wheels were replaced by five independently-sprung bogies with leaf springs on each side, and this was to remain the basic suspension for all remaining production vehicles. In 1938 the PzKpfw II Ausf D and PzKpfw II Ausf E were introduced, with new torsion-bar suspension which gave them a much increased road speed of 55 km/h (34 mph), although crosscountry speed was slower than that of the earlier models. The final production model of the series was the PzKpfw II Ausf F, which appeared in 1940-1 and which was uparmoured to 35 mm (1.38 in) on the front and 20 mm (0.79 in) on the sides, this pushing up the total weight to just under 10 tonnes and consequently reducing the speed of the vehicle, which was felt to be acceptable because of the greater protection provided.

The hull and turret of the PzKpfw II was of welded steel construction, with the driver at the front, two-man turret in the centre offset to the left, and the engine at the rear. Armament consisted of a 20-mm cannon (for which 180 rounds were provided) on the left side of the turret, and a 7.92-mm (0.31-m) machine-gun (for which 1,425 rounds were carried) on the right of the turret.

The PzKpfw II was also used as the basis for a number of fast reconnaissance tanks called the Luchs (this name was subsequently adopted by the new West German Army in the 1970s for its 8x8 reconnaissance vehicle) but these and similar vehicles were not built in large numbers. One of the more interesting vehicles

One of the more interesting vehicles was the special amphibious model developed for the invasion of England in 1940. This model was propelled in the water at a speed of 10 km/h (6 mph) by a propeller run off the main engine. A model with two flamethrowers was also produced as the Flammpanzer II; 100 of these were in service by 1942. When the basic tank was obsolete the chassis was quickly adopted for many other roles, One of the first of these was a self-propelled anti-tank gun using captured Soviet 76.2-mm (3in) guns and called the Marder I. This was followed by a model called the Marder II with a 7.5-cm (2,95-m) German anti-tank gun, and some 1,200 of these were converted or built. The Wespe was a self-propelled gun fitted with a 10.5-cm howitzer and was produced in Poland until 1944.

Armed with a 20-mm cannon, some 1000 PzKpfw IIs were used during the Polish campaign.



Despite being intended as a training machine, the PzKpfw II provided the majority of German Panzer strength

during the invasions of Poland and

Weight: 10000 kg (22,046 lb) Dimensions: length 4.64m (15 ft 3 in); width 2.30 m (7 ft 6.5 in); height 2.02 m (6 ft 7.5 in)

Powerplant: one Maybach sixcylinder petrol engine developing 140hp(104kW)

Performance: maximum road speed 55km/h(34mph); maximumroad range 200 km (125 miles); fording

0.85 m (2ft 10 in); gradient 50 percent; vertical obstacle 0.42m (1ft 5 in);

trf-nrh 1 VRmrR ftQ ini

France.

Specification PzKpfw II Ausf F

Crew: 3



Panzerkampfwagen III medium tank

It was envisaged in the mid-1930s that each German tank battalion would have three companies of relatively light medium tanks and one company of better armed and armoured medium tanks. The former eventually became the Panzerkampfwagen III (PzkPfw III) or SdKfz 141, while the latter became the Panzerkampfwagen IV (PzKpfw IV) which was to remain in production throughout World War II. In 1935 the Weapons Department issued contracts for the construction of prototype vehicles against the lighter concept to Daimler-Benz, Krupp, MAN and Rheinmetall-Borsig. At an early stage it was decided to arm the tank with a 37-mm gun which would fire the same ammunition as that used by the infantry anti-tank gun, but provision was made that the turret ring diameter be large enough to permit the upgunning of the vehicle to 50 mm if this should be required. Following trials with the prototype vehicles the Daimler-Benz model was selected, although the first three production models, the PZKpfw III Ausf A, PZKpfw III Ausf B and PZKpfw III Ausf C were built only in small numbers, differing from each other mainly in suspension details, In September 1939 the vehicle was formally adopted for service, and mass production was soon under way, The

Continued on page 508

A Panzer III with accompanying infantry during 1942. By this time the German tanks had come up against the excellent Soviet T-34, and armour and armament were being increased



12

PzKpfw III was first used in combat during the invasion of Poland, The next production models were the PzKpfw III Ausf D and PzKpfw III Ausf F, the former with thicker armour and a revised cupola, and the latter with an uprated engine and only six road wheels. In 1939 it was decided to push ahead with the 50-mm model and this entered production in 1940 under the designation PzKpfw III Ausf F. This was followed by the PzKpfw III Ausf G version with similar armament but more powerful engine. For operations in North Africa the vehicles were fitted with a tropical kit, while for the proposed invasion of England a special version for deep wading was developed. The latter were never used for their intended role but some were successfully used during the invasion of the USSR in 1941. The PzKpfw Aus H introduced wider tracks and a number of important improvements,

of important improvements, The 50-mmL/42 gun was inadequate to cope with the Soviet T-34 tank, so the longer-barrelled K wK 39L/60 weapon was installed. This had a higher muzzle velocity, and vehicles fitted with the weapon were designated PzKpfw III AusfJ. Many vehicles were retrofitted with the 50-mm gun, and by early 1942 the 37-mm version had almost disappeared from front-line service. The next model was the PzKpfw III Ausf L, which had greater armour protection, pushing its weight up to just over 22 tonnes, almost 50 per cent more than the weight of the original prototype. The PzKpfw III Ausf M and PzKpfw III Ausf N were fitted with the 75-mm L/24 gun which had been installed in the

GERMANY



PzKpfw IV; a total of 64 rounds of ammunition were carried for this gun. Production of the PzKpfw III was finally completed in August 1943. The chassis was also used as the basis for the 75mm assault gun (Gepanzerte Selbstahrlafette für Sturmgeschütz 7.5 cm Kanone or SdKfz 142), of which a few were used in the invasion of France in 1941; production of improved SP guns on PzKpfw III chassis continued until the end of World War II. Other variants included an armoured recovery vehicle, an armoured observation vehicle (Panzerbeobachtungswagen) and a command vehicle (Panzerbefehlswagen III), A total of 15,000 chassis was produced for both the tank and assault gun applications. The layout of the PzKpfw III was

basically the same in all vehicles, with the driver at the front of the hull on the left and the machine-gunner/radio operator to his right. The three-man turret was in the centre of the hull, the commander having a cupola in the centre of the roof at the rear. The engine was at the rear of the hull, and the suspension, which was of the torsionbar type from the PzKpfw III Ausf E, consisted on each side of six small road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and the idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers.

Specification PzKpfw III Ausf M Crew: 5 Weight: 22300 kg (49,160 lb) PzKpfw AusfG, as used by the Afrika Korps. Tropicalized, and with a 50mm gun, the German tankproved effective against the lighter British tanks, and was much moremobile than the heavy infantry tanks.

Dimensions: length (including armament) 6.41 m (21 ft0 in);length (hull) 5.52 m (18 ft 1.5 in); width2.95 m (9ft8in);height2.50m(8ft2.5in) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM 12-cylmder petrol engine developing 300 hp (224 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum road range 175 km (110 miles); fording 0.8 m (2ft8in); gradient60 percent; vertical obstacle0.6m(2ft0 in); trench2.59 m (8 ft 6 in)



Panzerkampfwagen IV medium tank more minor improvements. Throug

The Panzerkampfwagen IV had the distinction of remaining in production throughout World War II, and formed the backbone of the German armoured divisions. In 1934 the Army Weapons Department drew up a requirement for a vehicle under the cover name of the medium tractor (mitteren Traktor) which was to equip the fourth tank company of each German tank battalion. Rheinmetall-Borsig built the VK 2001(Rh) while MAN proposed the VK 2002(MAN) and Krupp the VK 2001(K). In the the end Krupp took over total responsibility for the vehicle, which was also known as the Bataillons Führerwagen (battalion commander's vehicle). This entered production at the Krupp-Grusonwerke plant at Magdeburg as the PzKpfw IV more minor improvements. Throughout the PzKpfw IV's long production life the basic chassis remained unchanged, but as the threat by enemy anti-tank weapons increased so more armour was added and new weapons were installed. (Other chassis often had to be phased out of production as they were incapable of being upgraded to take into account changes on the battlefield.) The final production model was the PzKpfw IV AusfJ, which appeared in March 1944, Total production of the PzKpfw IV amounted to about 9,000 vehicles.

Below:From 1943 the PzKpfw IV began to appear with the longbarrelled 7.5-cm KWK40/L48 cannon, which made the tank able to give a good account of itself against almost any armoured opposition.



Above: Panzergrenadiers advance through cornfields in the 1942 German drive to the Caucasus, covered by a PzKpfw IV.

Ausf A, or SdKfz 161, as by this time all cover names had been dropped. This model was armed with a shortbarrelled 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun and a similar weapon in the bow. Turret traverse was powered and 122 rounds of 75-mm (2.95-in) and 3,000 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried. Maximum armour thickness was 20 mm (0.79 in) on the turret and 14.5 mm (0.57 in) on the hull, Only a few of these were built in 1936-7. The next model was the PzKpfw IV Ausf B, which had increased armour protection, more powerful engine and other



The chassis of the PzKpfw IV was also used for other, more specialized vehicles including the Jagdpanzer IV tank destroyer, self-propelled antiaircraft gun systems of various types (including one with four 20-mm cannon), self-propelled guns, armoured recovery vehicles and bridgelayers to name but a few,

but a twi, " A typical PzKpfw IV was the PzKpfw IV Ausf F2, which had a hull and turret of all-welded steel armour construction, the former having a maximum thickness of 60mm (2.36 in) and the latter of 50 mm (1.47 in), The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the left, with the bow machine-gunner/ radio operator to his right. The commander, gunner and loader were seated in the turret in the centre of the hull, with an entrance hatch on each side of the turret and a cupola for the tank commander. The engine was at the rear of the hull and coupled to a manual transmission with six forward and one reverse gears. Main armament comprised a long barrelled 75-mm (2,95in) KwK gun fitted with a muzzle brake and which could fire a variety of ammunition including HEAT, smoke, APCR, APCBC and high explosive, the last being used in the infantry support role. A 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG34 machine-gun was mounted co-axial with and to the right of the main armament, while a similar weapon was mounted in the bow. Totals of 87 rounds of 75-mm (2,95-in) and 3,192 rounds of 7.92-mm (0,31-in) machinegun ammunition were carried. Turret traverse was powered through 360°, though manual controls were provided for emergency use. The additional armour and heavier

The additional armour and heavier armament pushed up the weight until in the final production version it reached 25 tonnes, but the PzKpfw IV still had a respectable power-toweight ratio and therefore good mobility characteristics.

Specification PzKpfw IV Ausf H Crew: 5 Weight: 25000 kg (55,115 lb) Dimensions: length (including armament) 7,02 m (23 ft0 in); length (hull) 5.89 m (19 ft 4 in); width 3.29 m (10 ft9.5 in); height2.68m (8 ft9.5 in) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM 12-cylinder petrolengine developing 300 hp (224 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximumroad range 200 km (125 miles); fording 1.0m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle0.6 m (2 ft0 in); trench 2,20 m (7 ft 3 in)

A PzKpfwIVis serviced in the field in the USSR. Visible is the shortbarrelled 75-mm gun; this was soon found to be inadequate against Soviet tanks, and had to be replaced by a longer, higher-velocity gun.



Panzerkampfwagen V Panther heavy tank

In 1941 the most powerful tank m service with the German army was the

63

PzKpfw IV, infrequently a match for the new Soviet T-34 tank, which appeared in small numbers on the Eastern Front in that year. Work on a successor to the PzKpfw IV had started as far back as 1937, but progress had been slow because of changing requirements. In 1941 Henschel and Porsche had each completed prototypes of new tanks in the 30/35-tonne class designated the VK 3001(H) and VK 3001(P) respectively. These were not placed in production, and further development resulted in the Tiger (VK 4501), Late in 1941 a requirement was issued for a new tank with a long barrelled 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, well-sloped armour for maximum protection within the weight limit of the vehicle, and larger wheels for improved mobility. To meet this requirement Daimler-Benz submitted the VK 3002(DB) while MAN submitted the VK 3002(MAN). The former design was a virtual copy of the T-34 but the MAN design was accepted. The first prototypes of the new tank, called the Panzerkampfwagen V Panther (SdKfz 171) were completed in September 1942, with the first production models coming from the MAN factory just two months later. At the same time Daimler-Benz started tooling up for production of the Panther, and in 1943 Henschel and Niedersachen were also

Above; PzKpfw VPanther in its latewar form. Skirts have been added to offer some protection to the wheels, and spare track has been used as auxiliary armour. The tank is covered in special anti-magnetic paste as a protection against magnetic mines.

Right: Probably the finest German tank of the war, the Pan ther was hampered by its complexity. Some 4,800 were built, as compared to 11,000-plus T-34/85s built by the Soviets in 1944 alone!



was planned to produce 600 Panthers per month, but Allied bombing meant that maximum production ever achieved was about 330 vehicles per month. By early 1945 just over 4,800 Panthers had been built.

The Panther was rushed into production without proper trials, and numerous faults soon became appa-rent: indeed, in the type's early days more Panthers were lost to mechanical failure than to enemy action, and consequently the crew's confidence in the vehicle rapidly dwindled. The vehicle first saw action on the Eastern Front during July 1943 during the Kursk battles, and from then on it was used on all fronts. Once the mechanical problems had been overcome confidence in the tank soon built up again, and many consider the Panther to be the best all round German tank of World War II. In the immediate post-war period the French army used a number of Panther tanks until more modern tanks were available.

GERMANY

First production models were of the PzKpfwVAusfAtype, and were really pre-prodution vehicles; the PzKpfwV Ausf B and PzKpfw Ausf C were never placed in production. Later models were the PzKpfw V AusfD followed for some reason by another PzKpfw V Ausf A, which was widely used in Nor-mandy, and finally by the PzKpfw V Ausf G. Variants of the Panther in-cluded an observation post vehicle (Beobachtungspanzer Panther), ARV, Jagdpanther tank destroyer, and com-mand vehicle (Befehlspanzer Panther), while some were disguised to re-semble MIO tank detroyers during the Battle of the Bulge.

Main armament of the Panther was a long barrelled 75-mm (2.95-in) gun for which 79 rounds of ammunition were carried. Mounted co-axial with the main armament was a 7,92-mm (0.31in) MG34 machine-gun, while a similar weapon was mounted in the hull front and another on the turret roof for antiaircraft defence.



Specification PzKpfw V Panther Ausf A Crew: 4

Weight: 45500 kg (100,310 lb) Dimensions: length (including Annensions: length (including armament)8.86m(29ft0,75in); length (hull) 6.88 m (22 ft7 in); width3.43 m (11 ft3in); height3.10m(10ft2in) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 P 30 12-cylinder diesel engine developing 700 hp (522 kW) Porformance arctice Performance: maximum road speed

German armour, committed piecemeal, could not stop the Allied invasion of Europe. Here a Panther burns after being hit by British an titank weapons.

46km/h(29mph); maximumroad range 177 km (110miles); fording 1.70 m (5 ft 7 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.91 m (3 ft 0 in); transk 1.0 m (6 ft 2 in) trench1.91m(6ft3in)

\$ Panzerkampfwagen VI Tiger heavy tank

With its thick armour anda version of the dreaded 88-mm AAi'anti-tankgun, the PzKpfw VI Tiger was an outstandingly powerful design. It was not a particularly agile machine, but could command the battlefield.

As far back as 1938 it has been realized that the PzKpfw IV tank would have to be replaced by a more modern design some time in the future. Various prototypes were built by a number of German companies, but none was placed in production, In 1941 an order was placed with Henschel for a 36-ton tank called the VK 3601 which was required to have a maximum speed of 40 km/h (25 mph), good armour protection and apowerfulgun. A prototype of this tank was built but further work was stopped as an order was placed in May 1941 for a 45-ton tank called the VK 4501, This was to be armed with a tank version of the dreaded 88-mm (3.46-in) AA/anti-tank gun, which had then become the scourge of European armies. It was required that the prototype be ready for testing on Hitler's next birthday, 20 April 1942. As time was short Henschel incorporated ideas from the VK 3601 and another tank called the VK 3001(H). The end product was the VK 4501(H), the letter suffix standing for Henschel. Porsche also went ahead with its own design and built the VK 4501(Porsche) to meet the same re-4501(Porsche) to meet the same re-quirement. Both prototypes were com-pleted in time to be demonstrated on Hitler's birthday, and the Henschel de-sign was selected for production in Au-gust 1942 under the designation PzKpfw VI Tiger Ausf E (SdKIz 181). The Tiger was in production from August 1942 to August 1944, a total of 1350 vehicles being built It was then

1,350 vehicles being built. It was then succeeded in production by the Tiger II or King Tiger for which there is a separate entry. In case trials proved the VK 4501(H) a failure, a batch of 90 VK 4501(P) tanks was ordered, and these were subsequently completed as 88-mm (3.46-in) tank destroyers under the designation Panzerjäger Ti-ger (P) Ferdinand (SdKfz 184). The vehicle was named after its designer, Dr Ferdinand Porsche. There were three variants of the Ti-



gei, these being the Tiger command tank (Befehlspanzer Tiger) which was the basic gun tank with its main armament removed, but fitted with a winch but no crane, and the Sturmtiger which had a new superstructure fitted with a 38-cm (14.96-in) Type 61 rocket-launcher with limited traverse; only 10 of the last were built. For its time the Tiger was an out-

standing design with a powerful gun and good armour, but it was also too complicated and therefore difficult to produce. One of its major drawbacks was its overlapping wheel suspension which became clogged with mud and stones. On the Eastern Front this could be disastrous as during winter nights the mud froze and by the morning the tank had been immobilized, often at the exact time the Soviets would attack, When the vehicle travelled on roads a 51.5-cm (20.3-in) wide track was fitted, while a 71,5-cm (28,1-in) wide track was used for travel across country or in combat as this gave a lower ground pressure and so improved traction.

Main armament comprised an 88-mm (3.46-in) KwK 36 gun, with a 7.92mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine-gun coaxial with the main armament and a similar weapon ball-mounted in the hull front on the right, Totals of 84



rounds of 88-mm (3.46-in) and 5,850 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried.

The Tiger was first encountered in Tunisia by the British army and from then on appeared on all of the German fronts.

Specification PzKpfw VI Tiger Ausf E Crew: 5 Weight: 55000 kg (121,250 lb) Dimensions: length (including armament)8,24m(27ft0in); length (hull) 6.20 m (20 ft 4 in); width 3.73 m (12ft3in); height2.86m(9ft3.25in)

SS Tigers bivouac on the Brenner Pass, guarding the Italian border with Austria. By this time the Allies had landed in Italy and Mussolini had been overthrown.

Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 P45 12-cylinder petrol engine developing 700hp(522kW) Performance: maximum road speed 38km/h(24mph); maximumrange road 100km(62miles); fording 1.2m (3 ft 11 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.79 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1.8 m (5 ft 11 in)



Panzerkampfwagen VI Tiger II heavy tank

No sooner was the Tiger in production than the decision was taken to develop an even better armed and armoured version, especially to counter any vehicle that the Soviets could introduce in the future, Once again Henschel and Porsche were asked to prepare designs. Porsche first designed a tank based on the earlier VK 4501 design and armed with a 15-cm (5.9-in) gun, This was rejected in favour of a new design with a turret-mounted 88mm (3.46-in) gun, which was soon cancelled as its electric transmission used too much copper, which at that time was in short supply, By this time the turrets were already in production and these were subsequently fitted to early-production Henschel tanks. The VK 4503(H) Henschel design was completed in October 1943, somewhat later than anticipated as a decision was taken to incorporate components of the projected Panther II tank.

taken to incorporate components of the projected Panther II tank. Production of the Tiger II, or Panzerkampfwagen VI Tiger II Ausf B (SdKfz 182) to give its correct designation, got under way at Kassel in December 1943 alongside the Tiger, the first 50 production vehicles being completed with the Porsche turret. All subsequent tanks had the Henschel turret, and a total of 485 vehicles was built.

The Tiger II first saw action on the Eastern Front in May 1944 and on the Western Front in Normandy in August

of the same year, the Western Allies calling it the Royal Tiger or King Tiger while the Germans called it the Königstiger (King Tiger).

In many respects the Tiger II was similar in layout to the Panther tank, and was powered by the same engine as later production Panthers, resulting in a much lower power-to-weight ratio, and the tank was therefore much slower and less mobile than the Panther. While its armour gave almost complete protection against all of the guns fitted to Allied tanks, the Tiger II was unreliable and its bulk made it difficult to move about the battlefield and to conceal. Many were abandoned or destroyed by their crews when they ran out of fuel and no additional supplies were to hand. The hull of the Tiger II was of all-

The hull of the Tiger II was of allwelded construction with a maximum thickness of 150 mm (5,9 in) in the front of the hull. The driver was seated at the front on the left, with the bow machinegunner/radio operator to his right. The turret was of welded construction with a maximum thickness of 100mm (3,9 in) at the front, and accommodated the commander and gunner on the left with the loader on the right. The engine was at the hull rear. Main armament comprised a long-barrelled 88mm (3.46-in) KwK 43 gun that could fire armour-piercing and HE ammunition, the former having a much higher muzzle velocity than the equivalent round fired by the Tiger. A 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 was mounted co-axial with the main armament, and another weapon was mounted in the hull front. Totals of 84 rounds of 88-mm (3.46-in) and 5,850 rounds of 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machinegun ammunition were carried.

The Tiger II chassis was also used as the basis for the Jagdtiger B, which was armed with a 128-mm (5.04-in) gun in a new superstructure with limited traverse; only 48 of these powerful tank destroyers had been built by the end of the war.

Specification

PzKpfw VI Tiger II Ausf B Crew: 5

Weight: 69700 kg (153,660 lb) Dimensions: length (including armament) 10.26m (33ft8in); length (hull) 7,26m (23 ft9.75 in); width 3,75m (12ft3.5in); height3.09m (10ft1.5in) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230P 30 12-cylinder petrol engine developing 700 hp (522 kW)

Performance: maximum road speed 38km/h(24mph); maximumroad range 110km (68miles); fording 1.6m (5 ft 3 in); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.85 m(2 ft 10 in); trench 2.50 m (8 ft 2 in)

Above/A Tiger II with Henschel turret passes American prisoners taken during the Ardennes offensive. Many of the tanks were abandoned as the attack failed for lack of petrol.

Righ t: A Königstiger with Porsche turret. Utilizing the latest in sloped armour and carrying a longbarrelled 88-mm high-velocity gun, the TigerII was safe from almost any Allied tank at almost any r ange.

ITALY



Fiat L 6/40 light tank

In the 1930s Fiat Ansaldo built an export tank based on the chassis of the L3 tankette, itself a development of the BritishGardenLloydMark VItankette. The first prototype was armed with twin machine-guns in the turret and a 37 mm gun in a sponson. This was followed by models with a turret mounted 37-mm gun and a co-axial machine-guns, and another with twin turret-mounted 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns, The production version, designated Carro Armato L 6/40, was built from 1939 and armed with a Breda Model 35 20-mm cannon with a co-axial Breda Model 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine gun. Totals of 296 rounds of 20-mmand 1,560 rounds of 8-mm (0.355-in) machine dun to the German PzKpfw II, and was used by reconnaissance units and cavalry divisions. A total of 283 vehicles was built, and in addition to being used in Italy itself the

type was also used in North Africa and on the Russian front. The L 6/40 continued in service with the militia in post-war Italy, finally being phased out of service in the early 1950s. The hull of the L 6/40 was of all-

The hull of the L 6/40 was of allriveted construction varying in thickness from 6 mm (0, 24 m) to 30 mm(1.26 in), The driver was seated at the front right, the turret was in the centre, and the engine at the rear. The turret was manually operated and could be traversed through 360° ; its weapons could be elevated from -12° to $+20^\circ$. The commander also acted as gunner and loader, and could enter the vehicle via the hatch in the turret roof or via a door in the right side of the hull. Suspension on each side consisted of two bogies each with two road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers,

There was also a flamethrower version of the L 6/40 in which the 20-mm

cannon was replaced by a flamethrower for which 200 litres (44 Imp gal) of flame liquid were earned. The command model had additional communications equipment and an opentopped turret. Some of the L 6/40s were completed as Semovente L40 47/32 self-propelled anti-tank guns, which were essentially L 6/40 with the turret removed and a 47-mm anti-tank gun mounted in the hull front to the left of the driver. This had an elevation from

 -12° to $+20^\circ,$ with a total traverse of 27°; 70 rounds of ammunition were carried. In addition to conversions from

Continued on page 518

A knocked-outL 6/40 light tank is inspected by Australians in the desert. In spite of being unsuitable for front-line service, the L 6/40 saw action in North Africa and the USSR as well as in Italy.



the L 6/40 tank about 300 vehicles were built from scratch and these saw service in Italy, North Africa and the USSR from 1941, A command version was also built on the same chassis and this had its armament replaced by an 8mm (0.315-in) Breda machine-gun, which was made to look like the larger calibre gun to make detection of the vehicle more difficult,

Specification CarroArmatoL6/40 Crew:2

Weight: 6800 kg (14,991 lb) Dimensions: length3,78m(12ft5in); width 1.92m(6ft4in); height2.03m (6 ft 8 in)

Powerplant: one SPA 18D fourcylinder petrol engine developing 70hp(52kW)

Performance: maximum road speed 42km/h(26mph);maximumrange 200 km (124 miles); fording0,8 m (2 ft 8 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle0.7 m (2 ft4 in); trench 1,7 m (5 ft7 in)



Fiat M 11/39 and M 13/40 medium tanks

In 1937 the prototype of the Carro Armato M 11/39 tank was built, with the suspension system of the L3 tankette but with six road wheels on each side. In layout this was similar to the American M3 Lee tank, but with a 37-mm (rather than 75-mm/2.95-in) gun in the right sponson, driver on the left, and in the centre of the hull a one-man turret armed with twin 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns. Further development resulted in a model with eight road wheels and this basic chassis was used for all subsequent Italian medium tanks. Only 100 M 11/39s were built as it was considered that the design was already obsolete, and in 1940 70 of these were sent to North Africa where many were captured or destroyed during the first battles with the British army.

Further development resulted in the M 13/40 which had a similar chassis but a redesigned hull of riveted construction varying in thickness from 6 mm (0.24 in) to 42 mm (1.65 in). The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the left with the machine-gunner to his right; the latter operated the twin Mod-ello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns as well as the radios. The two-man turret was in the centre of the hull, with the commander/gunner on the right and the loader on the left, and with a two-piece hatch cover in the turret roof. Main armament comprised a 47mm 32-calibre gun with an elevation of $+20^{\circ}$ and a depression of -10° ; turret traverse was 360° . A Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-gun was mounted co-axial with the main armament and a similar weapon was mounted on the turret roof for anti-aircraft defence, Totals of 104 rounds of 47-mm and 3,048 rounds of 8-mm (0.315-in) ammunition were carried, The engine was at the rear of the hull, its power being transmitted to the gearbox at the front of the hull via a propeller shaft. Suspension on each side consisted of four double-wheel articulated bogies mounted on two assemblies each carried on semielliptic leaf springs, with the idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers. The M 13/40 was built by Ansaldo-

The M 13/40 was built by Ansaldo-Fossati at the rate of about 60 to 70

vehicles per month, a total of 779 being produced. The tank was widely used in North Africa by the Italian army but was cramped, proved to be very unreliable in service and was prone to catching fire when hit by anti-tank projectiles.

Many vehicles were captured by the British army after being abandoned by their crews and subsequently issued to the British 6th Royal Tnk Regiment (RTR) and the Australian 6th Cavalry Regiment early in 1941 when tanks were in a very short supply on the Allied side, The Australian regiment had three squadrons of captured vehicles which they called Dingo, Rabbit, and Wombat. So that they were not engaged by Allied units, white kangaroos were painted on the sides, glacis and turret rear. The Semovente Comando M 40

The Semovente Comando M 40 command vehicle was basically the M 13/40 tank with its turret removed and fitted with additional communications equipment for use in the command role. Further development of the M 13/40 resulted in the M 14/41 and M 15/42, for which there is a separate entry.

Below: With a 47-mm sponsonmountedmain gun and twin 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns in the twoman turret, the M11/39 was soon outclassed with the introduction of improved Allied tanks. Specification Carro Armato M 13/40 Crew: 4 Weight: 14000 kg (30,865 lb) Dimensions: length 4.92 m (16 ft 2 in); width 2.2 m (7 ft 3 in); height 2.38 m (7 ft 10 in) Performance: one SPA TM40 eight-

cylinder diesel engine developing 125hp(93kW) Performance: maximum road speed 32 km/h (20 mph); maximum range 200 km (125 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 70 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (2 ft 8 in); trench 2.1 m (6 ft 11 in)

Based on the British Garden-Lloyd tankette, the L 6/40 was armed with a 20-mm cannon together with a coaxial 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-gun.



Above; MI3/40sin the desert, 1941. These are the Semovente Comande version, without turrets and with additional radio gear. Many were abandoned by theltalians and taken over by the British.





ITAI Y

Fiat M 15/42 medium tank

The Carro Armato M 14/41 was essentially the M 13/40 fitted with a more powerful diesel engine which was equipped with air filters designed to cope with the harsh conditions of the desert. Production amounted to just over 1,100 of these vehicles, which had a similar specification to the M 13/40 except for an increase in speed to 33 km/h (20 mph) and in weight to 14.5in the Carro Armato M 15/42, which entered service in early 1943. A total of 82 of these was built, most being issued to the Ariete Division which took part in the Italian attempt to deny Rome to the Germans in September 1943. Some of these vehicles were captured by the Germans and then used against the Allies.

The M 15/42 was slightly longer than the M 14/41 and distinguishable from it by the lack of a crew access door in the left side of the hull. It was driven by a more powerful engine which made it slightly faster, and had improved armour protection and other more minor modifications as a result of operator comments. The hull of the M 15/42 was of all-

riveted construction which varied in thickness from 42 mm (1.65 in) to 14 mm (0.55 in), with a maximum of 45 mm (1.77 in) on the turret front. The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the left, with the bow machine-gunner to his right, the latter operating the twin Breda Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns as well as the radios. The turret was in the centre of the hull and armed with a 47-mm 40calibre gun with an elevation of $+20^{\circ}$ and a depression of -10° ; turret traverse, which was electric, was 360°. A Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-gun was mounted co-axial with the main armament, and a similar weapon was mounted on the turret roof for anti-aircraft defence. Totals of 111 rounds of 47-mm and 2,640 rounds of

8-mm (0.315-in) ammunition were carried. Suspension on each side con-sisted of four double-wheel articulated bogies mounted in two assemblies each carried on semi-elliptical springs, with the drive sprocket at the front and the idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers. The engine was at the rear of the hull and coupled to a manual gearbox with

eight forward and two reverse gears. By the time the M 15/42 had been introduced into service it was already obsolete, and design of another tank had been under way for several years. In 1942 the first prototypes of the Carro Armato P 40 heavy tank were built. This was a major advance on the earlier Italian tanks and used a similar type of suspension to the M 15/42. The layout was also similar with the driver at the front, turret in the centre and engine at the rear. Armour protection was much improved and the hull and was much improved and the null and turret sides sloped to give maximum possible protection within the weight limit of 26 tonnes. The P 40 was pow-ered by a V-12 petrol engine that de-veloped 420 hp (313kW) to give it a maximum road speed of 40 km/h (25 mph), Main armament comprised a 75-mm (2,95-in) 34-calibre gun with a co-axial Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-gun. Totals of 75 rounds of 75-mm (2.95-in) and 600 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were car-ried, The P 40 was produced by Fiat in northern Italy, but none of these entered service with the Italian army and most were subsequently taken over by the German army, which ensured con-tinued production for itself, some re-ports stating that over 50 vehicles were built for German use.

Specification Carro Armato M 15/42 Crew: 4 Weight: 15500 kg (34,800 lb) Dimensions: length 5.04 m (16 ft 7 in);



width 2.23 m (7 ft4 in); height 2.39 m 7 ft 11 in) Powerplant: one SPA 15 TB M42 eight-cylinder petrol engine developing 192hp(143kW)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum range 220km (136miles); fording 1,0m (3ft 3 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (2 ft 8 in); trench 2.10 m (6 ft 11 in)

A squadron of M14/41 tanks in Cyrenaica in 1942. More than 1100 of these tanks, in effect tropicalized M13140s, were produced.

Another M14/41, abandoned after the first battle ofAlamein. The M151 42 looked similar but had no side hatch. Only 82 were built.



period, was the fact that the tank commander also had to aim, load and fire the main armament in addition to carrying out his primary role of comman-ding the tank. The Mitsubishi six-cylinder air-

cooled diesel was mounted in the hull rear and coupled to a manual transmission with one reverse and four forward gears. Steering was of the clutch and brake type, and suspension of the bell crank type consisting of each side of

The Type 95 light tank had a 37-mm main gun and a hull-mounted 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun together with another 7.7-mm gun at the rear





JAPAN Type 95 light tank

The Type 95 light tank was developed to meet the requirements of the Japanese army in the early 1930s, the first two prototypes being completed in 1934 by Mitsubishi Heavy Industries. These were tested in China and Japan and the type was then standardized as the Type 95 light tank, the company calling the vehicle the HA-GO while the army called the vehicle the KE-GO. Over 1,100 Type 95s were built before production was completed in 1943 although some sources have stated that production continued until 1945.

The hull and turret of the Type 95 were of riveted construction and varied in thickness from 0.25 in (6 mm) to a maximum of 0.55 in (14 mm). The driver was seated at the front on the right with the bow machine-gunner to his left. The latter operated the Type 11 first after operated the Type 91 6.5-mm (0.255-in) weapon (with a traverse of 35° left and right), which was later replaced by the Type 97 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun. The turret was in the centre of the hull, offset slightly to the left and fitted with a Type 04 227 mm tonk our finite correct 94 37-mm tank gun firing armour-piercing and HE ammunition. This gun was later replaced by the Type 98 gun of a similar calibre but with a higher muzzle velocity. There was no co-axial machine-gun, but another machine-

gun was mounted in the turret rear on the right side, Totals of 2,970 rounds of ammunition were carried for the two machine-guns and of 119 rounds for the main armament. A major drawback of this tank, like many French tanks of the



Type 95 tanlcs cross paddy fields while on exercise. The Type 95 sufficed in its anti-infantry role, as the Japanese army did not come up against any armour of consequence until meeting the Marines in 1943.

four rubber-tyred road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and idler at the rear; there were two trackreturn rollers.

In those days no air-conditioning systems were available to keep the interior of the tank cooled so the walls of the crew compartment were lined with asbestos padding which in addition gave some protection to the crew from injury when travelling across

JAPAN

country. In 1943 a few Type 95 light tanks were modified to carry the 57-mm gun as fitted to the Type 97 medium tank under the name KE-RI, but the variant

under the name KE-RI, but the variant was not very successful as the turret was too cramped. The KE-NU was the Type 95 with the complete turret of the Type 97 CHI-HA medium tank. The Type 95 was succeeded in production by the Type 98 KE-NI light tank, but only about 100 of these were built before production was completed in 1943 as the type was not considered a very satisfactory design. The Type 2 KA-MI amphibious tank used automotive components of the Type 95 light tank, and this was widely used in the early



Pacific campaigns of World War II. Japan also used tankettes on a large scale including the Types 92, 94 and 97, the last being the most common.

When used in China and during the early World War II campaigns against the Americans, the Type 95 proved a useful vehicle, but once confronted by American tanks and anti-tank guns it was outclassed.

Specification Type 95 Crew:4 Weight: 7400 kg (16,314 lb) Dimensions: length4.38m(14ft4in); width 2.057 m (6 ft9 in); height 2.184 m (7 ft 2 in) A Type 95 at speed, probably in Manchuria. Japan's conquests were aided considerably by the fact that none other opponents possessed any significant amount of armour, nor any an ti-tank capability.

Powerplant: one Mitsubishi NVD 6120 six-cylinder air-cooled diesel engine developing 120 hp (89 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); maximum range 250 km (156 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.812 m (2 ft 8 in); trench 2.0 m (6 ft 7 in)

Type 97 medium tank

In the mid-1930s a requirement was issued for a new medium tank to replace the Type 89B medium tank which by then was rapidly becoming obsolete. As the Engineering Department and the General Staff could not agree on the better design, two prototypes were built. Mitsubishi built the design of the Engineering Department while Osaka Arsenal built the design of the General Staff. There was in fact little to choose between the two designs, although the Mitsubishi tank was heavier and driven by a more powerful engine. The Mitsubishi prototype was standardized as the Type 97 CHI-HA medium tank and some 3,000 vehicles were built before production was finally completed in the middle of World War II.

The hull and turret of the Type 97 medium tank were of riveted construction that varied in thickness from 8 mm (0,30 in) to 25 mm (0.98 mm). The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the right, with the 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-gunner to his left, The two-man turret was in the centre of the hull, offset to the right, and could be traversedmanually through 360°. Main armament consisted of a 57-mm Type 97 gun with an elevation of +11° and depression of -9°, and another 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun was located in the turret rear, Totals of 120 rounds of 57-mm (80 high explosive and 40 of armour-piercing) and 2,350 rounds of 7.7-mm (0.303-in) ammunition were carried.

The 12-cylinder air-cooled diesel

was mounted at the rear of the hull and transmitted power via a propeller shaft to the gearbox in the nose of the tank; the gearbox had four forward and one reverse gears. Steering was of the clutch and brake type, and suspension on each side consisted of six dual rubber-tyred road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers, The four central road wheels were paired and mounted on bell cranks resisted by armoured compression springs, while each end bogie was independently bell crankmounted to the hull in a similar manner.

When first introduced into service the Type 97 was quite an advanced design apart from its main armament, which had a low muzzle velocity. A feature of most Japanese tanks of this period was that they were powered by diesel rather than petrol engines, which gave them a much increased operational range as well as reducing the ever-present risk of fire, the dread of any tank crew.

of any tank crew. In 1942 the Type 97 medium tank (special) was introduced: this had a new turret armed with a 47-mm Type 97 gun that fired ammunition with a higher muzzle velocity and therefore improved penetration characteristics. This weapon used the same ammunition as Japanese anti-tank guns and therefore helped ammunition commonality in the front line.

monality in the front line. The chassis of the Type 97 was also used as the basis for a number of other vehicles including a flail-equipped



mineclearmg tank, self-propelled guns (including the 150-mm/5.9-m Type 38 HO-RO), self-propelled antiaircraft guns (including 20-mm and 75mm/2.95-in), an engineer tank, a recovery vehicle and an armoured bridgelayer. Most of these were built in such small numbers that they played little part m actual operations. The Type 97 was replaced in production by the Type 1 CHI-HE medium tank, followed by the Type 3 CHI-NU, of which only 60 were built by the end of the war. The last Japanese medium tanks were the Type 4 and Type 5, but 'neither of these well-armed vehicles saw combat.

Specification Type 97 Crew: 4 Probably the bestJapanese armoured vehicle to see any great amount ofservice, the Type 97 was a fairly advanced design that was handicapped by an inadequa te gun.

Weight: 15000 kg (33,069 lb) Dimensions: length 5.516m(18ft1in); width 2.33m(7ft8in); height 2.23m (7 ft 4 in)

Powerplant: one Mitsubishi 12cylinder air-cooled diesel engine developing 170 hp (127 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum range 210 km (130 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle0,812m(2ft6in); trench 2.514 m (8 ft 3 in)

British and French Tanks

Since the birth of the tank in 1916, the British have led the world in both the design and use of armoured forces, but by 1939 internal army politics and mistaken tactical doctrine had robbed Britain of this important and hard-won advantage.



French mechanized units parading with their Hotchkiss H35 tanks. A small, lightly armed vehicle with a crew of two, it saw service with the French in both cavalry and infantry-support roles.

The tanks discussed here are among some of the least successful of the World War II period. Some of them (such as the British Valentine, Matilda and Churchill) were eventually turned into good fighting machines, but working in a rush and without a proper development base from which to work up their designs - many British tank designers produced tanks that were no match for their counterparts in the German Panzer units. The reasons for this are described herein, but it is not all a sorry tale: despite their drawbacks, these tanks (both Infantry and Cruiser types) were at times all there was to hand and with them their crews and com-

manders learned the important lessons that were to produce the eventual Allied victory.

Some of the development and design results were remarkable. Working from a base where virtually no heavy engineering facilities existed, Australia was able to produce the Sentinel from scratch, and it was no fault of the designers that their progeny was never to see action. The same can be said of the Canadians, who produced the Ram in a remarkably short time, again from scratch and with no tank production experience whatsoever. These two projects must rate among the more remarkable production feats of World War II, but today they are little known outside their home nations.

The tale of the Cruiser tanks produced by the United Kingdom has by now been often told but it still bears re-examination, showing as it does, how a doctrine accepted without proper investigation can affect the course of battles, even well past the point when the doctrine has been found wanting. British and Allied tank crews had to drive their charges into battle knowing that their main guns were too weak, their armoured protection too thin and their mechanical reliability all too suspect at a critical moment. But they went into battle all the same and often managed to defeat a betterarmed and prepared enemy. Thus, while reading of the tanks one must think of the men who manned and fought them, for tanks are but lumps of metal constructed in a certain fashion, and are nothing without men to drive and use them in combat.



During the early 1930s the French army, in common with many other European armies, decided to re-equip its ageing tank parks with modern equipment. At that time the French followed the current practice of dividing tank functions into cavalry and infantry usage and one of the new tanks intended for cavalry use was a design known as the Char Léger Hotchkiss H-35. But although intended primarily for cavalry formation use, the H-35 was later adopted for infantry support as well, making it one of the more important of the French tanks of the day. The H-35 was a small vehicle with a crew of two, and it was lightly armed with only a 37-mm (1.46-in) short-barrelled g^in and a single 7.5-mm (0.295-in) machine-gun. Armour was also light, ranging in the thickness from 12 mm (0.47 in) to 34 mm (1.34 in). It was also rather underpowered, and after about 400 H-35s had been produced from 1936 onwards the basic model was supplemented by the Char Léger Hotchkiss H-39, first produced during 1939. The production totals for the H-39 were much greater (eventually run-ning to over 1,000 units), but in general French tank production was slow, being severely limited by a lack of mass production facilities, and was constantly beset by labour troubles, even after 1939.

The H-39 differed from the H-35 in having a 120- rather than 75-hp (89.5-rather than 56-kW) engine, and could be recognized by the raised rear decking, which on the H-39 was almost flat compared with the pronounced slope on the H-35. Also a new and longer 37-mm gun was fitted, but this was only marginally more powerful than the earlier weapon and soon proved to be virtually useless against most German tanks.

Both the H-35 and the H-39 were used in action in France in May 1940, and both were able to give a good account of themselves. However, their part in the fighting was more than diminished by their dismal tactical use. Instead of being used en masse (in the way that the Germans used their Panzer columns), the French tanks were scattered along the line in penny packets, assigned to local infantry support instead of being used as an effective anti-armour force and were able to make little impact. On occasion they

FRANCE

Renault R 35

The Renault R 35 had its origins in a design known originally as the Renault ZM, produced in late 1934 in answer to ZM, produced in late 1934 in answer to a French army request for a new infan-try support tank to supplement and eventually replace the ageing Renault FT 17 which dated back to World War I, Trials of the new tank started in early 1935, and in that same year the design was ordered into production without completion of the testing as Germany appeared to be in a mood for conflict. Before production got under way it Before production got under way it was decided to increase the armour basis from 30mm (1.18 in) to 40 mm

(1.575 in). The R 35 never entirely replaced the FT 17 in service, but by 940 over 1,600 had been built and it was the most numerous French infantry tank in use. Its overall appearance was not unlike that of the FT 17, for it was a



were able to surprise the Germans, but only in purely local actions, so many were either destroyed or cap-tured by the advancing Germans, Al-ways short of matériel, the Germans took many Hotchkiss tanks into their own service as the PzKpfw 35-H 734(f) and PzKpfw 39-H 735(f), and these were used for some years by second-line and occupation units. Many of the H-35 and H-39 tanks later had their turrets removed and replaced by German anti-tank guns for use as mobile tank destroyers,

Not all the French tanks fell into Ger-man hands. Many were located in the French Middle East possessions and some were either taken over by the Free French or were used in action by the Vichy French during the campaign in Syria in 1941. Perhaps the Hotchkiss tanks with the most unusual travel tales were those taken by the Germans to the Soviet Union in 1941, when they were so short of tanks that even the captured French vehicles were found useful.

By 1945 there were few H-35s or H-39s left anywhere: the Middle East examples survived in small numbers, and post-war some were used to form part of the Israeli army tank arm, remaining in service as late as 1956.

small tank with a crew of only two. The design made much use of cast armour

and the suspension followed the Re-

nault practice of the day, being of the

Two-man infantry support tanks in

Continued on page 1324

Specification Hotchkiss H-39 Crew: 2



Weight: 12.1 tonnes Powerplant: one Hotchkiss 6-cylmder petrol engine developing 120 hp 89.5kW) Dimensions: length 4.22 m(13 ft 10 in); width 1.95 m(6 ft 4.8 in); height 2,15 m(7 ft 0.6 in) Performance: maximum road speed 36km/h(22.3mph);maximumroad range 120km(74,5miles);fording 0.85m(2ft10in);gradient40°;vertical

H-35s, seen here on parade, equipped many French mechanized cavalry units. Although armed with the ineffectual SA 18 37-mm 121, they could still have performed effectively in the reconnaissance role but instead were deployed piecemeal to bolster the infantry.

obstacle0.50m(1ft8in); trench1,80m (5 ft 11 in)



type used on the Renault cavalry tank designs. The driver's position was forward, while the commander had to act as his own loader and gunner firing a 37-mm (1,456-in) short-barrelled gun and co-axial 7.5-mm (0.295-in) machine-gun mounted in a small cast turret. This turret was poorly equipped with vision devices and was arranged that the commander had to spend much of his time in action standing on the hull floor. Out of action the rear of the turret opened as a flap on

which the commander could sit. For its day the R 35 was a sound enough vehicle, and was typical of contemporary French design, In 1940 a version with a revised suspension and known as the AMX R 40 was intro-

FRANCE

duced, and a few were produced before the Germans invaded in May 1940. The little R 35s soon proved to be no match for the German Panzers. For a start they were usually allocated in small numbers in direct support of infantry formations, and could thus be picked off piecemeal by the massed German tanks. Their gun proved vir-tually ineffective against even the lightest German tanks, though in return their 40-mm (1,575-m) armour was fairly effective against most of the German anti-tank guns. Thus the R 35s could contribute but little to the course of the campaign and many were either destroyed or simply abandoned by their crews in the disasters that overtook the French army as the Germans

SOMUA S-35 medium tank

When the re-equipment of the French cavalry arm with tanks started during the mid-1930s several concerns became involved, among them a Schneider subsidiary in St Ouen and known as the Société d'Outillage Mécanique et d'Usinage d'Artillerie, better known as SOMUA, In 1935 this concern displayed a tank prototype that attracted immediate attention, and its very advanced design was quickly recognized by the award of a producweapon in 1944. The secondary arma-ment was a single 7.5-mm (0,295-in) co-axial machine-gun.

The S-35 was ordered into production but, as in nearly all other sectors of the French defence industry before 1939, this production was slow and beset by labour and other troubles. Only about 400 S-35s had been produced by the time the Germans invaded in May 1940, and of those only about 250 were in front-line service. But in action the



tion order. One of the best if not the best AFV of its day, the type was known as the SOMUA S-35 to most of Europe though to the French army it was the Automitrailleuse de Combat (AMC) modele 1935 SOMUA

The S-35 had many features that vere later to become commonplace. The hull and turret were both cast components at a time when most contemporary vehicles used riveted plates. The cast armour was not only well-shaped for extra protection but it was also much thicker (minimum of 20 mm/0.79 in and maximum of 55 mm/2,16 in) than the norm for the time. For all that it still had a good reserve of power provided by a V-8 petrol en-gine for lively battlefield performance, and a good operational radius of action was ensured by large internal fuel tanks. Radio was standard, at a time when hand signals between tanks were still common. To add to all these advantages the S-35 was armed with a powerful gun: the 47-mm (1.85-in) SA 35 was one of the most powerful weapons of the day and a gun that could still be regarded as a useful In 1940 manySOMUAs were damaged and abandoned like the one seen here, but the vehicle was good enough for the Germans to use against the Allies four years later.

Below: Despite the weakness of having the commander operate the main armament, the S-35 was a

swept through France. Large numbers of R 35s fell into German hands virtually intact. These were duly put to use by various garrison units in France while many eventually passed to driver and other tank training schools. With the invasion of the Soviet Union many R 35s were stripped of their turrets and used as artillery tractors or ammunition carriers. Later. many of the R 35s still in France had their turrets removed so that their hulls could be converted as the basis of several self-propelled artillery or antitank gun models, the turrets then being emplaced in concrete along the coastal defences of the Atlantic Wall.

Thus the R 35 passed into history, and despite its numbers its combat re-

S-35 gave a good account of itself though revealing a serious design defect when under fire: the upper and lower hull halves were joined by a ring of bolts along a horizontal join, and if an anti-tank projectile hit this join the two halves split apart with obvious dire results. But at the time this mattered less than the way in which the tanks had to be handled: the S-35 had a crew of three (driver, radio operator and commander), and it was the commander in his one-man turret who caused the problems, for this unfortunate had not only to keep an eye on the local tactical scene, but also to assimilate orders from the radio while loading and firing the gun. The tasks were too much for one man, so the full potential of the S-35 was rarely attained. As with other French tanks of the day the S-35s were split into small groups scattered long the French line and were called together on only a few occasions for worthwhile counterstrokes against the Panzer columns.

After the occupation of France the Germans took over as many S-35s as they could find for issue to occupation and training units under the designa-tion PzKpfw 35-S 739(f). Some were handed over to the Italian army, but many were still based in France when the Allies invaded in 1944 and S-35s were once more in action, this time in German hands. Any S-35s taken by the Allies were passed over to the Free French, who in their turn used them in the reduction of the beleaguered German garrisons locked up in their Atlantic sea-port strongholds.

cord was such that it proved to be of more use to the Germans than the French.

Specification Renault R 35 Crew: 2 Weight: 10000 kg (22,046 lb) Powerplant: one Renault4-cylmder petrol engine developing 61 kW (82 bhp) Dimensions:length4.20m(13ft 9.25 in); width 1.85 m (6 ft 0.75 in); height 2,37 m (7 ft 9.25 in) Performance: maximum speed 20 km/h (12.4 mph); range 140 km (87 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7 in); vertical obstacle0.5 m (1 ft 7,7 in); trench 1.6m (5 ft 3 in)

Well protected and manoeuvrable, the SOMUA S-35 was undoubtedly the best Allied tank in 1940. It had a radio and its 47-mm gun could fire both armour-piercing shot and high explosive, an obvious requirement which had escaped British designers.



Specification SOMUA S-35 Crew: 3 Weight: 19.5 tonnes Powerplant: one SOMUA V-8 petrol engine developing 190 hp (141.7 kW) Dimensions: length 5.38 m (17 ft 7,8 in); width 2.12 m (6 ft 11.5 in); height 2.62 m (8 ft 7 in) Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.85 mph); maximum road

range230km(143miles); fording 1.00m(3ft3in);gradient40°;vertical obstacle0.76m(2ft6in);trench2.13m (7ft)





Char Bl-bis heavy tank

The series of tanks known as the Char B had a definite look of the 'Great War' era about them, and this is not surprising for their development can be traced back as far as 1921 and the aftermath of World War I, What was demanded at that time was a tank with a 75-mm (2,95-in) gun set in a hullmounted embrasure, but it was not until about 1930 that the result of this request was finally built. This was the Char B heavy tank with a weight of about 25 tonnes, and prolonged development led in 1935 to the full pro-duction version, the Char BI.

The Char BI was a powerful tank for the period as it had a turret-mounted 47-mm (1.85-in) gun and a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun set in the lower hull front. The limited traverse of this latter gun was partially offset by a complex steering system that allowed the vehicle to be rapidly pointed towards the correct target sector, Although its archaic appearance belied the fact, the Char B was full of very advanced design features that ranged from self-sealing fuel tanks to grouped lubrication for the many bearings; an electric starter was also provided and attention was given to internal fire protection. However, the crew of four men was scattered about the interior in a way that made internal communication difficult, and this led to many operational problems. The crew of the Char BI had to be a highly-trained group of specialists to make the best of the vehicle's potential fighting value, and in 1940 these teams were few and far between.

The final production model was the Char Bl-bis which had increased armour (maximum and minimum of 65 and 14 mm/2.56 and 0,55 in compared with the Char Bl's 40 and 14 mm/1.57 and 0.55 in), a revised turret design and a more powerful engine. Later production models had an even more powerful aircraft engine and extra fuel capacity. Production of the Char BI-bis about 400 Char Bs of all types in service. By then the Char BI and Char BI-bis were the most numerous and powerful of all the French heavy tanks, and the basic type was the main battle tank of the few French armoured

formations. The Germans had a great respect fortheCharBI.forthe75-mm(2.95-in) gun was quite capable of knocking out even their PzKpfw IV, but they were considerably assisted during the May 1940 fighting by several factors. One was that the Char Bis were complex beasts and required a great deal of careful maintenance: many simply broke down en route to battle and were left for the Germans to take over undamaged. The type's combat poten-tial was somewhat lessened by the need for a well-trained crew and by the usual drawback in French design and usage of the commander having to serve the gun as well as command the tank and crew, The final drawback for the French was that, as was the case withother tank formations, the Char BI units were frequently broken up into small local-defence groups instead of being grouped to meet the German tank advances.

The Germans took over the Char Bl-bis as the PzKpfw Bl-bis 740(f) and used it for a variety of purposes. Some were passed intact to occupation units such as those in the Channel Islands. while others were converted for driver training or were altered to become self-propelled artillery carriages.

The 400 orso Char BIs possessed by the French army in 1940 were potentially a devastating striking force.



The Char BI was easily able to deal with any German tank in existence, but abysmal handling rendered it largely ineffective.

Some were fitted with flamethrowers as the PzKpfw Flamm(f). In 1944 a few were still around to pass once more into French army use but by 1945 only a handful were left.

Specification Char Bl-bis Crew: 4 Weight: 31,5 tonnes Powerplant: one Renault 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 307 hp 229kW) (229Kw) Dimensions: length 6.37 m (20ft 10.8in); width 2.50m (8ft 2.4in); height 2.79 m (9 ft 1.8 in) Performance: maximum road speed 28 km/h (17.4 mph); maximum road range 180km(112miles); fordingnot known; gradient 50 per cent; vertical obstacle0.93 m(3 ft 1 in); trench 2,74 m (9ft)





The Vickers Light Tanks had their ori-gins in a series of tankettes designed and produced by Carden-Loyd during the 1920s. The story of these little vehicles is outside the scope of this account but one of them, the Carden-Loyd Mk VIII, acted as the prototype for the Vickers Light Tank Mk I. Only a few of these innovative vehicles were pro-duced and issued, but they provided a great deal of insight into what would be required for later models, The Mk I had a two-man crew and had a small turret for a 7.7-mm (0.303-m) machine-

gun. The Mk I led via the Light Tank Mk IA (better armour) to the Light Tank Mk II (improved turret and modified suspension) which appeared in 1930, and this formed the basis for later ver-sions up to the Light Tank Mk VI. All these light tanks used a simple hull with riveted armour which was of the order of 10 to 15 mm (0.39 to 0.59 in) thick, From the Light Tank Mk V onwards the turret was enlarged to take

two men, making a three-man crew in all, and the same mark also saw the introduction of a 12.7-mm(0.5-in)machine-gun alongside the original 7.7-mm (0.303-in) weapon. Of course there were changes between all the various marks: for instance the Light Tank Mk IV was the first to use the armour as supporting plates for the chassis, rather than the other way round, and changes were made to the suspension to improve cross-country performance, With the Mk VI the light tanks came to the peak of their de-velopment and were agile vehicles capable of a nifty cross-country speed, and were up-armed to the point where the Light Tank Mk Vic had a 15-mm (0.59-m) heavy machine-gun in the turret. All manner of changes to items such as engine cooling and vision devices were also introduced on this late mark, and even the machine-gun was changed to the new Besa 7.92-mm (0.

312-in) machine-gun of Czech origins. The Vickers Light Tanks were

widely used throughout the 1930s and the early war years. Many of the early marks were used in India and for imperial policing duties, in which they proved ideal, but in action during the early campaigns of World War II they soon revealed themselves as being virtually useless. Their main drawback armour-piercing projectiles, and their

Mounting a 0.50-in and later a 15-mm BESA machine-gun with a co-axial 7.92-mm machine-gun, the Vickers Light Tank was an adequate vehicle for armoured reconnaissance.



lack of a weapon heavier than a machine-gun. In France in 1940 they were frequently incorrectly deployed as combat tanks and suffered accordingly, for they were only reconnaiss-ance vehicles. Their light armour and lack of an offensive weapon made them of little use for anything else, but in 1940 the lack of numbers of tanks on the ground often meant that they were rushed into action against the German Panzers with disastrous results.

The Light Tanks remained in use in the North African desert campaigns for along. Back in the United Kingdom the later marks were often used for trials, One of them was an attempt to convert some of the otherwise wasted vehicles into anti-aircraft tanks, mounting either four 7.92-mm (0.312-in) or two 15-mm (0.59-in) machine-guns, but although some conversions were made they saw little use. Other attempts were made to fit a 2-pdr (40-mm/1.58-in) anti-tank gun in an enlarged turret, but that idea was not pursued.

Surprisingly enough, the Germans in France were happy to use any Light Tanks they could recover, not as battle tanks but as anti-tank gun carriers, but only small numbers are believed to have been *so* converted.

Specification Light Tank MkV Crew: 3 Weight: 4877 kg (10,752 lb) Powerplant: one Meadows ESTL 6cylinder petrol engine delivering 66kW(88bhp) Dimensions: length 3.96m(13ft); width2.08m(6ft10in); height 2.235m (7 ft 6 in) Performance: maximum speed 51.5 km/h(32 mph); range 201 km(215 miles)

After suffering hea vy losses in France when mistakenly used in close support of the infantry, the MK VI soldiered on in the Middle East and North Africa.



😹 Light Tank Mk VII Tetrarch

The Tetrarch light tank started its life as the Light Tank Mk VII, and was a Vickers private-venture project to continue its line of light tank designs. That was in 1937, and the first pro-totype started its trials in 1938. These trials demonstrated that the new design, known at that time as the Purdah, lacked any of the attributes that would make it an outstanding weapon; but the type offered some potential, and it was decided to undertake further testing pending a possible production confract.

In its initial form the Purdah, later designated the A17, and later still the Tetrarch, differed from the earlier light tanks by having four large road wheels on each side. A two-man turret was centrally mounted, and this turret was Large enough to mount a 2-pdr (40-mm/ 1.58-m) gun with co-axial 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun. Various al-terations were demanded once the prototype had completed its initial trials, notably to engine cooling and for provision of more fuel tanks to improve range. Eventually the Tetrarch was put into production without any great enthusiasm, but it was at least something ready to hand at a period when the British army had few tanks of any kind to put into the field. Light tanks were recognized as a liability in action by 1941, however, so the few that were completed became surplus to requirements other than for limited operations such as the invasion of Madagascar in May 1942. Numbers of Tetrarchs were

even handed over to the Soviet Union. But the fortunes of the Tetrarch changed with the establishment of the airborne forces, and it was not long before the lightweight Tetrarch was accepted as the army's first airborne tank. A new glider, the General Air-craft Hamilcar, was designed and pro-duced as the airborne carrier for the Tetrarch, but it was not until April 1944 that the first trial landings were made, some of them being spectacular in the extreme, For their new role the turrets were fitted with a 76.2-mm (3-in) infan-try support howitzer, the vehicle being redesignated Tetrarch ICS. The Tetrarchs went into action dur-

ing the Normandy landings of 6 June 1944 during the second airborne wave. Most of them landed near the River Most of them landed hear the River Orne, where their combat life was short, They were next used during the Rhine crossings on 24 March 1945, but only a few were used during that event as their numbers had been sup-plemented by the American M22 Lo-uset. That more that the limits of the cust. That marked the limits of the

type's airborne operational career, but some were retained for a few years after the war until their Hamilcar gliders were withdrawn from service.

The basic design of the Tetrarch was used for a number of develop-ments during the war years. One was the Light Tank Mk VIII Harry Hopkins, a number of which were produced but never used. The Harry Hopkins was



Above: Carried in a Hamilcar glider, the Tetrarch was used by British airborne forces during the Normandy landings. Hopelessly outclassed by German tanks, this Tetrarch has a Littlejohn adapter fitted to its 2-pdr gun to increase muzzle velocity and thus armour penetration penetration.

Right: Originally a Vickers private venture, the Tetrarch was put into production despite lacking armour, effective armament or a properly defined purpose. It eventually saw limited action in Madagascar and the USSR before being adopted as Britain's first air-portable tank.



virtually a Tetrarch with thicker armour (6-38 mm/0,25-1.5 in rather than 4-15mm/0.15-0,6in) and many mechanical changes, but it also acted as the basis for yet another variant known as the Alecto, This was to have been an airborne or light self-propelled gun mounting a 95-mm (actually 94-mnV 3,7-in) howitzer, but few of these were produced. Despite plans to produce versions with 25-pdr or even 32-pdr guns, the only versions to be built were fitted with dozer blades for a possible airborne engineer role. In the event the Alectos ended up as hack tractors on Salisbury Plain.

Specification Tetrarch

Crew: 3 Weight: 7620 kg(16,800 lb) Powerplant: one Meadows 12-cylinder petrol engine delivering 123 kW (165 bhp) Dimensions: length overall 4.305 m

(14 ft 1.5 in); length of hull 4.115 m (13 ft 6 in); width 2.31 m (7 ft 7 in); height 2.121 m (6 ft 11.5 in) Performance: maximum road speed 64km/h(40mph); maximumcross-country speed 45 km/h (28 mph); fording 0.914 m(3 ft); trench 1.524 m



The Cruiser Tank Mk VI that became known as the Crusader had its origins around the same time as the Covenan-ter, but was a Nuffield design and therefore used the Nuffield Liberty Mk III engine and a Nuffield gearbox. In overall appearance and layout the Crusader resembled the Covenanter, but there were several differences. One was that the Crusader had five road wheels on each side instead of the Covenanter's four.

The prototype was known as the A15. It had the unusual feature of two forward miniature turrets, one in front of the driver's hood and the other for a gunner seated in the front hull. Each of these turrets was fitted with a 7,92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun, but after early trials the driver's gun and turret was eliminated. These early trials once more highlighted that engine cooling was inadequate and that the gearchange arrangements were unreli-able, These problems, and others, took a long time to remedy and, indeed, many were still present when the Crusader was withdrawn from service

The first production model was the Crusader I, which had a 2-pdr (40-mnV 1.58-in) gun and armour with a 40-mm (1.58-in) basis. When Crusader Is enalready inadequate for combat, and as the new 6-pdr (57-mm/2.24-in) gun was still in short supply the armour alone was increased in thickness to a 50-mm (1.97-in) basis to produce the Crusader II, and it was not until the Crusader III that the 6-pdr gun was fitted, This turned out to be the main 'combat' version of the Crusader during the North African campaigns before it was re-placed by the American M4 Sherman. In action the Crusader proved fast and nippy, but its armour proved to be too thin, and the Crusaders armed with 2-pdr guns were no match for their German counterparts. Their reliability problems did little for Crusaders' chances of survival under desert con-ditions, but gradual improvements were effected. The Crusader IICS was fitted with a 76.2-mm (3-in) howitzer.

Once they were no longer combat tanks the Crusaders were used for a variety of special purposes. Some were converted as anti-aircraft tanks

The Crusader III was the first British tank to be armed with an effective gun, the 6-pdr. Its other great strongpoin t was its suspension. which was so tough that the theoretical maximum speed could often be exceeded.

mounting either a single 40-mm (1.58in) Bofors gun (Crusader III AA I) or twin or triple 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon (Crusader III AA II). There was a Cru-sader ARV armoured recovery vehi-cle version without a turret (but with an A' frame jib) and another turretless version featured a dozer blade for version teatured a dozer blade for combat engineering purposes (Cru-sader Dozer). Many Crusaders were fitted with an open box superstructure for use as high-speed artillery tractors (Crusader Gun Tractor), and were widely used in Europe during 1944 and 1945 to tow 17-pdr (76,2-mm/3-in) anti-tank guns Many more were used for tank guns. Many more were used for trials that ranged from engine installations via mine warfare devices to wad-ing trials that led to the 'Duplex Drive' tanks.

The Crusader was one of the 'classic' British tanks of World War II, and had a dashing and attractive appearance that belied its lack of combat efficien-Despite its low and aggressive silhouette it was outclassed as a battle tank on many occasions, but saw the war out in several special-purpose variants.

Two early model Crusaders are seen during Operation 'Crusader'. The battle demonstrated that gallantry alone is not a substitute for good equipment.

Specification Crusader III Crew: 3 Weight: 20067 kg (44,240 lb) Powerplant: one Nuffield Liberty Mk III petrolengine developing 254 kW (340 bhp)

Dimensions: length 5.994m(19ft8in); width 2,64 m (8 ft 8 in); height 2.235 m

(7 ft 4 in) Performance: maximum road speed 43.4 km/h (27 mph); maximum cross-country speed 24 km/h (15 mph); range with extra fuel tank 204 km (127 miles); fording 0.99 m (3 ft 3 in); vertical obstacle 0,686 m (2 ft 3 in); trench 2.59 m (8 ft 6 in)



Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Cromwell

In the United Kingdom the differentiation between 'Cruiser' and 'Infantry tanks persisted almost until the end of the war despite the fact that most other nations had never entertained, the notion. It persisted even after the unfortunate experiences of the early 'Cruis-er' designs had highlighted the drawbacks of producing a lightly armed and armoured main battle tank, and continued even when a replacement for the Crusader was being sought. The need for more armour and a bigger gun was finally realized (and a more powerful engine would be re-quired) and in 1941 a new specification was issued. It was answered by two main entrants to the same basic A27 design, one the A27L with a Liberty engine (this was to become the Centaur) and the other the A27M with a Rolls-Royce Meteor that was to be-come the Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Cromwell

The first Cromwells were produced I he first Cromwells were produced in January 1943. The first three marks (Cromwell I with one 6-pdr and two Besa machine-guns, Cromwell II with wider tracks and only one machine-gun, and Cromwell III produced by re-engining a Centaur I) all had as their main armament the 6-pdr (57-mm/2.244-in) gun, but by 1943 it had

A Cromwell roars through a village in Normandy, August 1944. Initially mounting a 6-pdr, byD-Day they were armed with a 75-mm gun which gave them a reasonable chance against German armour. The Cromwell entered service in 1943 and many crews were trained in it before the invasion. In the acid test of combat, the Cromwell itself did not let them down.



been decided that something heavier would be required and a new 75-mm (2.95-in) gun was demanded. For once things were able to move relatively swiftly on the production lines and the first 75-mm (2.95-in) Cromwell Mk IV tanks were issued to the armoured regiments in October 1943. Thereafter the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun remained the Cromwell's main gun until the Cromwell Mk VIII, which had a 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3.7-in) howitzer for close support.

close support. Perhaps the main value of the Cromwell to the British armoured regiments during 1943 was as a training tank, for at last the troops had a tank that was something of a match for its German counterparts. There was better armour (8-76 mm/0.315-3 in) on the Cromwell than on any previous 'Cruiser' tank and the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, which shared many components with the smaller 6-pdr, at last provided the British tankies with a viable weapon. But by the time they were ready for active service the Cromwells were in the process of being replaced by the readily-available M4 Sherman for purposes of standardization and logistic safety. But the Cromwell did see service. Many were used by the 7th Armoured Division in the campaigns that followed from the Normandy landings. Here the excellent performance provided by the Meteor engine made the Cromwell a well-liked vehicle: it was fast and reliable, and the gun proved easy to lay and fire. The Cromwell was but a stepping stone to the later Comet tank which

The Cromwell was but a stepping stone to the later Comet tank which was to emerge as perhaps the best all-round British tank of the war years. But the Cromwell was an important vehicle, not just as a combat tank but for several other roles, Some were used as mobile artillery observation posts (Cromwell OP) with their main gun removed and with extra radios installed. Others had their turrets entirely removed and replaced by all the various bits and pieces required for the Cromwell to be used as the Cromwell ARV armoured recovery vehicle. The Cromwell was also used as the basis for a heavily armoured assault



Above: Cromwell tanks move up to their start line for one of the breakout battles in Normandy, 1944. The price of attacking the well-sited German positions was often heavy, despite the improved quality of British armour

Right: Although the majority of British tank units were equipped with the Sherman, the Cromwell was a successfui design, doing much to restore the dreadful imbalance of quality between British and German armour.

tank that became known as the A33, which was ready by May 1944 but never got into production.

Specification Cromwell IV

Crew: 5 Weight: 27942 kg (61,600 lb) Powerplant: one Rolls-Royce Meteor V-12 petrol engine developing 570bhp(425kW) Dimensions: length overall 6,42m(21 ft 0,75 in); width 3.048m(10 ft); height



2.51 m(8 ft 3 in) Performance: maximum speed 61 km/h (38 mph); road range 278 km (173

miles); fording 1.219 m (4 ft); vertical obstacle 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.286 m (7 ft 6 in)

🗮 Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Centaur

The Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Centaur was a contemporary of the Cromwell and was derived from the same general staff specification. But whereas the Cromwell was a Rolls-Royce Meteorengined vehicle, the Centaur was a Leyland Motors project and was fitted with the Liberty engine. In many other respects the Centaur and the Cromwell were identical (apart from the engines, gearboxes and other transmission components) and some Centaurs were fitted with the Meteor engine at a later stage and redesignated Cromwells.

Leyland had already produced a 'Cruiser' tank design known as the Cruiser Tank Mk VII Cavalier which had proved to be a generally unsuccessful design as a result of poor performance, mechanical breakdowns and a short engine life. Leyland understandably used some features of the Cavalier on the Centaur but unfortunately it also carried over some of the earlier design's problems, for the Liberty engine was really too low powered to provide the Centaur with the same performance as the Cromwell; nor was the engine life up to the standards of the Meteor's reliability.

ards of the Meteor's reliability. The Centaur I was produced with the usual 6-pdr (57-mm/2.244-in) gun of the period, and the first examples were ready in June 1942. These early Centaurs were used only for training purposes, some with auxiliary fuel tanks mounted at the rear. The Centaur III was produced in small numbers only, but this mounted a 75-mm (2.95in) main gun. Armour varied in thickness from 20 to 76 mm (0.8 to 3 in). The Centaur IV was the main 'combat' version of the series as it was specially produced for use by the Royal Marines Armoured Support Group during the D-Day landings in Normandy on 6 June 1944. These Mk IVs were fitted with 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3,7-in) closesupport howitzers; 80 of them were issued, and these were intended to be used only in the initial stages of the maphibious assault. In fact most of them landed safely and performed so well on the beaches and the area immediately inland that many were retained for some weeks afterwards for the slow and dangerous combat in the *bocage* country. Thereafter the Centaurs were with-

Thereafter the Centaurs were withdrawn from combat use and underwent the usual routine of conversion for other purposes. As usual the simplest conversion was to an artillery observation post (Centaur OP) while others simply had their turrets removed to act as Centaur Kangaroo armoured personnel carriers. The usual armoured recovery vehicle variant duly appeared as the Centaur ARV along with the Centaur Dozer turretless version fitted with a dozer blade for combat engineer duties. Two Centaur conversions that did mount guns were the two marks of Centaur III/IV AAI and Centaur III/IV AAII tanks. These had the same 20-mm anti-aircraft turrets as the earlier Crusader AA tanks, but the Centaur AA versions mounted 20-mm (0.787-in) Polsten cannon in place of the earlier Oerlikon cannon. Both of these variants took part in the early stages of the Normandy campaign but were withdrawn once the anticipated threat of air attack did not materialize.

Specification CentaurIII

Crew: 5 Weight: 28849 kg (63,600 lb) Powerplant: one Nuffield Liberty Mk V V-12 petrolengine developing 295 kW (395 bhp) Dimensions: length 6.35 m (20 ft 10 in); width 2,895 m (9 ft 6 in); height 2,489 m (8 ft 2 in) Performance: maximum road speed

43.4 km/h (27 mph); maximum road speed 43.4 km/h (27 mph); maximum crosscountry speed about 25.7 km/h (16 mph); range 265 km(165 miles); fording 0,914 m (3 ft); vertical obstacle 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.286 m (7 ft 6 in)



The Cruiser Tank Challenger pro-duced during World War II bore no resemblance to the mighty Challenger that is currently being issued to the British army, for the original Challenger was one of the British tank industry's least successful progeny. It was derived from a 1941 request to mount a heavy gun capable of tackling even the heaviest German tanks and the 17pdr (76,2-mm/3-in) gun, then completing its development, was selected as a likely weapon. The A27 Cromwell/ Centaur chassis seemed a suitable basic chassis and work began on

adapting this for the heavy gun project. The new gun would require two things. One was a much larger chassis to accommodate the weights involved and the other a larger turret ring to absorb the recoil forces. At that time all existing designs were too narrow to accommodate so large a turret ring. but by lengthening the existing Crom-well chassis and adding another road wheel the turret ring section could be widened to enable a larger ring to be installed. This formed the basis of what became known as the A30, and eventually the name Challenger was be-

stowed upon the vehicle. The first pilot model was ready in March 1942 and like many hasty im-provisations it showed up badly during its early trials, The extra weight of the rather high and awkward turret was not balanced by the lengthened suspension, which proved to be a source of many troubles, and the mounting of the heavy gun in the turret made traverse so slow that the original traverse mechanism had to be redeArguably the ugliest tank design of the period, the Challenger was a stretched Crom well armed with a 17pdr, armour being reduced to keep weight down. Fortunately for British tankcrews the Sherman Firefly was adopted instead.

signed and replaced, The large size of the 17-pdr fixed ammunition meant that only a restricted number of rounds could be carried internally, and the hull machine-gun had to be removed to make'more room, leaving only the co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) gun. Perhaps the biggest problem was that the weight overall was such that the armour protection had to be reduced to bring weight down to a reasonable level, Armour varied from 20 to 102 mm (0.8 to 4 in) in thickness. Despite all these problems the Challenger was ordered into production purely on the strength of its powerful gun, which was at least something that could destroy any known German tank.

But the Challenger was slow to get into production for a variety of reasons, It was not until March 1944 that the first production examples were ready and by then it was too late for the Challenger to take part in the extensive waterproofing programme that would be required for the Normandy landings. Another blow to the Challenger prog-ramme was the fact that the M4 Sherman had been adapted to take the 17-pdr, and as the Firefly this conversion assumed many of the responsibilities intended for the Challenger during the early stages of the post-Normandy campaign, Thus the Challenger lan-



guished while the Firefly fought its way across Europe. But some Challengers did see ser-

vice from late 1944 onwards. Numbers were issued to the reconnaissance regiments of the British armoured divisions to provide some extra fire support to the 75-mm (2.95-m) Cromwells which were by then the main equipment of these units. As soon as the war ended most Challengers were withdrawn, Some were sold overseas but the type rapidly vanished from the scene. The Challenger II, with a lower turret, was produced only in prototype form.

Specification Challenger Crew: 5

Weight: 33022 kg (72,800 lb) Powerplant: one Rolls-Royce Meteor V-12 petrolengine developing 447 kW (600 bhp) Dimensions: length overall 8.147 m

(26ft8.75in); width2.90m(9ft6.5in); height 2.775 m (9 ft 1,25 in) Performance: maximum speed 51.5 km/h (32 mph); range 193 km (120 miles); fording 1.37 m (4 ft 6 in) after preparation; vertical obstacle 0.914 m (3ft); trench2,59m(8ft6in)

UK 😹 Infantry Tank Mks I and II Matilda

A requirement for a British army 'Infantry' tank was first made in 1934 and the immediate result was the All Infantry Tank Mk I, later nicknamed Matilda I. This was a very simple and small tank with a two-man crew but with armour heavy enough to defeat any contemporary anti-tank gun. The small turret mounted a single 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Vickers machine-gun and the engine was a commercial Ford V-8 unit. Orders for 140 were issued in April 1937, but when the type was tried in combat in France in 1940 it revealed many shortcomings: it was too slow and underarmed for any form of armoured warfare, and the small numbers that remained in service after Dunkirk were used only for training.

The Matilda I was intended only as an interim type before the A12 Infantry Tank Mk II became available. This project began in 1936 and the first examples were completed in 1938. The Mk II, known later as Matilda II, was a much larger vehicle than the Matilda I with a four-man crew and a turret mounting a2-pdr (40-mm/1.575-in) gun and liberal belts of cast armour (varying from 20 to 78 mm/0.8 to 3.1 in in thickness) capable of defeating all known anti-tank guns. The Matilda II was slow as it was intended for the direct support of infantry units, in which role speed was not essential, Overall it was a good-looking tank and it turned out to be far more reliable than many of its contemporaries. And despite the light gun carried it was found to be a good vehicle in combat. The Matilda IIA had a 7,92-mm (0.312-in) Besa

machine-gun instead of the Vickers gun.

The mam combat period for the Matilda (the term Matilda II was drop-ped when the little Matilda I was withdrawn in 1940) was the early North African campaign, where the type's armour proved to be effective against any Italian or German anti-tank gun with the exception of the German '88': The Matilda was one of the armoured mainstays of the British forces until El Alamein, after which its place was taken by better armed and faster designs, But the importance of the Matil-da did not diminish, for it then entered a long career as a special-purpose tank.

One of the most important of these special purposes was as a flail tank for mine-clearing. Starting with the Matil-da Baron and then the Matilda Scorpion, it was used extensively for this role, but Matildas were also used to push AMRA mine-clearing rollers. Another variant was the Matilda CDL (Canal Defence Light), which used a special turret with a powerful light source to create 'artificial moonlight', Matildas were also fitted with dozer

blades as the Matilda Dozer for combat engineering, and many were fitted with various flame-throwing devices as the Matilda Frog, There were many other special and demolition devices used with the Matilda, not all of them under British auspices for the Matilda became an important Australian tank as well. In fact Matilda gun tanks were used extensively by the Australian army in New Guinea and elsewhere until the war ended in 1945, and they devised several flame-throwing equipments. The Germans also used several captured Matildas to mount various anti-tank weapons of their own.

It is doubtful if a complete listing of all the many Matilda variants will ever be made, for numerous 'field modifications' and other unrecorded changes

The Matilda was the only British tank with enough armour to withstand German tank guns in the early years. After a brief moment of glory at Arras, it won its real reputation with the 8th Army in the desert.



were made to the basic design. But the Matilda accommodated them all and many old soldiers still look back on this tank with affection for, despite its slow speed and light armament, it was reliable and steady, and above all it had good armour.

Specification Matilda II Crew: 4 Weight: 26926 kg (59,360 lb) Powerplant: two Leyland 6-cylinder petrol engines each developing 71 kW (95 bhp) or two AEC diesels each developing 65 kW (87 bhp) Dimensions: length 5.613m(18ft5in); width2.59m(8ft6in);height2.51m (8 ft 3 in)

When the maximum speed 24 km/h (15 mph); maximum crosscountry speed 12.9 km/h (8 mph); road range 257 km (160 miles); vertical obstacle0.609 m (2 ft); fording 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.133 m (7 ft)

A Matilda is seen in the desert in June 1941 during Operation 'Battleaxe', an unsuccessful attempt to relieve Tobruk which cost the 4th Armoured Brigade 64 of their Matildas. Tough but slow, the Matildas were cursed with the ineffectual 2-pdr as main armament.



Infantry Tank Mk III Valentine

In 1938 Vickers was invited to join in the production programme for the new Matilda II tank, but as the company already had a production line established to produce a heavy 'Cruiser' tank known as the AIO, it was invited to produce a new infantry tank based upon the AIO. Vickers duly made its plans and its AIO-derived infantry tank was ordered into production in July 1939. Up to that date the army planners had some doubts as to the effectiveness of the Vickers submissions, resulting mainly in the retention of a small two-man turret which would limit possible armament increases, but by mid-1939 war was imminent and tanks were urgently required.

The new Vickers tank, soon known as the Infantry Tank Mk III Valentine, drew heavily on experience gained with the AIO, but was much more heavily armoured 8-65 mm (0.3-2.55 in). As many of the AIO's troubles had already been experienced their solutions were built into the Valentine, which proved to be a relatively trouble-free vehicle. Mass production began rapidly, and the first Valentine I examples were ready in late 1940. By 1941 the Valentine was an established type, and many were used as Cruiser tanks to overcome deficiencies.

The Valentine was undoubtedly one of the most important British tanks, but the main reason for this was quantity rather than quality. By early 1944, when production ceased, 8,275 had been made and during one period in 1943 one quarter of all British tank production was of Valentines, Valentines were also produced in Canada and by several other concerns in the United Kingdom apart from Vickers.

There were numerous variants on the Valentine, Gun tanks ran to 11 different marks with the main armament increasing from a 2-pdr (Valentine I-VII) via the 6-pdr (Valentine VIII-X) to a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun (Valentine XI), and there was even a self-propelled gun version mounting a 25-pdr field gun and known as the Bishop. Specialpurpose Valentines ran the whole gamut from mobile bridges (Valentine Bridgelayer) to Canal Defence Lights (Valentine CDL) and from observation posts (Valentine OP) to mine-clearing devices (Valentine Scorpion and Valentine AMRA). The numbers of these variants were legion, many of them being one-off devices produced for trials or experimental purposes, typical of which were the early Duplex Drive Valentine vehicles used to test the DD system. Actually these tanks were so successful that the Valentine was at one time the standard DD tank. There were also Valentine Flamethrower tanks, and one attempt was made to produce a special tankkiller with a 6-pdr anti-tank gun behind a shield. That project came to nothing but the Valentine chassis was later used as the basis for the Archer, an open-topped vehicle with a 17-pdr gun pointing to the rear, This was used in Europe from 1944 onwards.

The basic Valentine tank was extensively modified throughout its operational career, but it remained throughout reliable and sturdy. The Valentine was one of the British army's most important tanks at one point. It was used by many Allied armies such as that of New Zealand, and many saw action in Burma. The bulk of the Canadian output was sent to the Soviet Union, where the type appears to have given good service. The Valentine did have its drawbacks, but overall its main contribution was that it was available in quantity at a time when it was most needed, and not many British tank designs could claim the same,

Specification Valentine III/IV

Crew: 3 Weight: 17690 kg (39,000 lb) Powerplant: one AEC diesel developing 98 kW (131 bhp) in Mk III or CMC diesel developing 103 kW (138 bhp) in Mk IV Dimensions:length 5.4 1m (17 ft9 in); width 2.629 m (8 ft 7.5 in); height 2.273 m (7 ft 5.5 in) Performance: maximum speed 24

Km/h(15 mph); maximum speed 24 km/h(15 mph); maximum crosscountry speed 12.9 km/h (8 mph); road range 145 km (90 miles); vertical obstacle0.838 m(2 ft9 in); fording 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2,286 m (7 ft 6 in) .



An early model Valentine provides the focus of attention as Malta celebra tes King George VI's birthday. The Valentine was one of the more successful pre-war designs, and saw service world-wide.

Mass-produced from 1940, the Valentine fought throughout the desert campaigns. Although slow like the Matilda, it was a sturdy vehicle and was able to be re-armed with better guns as the war progressed.

Infantry Tank Mk IV Churchill

Even to provide a list of all the Churchill marks and variants would fill many pages, so this entry can provide only a brief outline of what was one of the most important British tanks of World War II. In production terms the Churchill came second to the Valentine, but in the scope of applications and variants it came second to none. The Churchill was born in a spe-

The Churchill was born in a specification known as the A20 which was issued in September 1939 and envisaged a return to the trench fighting of World War I. Hence the A20 tank was a virtual update of the old World War I British lozenge' tanks, but experiences with the A20 prototype soon





showed that a lighter model would be required. Subsequently Vauxhall Motors took over a revised specification known as the A22 and designed the Infantry Tank Mk IV, later named the Churchill.

Vauxhall had to work from scratch and yet came up with a well armoured tank with large overall tracks that gave the design an appearance not unlike that of World War I tanks. Unfortunately the early Churchill marks were so rushed into production that about the first 1,000 examples had to be extensively modified before they could even be issued to the troops. But they were produced at a period when invasion seemed imminent and even unre-

AUSTRALIA

liable tanks were regarded as better than none. Later marks had these early troubles eliminated. The armament of the Churchill fol-

The armament of the Churchill followed the usual path from 2-pdr (Churchill I-II), via 6-pdr (Churchill III-IV) eventually to a 75-mm (2,95-in) gun in the Churchill IV (NA 75) and Churchill VI-VII. There were also CS (close support) variants with 76.2-mm (3-in) and eventually 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3.7in) howitzers in the Churchill V and Churchill VIII. The Churchill I also had a hull-mounted 76.2-mm (3-in) howitzer. The turrets also changed from being cast items to being riveted or composite structures, and such refinements as track covers and engine coolLeft: Ch archills move up to the Normandy front line past a column of USM4 Shermans in early August 1944. Note how the crews have attached large sections of track to the front hull and the turret side as additional armour.

ing improvements were added successively. In all there were 11 Churchill marks, the last three of them 'reworks' of earlier marks in order to update early models to Mk VII standard with the 75-mm (2 95-in) gun

works of early models to Mk VII standard with the 75-mm (2,95-in) gun. In action the heavy armour of the Churchill (16-102 mm/0,6-4 in in Mks I-VI and 25-152 mm/1-6 in in Mks VI-VIII) was a major asset despite the fact that the tank's first operational use was in the 1942 Dieppe landings, when many of the Churchills used proved unable to even reach the beach, let alone cross it. But in Tunisia they proved they could climb mountains and provide excellent support for armoured as well as infantry units, though they were often too slow to exploit local advantages.

It was as a special-purpose tank that the Churchill excelled, Many of these special variants became established as important vehicles in their own right, and included in this number were the Churchill AVRE (Armoured Vehicle Royal Engineers), the Churchill Crocodile flamethrower tank and the various Churchill Bridgelayer and Churchill Ark vehicles. Then there were the numerous Churchill minewarfare variants from the Churchill Plough variants to the Churchill Snake with its Bangalore torpedoes. The Churchill lent itself to all manner of Above: The Churchill was essentially designed for a return to trench warfare. As such it was a classic infantry tank, slow but heavily armoured. Introduced in 1943, its chassis was subsequently used for a host of specialist vehicles.

modifications and was able to carry a wide assortment of odd gadgets such as wall demolition charges (Churchill Light Carrot, Churchill Onion and Churchill Goat) mine-clearing wheels (ChurchillAVRE/CIRD), carpet-laying devices for use on boggy ground (Churchill AVRE Carpetlayer), armoured recovery vehicles (ChurchillARV), and so on.

The Churchill may have looked archaic, but it gave excellent service and many were still around in the mid-1950s in various guises, the last Churchill AVRE not being retired until 1965.

Specification Churchill VII Crew: 5

Weight: 40642 kg (89,600 lb) Powerplant: one Bedford twin-six petrol engine developing 261 kW (350bhp)

(350bhp) Dimensions: length 7.442 m (24 ft 5 in); width 2.438 m (8 ft); height 3.454 m (Ilft4in)

Performance: maximum speed 20 km/h (12.5 mph); maximum crosscountry speed about 12.8 km/h (8mph);range 144.8km(90miles); fording 1.016m(3ft4in);vertical obstacle 0.76m(2ft6in); trench 3.048 m (10 ft)

Cruiser Tank Sentinel AC1

In 1939 Australia's armed forces had virtually no modern tanks and lacked almost any form of heavy engineering background to produce them; even an automobile industry was lacking. Nevertheless the Australian government realized that it was unlikely that any large amounts of heavy war matériel would be available to Australia from overseas, and so set to to produce its own. Among the requirements were tanks, and as there was no local expertise on the subject one engineer was sent to the United States and an experienced engineer was obtained from the United Kingdom.

With this experience to hand the Australian army staff issued a specification and Australian industry set to with a will, The first design, known as the ACI (Australian Cruiser 1) was to have a 2-pdr (40-mm/1,57-in) gun and two



The outbreak of war found Australia withnomodern tank force and little industrial infrastructure. The ACl Sentinel was a home-grown tank developed at Ugh thing speed to fight off the anticipated Japanese invasion.

7.7-mm (0,303-in) machine-guns, and it was decided to use as many components of the American M3 tank as possible. The powerplant was to comprise three Cadillac car engines joined together and extensive use was to be made of cast armour. A second model, to be known as the AC2, was mooted, but by late 1941 as the Japanese became increasingly aggressive in the Pacific, the AC2 was passed over in favour of the existing AGI, which had armour ranging from 25 mm(1 in)to65mm(2,55 in) inthickness.

The first ACIs were ready by January 1942 and were soon named Sentinel. The whole project from paperwork requests to hardware had taken only 22 months, which was a remarkable achievement since all the facilities to build the tank had to be developed even as the tanks were being built. But only a few AC1 tanks were produced as by 1942 it was realized that the 2-pdr gun would be too small to have any effect against other armour and anyway, the hurried design still had some 'bugs' that had to be modified out of the design. Most of these bugs were only minor, for the Sentinel turned out to be a remarkably sound

design capable of considerable stretch and modification. This was just as well, for the Sentinel ACS mounted a 25-pdr (87.6-mm/3.45-in) field gun barrel in the turret to overcome the shortcomings of the 2-ndr

The use the observe of the short comings of the 2-pdr. The 25-pdr was chosen as it was already in production locally, but it was realized that this gun would have only limited effect against armour and the Sentinel AC4 with a 17-pdr (76.2-mm/3-in) anti-tank gun was proposed and a prototype was built. This was during mid-1943, and by then the background to the hurried introduction of the AC1 into service had receded. There was no longer the chance that the Japanese might invade the Australian mainland and anyway, M3s and M4s were pouring off the American production lines in such numbers that there would be more than enough to equip all the Allies, including Australia, Thus Sentinel production came to an abrupt halt in July 1943 in order to allow the diversion of industrial potential to more important priorities.

The Sentinel series was a remarkable one, not only from the industrial side but also from the design viewpoint. The use of an all-cast hull was



In spite of the speed with which it was produced, theACl Sentinel was a remarkably innovative design

way ahead of design practice elsewhere, and the ready acceptance of heavy guns like the 25-pdr and the 17-pdr was also way ahead of contemporary thought. But the Sentinel series had little impact at the time for the examples produced were used for training only.

Specification Sentinel AC1 Crew: 5 featuring an all-cast hull and a heavy armament. This is theMklV, which mounted a 17-pdr gun.

Weight: 28450 kg (62,720 lb) Powerplant: three Cadillac petrol engines combined to develop 246 kW (330bhp) Dimensions: length 6.325 m (20ft9in);

Dimensions: length 6.325 m (20ft9in); width 2.768 m (9 ft 1 in); height 2.56 m (8 ft 4,75 in) Performance: maximum speed 48.2 km/h (30 mph); range 322 km (200 miles); trench 2.438 m (8 ft)

Cruiser Tank Ram Mk I

When Canada entered World War II in 1939 it did not have any form of tank unit, and the first Canadian tank training and familiarization units had to be equipped with old World War I tanks from American sources. However, it was not long before the Canadian railway industry was asked by the UK ifit could manufacture and supply Valentine infantry tanks, and this proved to be a major task for the Canadians who had to virtually build up a tank manufacturing capability from scratch. But the Valentines were 'Infantry' tanks and the new Canadian tank units would need 'Cruisers' for armoured combat. At that time there was little prospect of obtaining tanks from the United Kingdom and the United States was not involved in the war, so the only thing to do was design and build tanks in Canada.

But what tank? Again, at the time it seemed opportune to build the American M3 (then entering production for a British order) but this design, later known as the Grant/Lee, had the drawback of a sponson-mounted mam gun at a time when it was appreciated that a turret-mounted gun was much more efficient, Thus the Canadians decided to adopt the main mechanical, hull and transmission components of the M3, but ally them to a new turret mounting a 75-mm (2,95-in) main gun. But there was no prospect of a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun at the time, so the readilyavailable (40-mm/1.58-in) weapon was chosen for initial installations, with the chance of fitting a larger gun later. This turned out to be the 6-pdr (57-mm/ 2.244-in) gun, Building such a tank from scratch

Building such a tank from scratch was a major achievement for Canadian industry, and the prototype was rolled out from the Montreal Locomotive Works in late June 1941. It was christened the Cruiser Tank Ram Mk I, and turned out to be a remarkably workmanlike design making much use of cast armour; the drive train and sus-

30

pension demonstrated its M3 origins, It was not long before the initial 2-pdr gun was replaced by a 6-pdr in the Ram Mk II, and production proper got under way by the end of 1941. The secondary armament was one co-axial and one hull-mounted 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun. Almost as soon as production commenced numerous design modifications were progressively introduced but none of these changes were fundamental as the Ram was a basically sound tank. Armour thicknessrangedfrom 25 mm (1 in) to 89 mm (3.5 in).

All the output went to the new Canadian armoured regiments and many of these regiments, as they were formed, were sent to the United Kingdom. But the Ram was never to see action as a gun tank, By mid-1943 large numbers of M4 Shermans were pouring off American production lines and as this tank already had a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun it was decided to standardize on the M4 for all Canadian units, Thus the Rams were used for training only. As they were withdrawn many had their turrets removed to produce the Ram Kangaroo, which was a simple yet efficient armoured personnel carrier widely used in the post-June 1944 campaigns. Some Rams had their guns removed and were used as artillery observation posts (Ram Command/OP Tank), while others were more extensively modified to become armoured recovery vehicles, Some were used for various experimental and trial purposes, such as the mounting of a 94-mm (3,7-in) anti-aircraft gun on top of the hull.

But the Ram's greatest contribution to the conflict was the adaptation of the basic Ram hull to take a 25-pdr artillery piece. The gun was placed in a simple open superstructure on top of the hull, and in this form the Ram became the Sexton. A total of 2,150 was produced for the Allied armies so the Ram production line made a definite contribution to the Allied victory.

tt-June 1944 camhad their guns relsed as artillery m Command/OP were more extenecome armoured Some were used ntal and trial purnuting of a 94-mm gun on top of the e adaptation of

> Canada had no armoured forces in 1939 but decided to build her own tank to equip the expanding Canadian army. The Ram tank utilized the chassis of the American M3, but mounted its main armament in the turret rather than in a sponson as on the original US vehicle.

Soviet and American Tanks

Nowhere in the course of World War II was the industrial might of what were to become the Superpowers more evident than in the production of armoured vehicles. Manufacture of such war-winning weapons as the M4 Sherman and the Soviet T-34 was on a scale that the Axis could not hope to match.

The tanks described here include some of the best known examples which saw action in World War II. In these pages will be found the Sherman, the T-34, the Lee and the Grant, but also included are some slightly lesser known names. Few outside the former Soviet Union can be familiar with the little T-70 light tank, but in its day it was numerically an important part of the Red Army tank fleet, along with the almost equally unknown T-26.

The numbers and fame of the T-34 and the various Shermans have tended to obliterate the fact that between 1939 and 1945 there were many types of tank lurching around the battlefields. Despite the need for strict standardization to boost mass production totals, no combatant was able to say at any time that only one specific tank type would be produced. Constant supply and demand fluctuations prevented any such thing, although at one point the Soviets got very close to it with the T-34. Also, tanks were generally retained in service for as long as possible, sometimes until they had been outdated or rendered obsolete by events. Thus the M3 series of American light tanks continued to see action right through the war, long



The American M4 Sherman tank ranks as one of the most famous ever. This one is with General Leclerc's French Armoured Division a few weeks after the Allies had established their beachhead.

after there was no longer a place on the battlefield for their original services.

But if any of the tanks could be said to have overshadowed their fellows they were without doubt the Sherman and the T-34. Together these two examples made major contributions to the final Allied victory over Germany, and so ensured that their names were recorded in history. Both tanks had their faults. The T-34 was cramped inside and manufactured to a standard that was almost crude. The Sherman was high, lacked armour protection and was almost constantly undergunned. However, both types possessed the key attributes of mobility and availability, and in war these advantages can go far towards tipping the balance of fortune towards one side or another. By 1944 both the T-34 and the Sherman were instrumental in forcing the German army back towards the borders of its homeland, and for that alone they will always be remembered.



Light Tank M3

American light tank development can be traced back to the 1920s when several infantry-support light tanks were developed in small numbers. By the early 1930s these designs had evolved into the Light Tank M2, and there were a series of designs all using the M2 designation, For its day this series were quite well armed, with a 37-mm (1.46-in) main gun, but by 1940 the type was at best obsolescent and was used only for training after reaching its apogee with the M2A4 model.

The events of 1940 in Europe were followed closely by the US Army, which realized that thicker armour would be required by its light tanks. This involved a better suspension to carry the extra weight and the result was the Light Tank M3, based general-ly on the M2A4. It was in full-scale pro-duction by 1941, and mass production of the M3A1 really got under way once the USA had entered the war. Early versions used riveted construction, but welded turrets and eventually welded hulls were successively introduced. hulls were successively infroduced, and there were also many detail de-sign changes. By the time M3 produc-tion ceased 5,811 had been built. Basic armament of the M3A1 was one 37-mm (1.46-in) gun with a co-axial 7.62-mm (0,3-in) machine-gun, and four other 7.62-mm (0,3-in) machine-guns (one on the turret roof for AA defence, one in the hull front and two fixed in the sponsons for operation by the driver). Armour thickness ranged from 15 mm (0.59 in) to 43 mm (1.69 in).

The Light Tank M3 was used where-ver the US Army was involved. It proved to be a thoroughly reliable vehicle and was greatly liked by its crews. Large numbers of M3s were passed to the USA's allies, and the largest recipient was the UK, where the M3 was known as the Stuart. To British eyes the Stuart was large for a light tank, but crews soon learned to appreciate the nippiness and reliabil-ity of the vehicle. One thing they did not particularly like was the fact that two main types of engine were fitted to different versions: the normal engine was a Continental 7-cylinder radial petrol engine (Stuart I), but in order to expedite production at a time of high demand the Guiberson T-1020 diesel engine was substituted (Stuart II). This sometimes caused logistic supply problems but it was a burden the Allies learned to survive, Major variants were the M3A1 (Stuart III and Stuart IV



TheLight TankMSAl was themain combat version of the M2/M3 light tankseries in service when the United States entered the war in late 194 L1t mounted a 37-mm (1.456-in) main gun, and there was provision for three machine-guns.

with petrol and diesel engines) fitted with a gyrostabilized gun, powertraverse turret and turret basket, and the product-improved M3A3 (Stuart V) with a larger driving compartment, thicker armour and no sponson guns. The 37-mm (1.46-in) gun was re-tained throughout the production life of the M3 By 1944 it had vary little com

the M3. By 1944 it had very little combat value, so many M3s and Stuarts serving with reconnaissance units had the turret removed to assist concealment. Extra machine-guns were carried instead. Many of these turretless M3s were employed as command vehicles by armoured formation com-manders but these were not the only variations upon the M3 theme. The M3 was widely used for all manner of experiments that ranged from mineclearing expedients to flame-throwers of several kinds. Some vehicles were used for carrying self-propelled artil-lery, but none were accepted for service. There was even an anti-aircraft

version. With the Allies the M3/Stuarts were used from the North African campaign onwards, Some were passed to the Red Army under Lend-Lease arrangements. The Light Tank M5 was a development powered by twin Cadillac engines that was otherwise generally similar to the M3 series but was recognizable by the raised rear decking that accommodated the twin engines. In

bomber aircraft for anti-shipping use,

and in this form the T13E1 was easily adapted as a light tank weapon. The new light tank was initially known as the T24 but when accepted

for service it became the Light Tank

M24 and was later given the name Chaffee. It was not in full service until

late 1944, and thus was able to take only a small part in the fighting in Europe during 1945. Perhaps its big-

gest contribution was not really felt un-

til the war was over, for the M24 was

designed to be only a part of what the designers called a 'combat team' of armoured vehicles. The idea was that

a common chassis could be used to

provide the basis for a whole family of

armoured vehicles that included self-



British service the M5 was the Stuart VI, the same designation being used for the M5A1 with an improved turret having a bulged rear for radio (as on the M3A3).

Specification Light Tank M3A1 Crew:4 Weight: in action 12.927 tonnes Powerplant: one Continental W-970-9A 7-cylinder radial petrol engine developing 186.5 kW (250 hp) Dimensions: length 4.54 m (14 ft 10.75 in); width 2.24 m (7 ft 4 in); height 2.30 m (7 ft 6.5 in) Performance: maximum road speed 58 km/h (36 mph); maximum road

1.5-in) had to be lighter than in heavier

tanks to give the vehicle its agility. The M24 had a surprisingly large crew of

five men (commander, gunner, loader,

radio operator who sometimes acted

as assistant driver, and driver). Apart

from the main gun there were two 7.62-

1950s.

TheM3 (and the MS) series were used by many Allied armies for reconnaissance. This example is seen negotia ting an improvised German roadblock outside Harze in Belgium during the late summer of 1944.

range 112.6km(70miles); fording 0.91 m (3 ft); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.61 m (2 ft); trench 1.83 m (6 ft)



mm (0.3-m) machine-guns (one coaxial with the main gun and one in the front hull) and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun on the turret mounted on a pintle. To add to this array there was a 51-mm (2-in) smoke mortar. All this was a considerable armament for a vehicle with a tactical responsibility that was li-

Light Tank M24 Chaffee

By 1942 it was evident that the day of the 37-mm (1.46-in) tank gun had passed, and requests were coming from the field for a light tank with a 75-mm (2.95-m) main gun, Attempts to fit such a gun into the Light Tank M5 were unsuccessful, so a new design was started by Cadillac, The first was ready by late 1943 and it carried over several features of the MS, including the twin engines, but the main change was to the turret and gun.

USA

The new turret mounted the re-quired 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, whose de-velopment was lengthy. Originally it had been the old French '75' field gun altered for use in tanks. Various efforts were made to lighten the gun to the extent that it could be mounted in B-25

mited mainly to reconnaissance, but by the time the M24 entered service it was a luxury that the Americans could well afford.

As mentioned above, the M24 went on to make its greatest impact after 1945 and many nations retain the M24 to this day, several of them going to the trouble of re-engining the vehicles and updating their fire-control systems.

Specification

Light Tank M24 Crew: 5 Weight: in action 18.37 tonnes Powerplant: two Cadillac Model 44T24 V-8 petrolengines developing 82 kW (HOhp)each

Dimensions: length, with gun 5.49 m (18ft)andoverhull4.99m(16ft4,5in); width 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in); height 2.48 m (8 ft 1.5 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 56 km/h (35 mph); maximum road range 161 km (100 miles); fording 1,02 m (3 ft 4 in); gradient 60 per cent;? vertical obstacle 0.91 m (3 ft); trench 2.44 m(8 ft)

Armed with a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, theM24was introduced into service duringlate 1944 and post-warit formed the basis for a new family ofarmoured vehicles.

Light Tank M24 Chaffee cutaway drawing key

- 1 M6 75-mm gun 2 M64 combination gun
- 2 Mo4 combination guin mount
 3 0.30MGM1919A4co-axial with main armament
 4 Telescope M71K
 5 0.30MGM1919A4bow gun

0

- 6 0.50 HB Browning MGM2 (anti-aircraft) 7 Commander's cupola 8 Direct vision blocks 9 Commander's periscope 10 Stowage box 11 Pistol port 7 8 9 10 11
- 12 Radio set, SCR 508 13 M3 grenade launcher 14 Assistant driver's door 15 Hull ventilator 16 Front cover plate 17 Portable fire extinguisher 18 Controlled differential 19 Differential output yoke

- 20 Driver's hand levers (steeringbrake)
 21 Range selector/ transmission lever
 22 Hand levertransferunit shift control
 23 Driver's seat
 24 Fire escape hatch door
 25 Turret driving mechanism
 26 Turret driving mechanism
 27 Stabilizerandturret motor
 28 Firing solenoid
 29 Armunition storage boxes
 30 Leftgeneratorregulator
 31 Left starter relay
 20 Ventilating door
 33 Master battery switch
 34 Four 6-volt batteries
 35 Fixed fire extinguisher
 36 Radiator
 37 Radiatorairinletgrille
 38 Two Cadillac 90 V-type 8-cylinderModel44124 engines
 39 Fuel tank covers
 40 Fuel compartment vents
 41 Final drive sprocket
 42 Shock absorber
 43 Support arm
 44 Track guide
 45 Track wheel
 46 Torsion bar
 47 Bumperspring arm bracket
 48 Track support roller
 49 Compensating wheel and track wheel support linkage
 50 Track compensating wheel different support
 50 Track compensating wheel link
- track wheel support linkage 50 Track compensating wheel 51 Compensating wheel link 52 Track wheel link 53 Loader's hatch



USA

Medium Tank M3

When the Germans invaded France in May 1940 the consequent tank actions were closely observed by various US Army agencies. From their observations the Americans learned that the next generation of medium tanks had to have at least a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun as their main armament, but this presented them with problems as their next tank generation, already being produced in prototype form, was armed with only a 37-mm (1.46-in) gun of the type already seen to be obsolete.

lete. The American answer was swift and drastic: they simply took their existing design and altered it to accommodate the required 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, The turret of the new design (the Medium Tank M2, destined never to see active service) could not take the larger gun so the weapon had to be situated in the hull, Consequently the revised tank design retained the 37-mm (1.46-in) gun turret, while the main armament was located in a sponson on the righthand side of the hull, The 75-mm (2.95in) gun was a revised version of the famous French '75' field piece as made in the USA, but new ammunition converted it into what was for the time a powerful tank weapon. Secondary armament comprised four 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns (one in the commander's cupola atop the 37-mm/1.46in turret, one co-axial with the 37-mm/ 1.46-in gun, and two in the hull).

The new design became the Medium Tank M3, and was rushed into mass production in a factory meant for the earlier M2, Almost as soon as production started for the US Army, a British mission arrived in the United States on a purchasing trip to obtain tanks to replace those lost in France, and the M3 was high on its shopping list. They requested a few changes to suit their requirements, the most obvious of which were a revised turret rear outline to accommodate radio equipment and the absence of the cupola and this model was produced specifically for the British army. Once delivered the British knew the M3 as the General Grant I (or simply Grant I), and the first of them went into action at Gazala in May 1942 when they provided the Afrika Korps with a nasty fright as their arrival was entirely unexpected, their combination of armament and armour (12 mm/0.47 in minimum and 50mm/ 1,97 in maximum) proving most useful.

The Grants were later joined in British service by the unmodified M3 which was then labelled the General Lee I. Further improvement led to the M3A1 (Lee II) with welded construction, the uparmoured M3A3 (Lee IV) with two General Motors 6-71 diesels



Above: The M3 General Lee tank was a hasty design, but it had a powerful 75-mm gun which gave Allied tanks a parity with German tanks for the first time.

delivering 375 hp (280 kW), the M3A4 (Lee V) with the Chrysler A-57 multibank engine delivering 370 hp (276 kW), and the M3A5 based on the M3A3 but with a riveted hull. By the time production ended in December 1942 the total had reached 6,258 and the M3 was used in virtually every theatre of war in one form or another, Many were passed to the Red Army on a Lend-Lease arrangement.

The M3 turned out to be a reliable and hard-wearing vehicle, but its hulllocated main gun was often a cause of tactical difficulties as its traverse was very limited. But it did provide the punch that Allied 'tankies' required at that time, Its tactical silhouette was really too high for comfort, but considering that the basic design was improvized and rushed into production, at a time when there were more questions being asked than answers provided, it turned out to be a remarkable effort. Many of the suspension and automotive features were later incorporated into other designs and continued to provide excellent service, but perhaps the main lesson to be learned from the M3 was the latent power of American industry that could churn out such a vehicle from scratch in such a short time.

As soon as the M4 entered service the M3s were usually withdrawn and converted to other roles such as armoured recovery vehicles, but in the Far East they remained in use until 1945 in both Grant and Lee forms.

Specification Medium Tank M3A2 Crew: 6 Weight: in action 27.24 tonnes Powerplant: one Continental R-975-EC2 radial petrol engine developing 253,5kW(340hp) Dimensions: length 5.64 m (18 ft 6 in); width 2.72 m (8 ft 11 in); height 3.12 m (10 ft 3 in) Performance: maximum road speed

Performance: maximum road speed 42 km/h (26 mph); maximum road TheM3 Grant was the 'British' version of the M3 Lee. Themain change was to the turret profile, which had a rear overhang to house a radio set, and the silhouette was lowered by omitting the machinegun cupola of the original turret.

range 193km(120miles); fording 1.02 m (3 ft4 in); gradient 60 per cent; verticalobstacle0.61 m (2 ft); trench 1.91 m (6 ft 3 in)

Medium Tank M4

While the Medium Tank M3 was being rushed into production, a new design of medium tank with a turret-mounted 75-mm (2,95-in) main gun was being pushed through the drawing board stages. To save time this was to use the same basic hull and suspension as the M3, but the upper hull was revised to accommodate the gun turret. The first example of the new tank was rolled out in September 1941 as the Medium Tank T6 and proved to be a very good design. The upper hull was cast, and this not only provided added protection but speeded production, at that time a definite asset.

The new weapon was rushed into production as the Medium Tank M4, with a 75-mm (2.95-in) main gun and co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun, 7.62-mm (0.3-in) bow gun and 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun for AA defence. This baseline model had minimum and maximum armour thicknesses of 15mm (0,59m) and 76mm (2.99 in) respectively. It proved to be an excellent fighting platform and went on to be one of the war-winning weapons of the Allies, being constructed in thousands. By the time the production lines stopped rolling in 1945 well over 40,000 had been made, and the type was built in a bewildering array of marks, submarks and variants of all kinds. There is no space in these pages even to attempt a complete listing of all the numerous versions, but suffice to say that once in service the M4 series was differently engined: up-gunned to even more powerful 75-mm (2.95-in), 76-mm (2.99-in) and 105-mm (4.13-in) main weapons; and developed into numerous 'specials' such as engineer tanks, assault tanks, tank destroyers, flamethrowers, bridging tanks, recovery vehicles, rocket launchers, selfpropelled artillery carriages, antimine vehicles and so on, which were produced from scratch or improvised in the field. Gradually the M4 series became the T-34 of the Western Allies. The British army purchased large numbers of M4s or took them over as

The British army purchased large numbers of M4s or took them over as part of the Lend-Lease programme. To the British the M4 was the General Sherman (or simply Sherman) and they The M4A3 was one of the most developed of all the Sherman variants used until 1945, as it had a 76mm (2.99-in) gun andHVSS (horizontal volute spring suspension).

too added their variations to the long list of M4 specials: one of the bestknown of these was the 1944 Sherman Firefly, which had a 17-pdr main gun. The first Shermans went into action with the British at El Alamein in October 1942. Thereafter the Sherman was the most numerous tank in British army service for the rest of World War II.

The main models of this seminally important armoured fighting vehicle were as follows: the M3 (Sherman I) already mentioned, engined with the 263-kW (353-hp) Wright Whirlwind or 298-kW (400-hp) Continental R-975 radial engines; the M4A1 (Sherman II) with a fully cast rather than cast/ welded hull, and alternatively engined with the 336-kW (450-hp) Caterpillar 9-cylinder diesel; the M4A2 (Sherman III) with a welded hull and a 313-kW (420-hp) General Motors 6-71 twindiesel powerplant; the M4A3 (Sherman IV) with a 373-kW (500-hp) Ford GAA III engine and horizontal- rather than vertical-volute suspension; and the M4A4 (Sherman V) with the 317kW (425-hp) Chrysler five-bank engine. It is also worth noting that in British service the mark numbers were suffixed whenever the main armament was not the standard 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, A indicating a 76-mm (2.99-in)



gun, B a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer and C a 17-pdr anti-tank gun. The suffrx W in US designations denoted the provision of wet ammunition stowage for reduced fire risk, Armour protection was also considerably developed in the lengthy production run, the M4A2 having a minimum and a maximum of 13 and 105 mm (0.51 and 4.13 in), equivalent figures for the M4A3 and M4A4 being 15 and 100 mm (0.59 and 3.94 in), and 20 and 85 mm (0.8 and 3.35 in) respectively.

It was the numerical superiority of the M4 that in the end made it a warwinner, The M4 had many drawbacks and was far from being the ideal battle tank. It was often left behind in firepower as the German tank guns increased in power and calibre, and the armour thicknesses and arrangement were frequently found wanting, Indeed many field improvizations had to be used to beef up the armour, these including the simple expedient of using stacked sandbags. The silhouette was too high for comfort and the interior arrangements far from perfect. Another problem frequently encountred was that with so many variants in use spares were often not available and engine interchangeability was frequently impossible, causing considerable logistical troubles. Specification Medium Tank M4A3 Crew: 5 Weight: in action 32.284 tonnes Powerplant: one Ford GAA V-8 petrol engine developing 335.6 or 373 kW (450 or 500 hp)

(25 of 266 m), with gun 7,52 m (24 ft 8 in), and over hull 6.27 m (20 ft 7 in); width 2.68m (8 ft 9.5 in); height 3.43 m (11 ft 2,875 in) Performance: maximum road speed 47 km/h (29 mph); maximum road range 161 km (100 miles); fording 0.91 m (3 ft); gradient 60 percent;

0.91 m(3 ft); gradient60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.61 m(2 ft); trench 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in)

Heavy Tank M26 Pershing

The heavy tank did not have an easy time during World War II as far as the Americans were concerned. Early on they realized the operational need for a heavy tank but initially concentrated their considerable production potential on the medium tank, the M3 and M4 series in particular. A promising design, the Heavy Tank M6, came to nought as the result of this concentration of effort, but low-priority development facilities were thereafter accorded to the heavy tank. This requirement was emphasized when the German Panther and Tiger arrived on the battlefield, and the heavy tank was then given a greater degree of priority.

USA

The first of the new generation of American heavy tanks was a trials model known as the Medium Tank T20. It had a 76-mm (2.99-in) gun and used a suspension very like that of the M4 medium tank, but progressive development led to a newer form of suspension of the torsion-bar type. The gun was also replaced by a new 90mm (3.54-in) main gun in a revised turret, and after a further series of trials models culminating in the Heavy Tank T26E3 (via the Medium Tanks T22, T23, T25 and T26) was selected for production as the Heavy Tank M26. It was given the name General Pershing (or simply Pershing), but by the time the full series of trials on the new tank had been completed only a few were ready for action in World War II. It was early 1945 before the first M26s arrived in Europe and of these

It was early 1945 before the first M26s arrived in Europe and of these only a relative handful saw any action there. More were sent to the Pacific theatre and there rather more were used in anger, but by the time they arrived on the scene there was little a

heavy tank could be called upon to perform.

Thus the M26 contributed little to World War II, but its design was the long-term result of the years of combat that had gone before. For perhaps the first time on an American tank adequate consideration was given to armour protection (a minimum of 12 mm/ 0.47m and a maximum of 102 mm/ 4,02 in) and firepower. With the 90-mm (3.54-in) gun, originally intended for use as an anti-aircraft weapon, the M26 had armament that was the equal of any and the superior of most contemporary tanks. The secondary armament comprised the standard three machine-guns: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and two 7.62 (0.3-in) weapons. For all that the M26 still had a few design drawbacks: the turret shape was criticized for its shot-trap potential, and the retention of the bow machine-gun was even then seen as something of an anachronism (later developments did away with it). In fact the M26 was only the start of a new generation of American tank design. After 1945 the M26 was progressively developed through various models including the M47 into the M48 Patton, which is still in widespread service with the US Army and also with other armies all over the world.

The M26 saw extensive action during the Korean War and was for long one of the main types fielded by the US Army in Europe as part of NATO, The M26 also spawned many variants and hybrids as post-war development continued.

Specification Heavy Tank M26 Crew: 5 Weight: in action 41.73 tonnes Powerplant: one Ford GAF V-8 petrol engine delivering 373 kW(500 hp) Dimensions: length, with gun 8.79 m (28 ft 10 in) and overhull 6.51 m (21 ft 2 in); width 3.505 m (11 ft 6 in); height 2,77 m (9 ft 1 in) Performance: maximum road speed

48 km/h (30 mph); maximum road speed 48 km/h (30 mph); maximum road range 148 km (92 miles); fording 1.22 m (4 ft); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 1.17 m (3 ft 10 in); trench 2.59 m (8 ft 6 in)

The M26 Pershing mounted a main 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and had a crew offive. It en tered service in 1945, just toolate to have any major impact on the fighting in Europe butin time to see action during the Okinawa campaign in the Pacific. It was the first of a series leading to the M60 of today.





T-40, T-60 and T-70 light tanks

During the 1920s and 1930s the tankette was a continuing attraction for the military mind and the tank designer, and the Soviet Union was no exception Army had progressed through the stages where the one-man tankette had been tested and dropped and was in the usual stage where the tankette had developed into the two-man light tank. By the time the Germans attacked in 1940 the Red Army had invested fairly heavily in the light tank, and the models in service were the result of many years of development,

USSR

One of the main types in 1940 was the T-40 amphibious light tank, armed with a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. It was the latest in a long line of models that could be traced back to the T-27 of the early 1930s. This had progressed through the T-33, the T-34 (not to be confused with the T-34 medium tank), the T-36, the T-37 and finally the T-38. Most of these lacked the amphibious capabilities of the T-40 which was placed in production in about 1940, so that by the time the invasion of 1941 started only a few (about 230) were ever completed, Many of the lateproduction T-40 models (with streamlined nose and foldable trim vane) were converted into Katyusha rocketlauncher carriers and were never armament was one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and one 7.62-mm (0,3-m) machine-gun. Armour ranged from 6 to 13 mm (0.24 to 0.51 in) in thickness

While the amphibious T-40 was being developed a non-amphibious version, known as the T-40S, was proposed. When the Germans invaded, the call was for many more tanks delivered as rapidly as possible, so the simpler T-40S was rushed into production and redesignated the T-60 light tank, Unfortunately it was a bit of a horror in service and carried over the primary bad points of the T-40: it was too lightly armoured and, having only a 20-mm cannon plus a co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun as armament, was useless against other tanks. Also it was so underpowered that it could not keep up with the heavier T-34 tanks across country. T-60s were kept in pro-duction simply because they could be churned out quickly from relatively small and simple factories. They were powered by truck engines, many com-ponents being taken from the same ponents being taken from the same source, and the slightly improved T-60A appeared in 1942 with slightly thicker frontal armour (35 mm/1.38 in instead of 25 mm/0.98 in) and solid in-stead of spoked wheels.



useful reconnaissance vehicle, but it had only a 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun and was thus of little use in combat against heavier German tanks. In action it proved tobe adequate but unexceptional.

Right: The 20-mm gun armed T-60 Ught tank was nota gréat success in action, for it was too lightly armed and armoured and lacked power andmobility. It was kept in production simply to get some sort of vehicle to the Red Army following the disasters of the 1941 campaigns.

By late 1941 work was already under way on the T-60's successor. This was the T-70, whose first version used a twin-engine power train that could never have worked successfully in action and which was soon replaced by a revised arrangement. The T-70 was otherwise a considerable improvement over the T-40 and T-60. It had heavier armour (proof against 37-mnV 1.46-in anti-tank guns) and the turret mounted a 45-mm (1.77-m) gun and 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun. This was still only of limited use against heavier tanks but was better than a mere machine-gun, The crew re-mained at two men, the commander having to act as his own gunner and loader in a fashion hardly conducive to effective operation of tank or units.

Production of the T-70 and thickerarmour T-70A ceased in October 1943, by which time 8,226 had been produced. In service the type proved remarkably unremarkable, and the vehicles appear to have been confined to the close support of infantry units and some limited reconnaissance tasks. By

during 1931. The earliest models used

a twin-turret arrangement mounting

two machine-guns (two 7.62-mm/0.3-in weapons in the T-26A-2, and one 12.7-mm/0.S-in and one 7,62-mm/0.3-in gun

in the T-26A-3), but some models had a

machine-gun in one turret and a gun

(27-mm in the T.26A-4 and 37-mm T-

26A-5); this arrangement did not survive for long and later T-26B models

had a single turret mounting only a gun (37-mm in the T-26B-1, though a 45-mm

The early T-26 tanks were straight-

forward copies of the British original,

and were simple, robust vehicles of

gun was used later).



1943 the light tank was an anachronism, but the Soviets nonetheless went ahead with a replacement known as the T-80. Almost as soon as it went into production its true lack of value was finally realized and the production line was switched to manufacturing com-ponents for the SU-76 self-propelled

Specification T-40

Crew: 2

Weight: 5.9 tonnes Powerplant: one GAZ-202 petrol engine delivering 52 kW (70 hp) Dimensions: length 4.11 m (13 ft 5.9 in); width 2.33 m (7 ft 7.7 in); height 1.95 m (6 ft 4.8 in) 44 km/h (27.3 mph); road range 360 km (223.7 miles); fording amphibious; gradient 34°; vertical obstacle 0.70 m (2 ft 3.75 in); trench 1.85 m (6 ft 1 in)

Specification T-60 Crew: 2

mainly riveted construction. The first model was the T-36 Model 1931 (T-26A), replaced by the T-26 Model 1933 (T-26B) which had some design im-provements. Before 1941 the Model 1933 was the most widely produced of all Soviet tanks, about 5,500 being built by the time production of that particularversion ceased in 1936. A new mod-el, the T-26S Model 1937, was then placed in production and this series had several changes compared with the earlier versions, The T-26S carried the 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun fitted to later versions of the Model 1933, but allied this to an improved turret design

Weight: 6.4 tonnes Powerplant: one GAZ-203 petrol engine delivering 63 kW (85 hp) Dimensions: Iength4.11 m(13ft5.9in); width2.3 m(7ft6.5 in); height 1.74 m (5 ft 8.5 in) Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 450 km

(280 miles); fording not known; gradient 29°; vertical obstacle 0.54 m (1 ft9.3 in); trench 1.85 m (6 ft 1 in)

Specification T-70

Crew: 2 Weight: 9.2 tonnes

Powerplant:twoGAZ-202petrol engines delivering a total of 104 k W (140hp)

Dimensions: length 4.29 m(14 ft 0.9 in); width 2.32 m(7 ft 7.3 in); height 2.04 m(6 ft 8.3 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 360 km (223.7 miles); fording not known; gradient 34°; vertical obstacle 0.70 m (2 ft3,6 in); trenchS. 12 m(10 ft2.8 in)

and all-welded construction as introduced on the T-26B-3.

The welding was introduced following operational experiences in the border clashes with Japan that took place along the Mongolian and Manchurian boundaries in 1934 and 1935. Experience showed that a T-26 which encountered hostile fire was likely to have its rivets knocked out to fly around the interior. Welding was introduced with the later Model 1933 tanks but was standard on the T-26S

Throughout their lives the T-26 tanks underwent many production and inservice changes, most of them aimed



USSR

T-26 light infantry tank

During the late 1920s Red Army planners inaugurated a programme to reequip the tank elements of the Soviet armed forces. In common with many other nations they decided upon an infantry support tank for their noncavalry units and after attempting to develop a new design of their own decided on the mass production of a Brit-ish commercial model, the 6-ton Vick-ers Type E light tank. This was named the T-26 and the first examples of the British model arrived in the Soviet Union during 1930, being designated T-26 A-1.

Soviet production of the T-26 started
at improving armour protection (minimum of 6 mm/0.24 in and maximum of 25 mm/0.98 in) and armament. There were also many special versions. Perhaps the most numerous of these were the flame-throwing tanks prefixed by the designation OT. Again there were several of these, the earliest being the OT-26 and the last the OT-133. Most of these had the flamethrowing projector in the turret and carried no main gun, but later models did carry a gun in addition to the projector, There were also bridgecarrying versions (the ST-26) and attempts were made to mount 76.2-mm (3-in) guns for increased infantry fire support. The type was also developed as a command vehicle, variants being the T-26A-4(U) and T-26B-2(U), Production of the T-26 series ceased

Production of the T-26 series ceased entirely in 1941 when the Germans overran most of the production facilities. New production centres set up in the Soviet hinterlands launched the production of later tank designs, but by 1941 well over 12,000 T-26 tanks of all kinds had been made. Consequently

T-28 medium tank

The Soviet T-28 medium tank was an indigenous design that entered production in Leningrad during 1933. It was greatly influenced by current trends shown in German and British (Vickers) experimental designs, and so featured the fashionable multi-turret layout, The T-28 had three, the main gun turret being partially flanked by two smaller ones armed with machineguns, the driver's position being between the two auxiliary turrets. The prototype T-28 had a 45-mm (1.77-m) main gun, but on T-28 and T-

The prototype T-28 had a 45-mm (1.77-m) main gun, but on T-28 and T-28A production models (the latter with thicker front armour) this was changed to a short 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, T28B production models after 1938 having a newer and longer 76.2-mm (3-in) gun with improved performance. The secondary armament was three 7.62-mm (0,3-in) machine-guns. Overall the T-28 was a large and slab-sided brute but the Soviet tank design teams were still in the process of learning their trade, and experience with the T-28 was later of great importance. Construction of the original produc-

Construction of the original production model, the T-28Model 1934, lasted until 1938 when the improved T-28B appeared with the new gun (see above), rudimentary gun stabilization and some engine modifications. This was the T-28 Model 1938, and manufacture of this version lasted until 1940, when production ceased in favour of later models. The armour of the different versions ranged from a minimum of 20mm (0.79 in) to a maximum of 80 mm (3.15 in) in thickness.

There were several experimental versions of the T-28 including some self-propelled guns and specials such as bridging and assault engineering tanks. None of these expérimentais got past the prototype stage, but experience with them was of great importance when later variations on production tanks were contemplated. In fact the T-28 was of more value as an educational tank than as a combat tank. Its service life was short, spanning only the years from 1939 to 1941, In 1939 it was used in action against the Finns during the 'Winter War'. In that short

they were among the most numerous of the AFVs used during the early stages of the Great Patriotic War', and were also used in the 1939-1940 campaign in Finland. Some had been used during the Spanish Civil War. After 1941 huge numbers of T-26

After 1941 huge numbers of T-26 tanks were destroyed or passed into German hands. Many were later converted to artillery tractors or self-propelled gun carriers, usually by the Germans who always had a need for such vehicles. Overall the T-26 was an unremark-

Overall the T-26 was an unremarkable little tank that was unable to stand up to the demands of 1941, but it enabled the Soviet Union to establish its own mass production facilities and know-how, and these stood them in good stead after 1941.

Specification

T⁻26B Crew: 3 Weight: 9,4 tonnes Powerplant: one GAZ T-26 8-cylinder petrolengine developing 68 kW (91 h)



Dimensions: length4.88m(16ft); width3.41m(11ft2,25in); height 2.41m(7 ft 11 in) Performance: maximum road speed 28km/h(17.4mph); maximum road range 175 km(108.7 miles); fording not known; gradient40°; vertical obstacle 0.79m(2ft7in); trench 1.90m(6ft 2.8 in) One of the many variants of the T-26 light infantry tank was the Model 1931, which had dual turrets, usually mounting two 7.62-mm (0.30-in) machine-guns, but sometimes having one of the machine-guns replaced by a 37-mm (1.46-in) short infantry support gun. ThelaterT-26 Model 1933 had a single turret.



conflict the T-28s fared badly as their crews found out the hard way that the vehicle's armour was too thin for safety and those tanks that survived underwent a hasty course of modifications to add extra armour (up to 80 mm/ 3.15m). The modified T-28s were known as the T-28E (*ekanirovki*, or screened, i.e. uparmoured), but the crash programme proved to have been of doubtful effectiveness when the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941. The T-28E was also known as the T-28M or T-28 Model 1940. In 1941 the surviving T-28s demonstrated themselves to be of only limited

In 1941 the surviving T-28s demonstrated themselves to be of only limited combat value, Their large slab sides and stately performance made them easy prey for German anti-tank weapons. They also proved vulnerable to mines, and during the 'Winter War' of 1939-1940 some T-28s were modified to carry anti-mine rollers in front of the vehicle. These rollers were not a success, but again the experience gained with them proved to be of great value later. Thus the T-28 passed

The T-28 medium tank was one of the least successfui pre-war Soviet tank designs for in action in 1940 and 1941 itproved tobe cumbersome, inadequately armoured and undergunned. The main gun was a short 76.2-mm (3-in) weapon that was replaced in some cases by a longerbarrelled gun of the same calibre. from the scene, proving itself to belong to an earlier era of tank design.

Specification T-28 Crew:6 Weight: 28 tonnes Powerplant: one M-17 V-12 petrol engine developing 373 kW (500 hp) Dimensions: length 7,44 m (24 ft 4.8 in)width 2.81 m (9 ft 2.75 in); height 2.82 m (9 ft 3 in) Performance: maximum road speed The Soviet T-28 heavy tank weighed 28 tons but was termed a medium tank. 11 had a crew ofsix and had a short 76.2-mm (3-in) gun as its main armament, plusmachine-guns in the two extra turrets mounted in front of themain turret. They were clumsy vehicles with armour that proved to be too thin once in action.

37 km/h(23 mph); maximumroad range 220 km (136,7 miles); fording not known; gradient 43°; vertical obstacle 1.04 m (3 ft5 in); trench 2.90 m (9 ft6 in)





USSR

BT-7 fast tank

When the Red Army tank staff decided to modernize its tank fleet during the late 1920s it authorized the design bureaux to use whatever sources they liked to obtain the best ideas available. Accordingly many promising design concepts from all over the world were embraced, and among these were ideas of the American J, Walter Christie, His advanced suspension designs had little effect in his own country at that time, but the Soviets embraced his concepts willingly and took them over for their own further development. The Christie suspension was integrated into the BT series (bystrochodya tank, or fast tank). The first Soviet BTs were copied ex-

actly from a Christie prototype deli-vered to the Soviet union in 1930 and designated BT-1. The first Soviet mod-el was the BT-2, and from 1931 on-wards the BT series progressed through a series of design develop-ments and improvements until the BT-zwas meduaed in 1925 Life the ser-7 was produced in 1935. Like the earlier BT tanks the BT-7 was a fast and agile vehicle intended for Red Army cavalry units, and was powered by a converted aircraft engine. The suspension used the Christie torsion bars that allowed a large degree of flexibil-ity at high speeds. The hull was all-welded and well shaped, but the main gun was only a 45-mm (1.77-in)

The BT-7 was intraduced into service m 1935 and was produced in two main versions, both armed with a 45-mm (1.77-in) gun. Although fast and handy in action, the BT-7 proved to be too lightly armoured, but it led in the statement of the T 24 time to the development of the T-34

weapon, although this was still larger than that fitted on many contemporary equivalents. The secondary armament was two 7,62-mm (0,3-in) machineguns, and armour varied from 10 to 22 mm (0.39 to 0.87 in).

The BT-7 proved to be very popular with its users. By the tme it entered service (in its original BT-7-1 form with a cylindrical turret, replaced by a con-ical turret in the BT-7-2) many of the automotive snags that had troubled some of the earlier BTs had been eli-minated, and the BT-7 thus proved to be fairly reliable. Also, by the time it appeared there were many variants of the BTs: some were produced as flamethrower tanks, and there was a special BT-7A close-support version carrying a short 76.2-mm (3-in) main gun. Other expérimentais included amphibious and bridging tanks, and variants with various tracks to improve

terrain-crossing capabilities. The BT-7 did have one major tactical disadvantage in that it was only lightly armoured. On the entire BT series armour protection had been sacrificed for speed and mobility, and once in action during 1939 the BTs, including the BT-7, proved to be surprisingly vulnerable to anti-tank weapons as small as anti-tank rifles, BT-5s had de-monstrated this fact when small numbers were used during the Spanish Civil War, but even though the BT-7 had some armour increases this was still not enough, as revealed in Finland during 1939 and 1940. As a result the design of a successor to the BT series was undertaken and this led ultimately to the adoption of the T-34, Variants of the BT-7 were the BT-7-I(U) command tank and BT-7M (or BT-8) improved



model with full-width and well-sloped

glacis plate plus a V-2 diesel engine, Thus the BT-7 played its major part in World War II well before the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941. Large numbers were still in service in 1941, but they fared badly against the 1941, but they fared badly against the advancing Panzers. Despite their mobility the Soviet tank formations were poorly handled and many tanks, including BT-7s, were lost simply be-cause they broke down as the result of poor maintenance or poor training of their crews. It was an insurprise back their crews. It was an inauspicious beginning for the Red Army, but worse was soon to follow and the large fleet of BT-7s was virtually eliminated by the end of 1941.

Specification BT-7 Crew: 3

The BT-2 was the first Soviet tank design to incorporate the Christie suspension, and led to a whole string ofBT variants that were eventually ofBT variants that were eventually developed into the T-34 series. The Christie suspension gave the BT-2 a good cross-country performance, as this photograph graphically demonstrates.

Weight: 14 tonnes

Powerplant: one M-17T V-12 petrol engine developing 373 kW (500 hp) Dimensions: length 5.66m (18 ft 6.8 in); width2,29m(7ft6in); height2.42m (7 ft 11.3 in) Performance: maximum road speed

86km/h(53.4mph);maximumroad range250km(155miles);fording 1.22 m (4 ft); gradient 32° ; vertical obstacle 0.76 m (2 ft 6 in); trench 1.83 m (6ft)





T-34 medium tank

It is now difficult to write of the T-34 medium tank without using too many superlatives, for the T-34 has passed into the realms of legend. It was one of the mam war-winning weapons of World War II, and it was produced in such vast numbers and in so many versions that entire books have been written on the subject without exhausting the possibilities of the vehicle and its exploits.

In simple terms the T-34 had its origins in the shortcomings of the BT-7 and its forebears. The first result of the BT series' up-dating were the designs known as the A-20 and A-30, produced in 1938 as developments of the BT-IS, but passed over in favour of a heaviergunned tank with increased armour and known as the T-32. In the T-32 can be seen most of the features of the later T-34. It had a well-shaped hull with sloped armour, and a cast and sloped turret which mounted a 76.2-mm (3-in) high-velocity gun. The Christie suspension, suitably beefed up, was carried over from the BT series, but the ability to run on wheels without tracks was abandoned. Good as the T-32 was, a selection

Good as the T-32 was, a selection panel requested yet more armour and so the T-34 was born. It went into productionin 1940 and mass production of the T-34/76A soon followed. When the Germans attacked the Soviet Union in 1941 the type was already well established, and its apperance came as a nasty shock to the Germans. The T-34's well-sloped and thick armour (minimum of 18 mm/0.71 in and maximum of 60 mm/2.36 in) was proof against most of their anti-tank weapons and the IV30 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, soon replaced in service by aneven more powerful IV40 gun of the same calibre, was effective against most German Panzers. The secondary armament was two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns.

From 1941 onwards the T-34 was developed into a long string of models, many of them with few external differences. Production demands resulted in many expediences, the finish of most T-34s being rough to an extreme, but the vehicles were still very effective fighting machines. Despite the disruption of the production lines during 1941, ever-increasing numbers poured off the extemporized lines, and all manner of time-saving production methods (ranging from automatic welding to leaving whole sections of surface unpainted) were used. The second production model was the T-34/76B with a rolled-plate turret.

34/76B with a rolled-plate turret. In service the T-34 was used for every role, ranging from main battle tank to reconnaissance vehicle, and from engineering tank to recovery vehicle, It was converted into the simplest of armoured personnel carriers by simply carrying infantry on the hull over troops became the scourge of the Germans as they advanced westwards through the liberated Soviet Union and then Eastern Europe. Successively improved models of the T-34/76 were the T-34/76C with a larger turret containing twin roof hatches in place of the original single hatch; the T-34/76D with a hexagonal turret and wider mantlet, plus provision for jettisonable exterior fuel tanks; the T-34/76E with a cupola on the turret and of all-welded construction; and the T-34/76F identical to the T-34/76E apart from its cast rather than welded turret. (It should be noted



Above: The T-34 tank was a very advanced design for its time. This is a late production T-34/76 armed with a 76.2-mm (3-in) main gun, and well provided with sloping armour for added protection. The tank was produced in thousands and proved durable, mobile and highly effective inservice.

that the designations are Western, designed to provide a means of identification in the absence of Soviet information.) In time the 76,2-mm (3-in) gun was replaced by an 85-mm (3.34in) gun using the turret taken from the KV-85 heavy tank. This variant became the T-34/85, which remains in service to this day in some parts of the world. Special assault gun versions using the 85-mm (3.34-in) gun and later the 100-mm(3.94-in)or 122-mm(4.8-in) artillery pieces were developed, and flame-throwing, tractor, engineer and mine-clearing versions were also produced.

ducea. But it was as a battle tank that the T-34 has its main claim to fame. Available in thousands, the T-34 assumed mastery of the battlefield, forcing the Germans back on the defensive and taking from them the tactical and strategic initiative thus winning the 'Great Patriotic War' for the Soviet Union. Post-war the T-34 and its successors went on to gain more laurels, but it was as a war-winner in World War II that the T-34 must best be remebered. It was a superb tank.

Specification

T-34/76A

Crew: 4

Weight: 26 tonnes Powerplant: one V-2-34 V-12 diesel developing 373 kW (500 hp) Dimensions: length 5.92 m(19ft 5.1 in); width 3 m(9ft 10 in); height 2.44 m (8ft)

Performance: maximum road speed 55km/h(34mph); maximumroad range 186km(115miles); fording 1.37m(4ft6in); gradient 35°; vertical obstacle0.71m(2ft4in); trench2.95m

(9 ft 8 in)



Above: The commander of an early production T-34/76 tank looks out from his large one-piece hatch during Red Army exercises held during 1940, before the German invasion. At that time the T-34/76 was kept under security wraps and its appearance during the 1941 campaigns came as a nasty shock for the German Panzer troops.

Below: T-34s in East Prussia during the winter of 1944-5. By that time the main production version of the T-34 mounted an 85-mm (3.34-in) gun and was known as the T-34/85. This was an excellent tank that is still good enough to remain in service with many armies to this day-not bad for a vehicle introduced in 1944.





USSR

T-35 heavy tank

The T-35 was one of the major disappointments for the Soviet tank designers before World War II. It had its origins in design studies that began in 1930, and the first prototype was rolled out in 1932. In appearance and in many other ways the T-35, via the T-28, was greatly influenced by the design of the British Vickers Independent, a tank that was produced as a one-off only and which featured in a notorious 'spy' court case of the period. The T-28 carried over from the Vickers design one major feature, namely the multi-turret concept.

concept. Although there were changes between the various production batches, the tanks of the main batch (produced between 1935 and 1938) were longer than the originals, This increase in length made the T-35 an unwieldly beast to steer, and its ponderous weight did little to improve matters. The multi-turret approach to tank weaponry also proved to be of doubtful value, Aiming and co-ordinating the fire of the five turrets proved very difficult, and the overall effectiveness of the armament was further limited by the relatively small calibre of the main gun, .In fact the main gun and turret were exactly the same as those used on the lighter T-28 medium tank. Armour varied from 10 to 30 mm (0,39 to 1.18 in) in thickness.

Production of the T-35 was slow and limited compared with that of other Soviet tank programmes of the time.

The huge size of the T-35 can be readily appreciated in this shot of a damaged and captured example being put on show by German soldiers. Themain turret had a 76.2mm (3-in) gun wih limited antiarmour performance, and two of the smaller turrets had 37-mm or 45-mm (1.45-in or 1.77-in) guns. Only 61 were produced between 1933 and 1939, and all of these vehicles served with just one tank brigade stationed near Moscow. This was politically handy, for the T-35s featured regularly in the Red Square parades of the time and thus provided a false impression of Soviet tank strengths. The massive vehicles made a great impression as they rumbled past, but'the service reality was considerably different,

rent, When they had to go to war in 1941 only a relative handful actually saw action, for many were retained in Moscow for internal duties and for purely local defence. There appears to be no record of any T-35s going into action around Moscow, but the few used elsewhere to try to halt the German advances did not fare well. They were too lightly armed and their weight and bulk made them easy meat for the Pan-

Specification T-35

Crew: 11 Weight: 45 tonnes Powerplant: one M-17M V-12 petrol engine developing 373 kW (500 hp) Dimensions: length 9.72 m (31 ft 10.7 in); width 3.2 m (10 ft 6 in); height 3.43 m (11 ft 3 in) Performance: maximum road speed 30 km/h (18.6 mph); maximum road range 150 km (93,2 miles); fording not known; gradient 20°; vertical obstacle 1.20 m (4 ft); trench 3.50 m (11 ft 6 in)

The T-35 heavy tankmade an impressive showing on parade in RedSquare, butin action they made little impact, with only about 60 built. Fire control of the five turrets was very difficult and the gréat length of the hull made it a cumbersome vehicle.







By 1938 Soviet tank designers had realized that the T-35 heavy tank would need replacement and set about the design of its successor. Several design bureaux were involved and many proposed designs with multiple turrets, but by the time prototypes were produced most had just two turrets. This approach still did not appeal to one of the teams, which designed a heavy tank with only one turret and named it after Klimenti Voroshilov, who was defence commissar at the time. Known as the KV-1, the new design was far more mobile than the other submissions and was field-tested during the campaign in Finland in 1940. This first variant was armed with a short 76.2-mm (0.3-in) gun and three or four 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, and armour up to 100-mm (3.94-in) thick was provided.

The KV⁻¹ was ordered into production in two main forms: one was the KV-1A armed with a long 76.2-mm (3in) gun, while the other version was the KV-2, a marriage of the KV-1 hull, chassis and suspension with a large slabsided turret mounting a 152-mm (5.98in) howitzer (originally a 122-mm/4.80in howitzer). Thus the KV-2 did not lack in firepower, but the high turret was a ponderous load for the vehicle and the



KV-2 (and improved KV-2B) did not shine in action. With the KV-1 the future for Soviet

With the KV-1 the tuture for Soviet tank design was established for some time to come. The old multi-turret concept was finally set aside, and the KV-1 emerged as a formidable heavy tank that was to serve the Red Army for years. It was used often as an assault or break-through tank, forming the spearhead of many attacks, and as the war against Germany progressed the basic design was gradually improved. High on the list of improvements were armour increases, achieved with the KV-IB that had an extra 25-35 mm (0.98-1.38 in) added to the hull front and sides. Other changes were made in the turret which progressed from being a mainly plated affair to a fully cast component, which on the KV-1C also gave an increase in protection. Much of the extra armour was simply bolted onto existing armour. For its size the KV-1 was undergun-

For its size the KV-1 was undergunned, but a scheme to increase the The KV-1 heavy tank originally mounted a 76.2-mm (3-in) main gun on a chassis that was tobe adapted for la ter models of Soviet heavy tanks. Several versions existed as progressive production changes were in troduced to speed manufacture and improve protection for the crew offive.

armament to a 107-mm (4.2-in) we apon never came to anything other than trials. Instead the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun



was lengthened and the 152-mm (5.98in) gun in its clumsy turret was withdrawn. After 1943 numbers of 85-mm (3.34-in) guns were fitted, and this model was known as the KV-85. The KV-1 was a sound design, but

The KV-1 was a sound design, but had some serious automotive problems, On early models it was almost impossible to change gear because of clutch problems, and there were other transmission difficulties. Many of them were eventually eliminated but the numerous increases in armour protection were usually carried out with no increases in engine power, though the KV-1Chadanextra75kW(100hp), so many examples were quite unable to A KV-I rolls through snowy Moscow streets to the front in December 1941. The heavy tankhad a 76-mm main gun (later to be replaced by an 85mm weapon) and was used by the Red Army as a breakthrough tank where its lack of speed was not a handicap.

reach their expected performance. One solution attempted in a small number of KV-IS (*skorostnoy*, or fast) tanks was the omission of applique armour to reduce weight and so raise speed. One serious problem tactically was that the turret was so arranged internally that the tank commander had to



double as gun loader, a situation that often put him out of touch with the tactical situation for critical periods. Later versions had the usual angled armour of most other Soviet tank designs, and overall the KV-1 was abit of a problem for German anti-tank units.

Specification KV-85 Crew: 5 Weight: 43 tonnes Powerplant: one V-2K V-12 diesel developing 448 kW (600 hp) Dimensions: length 6.68 m (21 ft 11 in); width 3.32 m (10 ft 10.7 in); height On the vast open spaces of the Russian plain, the lack of mobility inherentin the KV-1 wasadetinite handicap. In the campaigns of 1942 many KV-1s were lost due to mechanical failure. The tank was improved, however, and was to lead to the powerful Josef Stalin tanks.

2,71 m(8 ft 10.7 in) Performance: maximum (rarely achieved) road speed 35 km/h (21.75 mph); maximum road range 150 km (93.2 miles); fording not known; vertical obstacle 1.20 m (3 ft 8 in); gradient 36°; vertical obstacle 1.20 m (3 ft 11,25 in); trench 2.59 m(8 ft 6 in)



By the time 1943 was through the Red Army had gained the strategic initiative from the Germans and was starting the series of advances that took it to Berlin in 1945. Along the way the Soviets attempted to maintain an overall tank design supremacy over their enemies and on the whole succeeded. This was as true for the heavy tanks as it was for the T-34 series, and the KV-1 was progressively developed until by 1943 the KV-85 with its 85-mm (3.34-in) gun and reshaped turret was in service. But by gradually reworking the transmission, reshaping and redesigning the hull and suspension, a new lower and lighter tank design was evolved. The new design was named the IS-1 (IS for losef Stalin).

the IS-1 (IS for losef Stalin). The IS-1 retained the 85-mm (3.34in) gun of the KV-85, and was in its earliest forms known as the IS-85, but it was felt that the new design could accommodate a more powerful weapon. Trials were carried out with a new 100-mm (3.94-in) gun (IS-100 variant) and a long 122-mm (4.8-in) gun, the 100-mm (3.94-in) gun proving to be the better armour-penetration weapon. However, the 122-mm(4.8-in) gun was almost as effective and also had the explosive power to blow off an enemy tank turret even if it could not penetrate its armour. To cap things in the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun's favour, potential numbers were available while the 100-mm (3.94-in) gun was still not in full production. So the IS tank was fitted with the long

So the IS tank was fitted with the long 122-mm (4.8-in) gun and this became the IS-2, which had a number of other improvements. The first examples appeared in 1944 and remained in production and service until the war ended, It was a massive vehicle, its size emphasized by the long gun barrel. The tmret and hull were more than amply supplied with armour (max-



imum of 132 mm/5.2 in), but the Red Army tank crews placed greater importance on the tank-killing power of the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun. This gun had a slow rate of fire and used separate ammunition, which further slowed the loading time (the A-19 was originally designed as a naval gun), and the ammunition was so large that only 28 rounds could be carried inside the tank. The secondary armament comprised one 12.7-mm (0,5-in) and one 7.62-mm (0,3-in) machine-gun. Later versions introduced a modified firecontrol system and a revised breech to increase the speed of loading. Other changes were introduced at the same time, but more were to come.

God as the IS-2 was, it was felt that it could still be improved. The result was the IS-3 which retained the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun but in a drastically revised well-rounded turret behind a new and heavily sloped bow shape combined

When the Red Army finally reached Berlin in May 1945 IS-2 tanks were at the head of the armoured forces; this example is seen near the Reichstag. Note the great length of the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun and the well-shaped turret and front glacis plate that could deflect armour-piercing projectiles. with the usual armour. Only a few IS-3s were completed before the war ended, but the type went on to worry Western military thinkers for many years afterwards as it remained the most powerful tank in the world for well over a decade (it is still employed in some Soviet-influenced nations).

Specification IS-2

IS-2 Crew: 4 Weight: 46 tonnes Powerplant: one V-2-IS (V-2K) V-12 diesel developing 447 kW (600 hp) Dimensions: length 9.9 m (32 ft 5.8 in); The IS-2 was in troduced in to service with the RedArmy during 1944 and was the most powerful of all the Soviet heavy tanks. 11 mounted a long 122-mm (4.8-in) gun in a wellprotected cast turret, and carried a crew offour. Ammunition stowage was limited to 28 rounds.

width 3.09 m (10 ft 1.6 in); height 2.73 m (8 ft 11.5 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum road range 240 km (149 miles); fording not known; gradient 36°; vertical obstacle 1.0m(3 ft3 in); trench 2.49m(8 ft2 in)



Tank Destroyers

Successful tank designs are the product of a careful compromise between firepower, protection and mobility. Nevertheless, during World War II many of the belligerent nations resorted to producing 'tank destroyers', vehicles which sacrificed armour, speed or flexibility to carry a much more powerful gun than contemporary tanks.



An M18 Hellcat of the US 3rd Army's 4th Armoured Division crossing the Moselle River, Germany, in November 1945. The M18 was very fast and excelled in its tank-destroying role.

The tank destroyer was very much a product of the military and economic developments peculiar to World War II. During the war years the tank destroyer flourished for various reasons which will be described in this study, but in the years that followed 1945, this type of tank all but vanished, and it is a rare thing to find in modern tank parks. The truth was (and still is) that the tank destroyer had severe limitations as a fighting vehicle, but that it was capable of carrying a large-calibre gun, one far heavier than the corresponding tank chassis of the time could ever carry and fire.

The tank destroyer and the tank were very different beasts. Although they often used identical chassis, and at times even looked alike, they were markedly dissimilar when it came to combat. The tanks, with their combination of firepower, mobility and protection, usually had the combat edge over the tank destroyer with its limited-traverse armament and relatively thin armoured protection, but to be set against this the tank destroyer usually had the

more powerful gun and a low silhouette that gave it the edge in concealment. There was at one time the philosophy that as tanks were not expected to fight tanks, specialized tank destroyers would have to be used. This approach did not last long under the severe strictures of combat, where it was soon learned that the best way to defeat a tank was to use another tank. The tank destroyer could be used for this purpose but at a cost in weapon flexibility and all too often in protection for the crew.

Tank destroyers were also important during World War II for purely economic and production reasons. Among the types of tank destroyer and German Panzerjager (tank hunter) were some superb fighting vehicles such as the Hetzer, the M18 Hellcat and the superlative Jagdpanther, the latter of which would be a viable fighting machine today. But there were some dreadful lash-ups that were unclergunned, lacked protection for their unfortunate crews and, in addition to these failings, were so underpowered they had difficulty in moving at combat speeds. Add to these lumbering monsters such as the Jagdtiger, and the scope of this study can be appreciated.



When the first PzKpfw I (Panzerkampf-wagen I) light tanks were produced in 1934 it was intended that they would be used only as training vehicles, but in the event they had to be used as combat tanks during the early war years for the simple reason that larger and heavier tanks were not yet avail-able in sufficient numbers. But the PzKpfw I had a crew of a mere two men, carried only a machine-gun armament, and was poorly protected by thin armour. By no stretch of the imagination was it a viable battle tank imagination was it a viable battle tank and most were phased out of use after the end of 1940 (but retained for the original training role). This left a num-ber of spare tank chassis with no oper-ational role, so the opportunity was taken to convert these vehicles into the first Correspondence and own

first German self-propelled gun. It had already been decided that some form of mobile anti-tank gun would be a great asset to the anti-tank units who would otherwise have to use towed guns, Thus the first example of this requirement was met by mounting a 3.7-cm (1.456-m) Pak 35/36 onto a turretless PzKpfwI. While this conver-sion showed promise it was not adopted because even by mid-1940 it was appreciated that the 37-mm gun lacked power to deal with future armour. Thus a Czech 47-mm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun was mounted instead, and this combination was adopted for service as the Panzerjäger I für 4.7-cm Pak(t).

The Czech gun was a powerful, hard-hitting weapon that was well cap-able of penetrating most armour it was likely to encounter and Alkett AG produced a total of 132 conversions. The result was very much afirstattempt, for all that was required was to remove the original turret, plate over the front of the turret ring and arrange a small working platform over the engine covers, The gun was mounted in a small

shield that was left open at the top and rear. The crew consisted of the driver, still using his original PzKpfw I position, and two men serving the gun. A total of 74 rounds could be carried as standard, although more could be added to this total. The chassis mainly used for the conversion was that of the PzKpfw I Ausf B.

The Panzerjäger Is served in North Africa and during the early stages of the campaigns in the Soviet Union. They proved to be powerful enough to defeat opposing tanks, but their over-all lack of protection for the crew made them very vulnerable targets. Accordingly when better equipments became available they were withdrawn from front-line use and assigned to theatres where they could be used for policing rather than for combat duties. Among the locations so honoured were the Balkans, where the vehicles were used on anti-partisan operations. Units operating on the Eastern Front after about the end of 1942 frequently removed the guns and used the chassis for supply carrying, and some units replaced their Czech guns with captured ex-French 47-mm guns, Few Panzerjäger Is remained in use after mid-1944.

Specification Panzerjäger I Crew:

Weight: 6000 kg (13,228 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38 6cylmder petrol engine developing 4.6kW(100hp)

Dimensions: length overall 4.14 m(13 ft 7 in); width 2,013 m(6 ft 7.25 in); height 2.1 m (6 ft 10.7 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); range 140 km (87 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.37 m (14.6 in); trench 1.4 m (4677ie) for direct for 0.6 m (200) (4ft7in);fording0,6m(2ft)



This SdKfz 101 Panzerjäger l was the first example captured by the Allies in North Africa and was subjected to a great deal of technical scrutiny. It

mounted an ex-Czech 4.7-cm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun in an open mounting that used only a frontal shield for crew protection.



This photograph of a Panzerjäger l shows the extemporized nature of this early German conversion, made in an attempt to prolong the service

life of the PzKpfw 1 light tank. The gun was powerful enough, but the mounting provided virtually no protection.



Marder II

As with the PzKpfw I, when the PzKpfw II entered service in 1935 it was meant to be used only as a training and de-velopment tank. In the event it had to be used as a combat tank from 1939 to 1942 simply because there were not enough combat tanks to replace the type, which acquitted itself well enough despite the fact that its main armament was limited to a 2-cm can-non: by 1941 the PzKpfw II was over-due for replacement as its armament was not able to penetrate other than soft-skin targets and the small turret ring could not accommodate a heavier weapon. However, the production line for the chassis was still in being and at the time it seemed to be too valuable to waste so the opportunity was taken to convert the PzKpfw II to a Panzerjäger.

The prototype of this new Panzerjä-ger was fitted with a 5-cm (1.97-in) antitank gun, but the full production ver-sion was fitted with a special version of the 7.5-cm (2.95-m) Pak 40 anti-tank gun known as the Pak 40/2. This powerful gun was the German army's standard anti-tank weapon and the incorporation of greater mobility added considerably to the gun's anti-armour potential. The gun was placed behind a 10-mm (0.39-in) thick armoured shield that sloped to the rear to provide the gun crew with adequate pro-

tection. To accommodate the weight of the gun the engine was moved to the rear of the hull and the engine covers were used as a working platform to serve the gun. The vehicle was known as the Marder II (Marder meaning marten) although other and more cumbersome designations (such as 7.5-cm Pak 40/2 auf SIf II) were used on official documents.

The Marder II remained in produc-tion until 1944 and became one of the most widely used of all the many German self-propelled gun conversions. In production terms it was manufac-tured in greater numbers than any other weapon of its type, for 1,217 were made, The Marder II was certainly a handy and efficient weapon in combat for it was relatively small, had a good cross-country performance and the gun could knock out virtually any enemy tank other than super-heavy Soviet tanks such as the IS-2. Racks for 37 rounds were provided over the en-gine covers and there was also space for stowing 600 rounds for the machine-gun usually carried; this was a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG34 or MG42.

Most Marder II production was sent to the Eastern Front, but the Marder II was found wherever German troops were in action. By 1944 the type was out of production and the crew was



TheSdKfz 131 Marder 11 mounted a 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak40/2 and was one of the more important of the Panzerjäger conversions. Based on

often reduced by one man to conserve manpower, but the development of the type did not cease. During the latter stages of the war some Marder Us were equipped with infra-red searchlights for engaging targets at night and some of these equipments were used in action on the Eastern Front during the last stages of the war. By then such

the PzKpfw U Ausf A, CorF, 1217 were produced to be used on all fronts. The crew was four, including the driver.

novel equipment could have but little impact on the outcome of the war.

Specification MarderII Crew: 3 or 4 Weight: 11000 kg (24,251 lb)



A Marderll with the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40/2 gun barrel clamps lowered. Although this vehicle was one of the more important (numerically) of the Panzerjäger, it was rather high and generally lacked protection.



There were two self-propelled guns that were known as the Marder III, and both used the same chassis, a deriva-tion of the Skoda TNHP-S tank chassis. This tank had originally been produced by the Skoda factory at Pilsen for the Czech army, but with the annexation of the Czech state by Ger-many in 1939 the Skoda works continued production of tanks under the designation PzKpfw 38(t) for the German army. The Germans introduced many production and in-service changes to the original Skoda design, and by 1941 the PzKpfw 38(t) may be regarded as a German design, but the weapons powerful enough to defeat enemy armour after 1941, and the chassis was then kept in production for a number of alternative purposes.

One of these purposes came to light in 1941. The appearance of tanks such as the Soviet T-34 meant for a while that the German army had no anti-tank gun powerful enough to knock them out and all manner of hasty improvisations were made to counter this state of affairs, One was to take the chassis of the PzKpfw 38(t) and mount on it a cap-tured Soviet field gun, the 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1936. This was a very good dual-purpose weapon that could be used as an anti-tank weapon, and the Germans even went to the length of converting some for use as specialized anti-tank guns. On the PzKpfw 38(t) the gun was mounted in a fixed shield and the conversion went into production in early 1942 as the Marder III, otherwise the Panzerjäger 38(t) für 7.62-cm Pak 36(r). Some 344 of these conversions were made, and the Marder III was used not only on the Eastern Front but in North Africa and elsewhere. However, it was at the time regarded However, it was at the time regarded only as a stopgap until sufficient num-bers of the German 7.5-cm (2,95-m) Pak 40 became available. When this happened during 1942 production of the Soviet-gunned Marder III ceased and that of the German-gunned ver-sion commenced. The gun/chassis sion commenced. The gun/chassis combination was still called the Marder III, but had the designation Pan-zerjäger 38(t) Ausf H für 7.5-cm Pak 40/3 and used a slightly differing gun shield and mounting from the earlier Powerplant: one Maybach HL 62 petrol engine developing 104.4 kW (140 hp) Dimensions: length 6.36 m (20 ft 10.4in);width2,28m(7ft5.8in);height 2.20 m (7 ft 2.6 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); road range 190 km (118 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.42 m (16.5 in); trench 1.8 m (5 ft 11 in); fording 0,9 m (35 in)

This profile of the Marder II shows the rather high mounting of the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak40/2, a special version of the standard German antitank gun of the late war years.

model. The first of the Pak 40-armed Marder Ills were rushed into action during the last stages of the Tunisian campaign where some were cap-tured, providing Allied intelligence staffs with something to mull over. But their 'find' did not last for long, for the Marder III was soon to undergo another transformation,

Up to 1943 the various German selfpropelled guns using the Skoda chas-sis used the PzKpfw 38(t) tank as a basis. However, with some early con-versions (including the original Marder III) the vehicles were nose-heavy, which at times limited mobility. Using the original Czech design as a basis, German engineers now relocated the engine at the front of the chassis and engine at the front of the chassis and moved the 'working platform' to the rear to produce a specialized self-propelled gun carrier. As soon as this became available Marder III produc-tion changed once more to the new Panzerjäger 38(t) Ausf M für 7.5-cm Pak 40/3 configuration with the gun and its protection mounted at the rear of its protection mounted at the rear of the vehicle. This provided a much bet-ter balanced vehicle and the new chassis was also used to mount a varie-ty of other weapons. The late type of Marder III was manufactured by BMM of Prague, and when production ceased in May 1944 799 had been made. They were used on all fronts.

Specification

Specification Panzerjäger 38(t) Ausf M Crew: 4 Weight: 11000 kg (24,25 lib) Powerplant: one Praga AC petrol engine developing 111,9 kW (150 hp) Dimensions: lengthoverall4.65 m (15 ft 3.1 in); width 2.35 m (7 ft 8,5 in); height 2.48 m (9 ft 16 in) .48 m (8 ft 1.6 in) Performance: maximum road speed 42 km/h (26 mph); roadrange 140 km (87 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.84 m (33 in); trench 1,3 m(4 ft 3 in); fording 0.9 m(35 in)

This Marder III was captured in North Africa in April 1943 and mountedits 7.5-cm (2.95-in)Pak40/3 in a central position. It was a very simple conversion of a Czech tank chassis but was effective enough.



The later Marder Ills had themain gun position moved to the rear of the chassis and the engine to the front. This provided a better-balanced and

more handy vehicle, and nearly 800 were produced, still using the basic components of the PzKpfw 38(t) tank.





Although tank-destroyer conversions of existing tank chassis to produce weapons such as the Marder III were moderately successful, the results were, in overall terms, high and clumsy vehicles that lacked finesse and showed every sign of the haste in which they had originally been produced. In contrast, the various Sturmgeschütz close-support artillery vehicles demonstrated on many occasions that they too could be used as tank destroyers, and thus in 1943 it was decided to produce a light Panzerjäger along Sturmgeschütz lines, the chassis of the PzKpfw 38(t) being taken as the basis,

The result was one of the best of all the German Panzerjäger: the Jagdpan-zer 38(t) für 7.5-cm Pak 39, or Hetzer (baiter, as in bull-baiting). The Hetzer used the basic engine, suspension and running gear of the PzKpfw 38(t) allied to a new armoured hull that sloped inwards to provide extra protection for the crew of four. The armament was the usual 7,5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 39 modified for the vehicle, along with a roof-mounted machine-gun. Production of the new vehicle began in Prague at the end of 1943 and also involved were factories at Pilsen, Königgrätz, Böhm and Breslau, These factories were soon working flat out, for the Hetzer proved to be a very successful gun/ chassis combination: it was small and low, yet it was well protected and had very good cross-country performance. The gun could knock out all but the very heaviest enemy tanks, yet the Hetzeritself was very difficult to knock out and in combat it was so small as to be virtually invisible to the enemy gunners. Calls for more and more came from the front line, to the extent that by late 1944 all available PzKpfw 38(t) production was diverted towards the Hetzer. Production continued until the factories were overrun in May 1944, by which time 1,577 had been built.

Several versions of the Hetzer were produced: one was a flamethrower, the Flammpanzer 38(t), and another a light recovery version, the Bergepanzer 38(t). But the Hetzer story did not cease in 1945. It was not long before the Hetzer was placed back in production for the new Czech army. The Hetzer was even exported to Switzerland between 1947 and 1952, the Swiss army using these Hetzers until the 1970s.

The wartime Hetzers were used for a series of trials and various weapon mountings. At one point trials were carried out with guns connected directly to the front hull armour and with no recoil mechanism fitted to see if the concept would work (it did). One trial model was an assault howitzer mounting a 15-cm (5.9-in) infantry howitzer and there were several similar projects, but none reached the production stage for the assembly lines had to concentrate on churning out more and more basic Hetzers to meet demands,

The Hetzer is now regarded as one of the best of all the German Panzerjäger for it was a powerful little vehicle that was much more economical to produce and use than many of the larger vehicles. Despite being armed with only a 75-mm gun, it could knock out nearly every tank it was likely to find and yet it was little higher than a standing man,

Specification

Hetzer Crew: 4

Weight: 14500 kg (31,967 lb) Powerplant: one Praga AC/2800'petrol engine developing 111.9-119.3 kW (150-160hp) Dimensions: length overall 6.20 m (20 ft

4.1 in) and hull 4.80 m (15 ft 9 in); width

2,50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); height 2.10 m (6 ft 10.7 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 39 km/h (24,2 mph); road range 250 km (155 miles); gradient 75 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.65 m (25,6 in); trench 1.3 m (4ft 3.2 in); fording 0.9 m (35 in) Thisjadgpanzer 38(t) Hetzer has a roof-mounted remote control machine-gun for local and selfprotection. The small stand-off armour side pia tes are fitted with the large road wheels providing more side-on protection. Well over 1,500 of these vehicles were produced.



The low height of the Hetzer can be clearly appreciated. Note the wellshaped 'Saukopf (pig's head) gun mantlet that provided extra head-on

protection and thelackofa muzzle brake, usually fitted to other German vehicles of this type.





Combat experience gained during the 1942 campaigns indicated to German staff planners that the existing Sturmgeschütz close support artillery vehi-cles would have to be upgunned if they were to continue to be used as tankdestroyers, and the future standard weapon was selected as the long ver-sion of the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) tank gun fitted to the Panther tank. This gun was 20 cellure lung (convergence to the second seco 70 calibres long (as opposed to the 49-calibre length of the tank and antitank versions of the Pak 40 family) and to house this gun in vehicles such as the Sturmgeschütz III would reguire considerable modifications. These modifications would take time so it was decided to adapt the larger PzKpfw IV tank chassis to act as a 'fail safe' model. besign work was soon under way on this new model, which emerged in 1943 as the Jagdpanzer IV Aus F für 7.5-cm Pak 39 or Panzerjäger 39, but by the time the first examples were ready the long 7,5-cm guns were earmarked for the Panther tanks and so the first



examples had to be content with 48calibre guns.

The first of these Jagdpanzer IVs appeared in October 1943. They consisted of the well-tried suspension and engine layout of the PzKpfw IV allied to a new armoured carapace with wellsloped sides. This hull was much lower than the hull/turret combination of the tank, and mounted the gun in a wellprotected mantlet on the front hull. The result was well-liked by the Panzerjäger crews, who appreciated the low silhouette and the well-protected hull, so the Jagdpanzer IV was soon in great demand. The gun was powerful

'I heJagdpanzer IV (SdKfz 162) was a Panzerjäger version ofthePzKpfwIV tank and housed its 7.5-cm (2.95-in) main gun in a superstructure formed from well-sloped armoured plates. This is an early example with the guns still retaining them uzzle brake, an item later omitted.



enough to tackle virtually any enemy tank, and in action the Jagdpanzer IV was soon knocking up appreciable 'kill' totals, especially on the Eastern Front where most were sent. The secondary armament of two 7.92-mm (0.312-m) MG34 or MG42 machineguns also proved highly effective. Many Panzer commanders considered that the Jagdpanzer IV was good enough in its original form to re-

Many Panzer commanders considered that the Jagdpanzer IV was good enough in its original form to require no upgunmng but Hitler insisted that the change to the long gun had to be made. Thus during 1944 some Jagdpanzer IV mit 7.5-cm Stuk 42 equipments with the longer L/70 gun appeared, but the changeover on the production line took time, too much time for Hitler, who insisted that the changeover to the new gun had to be made even if it meant diverting all PzKpfw IV tank production to that end. Thus a third Jagdpanzer IV appeared, this time a hasty conversion of a basic PzKpfw IV hull to take a form of Jagdpanzer IV sloping carapace and mounting the 70-calibre gun. This conversion was known as the Panzer IV/70 Zwischenlösung (interim) and was in production by late 1944. In service the 70-calibre gun Jagd-

In service the 70-calibre gun Jagdpanzer IVs proved to be powerful tank killers, but the extra weight of the long gun made the vehicles nose-heavy to the extent that the front road wheels had to be ringed with steel instead of rubber to deal with the extra weight, The gun weight also reduced the overall performance of the vehicle, especially across rough terrain. But by late 1944 and early 1945 such drawbacks

Sermany Nashorn

During the mid-war years the German army carried out a large number of hurried improvisations in order to get useful numbers of Panzerjäger into the field, and some of these improvisations fared better than others. One of these hasty measures was the adoption of the special weapon-carrier vehicle that had originally been produced to carry the large 15-cm (5.9-in) sFH 18 field howitzer and known as the Geschützwagen III/IV as it was based on the chassis of the PzKpfw IV but used some of the drive components of the PzKpfw III. Despite the great demand for the artillery version of this weapon carrier it was decided to adapt it to carry the large 8,8-cm (3.46-m) Pak 43 anti-tank gun as the 8,8-cm Pak 43/1 auf GW III/IV. The first of these new Panzerjäger were issued during 1943, and the type went under two names: the official name was Nashorn (rhinoceros) but Hornisse (hornet) was also widely applied.

also widely applied. The Nashorn was very much one of the 'interim' Panzerjäger designs, for although the gun was mounted behind armour at the front and sides this armour was relatively thin, and the top and rear were open. The gun mounting itself was rather high, so the Nashorn had definite combat deficiencies, not the least of which was the problem of concealing the height and bulk of the vehicle on a battlefield, As the chassis had been intended as an artillery carrier the bulk problem was originally of little moment, but for a Panzerjäger it was of considerable importance, making the stalking of tank targets very difficult. Thus the Nashorn

46

simply had to be overlooked, for the Allies were at the gates of the Reich and anything that could be put into the field was used.

The Jagdpanzer IV proved to be a sound Panzerjäger that enabled the Germans to utilize existing production capacity and maintain the PzKpfw IV line in being when it would otherwise have been phased out. In service the Jagdpanzer IV was a popular vehicle and a powerful tank-killer.

Specification

Jagdpanzer IV mit 7.5-cm Stuk 42 Crew:4 Weight: 25800 kg (56,879 lb) Powerplant: one May bach HL 120 petrolengine developing 197.6 kW (265 hp) Dimensions: length overall 8.58m (28 ft 1.8 in); width 2.93 m (9 ft 7.4 in); height overall 1.96m (6 ft 5.2 in) Performance: maximum road speed 35 km/h(22 mph); roadrange 214 km (133 miles); gradient 57 percent; vertical obstacle 0.6 m (23.6 in); trench 2.3 m (7 ft 6,6 in); fording 1.2 m (3 ft 11 in)

This early production Jagdpanzer IV has the muzzle brake still fitted. La ter versions used a much longer 7.5-cm (2.95-in) main gun, but this longer gun rather overloaded the chassis, and later versions also used side armour plates. This Panzerjäger was later considered to be one of the best of its type.





was often used as a 'stand-off weapon that was able to use the considerable power and long-range accuracy of its gun to pick off targets at ranges of 2000 m (2,187 yards) and more; most of the other Panzerjäger types fought at much closer combat ranges.

The Nashorn carried a crew of five with only the driver under complete armoured protection. The rest of the crew was carried in the open fighting compartment with only a canvas cover to protect it from the elements, Most of the 40 rounds carried were located in lockers along the sides of the open compartment and the gunner was equipped not only with the usual direct vision sighting devices but also with artillery dial sights for the occasions when the Pak 43 could be used as a long-range artillery weapon. During the latter stages of production the Pak 43 gun was replaced by the similar 3-cm Pak 43/41, a weapon introduced to speed up production of the Pak 43; although it was manufactured differently from the original it was identical as far as ballistics were concerned. The Nashorn carried a machine-gun for local defence and the crew was supposed to be issued with at least two sub-machine guns.

Most Nashorn production was centred at the Deutsche Eisenwerke, at Teplitz-Schönau and Duisburg, and by the time the last of the vehicles rolled off the lines during 1944 473 had been made, In combat the powerful gun made the Nashorn a potent vehicle/ weapon combination, but it was really too high and bulky for the Panzerjäger role and only a shortage of anything better at the time maintained the type in production. As it was it was succeeded by the Jagdpanther. 7776 SdKfz 164 Hornisse was the first Panzerjäger to mount the 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak43/l, and used the same chassis as the Hummel.

Specification Nashorn Crew: 5 Weight: 24400 kg (53,79

Weight: 24400 kg (53,793 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 petrol engine developing 197.6 kW (265 hp)

Dimensions: length overall 8.44 m(27 ft 8,3 in) and hull 5.80 m (19 ft 0.3 in); width 2.86 m (9 ft 4.6 in); height 2.65 m (8 ft 8.3 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); range 210 km (130.5 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0,6m (23.6 in); trench 2.3 m (7 ft 6.6 in); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7.5 in)



When the tank that was to become the Tiger was still in its planning stage two concerns, Henschel and Porsche, were in competition for the production contract. The Porsche entry was at one time the more favoured, mainly as a result of Professor Porsche's influence with Hitler, but also because the design featured a radical approach by employing a petrol-electric drive with electric motors actually propelling the vehicle. However, the Porsche approach proved to be unreliable on test and the Henschel entry went on to become the PZKpfw VI Tiger.

But by the time the Henschel design was in production, Porsche drives and the hulls to put them in were also ready for production. It was then decided to place the Porsche design in production for use as a heavy tank-destroyer mounting the new 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak 43/2 anti-tank gun, a development of the earlier Flak 18-37 anti-aircraft gun series. (Actually the Pak 43 was virtually a new gun and fired more powerful ammunition than the earlier guns.) The gun would be placed in a large armoured superstructure with limited traverse, and 90 of these vehicles were produced to become the Panzerjäger Tiger (P), later known as either Ferdinand or Elefant. The (P) denoted Porsche.

The Elefants were produced at the Nibelungwerke in something of a hurry during early 1943, the urgency being occasioned by the fact that Hitler demanded them to be ready for the opening of the main campaign of 1943, which was to commence against the Kursk salient on the Eastern Front; the new Panther tanks were also scheduled to make their combat debut in the same battle. Production delays and training the Panzertruppen to use their new charges delayed the start of the offensive until 5 July 1943. By then the Red Army was more than ready for them. The defences of

By then the Red Army was more than ready for them. The defences of the Kursk salient were formidable and the delays had enabled the Red Army to add to their effectiveness in depth so that when the Germans attacked their efforts were of little avail, For the Elefants the Kursk battles were a dreadful baptism of fire. The Elefants were



Above: The Elefant used a complex twin-engine power pack driving an electric transmisison that did not work too well in service. It was heavy, slow and ponderous, making it more of a heavy assault gun than a Panzerjäger. Most were used in Russia but a few ended up in Italy in 1944.

organized in two battalions (Abteilungen) of Panzerregiment 654, and even before going into action their troubles began. The Elefants had been rushed into use before their many technical bugs had been entirely removed, and many broke down as soon as they started to move forward. Those that did make it to the Soviet lines were soon in trouble, for although the vehicles were fitted with the most powerful anti-tank guns then available they lacked any form of secondary armament for self-defence. Soviet tankkiller infantry squads swarmed all over them and placed charges that either blew off their tracks or otherwise disabled them. The Elefant crews had no way of defending themselves at all and those that could either withdrew or abandoned their vehicles and ran.

Some Elefants did survive Kursk and were later fitted with machine-guns to defend themselves, but the Elefant never recovered from its inauspicious debut. The few that were left were



withdrawn to other fronts such as Italy but even there their unreliability and lack of spares soon rendered them useless. Some were captured by the Allies in Italy.

Specification Elefant Crew:6

Weight: 65000 kg (143,300 lb) Powerplant: two Maybach HL 120 TRM V-12 petrol engines each developing 395.2 kW (530 hp) and driving a Porche/Siemens-Schuckert petrolelectric drive Dimensions: length overall 8.128 m (26 ft8 in); width 3.378 m (11 ft 1 in); The Elefant was one of the failures of the German Panzerjäger designers, for despite its main 8.8-cm (3.46-in) gun it was too cumbersome and, more importantly, the first examples lacked any kind of self-defence armament. It was also too complicated and was generally unreliable.

height 2.997 m (9 ft 10 in) Performance: maximum road speed 20.1 km/h (12.5 mph); roadrange 153 km (95 miles); gradient 40 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (31.5 in); trench 2.65 m (8 ft 8.3 in); fording 1,0 m (3 ft 3.4 in)

Jagdpanther

When the vehicle now known as the Jagdpanther was first produced in February 1944, it marked a definite shift away from a period where Panzerjäger were hasty conversions or improvisations to a point where the tank-destroyer became a purpose-built weapon of war. The Jagdpanther was first mooted in early 1943, at a time when tank destroyers were required in quantity, and by taking the best available tank chassis it was hoped that production totals would meet demand. Thus the Panther chassis was used virtually unaltered as the basis for the new Panzerjäger, and an 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak 43 anti-tank gun was mounted on a well-sloped armoured hull superstructure, with a 7.92-mm (0.312-m) MG34 or MG42 machine-gun for local defence. The prototype, then known as the Panzerjäger Panther, was demonstrated to Hitler in October 1943 and it was Hitler himself who decreed that the name should be changed to Jagdpanther.

The Jagdpanther was one of those vehicles where superlatives could be justifiably lavished, for it was a superb fighting vehicle and destined to be one of the most famous of all the many World War II armoured fighting vehicles. It was fast and well protected, and it mounted a potent gun, but not content with all that it had about it a definite aura that distinguished it from all its contemporaries. So well balanced was the design that it would not be too out of place in any tank park today, 40 years after it first appeared. The Jagdpanther could knock out virtually any enemy tank, including the heavy Soviet IS-2s, although for them a side shot was required for a certain kill. At times single Jagdpanthers or small groups of them could hold up Allied armoured advances for considerable periods. Fortunately for the Allies, production of the Jagdpanther never reached the planned rate of 150 per month. By the time the production facilities were overrun during April 1945 only 382 had been completed, a fact for which Allied tank crews must have been very grateful. The main cause of these low production totals was the disruption and damage caused by Allied bomber raids on the two main centres of production, the MIAG plant at Braunschweig and the Brandenburg Eisenwerk Kirchmöser at Brandenburg. These disruptions led to their being several variations of Jagdpanther in use. Some had large bolted-on gun mantlets while others had much smaller mantlet collars. Late-production versions used guns built with the barrels in two parts to ease barrel changing when the bores became worn, and the stowage of tools and other bits and pieces on the outside also varied considerably.

The Jagdpanther had a crew of five and there was space inside the wellsloped and heavily-armoured superstructure for 60 rounds of ammunition. When the war ended plans had been made to produce a new version mounting a 12.8-cm (5.04-in) anti-tank gun, though in the event only a wooden mock-up had been built. But even with the usual 8.8-cm gun the Jagdpanther was truly a formidable tank destroyer that was much feared and respected by Allied tank crews. Few other armoured fighting vehicles of World War II achieved its unique combination of power, lethality, mobility and protection.

Specification Jagdpanther Crew: 5 Weight: 46000 kg (101,411 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 petrol engine developing 447.4-522.0kW (600-700 hp) Dimensions: length overall 9.90 m (32 ft 5.8 in) and hull 6.87 m (22 ft 6.5 in); width 3.27 m(10 ft 8,7 in); height 2,715 m (8 ft 10.9 in) Performance: maximum road speed 55 km/h(34.2 mph); roadrange 160 km (99.4 miles); gradient 70 percent; vertical obstacle 0.9 m(35 in); trench 1.9 m(6 ft 3 in); fording 1.7 m(5 ft? in)



By 1943 it was an established German policy that as soon as any new tank design became available, a fixedsuperstructure version mounting a limited-traverse gun would be produced. Thus when the massive Tiger II or Königstiger (King Tiger) appeared, a corresponding Panzerjäger was developed. An iron mock-up development model of this super-heavy tank destroyer appeared in October 1943, and production began during 1944 under the designation Panzerjäger Tiger Ausf B, more commonly known as the Jagdtiger.

With the Jagdtiger the Germans produced the heaviest and most powerful armoured vehicle of World War II. The Jagdtiger had an official weight of no less than 70000 kg (154,324 lb) but by the time extra combat equipment and a full load of ammunition plus the crew of six had been added the weight rose to around 76000 kg (167,551 lb). Much of this weight was attributable to the armour, which was no less than 250 mm (9.84 in) thick on the front plate of the superstructure, The main armament was originally a 12.8-cm (5.04-in) Pak 44 anti-tank gun, but this was later changed to the similar Pak 80 and at one time a shortage of these guns caused by Allied bomber raids meant that the much smaller 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak 43/3 had to be used. The 12.8-cm guns were the most powerful anti-tank weapons used by any side during World War II, and the large size of its ammunition meant that each Jagdtiger could carry only 38 or 40 rounds. The defensive armament was two 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-guns.

defensive armaniciti was two 7.52 min. (0.312-in) machine-guns. Without a doubt the Jagdtiger was a massive and powerful vehicle as far as weapon power and protection were concerned, but in respect of mobility it could be regarded only as ponderous. It was driven by the same engine as that used in the Jagdpanther, but this engine had to drive the much greater weight of the Jagdtiger and to do this had usually to be driven full out, considerably increasing the fuel consumption and reducing range. When moving across country the Jagdtiger had a speed of only 14.5 km/h (9 mph) and often less, and the maximum possible cross-country range was only 120 km (74,5 miles). This reduced the Jagdtiger from being a true Panzerjäger to a sort of mobile defensive pill-



meter Provident of the and the second the

Above: Two types of suspension were used on the Jagdtiger. This example has the Henschel suspension; the other type used larger road wheels from Porsche. Based on the Tiger II tankchassis, only about 70 were produced, and it was the heaviest AFV to see service during World War II.

box, but by the time the Jagdtiger was inservice the Germans were fighting a defensive war so the lack of mobility was not so desperate as it once might have been.

The production line for the Jagdtiger was at the Nibelungwerk at St Valentin where total production ran to only 70 vehicles, as a result mainly of the disruption caused by Allied bombing, not only at the factories but in the raw material supply lines. By the time the war ended two types of Jagdtiger were to be encountered, one with Henschel suspension and later versions with an extra road axle and Porsche suspension. In both forms the Jagdtigers were ponderous to an extreme and although on paper they were the most heavily armed and protected of all the armoured fighting vehicles used during World War II (and for many years afterwards) they remained considerably underpowered, a fact that rendered them little more than mobile weapon platforms.



Specification Jagdtiger Crew: 6 Weight: 76000 kg (167,551 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 petrolengine developing 447.4-522,0kW (600-700 hp) Dimensions: length overall 10.654 m (34 ft 11.4 in); width 3.625 m (11 ft 10.7 in); height 2.945 m (9 ft 8 in) Performance: maximum speed 34.6 km/h (21.5 mph); road range 170 km (105 miles); gradient 70 per The massive Jagdtiger with its 128mm (5.04-in) gun was a powerful weapon, but it was underpowered and too heavy to be anything other than a purely defensive weapon. Not many were made before the war ended, but the 250-mm (9.84-in) frontal armour made it a difficult vehicle to knock out.

cent; vertical obstacle 0.85 m (33.5 in); trench 3,0 m (9 ft 10 in); fording 1.65 m (5 ft 5 in)



During World War II the Italians were never noted for dramatic innovations as far as armoured vehicle design was concerned. However, in one aspect they were abreast of tactical thinking elsewhere for they became interested in the tank-destroyer concept during the late 1930s. At that time they produced an intriguing design known as the Semovente L.3 da 47/32 mounting a 47-mm (1.85-m) anti-tank gun with a barrel 32 calibres long (hence 47/32). The L.3 mounted the gun on an open

The Italian Semovente L.3 da 47/32 was an early attempt to mount an anti-tank gun on a light tankchassis, and was much used for trials and various gunnery tests. It generally lacked protection and was later replaced by better designs.



.

mounting at the front of a small and low chassis based on that of the L.3 tankette; a two-man crew was carried. This early project did not get far for there was virtually no protection for the crew and the idea attracted little attention. When the Italians entered the war in

When the Italians entered the war in 1941 they soon realized that their much-vaunted tank arm was seriously undergunned and lacked protection. This was particularly true of their lighter tanks, in which the Italian treasury had invested to a considerable degree, especially the L.6 series that generally lacked protection and were armed only with a short 37-mm (1.456-in) gun of limited anti-armour capability. The main combat version, the L.6/40, soon proved to be of little combat value against the British armour then in use in North Africa and was obviously ripe for the usual limited-traverse anti-tank gun treatment. It was not long in coming when Fiat-SPA and Ansaldo combined to use the chassis for the basis of a tank destroyer.

The gun used for the new vehicle was the powerful 47-mm licence-built version of the Austrian Böhler dual-

ITALY

purpose anti-tank/infantry support gun, one of the hardest-hitting of all anti-armour weapons in its day. On the new Semovente L. 40 da 47/32 it was mounted in a simple box-like superstructure built directly onto the light tank chassis, and while this simple arrangement worked well enough the slab sides of the superstructure lacked the added protection that sloping sides would have provided. But it was better than nothing and went straight into service from 1942 onwards. In all about 280 were produced and in action they proved to be capable enough when dealing with the lighter British and other armour on the battlefields of North Africa, Ammunition stowage was 70 rounds.

When the Italians surrendered to the Allies in 1943 the Germans quickly took over as many Italian armoured vehicles and as much Italian equipment as they could. The Semovente L.40 da 47/32 was among this booty, and was quickly impressed as part of the equipment of German units fighting in Italy. However, the terrain of many of the Italian battlefields during the long slog north that lasted through 1944 and 1945 was such that armour could be used on few occasions, and the Semovente L.40s often had their anti-tank armament removed and were used instead as mobile command posts for senior commanders, with an armament of one 8-mm (0.315in) Breda modello 38 machine-gun, The Semovente L.40 da 47/32 may

The Semovente L. 40 da 47/32 may have been a simple conversion and it had little impact on enemy armour, but it did demonstrate that the Italians had absorbed the tank destroyer concept at an early stage of the war and used it as well as their limited production basis allowed.

Specification Semovente L.40 da 47/32 Crew: 2 Weight: 6500 kg (14,330 lb) Powerplant: one SPA 18D 4-cylmder petrol engine developing 50.7 kW

(68 hp) Dimensions: length 4.00 m (13 ft 1.5 in) and hull 3.782 m (12 ft 4.9 in); width 1,92m(6ft 3.6 in); height 1.63 m (5 ft 4.2 in)



The Semovente L.40 da 47/32 was used in some numbers by the Italian and later the German armies, and was a conversion oftheL.6/40 light tank to take the powerful Italian 47mm (1.85-in) anti-tankgun. Its boxlike superstructure was later widely used to act as a mobile command post or ammunition carrier.

Performance: maximum road speed 42.3 km/h (26.3 mph); road range 200 km (124 miles); gradient84 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (31.5 in); trench 1.7 m(5 ft? in); fordingO.8 m (31.5 in)

Semovente M.41M da 90/53

The Italians used the chassis of their M. 13 tank as the basis for a number of self-propelled guns (Semovente), but most of them were built along the lines of the German Sturmgeschütz types and were intended for use as close-support assault artillery. At times they could be used against tanks with some degree of success, but that was not their primary function and the Italians produced only one really heavy type of tank destroyer. This was the Semovente M.41M da 90/53, which used the chassis of the M. 14/41 tank, a development of the M. 14 M da 90/53 car-

ried a powerful anti-armour weapon in the form of the cannone da 90/53 antiaircraft gun, a long and very powerful weapon that had a performance very similar to that of the famous German 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Flak series, The gun's primary characteristics were denoted by the 90/53 designation, for it was a 90-mm (3.54-in) gun with a barrel 53 calibres long, To accommodate the gun mounting the engine was moved to the front of the chassis and the gun was mounted at the rear. In action two men sat on the gun mounting behind a gun shield; there was no other form of protection as the Italian approach was that such a powerful gun would not be used directly in the front line but would instead be used *as* a 'stand-off weapon picking off tank targets at long ranges, No ammunition could be carried on the vehicle itself; 26 rounds were carried in a special conversion of the L.6 light tank that used a box-like superstructure very similar to that of the Semovente L.40 da 47/32, and another 40 rounds were carried in a trailer towed by the ammunition carrier. In action the long rounds were loaded into the gun breech by ammunition numbers standing on the ground behind the Semovente M.41

After noting the power of the German 8.8-cm Flak series the Italians were gmck to get their Semovente M.4IM into production. The first example came off the Fiat, SPA and Ansaldo lines during 1941, but in the end only 48



were produced, The main reason for this small total was the lack of production potential within Italian industry and the ever-pressing requirements for the Cannone da 90/53 as an antiaircraft gun. In the field the Semovente M.41M proved to be a powerful weapon, especially across the flat wastes of the North African deserts, but once that campaign ended so did the gun's career with the Italian army, Soon after the fall of Sicily and the inva-sion of the Italian mainland the Italians surrendered. The Germans had been expecting such a move and promptly took control of as much I talian war matériel as they could lay their hands on, and among the loot was a number of Semovente M. 41Ms. The Germans soon had control of the gun's ammunition production facilities and thus the weapon ended up as part of the German army's inventory, with the type still in service in northern Italy when the war ended. By then there was little call for their tank-killing capabilities, for much of the Italian campaign took place over mountainous country where few tanks could move, so the Semovente M.41 Ms were used mainly as long-range artillery.

Specification Semovente M.4 IM da 90/53 Crew: (on gun) 2 Weight: 17000 kg (37,479 lb) Powerplant: one SPA 15-TM-418cylinder petrol engine developing 108.1kW(145hp) Dimensions: length5.205 m(17 ft 0.9 in); width2.20m(7 ft2.6 in); height 2.15 m(7 ft 0.6 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 35,5 km/h (22 mph); road range 200 km (124 miles); vertical obstacle 0,9m (35,4 in); trench 2.1m(6 ft 10.7 in); fording 1.0m(3 ft 3 in) The Semovente M.41 M da 90/53 was the most power fui of the Italian tank destroyers, and used the 90-mm (3.54-in) anti-aircraft gun mounted on an M. 15/42 tank chassis.

A Semovente M.41 M da 90/53 is examined by American troops after being knocked out in Sicily in 1943. To serve the gun, the crewhad to stand behind the breech and only the driver had all-round armour. These guns were first used in North Africa in late 1943 and were much respected.





3-in Gun Motor Carriage MIO

During the late 1930s and early 1940s the US Army formulated anovel tactical doctrine, whereby fast-moving armoured formations were to be countered by a new tank destroyer force comprising towed and self-propelled high-velocity anti-tank guns. This tank destroyer force was to be used en masse and was to be armed with powerful guns, and one of the first operational results of this doctrine was the Gun Motor Carriage MIO selfpropelled mounting armed with a 76.2 mm (3-in) gun known as the M7, a development of an anti-aircraft weapon. The secondary armament was one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning machine-gun.

was one 12.7 mm (0.5-m) browning machine-gun. The MIO used the main chassis of the M4A2 medium tank (the Sherman) allied to a new thinly-armoured upper hull and an open-topped turret. The relatively thin armour of the hull was improved by the use of sloping armour plates to increase protection, and sloped armour was also used on the turret. Unlike many other tank destroyers of the time the MIO had a turret with 360° traverse, for although the MIO was intended for use as a tank destroyer it was seen by the US Army as a gun earner and was not intended for close-order combat, hence the relatively thin armour. The gun was quite powerful for the period it was introduced. Production commenced during September 1942, and such was the potential of American industry that when production ceased in December 1942 4,993 had been produced,

The bulk of this total went to US Army tank destroyer battalions, and in early 1943 there were 106 active battalions. But as the war continued their number gradually decreased when it was realized that the tank destroyer concept as an arm separate from the rest of the American armoured forces was wrong and as it emerged that the best counter to a tank was another tank. But the tank destroyer force remained in being until the war ended, most of the battalions being used in Europe. By the end of the war many of the M10s and their associated equipments and towed guns were being Above: The American MIO was designed to be the main weapon of the Tank Destroyer Command's mobile units, and mounted a 3-in (76.2-mm) gun in an open-topped turret. The armour protection was relatively thin, as the weight ofbetter armour was sacrificed for all-round performance and speed once in action.

iP

used more as assault forces than tank destroyers. The MIO was the primary equipment of these battalions and was used not only by the US Army but by the British (who knew the MIO as the Wolverine) and later by the French and Italian armies. In combat the MIO proved to be less than a complete success, for despite its thin armour it was a large and bulky vehicle and as time went on the gun lost much of its antiarmour effectiveness. But the M 10s were still in use when the war ended. By then the British had re-gunned many of their M 10s with 17-pdr guns and re-named the type Achilles, The MIO had in the meantime been joined by the M10A1, which was the same vehicle but using the chassis of the M4A3 medium tank with its different engine installation and some other changes.

The M 10s were used in battalions, each with around 36 M 10s and with strong reconnaissance and anti-



aircraftelements, Byearly 1945 most of the tank destroyer battalions were distributed among the more conventional armoured formations, and were then used exactly as other armoured formations and the exclusive tank destroyer concept died.

Specification MI0

Crew: 5

Weight: 29937 kg (66,000 lb) Powerplant: two General Motors 6cylmder diesel engines each developing 276,6 kW (375 hp) Dimensions: length overall 6.83 m(22ft 5 in); width 3.05 m (10 ft); height 2.57 m Latein the war theMIO(left) was supplemented by theM36 (right), which useda90-mm (3.54-in) gun, still in an open-topped turret. The M36 was designed as early as 1942 but took a long time to get into production, so that it was late 1944 before the first of them reached Europe. By then they were mainly used as assa ult guns.

(8 ft 5 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 51 km/h (32 mph); roadrange 322 km (200 miles); gradient 25°; vertical obstacle0.46m(18 in); trench2.26m (7 ft 5 in); fording 0.91 m (3 ft)



3-in Gun Motor Carriage M18

Whereas the MIO was produced for the tank destroyer battalions by converting an existing tank chassis (the M4A2), the Gun Motor Carriage M18 was designed from the outset for the tank destroyer role. Development began during 1942, and the first examples were ready during 1943. In service the M18 proved to be one of the best examples of the American tank destroyer concent. It was much

In service the M18 proved to be one of the best examples of the American tank destroyer concept. It was much smaller than the MIO and weighed only about half as much, but it carried a more powerful gun and was much faster, Indeed, the M18 was the fastest tracked vehicle to be used in action during World War II. The gun was the 76,2-mm (3-in) MIAI or MIA2, the latter having a muzzle brake. The MIAI gun was a development of the gun used in the MIO, but had a better allround performance and it was mounted in an open-topped turret. In appearance the M18 resembled a tank, and it did indeed have a 360° traverse turret, but its armour protection was much less than would be ex-



pected in a tank and the M18 relied uponits mobility and striking power to defend itself, The engine was positioned to the rear of the hull and was a radial air-cooled petrol engine with aviation origins that was powerful enough to give the M18 a good powerto-weight ratio to provide the vehicle with excellent acceleration and agility. Internal stowage was such that as well as carrying the crew of five men there was space for 4576.2-mm rounds and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun for local and anti-aircraft defence.

In service with the tank destroyer battalions the M18 was given the name

The M18 Hellcat had the distinction of being the fastest of allAFVs used during World War II. Armed with a long 76-mm (3-in) gun, it was an ideal tank-hunting vehicle, but as with other vehicles of its type it generally lacked armour and was fitted with an open-topped turret.

Hellcat. Despite their success in action the M18s were gradually switched from the tank destroyer battalions as the enthusiasm for the exclusive tank destroyer concept dwindled, and by 1945 many M18s were used by con-ventional armoured formations within the US Army. By then they were being used more and more as assault guns and conventional self-propelled artil-

TheproductionrunoftheM18lasted from July 1943 to October 1944, when it was obvious that the war was not going to last much longer. Between those dates 2,507 M18s were produced, some being completed without turrets as the M39 for use as high-speed troop or supply carriers. There was also a T65 Flame Tank based on the M18 with a much revised upper hull mounting a flame gun in front. The T88 Howitzer Motor Carriage was an attempt to mount a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer on the basic M18 and there were other



Although the British army tended to lag behind the Germans in upgunning its tanks as World War II progressed, an early decision by British planners to make a quantum leap in anti-tank gun calibres from the 57mm (2.244 in) of the 6-pdr to 76.2 mm (3 in) was a bold one, for it was made at a time when the 6-pdr was only just getting into produc-tion. It was realized that the new 76.2mm gun, soon to be known as the 17pdr, would be a very large and heavy weapon on its towed carriage, so it was decided to find some means of making it mobile, Ideally the 17-pdr was to be used as a tank gun, but the tanks large enough to carry such a large weapon were still a long way off (indeed had not even left the drawing boards) so a short-term alternative had to be found.

After investigating such in-production means as the Crusader tank chassis it was decided to mount the 17-pdr on the Valentine infantry tank chassis. The Valentine was in production and could be rapidly adapted for its new gun-carrying role by adding a sloping superstructure, open at the top, on the forward part of the hull. To ensure the gun/chassis combination would not be nose-heavy and unwieldy, it was decided to place the gun in a limited-traverse-mounting facing over the rear of the chassis, This vehicle was obviously meant to be a tank destroyer and it was placed in production in late 1943.

It was March 1943 before the first SP 17-pdr Valentine rolled off the production lines, the initial example of 800 that had been ordered. The troops looked at the new vehicle with some trepidation, for the idea of having a gun that faced to the rear only was against established practice, Drivers were also less than enchanted, for they were positioned at the centre front of the fighting compartment and the gun breech was directly behind their heads; on firing the breech block came to within a short distance of the back of the driver's head. The rest of the crew was made up of the gun layer, the commander and the loader. Protective fire could be supplied by one

7,7-mm (0.303-in) Bren gun. It was October 1944 before the first of these Valentine/17-pdr combina-

attempts to mount a 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and turret on the chassis. None of these versions got past the ex-perimental stage, a fate shared by many other trial versions of the basic M18 including a mobile command post, a utility carrier and an amphi-bious variant.

Specification M18

Crew:5 Weight: 17036 kg (37,557 lb) Powerplant: one Continental R-975 C1 radial petrol engine developing 253.5kW(340hp)

Dimensions: length overall 6.65 m (21 ft 10 in) and hull 5.44 m (17 ft 10 in); width 2.87 m (9 ft5 in); height 2.58 m (8 ft 5.5 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 88,5 km/h (55 mph); road range 169 km (105 miles); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.91 m (36 in); trench 1.88 m (6 ft 2 in); fording 1.22 m (4 ft)



Ml 8 Hellcats went out of production in October 1944 after 2,507 had been built. The Ml 8 was the only vehicle specifically designed for the US

Army's tank destroyer role, and was a most successful combat vehicle capable of tackling all but the very heaviest German tanks.



tions reached the fighting in Europe. By then the type had become known as the Archer, and in action the Archer's tank-killing capabilities were soon demonstrated. The rearwardfacing gun was soon seen to be no problem, but rather a virtue. The Archer was soon in use as an ambush weapon where its low silhouette made it easy to conceal in a hide. As enemy tanks approached a few shots could be fired to kill a tank and then the Archer was facing the right way to make a was facing the right way to make a quick getaway before enemy retalia-tion arrived. The Archers were used by the anti-tank companies of the Royal Artillery, and they were de-finitely preferred to the weight and bulk of the towed 17-pdr guns used by the same companies the same companies.

The end of the war brought about a halt in Archer production at a point where 655 of the original order for 800 had been produced. The Archers went on to equip British army anti-tank units until the mid-1950s.

Specification

Archer Crew:4

Weight: 16257 kg (35,840 lb) Powerplant: one General Motors 6-71 6-cylinder diesel developing 143.2kW (192hp)

Dimensions: length overall 6.68 m (21 ft 11 in) and hull 5.54 m (18 ft 6 in); width 2.76 m (9 ft 0.5 in); height 2.25 m (7 ft 4.5 in)

Performance: maximum road speed .2km/h(20mph);roadrange225km

(140miles): gradient 32°; vertical obstacle0.84m(33in); trench2.36m (7ft9in); fording0.91m(3ft)

The British Archer was a conversion of the Valentine infantry tank to mount a 17-pdr (3-in/76.2-mm) antitankgun that fired over therearhull. The first of them were used in action in late 1944 and proved to be very useful weapons with a low silhouette. They were used by the Royal Artillery.



Although the rear-facing main gun of the Archer could have been a tactical liability, the users put it to advantage by using the Archers from an

ambush position and then driving away after the action with the gun barrel stillpoin ting to the rear.

Special Purpose Tanks

Modem armies can call upon a whole family of specialist armoured vehicles for combat engineering operations, but in World War II such vehicles were a novelty. Several nations developed tanks for roles, such as armoured recovery, but Britain led the way with a bewildering variety of tank conversions known as 'Funnies'.



A Churchill tank with a fascine attached to the front which could be used to lay a mat over soft ground - such as sand on the Normandy beaches - for other tracked vehicles to cross.

The contents of this section show something of an imbalance in comparison with the contents of other sections in this book, for they deal mainly with the many types of special purpose vehicles used by the British 79th Armoured Division. For once there is no preponderance of US designs because during World War II the Americans spent little of their considerable potential on the types of vehicle included here. They concentrated on combat vehicles pure and simple, and from the factories of the United States poured streams of combat tanks and all manner of fighting vehicles.

But it was a different matter once these vehicles reached Europe. Once they had arrived many of them were reworked for special purposes, which included developments ranging from armoured engineer vehicles to mine-clearing tanks of several types. The situation was different in Europe as far as the British were concerned: they had a special task to perform, namely the invasion of Europe in order to take on the German army on the continent. The only way they could do that was by using special vehicles of all kinds: those which could clear battlefield obstacles, recover precious disabled vehicles and perform special tasks such as burning out stubborn strongpoints. The Germans and Americans did not bother to use specialist vehicles on such a large scale. Instead they decided to make do with what they had, and they often suffered accordingly. For the simple fact is that many of the special purpose vehicles included in this section actually saved lives. Combat engineers operating from inside the protection of an armoured vehicle were much safer in action than hapless soldiers attempting to work out in the open, while men using mine-clearing tanks of whatever type were safer than men using manual clearing methods.

However, not all special purpose vehicles fell into this category. Those described in this section include command vehicles, ammunition or cargo carriers, and even such oddities as the Rammtiger, which was supposed to knock down buildings in urban warfare. They are a motley bunch but full of interest and a subject worthy of study in its own right.



The demolition charges used by the British 'Funnies' were nearly all carried by Churchill AVREs, for the emplacing of these special powerful charges was one of the tasks for which the AVRE was intended. The charges themselves were special obstacledemolishing packs of high explosive that had to be placed against the target, which might be anything from a sea or anti-tank wall to a blockhouse or an offending building. Sometimes the charges were large single chunks of explosive, and in others they were small charges set in a pattern and held in a steel frame. One thing all the various charges did have in common and that was odd and even bizarre names,

that was odd and even bizarre names, One of the more straightforward of these charge devices was the Bangalore Torpedo. These pipe charges were intended for mine- or barbed wire-clearing, but could be used for other purposes and on the AVRE they were held in front-mounted frames, also used for the Jones Onion. The Jones Onion first appeared in 1942 and was the codename given to a frame onto which various charges could be attached. The frame was carried on two arms, one on each side of the AVRE, and was held upright as the target was approached. Once in position the frame was released by pulling on a cable and two legs on the bottom of the frame were so arranged that the frame always fell against the target obstacle. The charges could then be fired electrically by a trailing cable after the AVRE had reversed away. The side-mounted arms could then be jettisoned if required. Another device that appeared in

Another device that appeared in 1942 was the Carrot, This was a much simpler device than the large Onion and consisted of a charge held in front



of the AVRE on a simple steel arm. The idea was that the AVRE simply moved up to the target and the charge was then ignited. The charges involved ranged in weight from 5.44 kg (12 lb) up to 11.34kg (25 lb), the smaller charge rejoicing in the name of Light Carrot. The Carrot was used extensively for trials but was abandoned during late 1943 and was not used in action.

However, the Goat was used in action, This may be considered as a development of the Onion but it was much larger and involved the use of a frame 3.2 m (10 ft 6 in) wide and 1.98 m (6 ft 6 in) long. Onto this frame could be arranged up to 816 kg (1,800 lb) of ex-

plosive, and the whole device was carried on the AVRE by side arms. The Goat was so arranged that it could be pushed against the structure to be demolished and the frame would then automatically release in a vertical position. The AVRE would then reverse away leaving the charges in position to be fired either electrically or by means of a pull igniter, A close cousin of the Goat was the Elevatable Goat. This was intended for use against high obstacles such as anti-tank walls, and when fitted on the AVRE was carried on the nose of the hull rather like an assault bridge. The 'bridge' was in fact a frame on which linked charges were slung. The frame was placed against

The Jones Onion, seen here carried by a Churchill tank, was a demolition device carried on a steel frame that could be placed against an obstacle such as an anti-tank wall. The frame was then released to allow the tank to retire and detonate the charge.

the wall to be demolished and then released from the AVRE. Once in position another release cable allowed the linked charges to fall away from the frame. The top section of the frame was above the top of the wall, and this allowed the charges to fall onto each side of the wall, which could then be destroyed once the AVRE had moved away.



Bangalore Torpedo tanks

by some form of remote detonator, These torpedoes were soon in use by armoured combat engineers to clear paths through minefields, and simple delivery devices such as that fitted to the front of a Churchill AVRE were soon devised. However the normal Bangalore Tor-

However the normal Bangalore Torpedo is only about 1.5 m (5 ft) long, and armoured engineers were often called upon to bridge minefields many metres deep. It would obviously save time and effort if longer torpedoes could be joined up to clear paths through deep minefields, and this course of action was followed to produce the 76.2-mm (3-m) Snake. On the Snake the lengths of explosive-filled

The Snake was a form of Bangalore Torpedo used to clear large minefields. Seen here carried on a Churchill, the Snake was assembled on the edge of a minefield, pushed across it by the tank and then detonated to clear a path.



tubing or pipe were 6.1 m (20 ft) long and could be joined together into lengths of up to 366 m (1,200 ft) to en-able them to be pulled across a minefield and then detonated to clear a path up to 6.4 m (21 ft) wide. It was better if the Snake tubing could be pushed across a minefield, but when this happened the lengths involved were less. Only 122 m (400 ft) of Snake tubing could be pushed in front of a tank with any degree of control. The tanks involved with Snake were usual-ly Shermans or Churchill AVREs, but the Royal Engineers did also have small numbers of a special vehicle. This was known as the Snake Carrier

and was a conversion of the Churchill Gun Carrier, a Churchill variant with a box superstructure that had been in-tended to mount a 76.2-mm gun for use as a tank destroyer. For a number of reasons the Churchill Gun Carrier was not accepted for service and the few vehicles involved carried Snake instead, on both sides of the box super-structure. The Gun Carrier moved the tubing to a point close to the target, and here the crew assembled the Snake, which was then pushed into position across the minefield and detonated. Although Snake was used for training

and trials it was not used operationally. The Conger was towed behind a

Churchill AVRE or a Sherman in an engmeless Universal Carrier that carried a rocket, a length of hose and a tank of liquid explosive. The rocket carried the hose across the minefield, and when in place the hose was filled with the liquid explosive and detonated. The Tapeworm was another hose device that was carried in a trailer to the edge of a minefield where it was deposited. A tank with a CIRD (Canadian Indestructible Roller Device) then moved across the minefield and as it proceeded the tank pulled the explosive-filled hose across the minefield. When the full length of hose (457 m/500 yards) had been pulled

from the trailer the explosive was detonated to clear any mines that might have been missed by the rollers. The 15.2 m (50 ft) of hose nearest to the towing tank was filled with sand for safety purposes.

Perhaps the smallest of the Bangalore-type devices was the Flying Bang-alore. This was used on Shermans fitted with CIRD rollers and was intended for barbed-wire clearing. Each of the CIRD arms carried a Bang-alore Torpedo fitted with a rocket motor. The rockets carried the Bangalores across the wire and as they landed small grapnels held the torpedoes against the wire for exploding.



Mine-clearing flails

The notion of using chain flails to de-tonate mines in the path of a tank came from a South African engineer, Major A.S.J. du Toit. The idea was that a hori-zontally-mounted drum carried on arms in front of a tank would be rotated under power, As it turned it would beat the ground in front with chains that carried weights on their ends, and this beating would provide enough press-ure to set off any mines underneath. Early trials proved the effectiveness of the idea, and the first sets of mine flails were fitted to Matilda tanks in North Africa during 1942.

These first flails were known as Scorpion, and on the Matilda Scorpion the flail drum was powered by an aux-iliary engine mounted on the righthand side of the tank, These Scorpions were used during the El Alamein bat-tle in October 1942 and also during some later North African actions. They proved to be so effective that a more specialized version, known as the Matilda Baron was developed, On the Baron the turret was removed and the flail drum was powered by two auxiliary engines, one on each side. However the Scorpion concept offered more er the Scorpion concept offered more long-term promise as it could be fitted to several types of tank to produce, for example, the Grant Scorpion and the Valentine Scorpion. But before that could happen a great deal of further development work had to be carried out, for the acely fluid bad demons out, for the early flails had demonstrated some unwelcome traits, Among these were uneven beating patterns that left unbeaten patches, and flail chains that either became tangled and useless or simply beat themselves to pieces. Another problem became apparent on uneven ground, where the flails were unable to beat into sudden dips,

The development work carried out in the UK resulted in a device known as the Crab which was usually fitted to Sherman tanks to produce the Sher-man Crab. The Crab had 43 chains mounted on a drum powered by a take-off from the main engine and had such features as side-mounted wire-cutting discs to hack through barbedwire entanglements, screens to shield the front of the tank from flying dust and debris and, later in the Crab's de-velopment, a device to follow ground contours and enable the flail drum to rise and fall accordingly. Crabs were used by the 79th Armoured Division and later a number were handed over to the US Army for use in North West Europe, The main advantages of the Crab system were that it was very

The Sherman Crab was the most widely-used mine flail tank of World War II. Although fitted to other types of tank, the Sherman was the preferred carrier. The odd-looking device at the hull rear is asta tionkeeping marker to guide other Hail



effective in its own right, and also perand main gun, enabling it to be used as a gun tank if the occasion arose. Needless to say there were many

other experimental models of mine flails. One was the Lobster, a device that came chronologically before the Crab but was not accepted for service. The Pram Scorpion was an off-shoot of the Scorpion with the drum drive com-

ing from gears on the front sprockets of the carrier tank. Again, it was passed

over in favour of the Crab. The Americans did not spend much development time on mine flails. Instead they concentrated on anti-mine rollers and when they did require flails, as they did when they encountered the large defensive mine belts along the German borders in the winter of 1944-5, they used numbers of

The Matilda Scorpion prototype shown here was an early attempt to shown here was an early attempt to produce amine Hail tank. The main Hail drum was driven by two 22.4-kW (30-hp) Bedford engines, one each side of the hull, and the device was later fitted to Valentine and Grant tanks as well as the Matilda.

British Crabs which they redesignated the Mine Exploder T4



A Churchill AVRE is seen with deep wading gear over the side and rear engine air vents, and fitted with a Bullshorn anti-tank mine plough at the front and with a Porpoise skid trailer at the rear. These trailers could be used to carry a vvide range of supplies such as fuel and ammunition.

One of the hard lessons learned during the Dieppe raid of 1942 was that the Canadian engineers were unable to proceed with their obstacle demolitions and general beach-clearing because of a complete lack of cover from enemy fire. In the period after the raid a Canadian engineer officer put forward the idea of using a tank converted to the combat engineer role that could carry engineers to the point at which they had to operate, and be capable of carrying a heavy demolition weapon. This would enable the combat engineers to operate from under armoured cover and would also enable them to operate in close cooperation with armoured formations.

The idea was accepted, and after some deliberation the Churchill tank was selected as the basic vehicle for conversion. The task consisted mainly of completely stripping out the interior of the Churchill tank and removing the main armament. The interior was completely rearranged to provide stowage for the various items combat engineers have to use, such as demolition explosives, special tools, mines etc. The main turret was retained but in place of the normal gun a special device known as a Petard was fitted. This was a spigot mortar that fired a 290-mm (11.4-in) demolition charge known to the troops from its general shape as the 'Flying Dustbin'. The Petard projectile weighed 18.14 kg (40 lb) and could be fired to a range of 73 m (80 yards) to demolish structures such as pillboxes, bunkers and buildings, The Petard could be reloaded from within the vehicle,

The Churchill version was known as the Churchill AVRE (Armoured Vehicle Royal Engineers) and it quickly became the standard equipment of the armoured engineers attached to formations such as the 79th Armoured Division and the assault brigades, RE. As well as providing protection for combat engineers, the AVRE was soon in demand to carry all manner of special equipment.

The Churchill versions used for the AVRE were the Mk III and Mk IV, Many of the conversions were carried out using specially-produced kits, some by industry and some by REME workshops. The conversions included brackets and other attachment points around the hull to which items of specialized equipment could be fixed. A hook at the rear was used to tow a special AVRE sledge for carrying combat stores,

The AVREs were first used on a large scale during the Normandy landings of June 1944, where they excelled themselves to such an extent that the AVRE has been with the Royal Engineers ever since, the current inservice version being the Centurion Mk V AVRE. The Churchill AVRE remained in service until the mid-1950s and even later with some units. They were used to lay fascines, lay mats across soft ground, demolish strongpoints with their Petard mortars, bring forward combat engineering stores, place heavy demolition charges and generally make themselves useful.

Specification Churchill AVRE Crew:6 Weight:38tons Powerplant: one Bedford Twin-Six petrol engine developing 261 kW (350 hp) Dimensions: length 7.67 m (25ft2 in); width3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); height 2.79 m (9 ft 2 in) Performance: maximum road speed



The Churchill AVRE Mark II featured a fixed turret mounting a dummy gun. It could carry a front-mounted dismountablejib crane or a rearjib with a higher lift capacity. There was also a powerful front-mounted winch that could be used in conjunction with the jibs, and an earth anchor was mounted at therear.

24.9km/h(15.5mph);maximumroad range 193 km(120miles) Armament: one Petard mortar, and one 7.92-mm(0.312-in)Besamachinegun

Kanal Defence Light

The device known under the cover name Canal Defence Light was one 'weapon' of World War II that was destined never to be used. In essence it was a simple idea, in which the normal gun turret of a tank was replaced with another housing an intense light to illuminate battlefields at night. All manner of tactical ploys were advocated for its use, ranging from simply blinding an enemy to providing general target illumination.

mination. The idea of mounting powerful searchlights on tanks was first mooted during the mid-1930s by a group of interested civilians who'sold' the idea to the War Office in 1937. The War Office carried out a series of development trials under conditions of great secrecy, and by late 1939 a turret was ready for production. The secrecy continued with the project being known as the Canal Defence Light, or CDL. The first turrets produced were for the Matilda II infantry tank, and all that the fitting of a CDL involved was the removal of the normal turret and its replacement by a new one, though changes had to be made to the Matilda's electrical systems as well. In the turret the searchlight was switched on and the shutter was opened and closed very rapidly to provide a flickering impression to an observer in front. This flickering made the range of the CDL light difficult to

determine, and anyway the light was so powerful that it was difficult to look into the beam even at quite long

ranges. Some 300 CDL turrets were ordered to convert Matildas to the CDL role, and one brigade of Matilda CDL vehicles was based in the UK and another in North Africa. The military planners were determined to use the impact of the CDL units to the full and constantly awaited the chance to use them to maximum effect. That chance some-





The Grant CDL (CanalDefence Light) was a special vehicle mounting a turret in which was located a powerful searchlight that was supposed to dazzle an enemy during night operations or illuminate targets at night.



post-June 1944 campaigns. But once again the chance to use the Grant CDL in combat never arrived. Instead the CDLs were used for the relatively unexciting task of providing 'artificial moonlight' to illuminate the crossings of the Rhine and Elbe in early 1945.

Thus the CDL was carried throughout the war but never used. However, the idea certainly attracted attention, The US Army was most impressed by



The ARK bridging tanks were only one type of armoured bridging vehicles used by the Allies during World War II. The British army had for long had an interest in producing bridging tanks and actually produced its first such equipment during the latter stages of World War II. In the years just before World War II it carried out a great deal of experimental work and one of its mam achievements was a scissorstype bridge carried on and laid by a Covenanter tank. However, during the early war years this work had to be put aside in favour of more pressing things until the 1942 Dieppe landing emphasized the need for armoured bridging vehicles, not only to cross wet or dry gaps, but to enable other vehicles to cross obstacles such as sea walls.

It was the 79th Armoured Division that produced the first ARK (Armoured Ramp Carrier) in late 1943. Known as the ARK Mk I, this was a conversion of a Churchill tank with the turret removed and a blanking plate (with an access hatch in the centre) welded over the turret aperture. Over the tracks were placed two timbered trackways carried on a new superstructure and in front, in line with the trackways, were two ramps, each 1,05m (3ft 5.25 in) long, At the rear were two more ramps, each 1.72 m (5 ft 8 in) long. In use the ARK I was driven up to an obstacle such as a sea wall and pushed up the obstacle as far as possible. The front and rear ramps were then lowered from their travelling positions and other vehicles could then use the ARK to cross the obstacle. The ARK could also be driven into a wet or dry obstacle to act as a bridge.

dry obstacle to act as a bridge. The ARK Mk I was soon supplemented by the ARK Mk II. Again this used a Churchill tank as the basis, and the same superstructure/ramp layout was used. But the Mk II used much longer ramps (3.8 m/12 ft 6 in) at both ends, and the right-hand set of trackways and ramps was half the width of the other (0.61 m/2ft) as opposed to 1.213m (4ft). This enabled a much wider range of vehicles to use the ARK. In use the ramps were set up front and rear and held in the travelling position by cables and chains connected to front and rear kingposts. When the ARK came to a gap it drove into it and then released the cables to allow the ramps to drop. Other vehicles could then cross the ARK Bridge. The 8th Army in Italy produced its own

Two ARK Mk IIs are used to allow other vehicles to cross a deep ravine. The first ARK was driven into the ravine and the second ARK was then driven onto it, after which its ramps were lowered to form a bridge. The ravine was formed by the River Senio in Italy, April 1945. what it saw of the CDL at various demonstrations and decided to adopt the CDL for itself, and thus produced 355 CDL turrets for mounting on otherwise obsolete M3 Lee tanks. These were used to equip srx tank battalions for special operations in Europe. The cover name T10 Shop Tractor was used for US CDL vehicles, but once again the CDL was destined not to be used in combat. As with the British the Americans awaited the right moment to use their lights and the war ended before that could happen,

Specification MatildaCDL Crew: 3 or 4 Weight: 26 tons Powerplant: two Leyland E148/E149 diesel engines each developing 70.8kW(95hp) Dimensions: length 5.61 m (18 ft5 in); width2,59m (8 ft6 in); height2.51 m (8 ft 3 in) Performance: maximum road speed 24 km/h (15 mph); maximumroad range 257 km (160 miles) Armament: one 7.92-mm (0,312-in) Besa machine-gun

ARK Mk Us, but made them much simpler by omitting the trackways over the Churchill tank, the tank tracks and the top of the body being used as the roadway instead. These versions were known as the ARK Mk II (Italian Pattern).

There were numerous variations on the basic ARK design. One was a raised ramp system carried on a Churchill and known as the Churchill Great Eastern, but that project was discontinued, Some Shermans were converted in Italy to what was roughly the equivalent of the ARK Mk II, but the numbers involved were not large. Another system, known as the Churchill Woodlark, was generally similar to the ARK Mk II but went into action with the ramps closed down: they were meant to be opened up into position by the use of rockets on the end of each ramp and more rockets were used to soften the shock of the ramps hitting the ground. The type did not pass the trials stage.

No data can be provided regarding these Churchill conversions but a Churchill ARK Mk II had a crew of four men and weighed 38.5 tons. Most conversions were made using Churchill Mk IIIs and Mk IVs. A Churchill ARK Mk I is shown with its approach ramp raised. These vehicles were supposed to be driven up against an ti-tank walls as far as possible, to enable other vehicles to be driven up and over the roadway carried above their tracks.



₩ Fascine and mat-laying devices

The fascine is an item of combat engineering equipment that dates back to ancient times, and for armoured warfare the type was resurrected dur-ing World War I to be dropped by tanks taking part in the Battle of Cambrai. At that time they were used traditionally, being dropped into trenches to allow other tanks to cross, and they were used for the same purpose during World War II. The advantage of the fascine for the combat engineer is that he can make them on the spot when they are required. The usual method was to cut brushwood and tie it into large bundles 3,35m (lift) long. These bundles were tied into rolls be-tween 1.83 m (6 ft) and 2.44 m (8 ft) in diameter and pulled onto wooden or steel cradles on the front of the tank. They were then held in place by cables that could be released from within the carrier tank, The main dis-advantage was that the fascines usually restricted the driver's vision so that a crew member had to position himself to give driving instructions. Attempts were made to use periscopes to over-come this drawback but in the end the solution was found by redesigning the form of fascine cradle,

A type of fascine could also be used to make an assault roadway over soft or rough ground. This was formed by rolling up lengths of chespaling joined together by wire, rather like a length of fencing, A Churchill AVRE would carry this roll into position, where one end of the roll could be placed under the front tracks. As the AVRE moved for ward it unrolled the mat and rolled over it to allow other vehicles to use the rough roadway so formed. Rolls of up to 30.5m (100ft) could be laid using this method, and more durable roadways could be produced by using a similar arrangement involving logs tied together (Log Carpet).

These chespaling or log roadways were intended for heavy use, but for assault purposes hessian mats were also employed. These mats were carried in front of a Churchill AVRE on bobbins held by side arms or (on one model) above a Churchill AVRE Carpetlayer turret. There were two main types: the Bobbin Carpet unrolled a hessian mat reinforced by chespaling at intervals that was wide enough to cover the full width of a tank; the other was only wide enough to cover a track Right: This post-war Churchill AVRE has an early Centurion-style turret equipped with smoke dischargers. The cargo remains m uch as it would havelookedin 1944, or 1917forthat matter, and the restrictions the fascine places on the driver's field of vision are obvious.



width, Both were intended to cover wire obstacles to allow foot soldiers or wheeled vehicles to cross and the first of them was used during the Dieppe raid of 1942, On all types the bobbin could be jettisoned once it was empty or in an emergency.

Most of these fascine- or mat-laying devices were carried on Churchill AVREs, but Shermans were also used. In fact a special fascine carrier, known as the Crib, was developed for the Sherman. This was a special carrier frame that could be tilted forward to drop a fascine or a log mat, Some 'war weary' Shermans even had their turrets removed to allow them to be used as full-time fascine carriers,

as full-time fascine carriers, It should be stressed that the matlaying devices, both hessian or timber,

A ChurchillAVRE carries a brushwood fascine at thefrontand tows another fascine on an AVRE skid trailer. The fascines were released from their carrier frame by a quick release device, and once in position could enable most tanks or tracked

vehicles to cross with relative ease.

were meant for short-term use only. Prolonged use by heavy or tracked vehicles soon broke them up or simply tore them to pieces so they were usually used for assault purposes only or during amphibious landings to cover soft ground. It was not until well after World War II that flexible metal roadways were developed to replace the earlier devices.



A ChurchillAVRE operates a Carpet-Layer Type C, used to lay a continuous hessian mat over rough or soft ground to enable other wheeled or tracked vehicles to follow. These devices were used to cross the sand on some of the Normandy beaches on 6 June 1944.



To the front-line soldier every tank is a valuable asset and any damaged or disabled tank that can be got back into action is a viable weapon. Therefore the recovery of damaged or brokendown tanks from a battlefield is an important aspect of armoured warfare, but very often these recovery operations have to be undertaken under enemy fire. It therefore makes sense to provide the recovery crews with their own armoured vehicles and even more sense to provide these vehicles with mechanical handling devices, winches and other special recovery tools. Thus World War II saw the first large-scale use of recovery vehicles, and on the Allied side there were many different types. Nearly all Allied Armoured Recov-

Nearly all Alliéd Armoured Recovery Vehicle (ARV) types were conversions of existing tanks, usually models that were past their best and could be spared for the role. Nearly every type of Allied tank was used for the ARV role at some time or another but the main types involved on the British side were Crusader, Covenanter, Centaur, Cavalier, Cromwell, Ram and inevitably the Churchill. Most of these ARV conversions involved the removal of the turret (along with the main armament) and its replacement by either a fixed superstructure or an open compartment for the crew. Winches were installed and various forms of jib crane or sheerlegs were added. Many types also had the assistance of an earth spade to provide the winch with better purchase and thus extra pull. The British also made extensive use of turretless Shermans for the ARV role.

Is also made extensive use of unretless Shermans for the ARV role. The American ARVs were generally more involved vehicles. They too were based on existing tank chassis, but the conversions were often carried out in factories rather than the base workshops of the other Allied armies (including the British) and thus more detail design care could be lavished upon the final product. A typical American product was the Tank Recovery Vehicle M32. On this the turret was fixed and a smoke-firing 81-mm (3.2in) mortar was fitted. In the space normally taken up by the fighting compartment was placed a powerful 27216-kg (60,000-lb) capacity winch, and an A-frame jib was mounted on the forward hull. Extra stowage points for special equipment were added all over the hull. Several sub-variants of the M32 were produced. The M3 medium tank series was also used to produce the Tank Recovery Vehicle M31 with a jib crane over the rear of the hull. The British also made their own conversion of the M3 Grant by removing all the armament and installing a winch in the main compartment.

removing all the armament and installing a winch in the main compartment. The American ARVs were produced in large numbers, so large in fact that some of the M32s could be converted as artillery tractors. But one factor that both British and American ARVs had in common and that was that none of them matched the power of the German Bergepanther, The Bergepanther remained the most powerful ARV of World War II as far as operational models were concerned, but the Allied ARVs could still tackle most recovery tasks without difficulty, for they did not have to cope with the Tigers and Panthers of the German army.

Specification ChurchillARVMkII Crew:5or6 Weight: 40 tons Powerplant: one Bedford Twin-Six petrolengine developing 261 kW (350 hp) Dimensions: length 8,28 m(27 ft2 in); width 3.35 m(11 ft); height 3.02 m(9 ft 11 in) Performance: maximum road speed

24.9km/h(15.5mph);maximumroad range 193km(120miles) Armament: one or two machine-guns



An M32 Tank Recovery Vehicle rolls through a village in north west Europe, 1945.Basedon theM4 tankhull, these vehicles were used from 1943onwards and used a fixed superstructure in place of the M4 turret. A large winch was fitted along with other special recovery gear and tools.



A Churchill ARV (Armoured Recovery Vehicle) Mkl has its front jib erected and twin 7.7-mm (0.303-in)Bren machine-guns mounted in thehull. This vehicle had a crew of three and carried special tools and welding equiment for the recovery role. The vehicle was basically a turretless Churchill MkIV.



A CromwellARV(Armoured Recovery Vehicle) is used to tow a captured German PzKPfwIV tank out of the way of other vehicles. The CromwellARV was a turretless conversion of an early mark of Cromwell tank that could be fitted with a jib crane and other gear.



A Sherman ARVMkl tows a Sherman gun tank during the campaign in Normandy, June/July 1944. This ARV was a British conversion of a Sherman tank that involved removing the turret and fitting a front-mounted jib crane and other equipment.



By late 1943 plans for the amphibious landings in northern France had reached the stage where it was decided to have deep-wading recovery vehicles on hand at the beaches to assist any vehicles that got bogged or broken down while still in the water, It was decided to convert Churchill and Sherman tanks for the role but the Churchill conversion did not get past the prototype stage and all work con-centrated on the Sherman.

The result was known as the Sherman Beach Armoured Recovery Vehi-cle (Sherman BARV). It was little more than an ordinary Sherman with the turret replaced by a tall superstructure. This superstructure was open at the top and had plates that sloped to a boat bow profile at the front. The turret opening was closed off and all air intakes and cowls were extended up-wards, Waterproofing was extensive and a bilge pump was added to the hull

ThefirstBARV was ready for trials in December 1943 and these trials proved to be so successful that a request for 50 conversions (later increased to 66) was made immediately. By the time the D-Day landings were made in Normandy there were 52 BARVs ready to hand, and one of them was actually the first armoured vehicle was actually the first armoured vehicle to touch down on the beaches. They had plenty to do for the weather on D-Day was rough, to the extent that many armoured and other vehicles were swamped as they made their way from the landing craft to the safety of the beaches. The BARV was thus used as a towing vehicle to get them used as a towing vehicle to get them ashore. It could tow only, for in the haste to produce the BARVs it was decided to omit the usual winches. In their place some measure of assist-ance to stranded vehicles could be provided by nudging them with baulks of timber secured to the BARV nose. These nudgers could be used not only for vehicles but with small landing craft that got themselves stuck on the beaches

The BARVs could operate in up to 3.05 m (10 ft) of water, depending on weather conditions, and often took on a nautical air enhanced by the use of lifelines fixed around the upper superstructure. Many BARV crews included a diver in their number and life jackets



BARV

trouble, but failing that it could be used as a straightforward recovery tractor. It did not have a winch.

were frequently worn. The BARVs were a REME responsibility as they were primarily recovery vehicles. The REME even had a hand in their pro-duction, for this corps supervised BARV production in two small Ministry

of Supply workshops, The BARVs went on to a long post-war service career during which they acquired the name Sea Lion. They were eventually replaced by the Centurion BARV which closely fol-lowed the general outlines of the Sher-man BARV. Over the years the Sherman BARVs were gradually updated with better radios and such refinements as ropes to soften the impact of their 'nudgers', but they never ac-quired winches or any form of earth spade to enable increased-capacity pulls to be made.



The Sherman BARV (Beach Armoured Recovery Vehicle) was developed during 1943 to towbogged-down vehicles from deep water during amphibious operations. It was a tractor device only, and the crew usually included a trained diver to secure towing cables to stranded vehicles for towing.

The mine-clearing roller was one of the very first anti-land mine devices used with tanks and in theory rollers are among the simplest to use. They consist of a set of heavy rollers pushed ahead of the tank, their weight and pressure alone being sufficient to destroy the mines by setting them off in front of the tank. Translating this theory into practice should also have been simple but was not. The main problem was the weight and bulk of the rollers that had to be used: in order to make the rollers heavy enough they had also to be large, and this made them very difficult loads to handle using the average tank of the period. In fact some of them were so large and awkward to push that it sometimes took two tanks (the carrier tank plus another behind it to provide extra 'push') to move them forward. This two-tank arrangement was often necessary when rollers had

Mine-clearing rollers

UK/USA/CANADA

to be pushed over soft or rough ground.

The British were probably the first to The British were probably the first to develop anti-mine rollers, and ex-perimented with them in the years be-fore World War II fitted to vehicles such as the Covenanter. They knew their first models as the Fowler Roller or the Anti-Mine Roller Attachment (AMRA). From these were developed the Anti-Mine Reconnaissance Castor Roller (AMRCR) system that was fitted Roller (AMRCR) system that was fitted to Churchills and British Shermans. These rollers used leaf springs to keep

The Lulu roller device did not detonate mines by pressure, as the fron t rollers were only ligh t wooden con tain ers carrying electrical sensor devices to denote the presence of buried metal objects such as mines. Although it worked, the Lulu was too fragile for opera tional use.



the rollers in contact with the ground, but they were so cumbersome that they were not used operationally. A more successful design appeared in 1943 and was known as the Canadian Indestructible Roller Device (CIRD). This used two heavy armoured rollers mounted on side arms, and was so arranged that if a roller detonated a mine the resultant blast lifted the roller and a lever came into contact with the ground, the subsequent movement of the tank operating the lever to return the roller to the ground again for further use. CIRD was fitted to both Churchills and Shermans, but the system was not used in action.

The Americans also became involved with mine rollers and produced three main models. The first version was the Mine Exploder Tl and was intended for use with M3 Lee tanks, but not many were made as these tanks had passed from front-line service by the time the rollers had been developed. From them evolved the Mine Éxploder T1E1 or Earthworm, but again this was devised for use by one vehicle only, in this case the M32 Tank Recovery Vehicle. For use with the M4 Shermans came the Mine Exploder TIES (later the Mine Exploder MI) which was generally known as the Aunt Jemima. This used two very large sets of roller discs mounted on side arms in front of the carrier, and the system was used in action despite its great bulk and awkwardness. It proved to be successful enough, and was even developed into an MIAI version which was even heavier,

The Americans developed a whole string of other types of mine roller, few of which got past the experimental stage. Perhaps the oddest of them was the Mine Exploder T10 on which the rollers became the road wheels for an M4 tank body, complete with gun tur-ret. Tworollers were mounted forward and another set of roller discs was at the rear with the tank body slung between them, This device got no further than trials, and neither did the series of vehicles known as the Mine Resistant



Vehicle T15. This was an M4 tank fitted with extra body and belly armour and intended to set off mines by simply driving over them, relying on its extra protection for survival. None of these vehicles was ready for use by the time the war ended, and work on the type then ceased.

Rollers were an apparently obvious solution to a minefield, but it proved exceedingly difficult to detonate enough mines by the rollers' weight alone. Solutions included rollers so heavy that it took several vehicles to move them, and plough and roller combinations.

UK/USA Gun tractors

As a general rule most artillery tractors were specially developed for the task but some artillery weapons developed during the war years had to make do with what was to hand. In nearly every case this meant the conversion of an obsolete or obsolescent tracked vehicle, especially for the larger weapons. The use of tracked vehicles gave the gunners considerably more tactical mobility that could have been achieved by using wheeled tractors, but in general terms using tracked vehicles was expensive and it was a measure that was only bearable in wartime.

A typical use of an obsolete tank chassis can be seen with the British Crusader Gun Tractor Mk I. This was developed to tow the bulky 17-pdr anti-tank gun, and used the Crusader II tank chassis as the basis. Onto the chassis was built an open superstructure fitted out with seating for the gun crew and with ammunition lockers. These tractors were widely used by Royal Artillery anti-tank regiments serving with armoured divisions in Europe during 1944 and 1945, and proved to be well-liked and fast tractors capable of towing their 17-pdr guns almost anywhere. Some turret-less Shermans were also used in this role

The Crusader Gun Tractor was almost the only conversion of a tracked vehicle made by the British, but it was otherwise with the Americans. Their far more extensive automotive manufacturing resources enabled them to produce all manner of special artillery tractors, many of them based on ex-isting vehicles. Typical of these was the Full-track Prime Mover M34, an odd vehicle, for it was an M32 Tank Recovery Vehicle stripped of its re-covery kit and used as a tractor only. It was reserved for really large items of artillery such as the 240-mm (9.45-in) howitzer. Other attempts were made to convert old M2 Lee tanks for the tractor role, but they were not developed fully as special vehicles could be produced without difficulty,

There were two main types of trac-tor produced in the United States. One

was the High-Speed Tractor M4 and the other the High-Speed Tractor M5, The M4 used components of the M2A1 Lee tank allied to a new box-type body that could house the crew and a quanti-ty of ammunition. Compared to other types of tractor the gun crew could travel in comfort as the cab was weatherproof and fitted with such luxuries as heaters, and yet there was still plenty of room for stowage. The M5 tractor was smaller and used components from the M3 light tank series. The crew accommodation was more open than that of the M4 but the tractor still had plenty of space and was equipped with such handy items as winches. The

M4 was used to tow artillery up to 155m (6.1in) in calibre, and the M5 was used for artillery up to 203 mm (8 in) in calibre. Both types were produced in considerable numbers and many of both are in use to this day. Large numbers were handed out by the Americans to the Allied armed forces, and a few were used by the British before the war ended.

Specification High-SpeedTractorM5 Crew:9 Weight: 12837 kg (28,300 lb) Powerplant: one Continental R-6572

(235 hp)

Dimensions: length 4,85 m(15 ft 11 in);width 2.54 m(8 ft 4 in); height 2.64 m(8 ft 8 in) Performance: maximum towing speed 56.3 km/h(35 mph); maximumroad range241 km(150 miles) Armament: none

TheM35 Full Track Prime Mover was a turretless conversion of the MWA1 tank destroyer for use as a tractor for heavy artillery. This example is towing the barrel of a 203-mm (8-in) Gun Ml towards Germany in February 1945; the CarriageM2 would have been towed separately.





Bergepanther

During the early war years the Ger-man army used the 18-tonne SdKfz 9/1 and 9/2 for recovering broken-down or damaged tanks, but with the arrival of the heavy tanks such as the Tiger and Panther these vehicles were no longer able to recover the weights involved. The only way they could be used effectively was incomplicated tandem or other arrangements with one vehicle's crane acting in combination with the other, and it was not always possi-ble to get two of these large halftracks to some locations, even supposing two were on hand, The only solution to the problem of large vehicle recovery was the development of a new heavy re-covery vehicle. Some of the early Tiger units converted their machines to take winches in the turret in place of the main gun for recovery purposes, but this was a waste of a valuable gun tank and Tigers were always in short supply. In the end it was decided to use the Panther tank as the basis for the

new vehicle. The new vehicle became known as the SdKfz 179 Bergepanther, or Bergepanzer Panther. The first of these appeared during 1943, and they were conversions of early models of Panther gun tanks. On the conversion the turret and fighting compartments were completely removed and replaced by an open superstructure housing a large and powerful winch. To increase the 'pull' of this winch the vehicle had at the rear a large earth spade. In use this spade was lowered to the ground and the vehicle was reversed, the spade thus being dug down into the ground to

The German Bergepanther was based on the Panther tank hull and suspension, and could be used for the recovery of even the heaviest German tanks. Shown here in its travelling configuration, the Bergepan therhada powerful winch in the hull in terior and used a large earth anchor at the rear to improve winch pull.

Sermany Karl

Karl ammunition carriers

When the design teams that produced the massive Karl siege howitzer were drawing up their plans they at first overlooked one item: the massive short-barrelled howitzers they were producing were mounted on large tracked chassis to provide some measure of mobility (even though this mobility was strictly limited by the sizes and weights involved), but they forgot the matter of ammunition supply. This oversight was soon realized and plans were made to provide special ammunition carriers that could move to wherever the Karls might be emplaced, and these carriers had to be tracked as well. They also had to be large, for the Karls fired a huge concrete-busting projectile that weighed no less than 2170 kg (4,784 lb) and with a calibre of 60 cm (23.62 in); later versions had a calibre of 54 cm (21.26 in) and the projectile weighed 1250kg (2,756 lb),

The vehicle selected to be the ammunition carrier for the Karls was the PzKpfw IV Ausf F. These vehicles were not conversions, but were built from new using the basic tank hull,

act as a stable anchor when the winch was in use with the cable running out over the vehicle rear. The combination of spade and winch enabled the Bergepanther to recover even the heaviest vehicles, and it also carried all manner of other recovery equipment, including a light crane jib on the left-hand side for use when carrying out running repairs.

It was spring 1944 before the first Bergepanthers reached the troops, the conversions being carried out by DE-MAG in Berlin. By the time the war ended 297 had been produced, but not all of them were fully equipped, For supply reasons some vehicles were issued without the rear-mounted spade which reduced them to little more than towing vehicles; they were of such limited utility that many of these incomplete vehicles had their winches removed to enable them to be employed as supply and ammunition carriers. The full standard Bergepanthers proved to be invaluable and not surprisingly they were concentrated in Panther, Tiger and Königstiger formations. In service they had a crew of five, and most retained their front hull 7.92mm (0.312-in) machine-gun. Many were also armed with a 2-cm cannon carried just forward of the open superstructure on a mount that allowed it to be used either in the anti-aircraft or ground target role.

When they were first introduced the Bergepanthers were well in advance of other contemporary recovery vehicles. Although it was a conversion of an existing tank, its combination of winch, earth spade and overall layout meant that it was quite simply the best recovery vehicle produced during World War II.

00000

Specification Bergepanther Crew: 5 Weight: 42 tons Powerplant: one MaybachHL210P.30 petrolengine developing 478.7 kW (642 hp)

Oimensions: length 8.153m(26ft9in); width 3.276m(10ft9in); height 2.74m (9ft)

Performance: maximum road speed 32 km/h(20 mph); maximum road range 169 km (105 miles) maximum cross-country range 85 km (53 miles) Armament: one 2-cm cannon and one 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun



The Bergepanther was the bestarmoured recovery vehicle produced in World WarII. Only 297 were completed by the end of the war, and they were generally concentrated in the heavy tank battalions.

Above left; the Munitionpanzer IV AusfF was used to carry the heavy projectiles for the 60-cm (23.62-in) Karl self-propelled mortar, andis seen here with its lifting jib raised ready for use. The jib could traverse through 360 degrees. Above: A Munitionpanzer IVA usfF is shown in its travelling configuration with the jib folded and with the shell lifting grab stowed on the front of the hull. Each of these ammunition carriers could carry three 60-cm (23.62-in) projectiles.



suspension and other components, though in place of the usual turret there was a platform that covered the entire top of the hull. At the front of the platform was a crane with a capacity of 3000 kg (6,614 lb), offset to the left and with the swivelling jib normally stowed



facing to the rear. The main platform was used as the carrying area for the projectiles, with space for two or three shells. Small metal side walls were fitted, but these were often removed in the field.

Much of the movement of the Karl equipments had to be carried out on railways, and the train that carried the components of Karl also had a couple of flat-cars to carry Munitionpanzer or Munitionschlepper ammunition carriers. Once close to the firing position the Karls were assembled and they moved off to the exact firing position. Projectiles for the weapons were taken from the train box-cars either by overhead gantry or by using the crane mounted on the carriers. The carriers then moved to the firing position and unloaded their projectiles by parking next to the Karl breech and lifting the ammunition directly to the breech loading tray with the crane. Special ammunition handling grabs were used on the crane itself. Once their load had been fired the carriers trundled off for more.

Not all Karl moves were made by rail. There was an arrangement whereby a Karl could be broken down into relatively small loads for road traction, but it was a long and arduous process to assemble the weapon on site. When this occurred the carriers were



towed on special wheeled trailers towed by large halftracks. The usual allotment of carriers to a single Karl was two, Also included in each Karl train' were two trucks, two light staff cars and at least one 12-ton halftrack to carry the Karl crew.

The Karl howitzers were among the most specialized of all German artillery weapons. They were designed as fortification smashers, and during World War II were not much in demand. But they and their PzKpfw IVbased ammunition carriers did see use during the siege of Sevastopol, and in 1944 saw more action during the Battle of Warsaw against the unfortunate Polish home army.

Specification Munitionpanzer Crew: 4 Weight: 25 tonnes Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM petrolengine developing 223.7kW(300hp) Dimensions:length5.41m(17ft9in); width 2.883 m (9 ft 5.5 in); height not The Munitionpanzer IVA usfF carried shells for the Karl selfpropelled mortar on a platform over the hull. They were lifted on to the Karl loading tray by a front-mounted jib crane, seen here folded over the shell platform.

recorded

Performance: maximum road speed 39.9km/h(24,8mph); maximumroad range209km(130miles) Armament: none

The kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen

and extra electrical equipment. The

Below:Just how cramped the PzKpfw

I command tank was can be gauged

from this photograph of the basic model PzKpfw I. About 200

conversions were made but they

proved too small for the task, and they were replaced by modified

vehicles were widely used, as they allowed commanders to keep up

with armoured formations.

was a command version of the PzKpfw I light tank. Ithadacrewof three and the fixed superstructure contained two radios, a map table

kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen

Once the German army had accepted the concept of the Panzer division with its large tank component it was appreciated that the large mass of tanks would carry with it considerable command and control problems. Tank formation commanders would have to move forward with the tanks and maintain contact with them at all times, and at first it seemed that the best way of doing this was to have the commanders travelling in tanks. But it was also appreciated that commanders would have to carry with them all manner of special equipment and extra personnel to transmit orders and generally assist the commander in his task. Thus some form of dedicated command tank would be needed.

In typically thorough style the German designers came up with an answer as early as 1938. They decided to convert the little PzKpfw I training tank for the command role, and the result was the SdKfz 265 kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen (small armoured command vehicle). The command vehicle was a relatively straightfor-ward conversion of the basic tank in which the rotating tank turret was replaced by a box-like superstructure to provide extra internal space. The crew was increased from the two of the tank to three, in the form of the driver, the commander and a signaller/general assistant. The extra internal space was taken up with items such as a small table for the commander to work on, map display boards, stowage for more maps and other paperwork, and two radios, one for communicating with the tanks and the other to provide a link to higher command levels, These radios required the provision of extra dynamo capacity to power them and keep their associated batteries fully charged, For armament a 7.92-mm (0,312-m) MG34 machine-gun was



mounted in the front plate.

There were three variations of this command vehicle, one with a small rotating turret set onto the superstructure. This latter feature was soon discontinued as it took up too much of the limited internal space and was soon found to be unnecessary. The other two variants differed only in detail. In all of them the small size of the vehicle inflicted space limitations, and with two men attempting to work within the close confines of the body things could get very cramped, But the concept worked very well and about 200 conversions from the PzKpfw I tank were made. The first of them saw action during the Polish campaign of 1939 and more were used in France during May and June 1940. Later they equipped the Afrika Korps. One of these North African campaign examples was cap-tured by the British army and taken back to the United Kingdom. There it was closely examined by tank experts who produced a large report on the vehicle, which can now be seen in the Bovington Tank Musem.

Despite their relative success in the command role the little PzKpfw I tank conversions were really too small and cramped for efficiency and in time



they were replaced by conversions of larger tank models.

Specification kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen I Crew: 3 Weight: 5.8 tons Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38 TR petrol engine developing 74,6 kW

Dimensions: length 4.445 m (14 ft 7 in);

(100 hp)

width2.08m(6ft9.9in);height1.72m (5 ft 7.7 in) Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum road range 290 km (180 miles) Armament: one 7,92-mm (0,312-in) machine-gun

Amphibious Vehicles

Most of the Great Powers used amphibious vehicles during World War IL Some of these vehicles, such as the Soviets' pre-war amphibious light tanks, proved to be superfluous but others, like the DD Shermans and the DUKW, were of crucial importance.

The range of vehicles L contained in this section is much wider than usual, simply because the range of amphibious vehicles used during World War II was very large. At the lower end of the range was the little German Schwimmwagen, while at the upper end of the scale the German LWS took some beating for sheer size even if the slabsided American LVTs were far more numerous. Such a diversity of vehicles was a result of the many and various roles that amphibious vehicles needed to undertake. Some armed forces wanted them simply as personnel or supply carriers that could support amphibious operations, while other forces needed specialized reconnaissance vehicles that could cross water obstacles, and yet others required load carriers to transport supplies anywhere. They are all included in this section so it would be unfair to make comparisons between, say, the M29C Weasel and the Soviet T-38 amphibious light tank. The same disparities make comparisons between the DD Shermans and the Japanese Type 2 Ka-Mi impossible, because the DD Sherman was intended simply to accomplish a short journey from a vessel to a nearby shore where it immediately became a



American troops come ashore at Trinian in the Marianas Islands. These armoured amphibious landing vehicles served the Americans well in both Europe and the Pacific.

gun tank, while the Type 2 was more of a reconnaissance vehicle that was able to cross water obstacles.

Yet for all these differences the contents of this study include many of the most interesting vehicles used during World War II. Each of the types described here has some special design or other point in its favour, although a few have more against than for them. Perhaps the most interesting of all, and not only because of its military importance, were the American LVTs. These vehicles were very much a compromise design to obtain the best possible performances overland and on water. The two are disparate requirements, but the LVTs achieved a good working compromise and were thus able to carry amphibious warfare from the Rhine to the islands of the Pacific. Amphibious tanks were remarkable vehicles, but one can only wonder at how their crews had the courage to use their flimsy charges to approach a defended enemy shore and to drive them right at the muzzles of the defender's guns.



In 1931 the USSR purchased from Vickers Carden-Loyd of the UK a number of light tankettes. Among the purchases were a small number of Carden-Loyd A4E11 amphibious tanks, and these so impressed the Soviets that they decided to undertake local licence production in order to meet a Red Army requirement for light scouting tanks, However, it was not long before the Soviet design teams realized that the Carden-Loyd A4E11 did not meet all their requirements, and so they set about developing their own light amphibious tank based on the British design. This resulted in the T-33, which was subjected to some rigorous trials before it was deemed unsatisfactory. Further design work resulted in the T-37 light amphibious tank.

By the time the T-37 was produced there was little left of the original British design other than the concept, The T-37 had a GAZ AA engine and the suspension was an improved version of that used on the French AMR light tank. Once again the first T-37s were subjected to a thorough testing programme, and as a result changes were introduced to the full production models, which first came off the lines in late 1933 and early 1934. The production T-37 was a small vehicle with a twoman crew, the commander in a turret offset to the right and the driver seated in the hull to the immediate left. Most of the buoyancy came from two pontoons on each side of the upper hull above the tracks, and at the rear there was the usual single propeller and a rudder, The T-37 was meant to be amphi-



Above: The tiny T-37 light amphibious tank was produced in several versions, but all were lightly armoured and had only two-man crews. They were in production from 1935 onwards, but few survived after theendof[941 as they were too frail to stand up to prolonged combat.

bious on inland waterways only. As it was designed as a light scouting or reconnaissance vehicle, the T-37 had only light armament, comprising a single 7.62-mm (0.3-in) air-cooled machine-gun.

Production of the T-37 continued until 1936, and during the production run several variants occurred. One was known as the T-37TU and had a prominent radio frame aerial around the upper hull; this was used only by commanders needing to maintain contact with rear command levels, while orders were transmitted to other tanks by signal flags. On some vehicles the usual riveted turret was replaced by a cast item. As was to be expected on such a small and light tank, armour was very thin, the maximum being only 9 mm (0.354 in) thick and the norm only 3 mm (0.118 in). This armour could not withstand even light anti-armour projectiles, but the T-37s were to be used as scouting vehicles only and were not intended for employment in a stand-up armoured fight. Nevertheless they were so used during the desperate days of 1941 and 1942 when the Soviet army had at times virtually nothing

with which to stem the advance of the German forces. By the end of 1942 the last of the T-37s had passed from use, though a few hulls were retained for use as light tractors.

Specification T-37 Crew:2 Weight: 3200 kg (7,055 lb) Powerplant: one GAZAA petrol engine developing 29.8 kW (40 hp) Dimensions:length3,75m(12ft3.6in); width2.10m(6ft10.7in);height1.82m (5 ft 11.7 in) Performance: maximum road speed 56.3 km/h(35 mph); maximumroad range 185 km (115 miles) Armament: one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) DT machine-gun



Disabled T-37 light amphibious tanks are seen in the snow of the Winter War against the Finns in 1939-1940. In this campaign the T-37s showed up badly, as they had only light armour. The front vehicle is a T-37(TU) command tank with the remains of an aerial showing.



T-37 light amphibious tanks on parade in theSoviet Union. The three leading tanfo are T-37(TU) command tanks fitted with frame aerials and radios; most T-37shad to communicate with flag signals as the general issue of tank radios was not standard practice un til after 1945.



Almost as soon as the first T-37 amphibious light tanks were rolling off the production lines a redesign was under way. A team based in Moscow virtually took apart the design of the T-37 and did whatever it could to improve and modernize it, for it was realized by 1934 that the basic T-37 design approach was already out of date. The result was known as the T-38, and although it looked very different to the T-37 was very little advanced over the original

original. The T-38 was of the same general concept as the T-37 and the two-man crew was retained, but the turret position was switched to the left and the driver's position was also switched. The T-38 was wider than the T-37 and had better floating characteristics. Carried over from the T-37 was the armament of a single 7.62-mm (0.3-in) DT machine-gun and the power train of the GAZ AA truck. The first T-38 was built in 1936 and

The first T-38 was built in 1936 and full production commenced in the following year. Manufacture continued until 1939, by which time about 1,300 had been completed. Some changes were introduced during the production run, the first of which was the T-38-M1, an attempt to introduce a new transmission system that in the end proved too complicated for mass production. Then came the T-38-M2 which was accepted, for it used the power

train and engine of the then-new GAZ-MI truck. One field modification was the changing of the machine-gun for a 20-mm ShVAK cannon to produce

When the T-38 went into action alongside the T-37 during the 1939-40 campaign in Finland, the weaknesses of the design became very apparent. The tank was quite simply too lightly protected, for even machine-gun pro-jectiles could pierce the thin armour and knock out the vehicle. Despite attempts by their crews to keep out of the way and simply observe enemy positions, the T-37s and T-38s were shown to be too vulnerable on the bat-tlefield; but they were not immediately withdrawn, for the simple reason that there was nothing to replace them at that time. Instead T-38s were still in use until 1942 to the detriment of their crews, who suffered heavy casualties as Soviet army commanders attempted to use them as light support tanks,

In an attempt to continue the use of the existing T-38 production facilities an effort was made to develop the T-38

USSR T-40

Despite the lack of success of the T-37 and T-38 series, the planning author-ities of the Red Army still considered there was a need for a light amphibious tank for reconnaissance pur-poses, and in 1938 a design team in Moscow was given the task of produc-ing a replacement for the T-38. But for once an alternative to an amphibious vehicle was demanded, and it was de-cided to produce amphibious and non-amphibious versions of the same design: these were given the designa-tions of T-30A (amphibious) and T-30B

(non-amphibious). The T-30A was completed during 1939 and the end of that year it had been accepted for Soviet army service as the T-40. In order to speed produc-tion the T-40 had been designed from the outset to include as many automobile and truck components as possible, but production was surprisingly slow, no doubt as a result of the low priority given to light tanks at that time. Compared to the earlier T-37 and T-38, the T-40 was an entirely new vehicle. The two-man crew was retained, but the small turret was now mounted in a iiioie orthodox slightly offset position and mounted a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) DShK heavy machine-gun. (It had originally been suggested that a 20-mm cannon should be mounted.) The rear of the hull was rather bulky in order to pro-vide the necessary flotation chambers. The original production versions had a blunt nose that did little for swimming characteristics, so this was later rounded off to a more streamlined outline in a version known as the T-40A. Propulsion in water was provided by a propeller at the rear, and there was a single rudder. The main disadvantage of the T-40

continued to be the thin armour that continued to be the thin armour that had to be used. On the T-40 this was at best 14mm (0.55-in), with the norm only 7 mm (0.275 in). By 1940 this was considered to be far too light, mainly as a result of operational experience gained during the fighting of the previous winter in Finland. It was decided that if the amphibious properties of the T-40 were dispensed with, more

by adding some extra armour but the result offered few advantages over the original and the project was terminated.

The T-38 did take part in some interesting experiments involving radio control. The idea was that T-26 light tanks packed with explosives should be directed towards bridges or other demolition targets and then exploded by radio command from a T-38, The T-38 was equipped with special radios for the purpose and was even given a new designation, NII-20. There are references to this demolition method being used during the Finnish campaign, but its success is not recorded.

Specification T-38

Crew: 2 Weight: 3300 kg (7,275 lb) Powerplant: one GAZ AA or GAZ M-1 petrol engine developing 29.8 kW (40 hp)

Dimensions: length 3.78 m (12 ft 4.8 in); width 3.33 m (10 ft 11.1 in); height 1.63 m (5 ft 4.2 in)



Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.9 mph); maximum road range 170 km (105.6 miles) Armament: one 7.62-mm (0.3-m) DT machine-gun or one 20-mm ShVAK cannon

The T-38 (left) could easily be distinguished from the T-37: the turret was now mounted on theleft hand side, and the driver's position moved to the right. It remained utterly inadequate as a combat vehičle



armour could be carried and the resultant vehicle could be issued to armoured units where river crossings were an unlikely occurrence. A vehi-cle known as the T-40S was produced, but it emerged that this model would require far more production facilities than the original and it was bulkier as well, so that line of development was dropped. Instead it was decided to turn to the T-30B, the non-amphibious version of the prototypes produced in 1939. This was accepted for service as the T-60, which soon replaced the T-40 as the Soviet army's new light reconnaissance tank

Thus the T-40 was not manufactured in very large numbers, and production ceased after only about 225 had been built (a trifling quantity by Soviet stan-dards) and the Soviet light amphibious tank line came to an abrupt end.

Specification T-40

Crew: 2

Weight: 5900 kg (13,007 lb) Powerplant: one GAZ-202 petrol engine developing 52.2 kW (70 bhp) Dimensions: length 4.11 m (13 ft 5,8 in); width 2.33 m (7 ft 7.7 in); height 1.95 m

The T-40 used as many automobile components as possible to speed production. It was armed with a 12.7-mm (0.50-in) machine-gun, although some mounted a 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon. Relatively few were produced.



(6 ft 4.8 in) Performance: maximum road speed 44km/h(27.3 mph);maximumroad range 360 km (223.7 miles) Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) DShK machine-gun

The T-40 was in small-scale service with reconnaissance units of cavalry and arm our ed form a fions in 1941, but was already being replaced by the slightly improved T-60.



The Terrapin was the British equivalent of the American DUKW, and although it was never built in the numbers that the DUKW achieved it made a useful addition to the amphibious load-carrying fleet used by the British army in 1944-5. The Terrapin was designed by

The Terrapin was designed by Thornycroft, but production was carried out by Morris Commercial. About 500 were built, and the bulk of them were used by the 79th Armoured Division, first going into action during the autumn of 1944 when they were used to supplement DUKWs during operations to open up the water approaches to Antwerp. The Terrapin was a straightforward amphibious design but it had some odd features, some of which were not to its advantage. One concerned its two Ford V-8 petrol engines, each one driving the four wheels set along each side; with the Terrapin in the water, the engines each drove one of the two propellers at the rear. The snag with this arrangement turned out to be that if one of the engines stalled for any reason the other engine kept driving, causing the vehicle to go into a rapid turn which could cause alarm and damage to all concerned. Thus Terrapin drivers had to be specially alert to this hazard. The two engines were mounted almost centrally to spread wheel loadings, but this had the effect of dividing the cargo compartments into two halves, Thus although the Terrapin could carry more than the DUKW it could not carry more then the DUKW it could not carry

The overall performance of the Terrapin was not all that good. It was rather slow on land and in the water, and it was in the water that this performance really mattered. When fully loaded the Terrapin had only a limited



The Terrapin was powered by two Ford V-8 petrol engines and was driven in the water by two propellers at the rear. There were two cargo holds and the driver sat in the centre of the vehicle.

freeboard and it could be all too easily swamped in rough water, The top of the vehicle was completely open, but raised moulding boards around the holds could keep out the worst of the water. The driver was located roughly in the centre of the vehicle and his view to the front and rear was rather limited, meaning that other crew members had to give directions during tight landings or when travelling through restricted urban areas. The Terrapin was also a rather uncomfortable vehicle during bad weather conditions. Being seated in the open the driver and crew had to rough it, but an awning could be raised over the front compartment. This was meant to act as a spray shield in the water, but it could also double as weather protection; the trouble was that it restricted even further the driver's forward view.

For all these drawbacks the Terrapin gave good service. Even before it was used operationally some of the drawbacks had been realized and Thornycroft was asked to produce a new design. This emerged as the Terrapin Mk 2, the original model thereupon becoming the Terrapin Mk 1. It had a large 'one-piece' hold, much better all-round performance and the driver positioned well forward under cover. The hull shape was improved to provide better seaworthiness and water manoeuvrability was much improved. But the Terrapin Mk 2 arrived on the scene too late: the war ended before it could be placed into production and the large numbers of DUKWs to hand meant there was no point in developing it further, and with the end of the war the Terrapin Mk Is were also withdrawn.

Specification Terrapin Mk 1 Crew: 1+at least 2 Weights: unloaded 6909 kg (15,232 lb); loaded 12015 kg (26,488 lb) Powerplant: two Ford V-8 petrol engines each developing 63.4 kW (85 bhp) Dimensions: length 7.01 m (23 ft); width2,67m(8ft9in);height2.92m (9 ft 7 in) Performance: maximum land speed 24.14 km/h (15 mph); maximum water speed8 km/h (5 mph) Armament: none



The DD Sherman was a British development that started during 1941. An engineer named Nicholas Straussler, who had been involved with armoured vehicles for some time, turned his attention to producing a method by which an ordinary tank could float in water during amphibious operations. Early experiments involved the Tetrarch light tank (Valentines were also used at a later date) but in the end it was decided to standardize the eventual results on the Sherman tank, then available in some numbers. To provide a cover, these floating tanks were named Duplex Drive (DD) Shermans. The first DD Shermans were ready in 1943 and were converted by the

The first DD Shermans were ready in 1943 and were converted by the addition of a collapsible fabric screen and 36 rubber air tubes or pillars. This screen/tube assembly was attached to a boat-shaped platform welded around the hull of the tank. The idea was that the pillars were inflated from two air cylinders carried on the tank, and as the inflation continued the pillars raised the screen to a point above the level of the turret. The screen was then locked into position by struts. All these operations could be carried out by the crew, and the whole operation could be carried out in 15 minutes aboard a tank landing craft. Once ready, the tank could be driven off the landing craft ramp into the water, where the tank would then float with the turret at water level, about 0.914-m (3-ft) freeboard being provided by the screen. Drive in the water was provided by two small screw propellers at the rear. These were driven via a gearbox from the track drive, and steering was accomplished by swivelling the propellers, Extra steering could be carried out by the tank commander using a simple rudder and tiller arrangement behind the turret.

Forward progress in the water was slow, depending on the sea state, and the sea state also severely affected the ability of the DD tanks to float. Anything over sea state 5 was considered too risky, but at times this limitation was disregarded, often with dire results. Once the DD Sherman was in about 1.5m (5 ft) of water the screen could then be collapsed, It was here that the main advantage of the DD Sherman became apparent, for it was able to retain its main gun for immedi-

DD Shermans but the main gun was often used to support 79th Armoured Division operations directly after landing on the beaches, especially during the D-Day landings of 6 June 1944. *TheDD Sherman came as a*

ate use after landing. The bow machine-gun could not be fitted to the

TheDD Sherman came as a great surprise to the Germans, for their own attempts to produce swimming tanks ended in relative failure during the early war years.



Once out of the water the twin propellers could be raised out of the way, and off the beaches the DD Shermans could be used in a normal combat role.

The DD Shermans were the only item of specialized amphibious warfare vehicles used by the US Army in June 1944, and the Americans even produced their own versions. During the Normandy landings the DD Shermans came as a nasty surprise for the German defenders as their own experiments with 'floating' tanks had

Below: TheDD Sherman in the foreground is in the process of lowering its wading screen just after a river crossing. The wading screens were supported on columns of air contained in rubber tubes, and the soldier in the foreground is assisting their collapse. proved unsuccessful and had been terminated some years earlier. The sight of DD Shermans clambering up the beaches was too much for some defenders who promptly made themselves scarce. In other locations the DD Shermans provided invaluable immediate fire support for units already in action on the beaches and in the immediate hinterland. DD Shermans were also used at the Rhine crossings and during some north Italian operations in 1944-5.

Right: The twin propellers of this DD Sherman can be clearly seen under the wading screen, which is in the collapsed position. Only Shermans were used operationally with DD equipment from D-Day on wards until the crossing of the Elbe in 1945.







Above: DD Shermans are seen after crossing the River Elbe in the late stages of the war in Europe in 1945. The wading screens could remain a ttached to their parent tanks after use, as they did not hinder the tank's fighting efficiency.



The amphibious truck that became universally known as the 'Duck' first appeared in 1942, and was a version of the standard CMC 6x6 truck fitted with a boat-like hull to provide buoyancy. It derived its name from the GMC model designation system - D showed that it was a 1942 model, U that it was amphibious, K indicated that it was an all-wheel-drive model, and W denoted twin rear axles, From this came DUKW, and this was soon shortened to 'Duck.

The Duck was produced in large numbers. By the time the war ended 21,147 had been built, and the type was used not only by the US Army but also by the British army and many other Allied armed forces. Being based on a widely-used truck chassis it was a fairly simple amphibious vehicle to maintain and drive, and its performance was such that it could be driven over most types of country. In the water the Duck was moved by a single propeller at the rear driven from the main engine, and steering was carried out using a rudder behind the propeller; extra steering control could be achieved by using the front wheels. The driver was seated in front of the main cargo compartment, which was quite spacious and could just about carry loads such as light artillery weapons - it was even possible to fire some weapons such as the 25-pdr field guns during the 'run in' to a beach. The driver was seated behind a folding windscreen and a canvas cover could be erected over the cargo area. For driving over soft areas such as sand beaches the six wheels used a central tyre pressure control system.

The Duck was meant for carrying supplies from ships over beaches, but it was used for many other purposes. One advantage was that it did not always have to unload its supplies directly on the beach: on many occasions it was able to drive its load well forward to where the freight was needed and then return. Many were used as troop transports and the number of special-purpose versions were legion. Some were fitted with special weapons, such as the 114.3-mm(4.5-in) rocket-firing version used in the Pacific and known as the Scorpion. Mention has been made of field guns firing from the cargo area, and some Ducks were armed with heavy machine-guns for self-defence or antiaircraft use. A tow hook was fitted at the rear and some vehicles also had a



Themain use of the DUKW was as a logistical stores carrier loading supplies from ships standing offshore. They could also carry overland to supply dumps. This DUKW is seen during a training operation in the pre D-Day periodin 1944.

self-recovery winch. Twin bilge

pumps were fitted as standard. Many Ducks were sent to the USSR, and the type so impressed the Soviet army that the USSR produced its own copy, known as the BAV-485. This dif-fered from the original by having a small loading ramp at the rear of the cargo area. Many of these BAV-485s are still in use by the Warsaw Pact nations, and the DUKW still serves on with a few Western armed forces. The British army did not pension off its Ducks until the late 1970s. The Duck has been described as

one of the war-winners for the Allies and certainly gave good service wherever it was used. It had some limitations in that the load-carrying

USA



ance in rough water left something to be desired, but the Duck was a good sturdy vehicle that was well-liked by all who used it.

Specification: DUKW Crew: 1+1

Weights: unloaded 6750 kg (14,880 lb); loaded 9097 kg (20,055 lb); payload 2347 kg (5,175 lb) Powerplant: one CMC Model 270 engine developing 68.2 kW (91.5 bhp) Dimensions: length 9,75 m (32 ft(0in); width2.51m(8ft2.9in);height2.69in (8 ft 10 in)

This DUKW has its canvas tilt raised over the load-carrying area and the driver's screen raised.

Performance: maximum land speed 80km/h(50mph); maximum water speed 9.7 km/h (6 mph) Armament: see text



LVT 2 and LVT 4

Developed from a civil design in-tended for use in the Florida swamps, the LVT-1 was not really suited for combat, being intended solely as a supply vehicle. The Pacific war was to prove the need for a more capable amphibious assault vehicle. This emerged as the LVT 2, which used a better all-round shape to improve water performance, though it was still a high and bulky vehicle. Another improvement was a new suspension and the track grousers were made better by the use of aluminium W-shaped shoes that were bolted onto the track shoes that were bolted onto the track and could thus be easily changed when worn or damaged. A definite logistic improvement was introduced by use of the engine, final drive and transmission from the M3 light tank. At the time the LVT 2 was being developed these components were readily available and made spare-part supply that much easier. The steering system of the LVT 2

gave considerable trouble at first, for the brake drums operated in oil and prolonged use of the steering bars could result in the brakes seizing up on one side. Training and experience solved that problem. On the LVT 2 the engine was

mounted at the rear, which restricted the size of the cargo compartment, This was relatively easily designed out of the overall layout by moving the engine forward and mounting a ramp at the rear to ease loading and unloading. Thus the LVT 2 became the LVT 4, which was otherwise generally simi-lar. Of all the LVT series the LVT 4 was produced in the largest numbers: 8.348 produced on the 8,348 produced on five production lines; in contrast 2,963 LVT 2s were produced on six lines. There were some design differences between the LVT 2 and LVT 4: for instance, the driver's controls were rearranged on the LVT 4, but the main improvement was that all manner of loads could be carried on the LVT 4, ranging from a Jeep to a 105-mm (4.13-in) field howit-

zer. Most LVT 2s and LVT 4s were armed with 12.7- or 7.62-mm (0.5- or 0.3-in) machine-guns on rails or pintles, but there were two versions of the LVT 2 that had heavier weapons. The LVT(A) 1 was an LVT with an M3 light tank turret mounting a 37-mm gun; this was intended to supply fire support during the early phases of an amphibious landing during the interval im-mediately after reaching the beaches.



The gun proved to be too light for this role, so it was later supplanted by the short 75-mm (2.95-in) howitzer mounted in the turret of the M8 Howitzer Motor Carriage to produce the LVT(A) 4. On both of these gun vehi-cles the turrets were mounted towards the rear of the cargo area, which was

covered in by armoured plate, The ordinary LVT 2s and LVT 4s became the main load carriers of the early Pacific operations. The first LVTs were used in action at Guadalcanal, and thereafter every island-hopping operation involved them. Some were used in Europe during the Scheldt and Rhine operations of 1944-5 and there were numerous odd 'one-off attempts to mount various types of weapon in them, ranging from rocket batteries to light cannon. Flamethrowers were fitted in some numbers, but all these types of armament should not disguise the fact that the LVT 2 and LVT 4 were most often used to carry ashore the first waves of US Marines.

LVTs lumber ashore during a training exercise, with others following in a non-tactical line; in an assault the LVTs would land in waves side-by-side. The LVT on the right has shielded weapons that could be either machine-guns or flamethrowers.

The LVT 4 differed from theLVT2in having a loading ramp at the rear, which enabled it to carry large loads such as Jeeps and some light weapons. It carried machine-guns on pintles at the front and sides.



Specification LVT2

Crew: 2+7

Powerplant: one Continental W970-9A petrolengine developing 186.4 KW (250 hp)

(2.5 m) Weights: unloaded 11000 kg (24,250 lb); loaded 13721 kg (30,250 lb) Dimensions: length 7,975 m (21 ft 6 in); width 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); height 2.5 m(8 ft 2.5 in)

Performance: maximum land speed 32km/h(20mph);maximumwater speed 12 km/h (7.5 mph); road radius 241 km (150miles); maximum water radius 161 km(100miles) Armament: one 12.7-mm(0.5-in) and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns

Right: TheLVT(A) 1 was armed with the turret and 37-mm (1.45-in) gun of theM3 light tank to provide some measure oflocal fire support in the initial stages of an amphibious landing.





The British Army received a number of LVTs, and knew them as the Buffalo. Here a number are being prepared for the crossing of the River Elbe during the latter stages of the war in north west Europe; it was in these final river crossings that the Buffalo saw most use.



TheLVT(F), commonly known as theSea Serpent, was an LVT4 converted by the British Army to mount two Wasp flamethrowers forward and a machinegun aft. Despite the potential of this flame weapon the Sea Serpent was little used by the British.



Compared with the earlier LVT 1 and LVT 2, the LVT 3 (or Bushmaster) was an entirely new design. For a start it had two engines (Cadillac units), each mounted in a side sponson. This allowed an increase in size of the cargo-carrying area and enabled a loading ramp to be installed at the rear. The overall outline remained the same as on the earlier vehicles, but there were numerous changes. The track was entirely new, being rubberbushed, and the width was reduced with no detriment to water propulsion which continued to be carried out using the tracks only. The first LVT 3 appeared during

The first LVT 3 appeared during 1945 and by the time production ended 2,692 had been produced. It went on to be the 'standard' post-war vehicle of its type but by 1945 the LVTs were used not only by the US Marines but by the US Army. This service had the usual doubts regarding the efficiency of LVTs, but after its initial misgivings came to value the type's attributes just as much as did the US Marines (although the US Army used the LVT 4 mainly as a supply carrier). For a short while the LVT 3 was used by both the US Marines and the US Navy.

On the LVT 3 the driver and codriver were located in a cab forward of the cargo area. Behind them was the gunner's firing step and by the time the LVT 3 arrived on the scene the armament of the LVTs had been increased from the initial single machine-gun to three; one 12.7-mm (0.5-m) heavy and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) medium machineguns. Along each side of the cargo area were the sponsons containing not only the engines but the hydramatic transmissions, bilge pumps and blowers to remove fumes. Some American references refer to these sponsons as pontoons, for they certainly added to the vehicle buoyancy. The rear ramp was raised and lowered by a handoperated winch and had heavy rubber seals along the sides to keep out water. Any water that did get in was drained through gratings m the cargo area deck to be dealt with by the bilge pumps.

The LVT 3 was armoured like the LVT 2 and LVT 4, but extra protection could be added by means of an armoured cab for the driver and codriver and by the use of add-on panels of armour. (These armoured panels could also be added to the LVT 2). Extra shields were also available to protect the machine-guns and their gunners. Perhaps the most reassuring item of equipment carried was a wooden box in the driver's cab, This contained a quantity of rags, waste material and tapered wooden plugs of various sizes to stop any leaks caused by enemy action or otherwise induced. Other special-to-type equipment carried included signal lamps, a water tank and even some spare parts for on-the-spot repairs.

for on-the-spot repairs. The LVT 3 represented the final wartime point in the line that could be traced back to the Roebling tractors, but it was not the end of the line. During the post-war years the concept was developed still further and many of the present vehicles now in use can trace their origins to the LVTs.

Specification LVT3

Crew: 3

Weights: unloaded 12065 kg (26,600 lb); loaded 17509 kg (38,600 lb) Powerplant: two Cadillac petrol engines developing a total of 164.1 kW (220 hp)

Dimensions: 7,95 m(26ft 1 in); width 3.25 m(10 ft 8 in); height 3.023 m(9 ft 11 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 27.3 km/h (17 mph); maximum water speed 9.7 km/h (6 mph); road radius 241 km (150 miles); water radius 120.7 km (75 miles) Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and

two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns



In 1943 plans were made to invade German-held Norway, and it was appreciated that some form of snowcrossing cargo carrier would be re-quired. After a series of trials a tracked vehicle known as the T15 Weasel was selected for service and this was later developed into the T24, still named Weasel and developed for use not only over snow but over mud, rough terrain and swamps. In time the T24 was standardized as the M29 Cargo Carrier and from this evolved the M29C amphibious light cargo carrier. The name Weasel was once more carried over, even though the official name

Ark was promulgated. The M29C was a simple conversion of the land-use M29. Changes were made to the flexible rubber tracks to enable them to provide propulsion in water, flotation chambers were provided at front and rear, and twin rudders were added for steering in water, The land M29 had already demons-trated its abilities to cross just about any type of terrain, including snow and rough stony ground, and the M29C retained all these qualities. In water it was somewhat slow and it could not operate in other than inland waterway conditions, so its use in surf or rough conditions, so its use in surf or rough water was very limited. But when used correctly the M29C soon proved to be a valuable vehicle. Its uses were le-gion, especially during the many is-land-hopping campaigns in the Pacific theatre. Once ashore they were used to cross terrain that no other vehicle to cross terrain that no other vehicle could attempt, and they carried men, supplies and even towed artillery using their rear-mounted towing pintle. Rice fields were no obstacle and the M29C was equally at home crossing sand dunes

The M29C and the land-based M29 Weasels were used as ambulances on many occasions. Another use was for crossing minefields as the Weasel's ground pressure was very low, often too low to set off anti-tank mines, A technique was even evolved whereby

the Weasels could be controlled remotely using hand-operated cords, but this technique had its limitations. The Weasels were also very reliable: they rarely broke down and their track life was later found to be far in excess of

anticipations. The M29C was also used by signal units, for its ability to cross water and land impassable to other vehicles made it a very valuable wirelaying vehicle. But it was as a supply or personnel carrier that it was most useful. Although unarmoured, M29Cs were often used to carry armed troops across water obstacles and land them in front of an enemy position, other M29Cs then following up with ammuni-

M29Cs then following up with animum-tion and supplies, By the time the war ended about 8,000 M29C Weasels had been pro-duced, and orders for a further 10,000 were then cancelled. But the M29C concept had been well established by then and since 1945 many follow-on designs have been produced. M29Cs were used by several of the

Allied armies. The British army made use of a number during 1944 and 1945 and for a few years after that. Some European armies used them for years after the war, and numbers can still be found in civilian hands, hard at work over swampy terrain.

Specification M29C

Crew: 1+3

Weights: unloaded2195kg(4,840lb); loaded2740kg(6,040lb); payload 390 kg (860 lb)

Powerplant: one Studebaker Model 6-170 petrol engine developing 55.9 kW (75 bhp)

Dimensions: length overall 4.794 m (15ft8.75in);lengthoverhull4.4m (14ft5.5in);width1,7m(5ft7in); height 1.797 m (5 ft 10,75 in) Performance: maximum road speed 58.58 km/h (36.4 mph); maximum water speed about 6.4 km/h (4 mph) Armament: none



American personnel undergo a rather bumpy ride in an M29C Weasel over swampy terrain. The rear-mounted rudders can be clearly seen, and these were lowered once the vehicle actually entered the water.



TheM29CStudebaker Weasel was used as an amphibious cargo carrier, but could be used to carry personnel. Although small, it could carry useful loads over almost any type of terrain, and once in the water used its tracks to provide prop ulsion at slow but steady speed.



The Japanese army produced an amphibious halftrack as early as 1930, and tested it extensively before deciding that it was too underpowered for full cross-country mobility and thus turning to other projects. However, the idea of an amphibious armoured vehi-cle of some kind was not entirely lost and some low-priority experimentation went on throughout the 1930s in an attempt to produce an amphibious vehicle for the Japanese navy. One of these projects was to add kapok-filled floats to a Type 95 Kyu-Go light tank, the tank/float combination being propthe tank/float combination compre-elled by two outboard motors. The idea was to produce a tank-landing or although the floats worked the com-bination was very difficult to steer and the project was abandoned at the trials stage

But the idea of making the Type 95 Kyu-Go into an amphibious light tank did not disappear. Instead of the float devices it was decided to redesign the hull of the Type 95 and use steel pon-toons fore and aft to provide buoyancy. The wheels, track, suspension and en-gine (along with many other compo-nents of the Type 95) were retained,

but the hull became a larger and bulkier shape. Large slabs of armour plate were used on the hull, which had inbuilt buoyancy chambers, and a rede-signed turret carried a 37-mm anti-tank gun with a co-axial 7.7-mm (0.303in) machine-gun. Special-to-role ex-tras included a bilge pump, and drain holes were inserted into the road wheels. In the water the two steel pontoons were held in place by securing clamps, and once ashore the pontoons were discarded. Steering was

effected by rudders on the rear pontoon, controlled by cables from a steering wheel in the turret. As there was very little freeboard when floating a trunking arrangement was usually erected around the engine intake

The amphibious light tank was de-signated the Amphibious Tank Type 2 Ka-Mi and it went into production dur-ing 1942. Compared with the land-based light tanks it had several innova-tions, not the least of which was radio

The Type 2 Ka-Mi amphibious light tank was the most commonly-used of the Japanese swimming tanks. 11 had pontoon floats fore and aft to give most of the swimming buoyancy, and the bulky hull also had large buoyancy chambers to provide even more notation once in the water.



and a telephone intercom system for all crew members. Compared with land-based tanks, the Type 2 also had an increase in crew numbers: the Type 95 Kyu-Go had a crew of only three, but the Type 2 could house five, mainly as a result of the increased internal volume of the hull. One of this increased crew was a mechanic who looked after the engine and the power transfer from the road wheels to the two propellers that drove the vehicle in water

For its period the Type 2 Ka-Mi was a successful little amphibian, and was used operationally on several occasions by the Japanese navy. However, it suffered the fate of most Japanese armour, being used in dribs and drabs to provide purely local infantry sup-port. By 1944 they were more often than not used simply as land-based pillboxes in attempts to defend islands against invasion, which was a waste of their amphibious potential. Their other problem was that there were never enough of them. Japanese industry could never produce enough to meet demands, and as every vehicle was virtually hand-built production was al-ways slow. But for all that the Type 2 Ka-Mi was one of the best designs of its period,

Specification Type2Ka-Mi Crew: 5

Weights: with pontoons 11301 kg



GERMANY Land-Wasser-Schlepper

In 1936 the German army general staff called upon Rheinmetall Borsig AG to develop a special tractor that could be used in amphibious operations. The idea was that the tractor could tow behind it a special trailer that could also float, capable of carrying vehicles or other cargo up to a weight of about 18000 kg (39,683 lb). Afloat the tractor would act as a tug for the floating trailer, but once ashore the tractor would have to pull the trailer to a point where

it could be safely unloaded. Rheinmetall undertook the project and produced the Land-Wasser-Schlepper (land-water tractor) or LWS. The LWS was very basically a motor tug fitted with tracks and was a large and awkward-looking machine that nevertheless turned out to be a remarkably workmanlike vehicle. The LWS had a flat bottom on each side of which were two long sets of tracks. On each side four sets of road wheels were suspended in pairs from leaf-spring suspensions. The LWS had a pronounced bow and on top was a cabin for the crew of three men and space for a further 20. What appeared to be a small funnel was in fact an air intake for the engine. At the rear, or stern, two large propellers were placed for water propulsion. To round off the nautical flavour of the LWS the sides of the crew cabin had portholes.

In contrast the floating trailer was a large slab-sided affair that, on land, moved on wheels located on one axle forward and two at the rear, At the rear a ramp could be folded down for loading, a typical load being an SdKfz 9 18-tonne halftrack whose crew trans-ferred to the LWS for the water jour-

ney. The LWS and trailer concept was



(24,914 lb); without pontoons 9571 kg (21,100 lb)

Powerplant: one 6-cylinder air-cooled dieseldeveloping82kW(110hp) Dimensions: length with pontoons 7.417m(24ft4in);length without pontoons4.826m(15ft10in); width 2.79m(9ft1.8in); height2,337m(7ft

Performance: maximum land speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum water speed 9.65 km/h (6 mph); land radius 199.5 km (125 miles); water radius 149.6 km (93 miles) Armament: one 37-mm gun and two

7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns

This Type 2 Ka-Mi ligh t amphibious tank has its forward pontoon float detached and resting on the ground, clearly showing the large size of this component. The truck is a 2-ton Nissan 180 cargo vehicle produced during the latter stages of the war in the Pacific the Pacific.

conducted through a series of trials with no great sense of urgency until the aftermath of May and June 1940 brought the prospect of 'Seelöwe' (Op-eration 'Sea Lion', the invasion of the UK) to the forefront. The LWS and trail-er could no doubt have been used for such an operation but it was really intended for the calmer waters of inland water obstacles, Even so the LWS was pushed with a greater sense of urgency for a while, but the project never really got off the ground and by 1941 it had been abandoned.

One point that counted against the

LWS was that it was unarmoured, and it was felt that armour would be needed for any operations likely to be undertaken. It was also felt that the floating trailer was a bit cumbersome so a new idea was taken up. The overall layout of the LWS was retained, but this time the trackwork and suspension of a PzKpfw IV tank was used to carry a lightly armoured floating chassis. Two of these vehicles, known as Panzer-fähre or PzF, were supposed to carry a large pontoon between them with the tank or other load on it. Thus the PzF would have been a ferry rather than a tractor, but the whole project was abandoned during 1942 after two prototypes had been built and tested. After 1945 the LWS was captured in

Germany and brought to the UK for a thorough technical evaluation by the **British**

Specification LWS Crew: 3+20 Weight: 13000 kg (28,660 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM V-12 engine developing 197,6kW(265 hp) Dimensions: length 8,60 m (28 ft 2.6 in); widths. 16 m (10 ft 4.4 in); height 3.13 m (10 ft 3 3 in) Performance: maximum road speed 40km/h(24.85mph);maximumwater speed unloaded 12,5 km/h(7.8 mph); road range 240 km (149 miles) Armament: none

The German LWS was built to carry up to 20 men and a crew of 3, and it could also tow a floating trailer carrying a vehicle or some form of weapon.





Schwimmwagen

The term Schwimmwagen that *is* usually applied to the amphibious version of the military Volkswagen was not strictly correct, for the term merely means amphibious vehicle. The correct designation was Schwimmfahiger Gelandeng Typ 166, though the vehicle was usually just called the Schwimmwagen. It was originally developed during 1940 for use by airborne troops, and was supposed to have a good cross-country performance coupled with an amphibious capability. It was designed to make as much use of existing Kübelwagen (the military version of the Volkswagen) components as possible. In the end most of those built were used mainly on the Eastern Front, and the production reached a total of 14,625.

The Schwimmwagen was used to supplement the various types of motorcycle/sidecar combinations used by reconnaissance and other units. It was a small, sturdy little vehicle with a rather bulky body to provide flotation, and with a propeller at the rear for water propulsion. It could seat four men at a squeeze, especially if they carried all their equipment, for internal space was rather limited. The production line was at the Volkswagen plant at Wolfsburg, and it was often disrupted by Allied bombing raids before the line was closed during 1944, mainly as a result of raw material shortages.

tages. But the German army demanded more and more of these vehicles during the early war years, for the Schwimmwagen was a handy little machine. Apart from its reconnaissance role, many were used by commanders of all types of unit who found them very useful for visiting scattered units, especially over the wide expanses of the Eastern Front. The vehicle was powered by a 1.3-litre petrol engine that was slightly more powerful than that of the Kübelwagen and which gave the Schwimmwagen a better all-



round performance. To make sure that none of the cross-country power was lost special all-terrain tyres were fitted.

The propeller used to drive the vehicle in water was' located on a swinging arm at the rear, Before the vehicle entered the water this arm had to be lowered to align the screw with the drive chain, and once propeller drive had been selected the rest of the transmission was isolated. Water steering was effected via the front wheels. Despite its handiness in water the Schwimmwagen proved to be equally at home in the desert wastes of North Africa, some ending in that theatre with the Deutsches Afrika Korps. Rommel made requests for more, but instead the bulk of the production went to the Eastern Front where the air-cooled engine and the British troops maintain a captured German Schwimmwagen that has been pressed in to Allied use as a runabout. They are working on the propeller unit that was lowered as the vehicle moved into the water. Note the overall chubby appearance of the design, produced by the use of notation chambers.

presence of more water obstacles meant it could be used to better effect; Rommel instead got Kübelwagens. Many of the Eastern Front models were fitted with a special tank containing a very volatile fuel for starting under winter conditions. The Schwimmwagen was an attrac-

The Schwimmwagen was an attractive little vehicle and many were used by the Allies, often as trophies but more usually for run-abouts by Allied commanders. Many still exist as collector's items.

Specification

Schwimmfahiger Gelandeng Typ 166 Crew: 1+3 Weights: unloaded 903.5 kg (1,992 lb); payload434,5kg(9581b) Powerplant: one VW 1.13 litre petrol engine developing 18.64 kW (25 hp) Dimensions: length 3.825 m (12 ft 6.6in); width 1.48 m (4ft 10.3 in); height 1.615 m (5 ft 3.6 in) Performance: maximum road speed 80 km/h (50 mph); maximum water speed 11 km/h (7 mph); range 400-450 km (250-280 miles) Armament: none

Waffen SS soldiers from one of the SS Balkan units are about to board their Schwimmwagen during operations against partisans. During such operations the amphibious qualities of this vehicle could often be used to good advantage, as water obstacles were no problem.


Allied and Axis Halftracks

The need for the supporting arms to keep pace with the tanks was obvious to serious students of armoured warfare in the 1930s, but wheeled vehicles were roadbound and tracked support vehicles seemed an extravagance. Halftracks appeared to be the answer, and Germany and the USA built them by the thousand.

 $B^{\text{etween 1939}}_{\text{mobility of the halftrack}}$ imparted to all arms the ability to move at a pace that had not been even contemplated in 1918. Halftracks of all kinds moved infantry, combat engineers, signallers and artillery around the battlefields of World War II at speeds not even the prophets of armoured warfare had envisaged. Instead of the long lines of marching infantry that advanced across the battlefields of 1918, the front-line soldier of 1945 moved in formations of halftracks carrying not only the vanguard of the infantry but also all the support arms.

The impact of the internal combustion engine on the battlefield is frequently quoted with reference to the tank, but it was soon learned that the tank by itself could not operate without support, of which the most important was that furnished by the infantry, followed by that of the engineers and artillery. With the latter two went all the other supply, command and communication functions, which had to have the mobility and speed of the tank. The halftrack was the best way of satisfying this operational requirement, and of all the nations involved in World War II the Soviet Union was alone in not producing



An American halftrack - the classic Half-Track Car M2 - loaded with jerry cans of fuel comes ashore to reinforce the Allied bridgehead.

such vehicles. Even the British, with their penchant for the tracked Bren Gun and Universal Carriers, were pleased to receive American halftracks, and the Soviet Union also used many when it suited them to accept such machines. The French developed many models of halftracks but had little chance to use the type in combat in 1940, mainly as a result of the rapid acceptance of armoured warfare principles by their German opponents. It was the Germans who made the best use of the halftrack's capabilities, and not even the massive output of the American arsenals can overshadow the impact that the German halftracks made at the time: even after a period of more than 40 years that impact still remains in the popular imagination. Thus, although the Americans produced more of their halftracks than can be easily appreciated, the main emphasis in this study is on the German halftracks, from the tiny Kettenrad to the mighty SclKfz 9. But one factor must be borne in mind when reading this section; in cost terms, weight for weight the halftrack was, and still is, more expensive than the tank. The high degree of technology required to make the halftrack reliable is such that each example was an engineering achievement purchased at high cost in time and facilities. If only a small sector of that effort had been diverted to other weapons or equipment things might have been different for the German armed forces.



SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad

The SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad (SdKfz standing for *Sonderkraftwagen* or special vehicle, and *kleines Kettenrad* (meaning small wheel-track or half-track) was developed initially for use by the new German army and Luftwaffe airborne and paratroop units, and was supposed to be a very light type of artillery tractor. It was originally intended as a towing vehicle for the specialized 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun developed for the airborne role, and also for the range of light recoilless guns that had also been developed for use by these specialized troops.

GERMANY

guns that had also been developed for use by these specialized troops. The first of these small tractors entered service in 1941. The initial service model was the NSU-101, a small but complex vehicle that could carry three men, including the driver who sat behind his steering bar close to the centre of the vehicle. The relatively long tracks took up much of the length of the vehicle on each side, and the engine was located under and behind the driver. Two men could sit at the rear, facing backwards, and the equipment to be towed was connected by a hitch at the rear. Apart from light artillery pieces the vehicle could also tow a specially-designed light trailer that could carry ammunition or fuel, and very often the seating for the two extra men was removed to make more room for cargo and supplies. By the time the Kettenrad entered

By the time the Kettenrad entered service its main intended use had passed with the mauling of the Luftwaffe airborne forces on Crete. Thereafter the German airborne formations fought as ground troops, and the need for their light artillery tractors was no longer pressing. Accordingly the Kettenrad was used mainly as a supply vehicle for troops operating in areas where other supply vehicles could not move without difficulty. While the little Kettenrads could carry out supply missions over seemingly impassable tracts of mud or sand they could not carry very much, and their towing capacity was limited to 450 kg (992 lb). This was often a drawback compounded by the fact that relatively few Kettenrads were built, so the few that were available were usually reserved for really difficult missions. At one



Above: The little SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad was originally intended for use as a Ught artillery tractor by airborne units, but after Crete these vehicles were more often used as light forward area supply vehicles for use over difficult terrain. Three men could be carried.

point it was proposed that a larger version to be known as the HK102 would be produced. This would have a larger 2-litre (122-cu in) engine (the original version had a 1.5-litre/91.55-cu in engine) that could power a larger vehicle capable of carrying five men or a correspondingly larger payload of supplies. It reached the design stage but got no further, since by 1944 it was finally appreciated that the Kettenrads were an expensive luxury that the German armed forces could no longer afford and the type went out of production,

Those Kettenrads in use in 1944 served up to the end, and there was even a specialized type for high speed cable-laying to link up command posts and forward positions. There were actually two variants of this cablelaying version, one for laying normal telephone cable (SdKfz 2/1) and the other for laying heavy-duty cable for large switchboards (SdKfz 2/2). Both mounted the cable drums above the centre of the vehicle on a steel



framework and a signaller guided the cable off the drums and onto the ground using a cable guide.

Specification SdKfz 2 Crew: 3 Weights: 1200 kg (2,646 lb) Powerplant: one Opel Olympia 38 petrolengine developing 26.8 kW (36 hp) Dimensions: length 2.74 m (8ft 11.9 in); British soldiers try out a captured SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad. The driver satin a well between the two tracks, with the engine just behind him.

width 1.0m(3ft3.4in);height 1.01m (3ft3.8in) Performance: maximum road speed 80 km/h (49.7 mph) Armament:none

\$

SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen It

From the mass and weight of the 18tonne SdKfz 9 the numerical sequence changed back to the lightest of the artillery tractors, the SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen It. This light tractor had its origins in a 1932 army requirement, and the development work was carried out by Demag of Wetter-Ruhr. The first prototype was completed during 1934 and in 1937 the production model (the D 7) emerged. This remained in production until 1944 with its basic form virtually unchanged, and later attempts to replace this model never did get very far since the original was deemed more than adequate for its role.

Its role. The task was to tow light infantry and other weapons, and to carry the weapon detachment of up to eight men, These weapons included the 3.7cm (1.456-in) Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun, the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) leIG 18 infantry support gun, and the larger 15-cm (5.9in) sIG 33 infantry gun. Other weapons towed included light anti-aircraft guns and later in the war the 5-cm (1.97-in) Pak 38 and 7,5-cm Pak 40 anti-tank guns, The basic vehicle was also used as the basis for the armoured SdKfz 250 series. All in all the SdKfz 10 was a very popular little vehicle that remained in demand by all arms throughout the war. Production was carried out at two main centres, one of which was the Sauerwerke in Vienna, but by 1943 production concentrated at the other main centre (the Mechanische Werke at Cottbus) when the Vienna plant switched to other things. By German terms the production totals were large, more than 17,000 being completed.

By far the most numerous of this production total was the basic tractor but as usual this vehicle was used for other things. The first variants were produced as a reflection on the expected nature of the coming war for three variants, the SdKfz 10/1, SdKfz 10/2 and SdKfz 10/3 were all produced as chemical warfare vehicles: the first was a specially-equipped chemical recon-



SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen It tractors are being used here in their intended role to tovv5-cm (1.97-in) Pak 38 anti-tank guns. The vehicles

have their canvas covers stowed, and the gun crews'kit and equipment is stowed at the vehicle rear.



ThisSdKfZlO/4, a variant of the basic *l*-tonne tractor, is fitted with a 2-cm (0.79-in) Flak 30 complete with its curved shield and with the sides folded down for action. Some of these vehicles were fitted with armoured cabs to protect the driver. Extra ammunition was usually carried in a towed trailer.

naissance vehicle, while the second and third were decontamination vehicles carrying equipment-cleansing solutions in drums or a tank. Very few of these special vehicles appear to have been produced, and no records survive of any ever being encoun-



The first winter of the war in the USSR (1941-2) demonstrated to the German army that most of its wheeled transport was completely unable to deal with the dreadful muddy conditions produced during the freeze-thaw weather that marked the beginning and end of the Russian winter. During these condi-tions it was only the halftracks that could make any headway, but to divert the precious halftracks from their operational purposes to carry out the mundane day-to-day supply functions was obviously uneconomic, so it was decided to produce low-cost halftrack trucks. This was done quite simply by taking Opel and Daimler-Benz trucks from the production lines and removing their rear axles. In their place went new dnveshafts connected to tracked assemblies made from PzKpfw II running wheels and tracks. In itself this was a considerable economic advantage since the PzKpfw II was then going out of production and existing capacity could be retained, making the truck conversion an even more

cost-effective venture. The new halftrack trucks were pro-vided with the name Maultier (mule). In the end the conversions used mainly Opel Typ S/SSM trucks, and in service they were generally a success although they tended to lack the over-all mobility of the 'proper' halftracks. Not surprisingly, their use was con-fined to the Eastern Front, and the unbidde ware were were the for sources vehicles were used mainly for routine

supply purposes. Not content with a good thing, the Germans as ever were forced to employ the Maultiers for yet another pur-pose, The German Nebelwerfer (rock-et) batteries had become an established part of the army artillery system

tered. It was different with SdKfz 10/4 and SdKfz 10/5, for these two vehicles were produced to mount single-barrel 2-cm light anti-aircraft guns: the SdKfz 10/4 carried the Flak 30 and the SdKfz 10/5 the faster-firing Flak 38 from 1939 onwards. These two vehicles were so arranged that their sides and rear could fold down to form a working platform for the gun crew, and many examples that operated in direct support of ground formations (as opposed to the vehicles used by the Luftwaffe) were fitted with extra armour over the driver's position.

As was usual at the time, there were unofficial modifications to the SdKfz 10



series to carry local 'field fit' weapons. A not uncommon weapon so fitted was the 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun, which was usually mounted to fire forward while still fitted with its gun shield. A less common weapon was the later 5-cm Pak 38 carried in a similar manner, but these were less frequently encountered.

Specification SdKfz 10 Crew: 8 Weight: 4900 kg (10,803 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 38 or 42 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6kW(100hp) The light SdKfz 10 could mount either the 2-cm (0.79-in) Flak 30 or the Flak 38. These guns could be used against ground targets if required, as seen here. This is an SdKfz 10/4 with a Flak 30 and with the sides folded down to provide a firing platform provide a firing platform.

Dimensions: length 4.74 m(15 ft 6.6 in); width 1.83 m(6 ft); height 1.62 m(5 ft)3.8 in) Performance: maximum road speed 65 km/h (40.4 mph); range 150 km (93 miles) Armament: see text



Maultier



by late 1942, and it was decided that the Panzer formations should have their own dedicated rocket units. At that time most Nebelwerfer units used up with the Panzers a self-propelled version was required. The halftrack was the obvious choice as a starting point, but as none could be allocated the Maultier was pressed into use.

The basic truck was provided with a fully armoured cab, engine cover and hull. On the hull roof a 10-barrel laun-cher known as the 15-cm Panzerwer-fer 42 was placed. This launcher had 270° of traverse and 80° of elevation, and it find the 10 replete in a grintly and it fired the 10 rockets in a ripple. The army ordered 3,000 of these conversions with the understanding that production would eventually switch to the sWS when production totals of the

latter allowed, which they never did (apart from a small batch of pro-totypes). The first of these Maultiers was used during 1943, and had a crew of three. The rockets were carried in the launcher, with reloads in compart-ments along each side of the lower hull, A machine-gun was usually carried. Some of these armoured Maul-tiers were produced without the launcher and were used to carry extra rockets for the launcher vehicles, and some of these were used by units other than the Nebelwerfer batteries as front-line ammunition supply vehicles, although their armour was proof only against small arms projectiles and shell splinters.

Specification

Maultier (rocket launcher)

The Maultier was a makeshift The Maultier was a makeshift conversion of an Opel truck into a half-tracked truck for use as a supply vehicle in forward areas. The schwerer Wehrmachtschlepper was meant for this role, but could not be produced in sufficient numbers so the Maultier was produced. the Maultier was produced.

Crew: 3

Weights: 7100 kg(15,653 lb)Powerplant: one 3.6-litre 6-cylinder petrol engine Dimensions: length6.0m(19ft8.2in); width2.2m(7ft2.6in); height2,5m (8 ft 6 in) Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h(30mph) Armament: see text

The vehicle that was to become the SdKfz 250 leichter Schützenpanzerwagen series had its origins in the same operational requirement produced during the mid-1930s that led to the SdKfz 251 series. It was intended that there would be both 1-tonne and 3-tonne halftracks to provide mobility for the infantry and other units operating with the Panzer divisions, and the 1-tonne model became the SdKfz 250. The SdKfz 250 was first produced by Demag AG of Wetter in the Ruhr,

The SdKfz 250 was first produced by Demag AG of Wetter in the Ruhr, although later other concerns were also involved in manufacture. The vehicle was based on the chassis of the SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen 1tonne vehicle, but featured an armoured hull with an open top to accommodate the crew of five men plus the driver. The first examples were produced during 1939, and the SdKfz 250 first went into action during the May 1940 campaign in France. Compared with its larger counterpart, the SdKfz 251, the SdKfz 250 haltrack was built and used on a much smaller scale but the type's production total was impressive enough (5,930 were made between 1942 and 1944) and by the time the war ended no less than 14 official variants had been produced (plus the usual crop of unofficial variants), and from 1943 onwards productionmodifications were introduced to the hull shape to assist manufacture while at the same time cutting down the amount of raw materials required. Armour thickness ranged from 6 to 14.5mm (0.24 to 0.57 in).

Armour thickness ranged from 6 to 14.5mm (0,24 to 0.57 in). The main run of SdKfz 250 vehicles commenced with the SdKfz 250/1, which had a crew of six men and carried two machine-guns. There followed a number of models equipped for either radio (SdKfz 250/3) or telephone (SdKfz 250/2) communications, and a variety of weapon-carrying variants. These were armed with all



manner of weapons from an 8.1-cm (3.189-m) mortar (SdKfz 250/7) to a 2cm anti-aircraft cannon (SdKfz 250/9). Perhaps the oddest of these weapon carriers was the SdKfz 250/8, which appeared to be rather overloaded with a short 7.5-cm (2.95-in) tank gun (from the early versions of the PzKpfw IV tank) allied with a co-axial/ranging 7.92-mm (0.312-m) MG34 or MG42 machine-gun. There were two variants that were allocated their own special designation numbers. One was the SdKfz 252 which was supposed to be a special ammunition carrier towing a trailer; the reshaped and fullyenclosed interior was meant to carry ammunitionfor*Sturmgeschittz*(assault gun) batteries, but only a few were made before it was realized that the ordinary SdKfz 250 could carry out the role just as well and the SdKfz 250/6 which could carry 70 7,5-cm rounds. The

other special version was the SdKfz 253 which acted as an observation post for the *Sturmgeschütz* batteries and was given a special radio 'fit'.

for the Sturmgeschutz batteries and was given a special radio 'fit'. Other SdKfz 250 variants included the SdKfz 250/9, a special turreted version for the reconnaissance role; the SdKfz 250/12 range-finding and artillery survey model; light anti-tank models armed with either a 37-mm (1.456in) anti-tank gun (SdKfz 250/10) or a special 'taper-bore' 2.8-cm (1.1-in) heavy anti-tank rifle (SdKfz 250/11); and various types of command and communication models. Unofficial versions mounted a 2-cm anti-aircraft cannon, and there was at least one attempt to mount a 5-cm (1.97-in) anti-tank gun. The SdKfz 250 series were popular

The SdKfz 250 series were popular little halftracks, and they remained in production right up till the end of the war. They were expensive to produce, but they were used on every front and in service proved reliable and sturdy. The SdKfz 250/10 was armed with a 3.7-cm(1.456-in) Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun, and was just one of a long string of variants of this light arm our ed carrier. Other versions carried machine-guns and even 7.5-cm (2.95-in) assault howitzers (the SdKfz 250/8).

Specification SdKfz 250 Crew: 6 Weight: 5380 kg (11,861ib) Powerplant: one MaybachHL426cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6kW(100hp) Dimensions:length4.56m(14ft 11.5in); width 1.945m(6ft4,6in); height 1.98 m(6ft6in) Performance: maximum road speed 59.5 km/h(37 mph); roadrange 299 km (186 miles); gradient 24°; fording 0.75 m (29,5 in) Armament: see text

SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen 3t

The SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen 3t series had a somewhat difficult early development life, for the first versions that appeared in early 1934 were produced by two firms, Hansa-Lloyd and Goliath who later combined to form Borgward AG. For various reasons development of these early vehicles passed to Hanomag of Hanover, which became responsible for the series from then onwards, and by 1939 the SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen 3t was in full production.

The basic SdKfz 11 was intended for use primarily as an artillery tractor, and once in service it became a standard tractor with 10.5-cm (4.13-m) leFH 18 field howitzer batteries, and was later used to tow 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40 anti-tank guns. In fact the SdKfz 11 was so successful with the leFH 18 batteries that the larger SdKfz 6 which was also meant to tow these howitzers was phased out of production in favour of the lighter (and less expensive) tractor, The SdKfz 11 tractors were also used by the Luftwaffe to tow light flak weapons such as the 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Flak 36 and 37, and it was by the army's Nebelwerfer (literally smoke-thrower) batteries that the SdKfz 11 was mainly used.

Despite their name the Nebelwerfer units were primarily rocket troops firing their missiles to bolster artillery barrages. The Sd'Kfz 11s used with these batteries not only towed various multi-barrel launchers but also carried spare rockets, launcher frames for the statically-emplaced launchers and the crews to carry out the fire missions, Since the Nebelwerfer units were supposed to retain their smoke-producing skills for laying down smoke screens at times, some SdKfz 11s (the SdKfz 11/1 and SdKfz 11/4 models) were fitted with smoke-generating equipment but this could usually be removed for the more usual rocket-firing duties. These smoke-generating models had a crew of only two, compared with the nine that could be carried when the vehicle was used as a tractor.

was used as a tractor, Two variants, the SdKfz 11/2 and SdKfz 11/3, were produced specifically for the chemical warfare decontamination role. These two vehicles could carry more equipment and decontaminants than the smaller SdkKfz 10 equivalents, and were intended for use with larger equipments such as tanks; but as with the smaller vehicles few appear to have been produced and no records have survived of any being encountered. No doubt they were converted to become normal tractors,

At one point several production cen-



tres were busy churning out SdKfz 1 Is and it was one halftrack that remained in production until the end although by then only one factory, Auto-Union at Chemnitz, was in full spate. The Borgward plant at Bremen was supposed to remain in production, but was damaged by bomber raids and could turn out components only. By then some changes had been made to simplify manufacture. The metal superstructures of the early models was replaced by wooden units, and to increase the operational radius of the new vehicles increased fuel capacity was introduced (the normal tankage being 110 litres/24.2 Imp gal). The need for these

An SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen 3t ofthe Afrika Korps tows a 10.5-cm (4.13-in) leFH 18 field howitzer soon after the arrival of the Korps in North Africa in 1941 - hence the pith helmets (soon discarded). This tractor was developed by Hanomag and remained in production until 1944.

tractor vehicles by 1945 was such that many were in use towing far heavier artillery pieces (and other loads) than those for which they were intended, one example of which was the large 8.8-cm (3.46-m) Pak 43 and Pak 43/41 anti-tank guns. Specification SdKfzll Crew: 9 Weight: 7100 kg(15,653 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach NL 386cylinderpetroldeveloping 74.6 kW (lOOhp) Dimensions: length 5,48 m(17 ft 11.7 in); width 1.82 m(5 ft 11.7 in); height 1.62 m (5 ft 3.8 in) Performance: maximum road speed 53 km/h (33 mph); range 122 km (76 miles) Armament: see text

The SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen 3t was primarily used as a tractor for medium field artillery such as the 10.5-cm(4.13-in)howitzerand7.5cm(2.95-in)Pak40 anti-tank gun. The SdKfz 11 was so successful that it largely superseded the bigger SdKfz 6.

\$



SdKfz 251 mittlerer Schützenpanzerwagen

The SdKfz 251 mittlerer Schützenpanzerwagen series of halftracks had its origins in the same staff requirement as the SdKfz 250, but whereas the SdKfz 250 was a light 1-tonne vehicle the SdKfz 251 was classed as a medium *(mittleref)* 3-tonne vehicle. The SdKfz 251 was aproductof the Hanomag concern, based at Hanover, but the hull and superstructure were produced by Bussing-NAG. The basis of the SdKfz 251 was the SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen 3-tonne artillery tractor halftrack, and the first production examples were issued to the 1st Panzer Division early in 1939. The SdKfz 251 was primarily an

The SdKfz 251 was primarily an armoured personnel carrier capable of carrying up to 12 men (a complete infantry section), and it was this SdKfz 251/1 version that was produced in the greatest numbers. Armed with at least two machine-guns plus the carried crew weapons, the SdKfz 251/1 was a very useful fighting platform capable of keeping up with the fast-moving Panzer formations. No fewer than four differing hull versions were introduced, mainly as a result of the need to churn out more and more vehicles to meet the ever-expanding demand of front-line troops, but that was nothing compared to the number of variants produced for other roles. Armour varied in thickness from 6 to 14.5 mm (0.24 to 0.57 in).

There were no fewer than 22 of these special-purpose variants, plus the usual local and unofficial modifications, They ranged from weapon cartiers of all kinds to ambulances, and in between came observation vehicles for various forms of artillery, command and communications versions (both radio and telephone), versions carrying infra-red searchlights or antiaircraftweapons and eventank-killers mounting long 7.5-cm (2.95-in) antitank guns, The full listing is given elsewhere in this study, but perhaps the most powerful of the weapon carriers was a version of the basic SdKfz 251/1 known as the '*Stuka zum Fuss*' (divebomber on foot, or infantry Stuka). This was the personnel carrier with a tubular steel frame over the hull that carried three rocket-launcher frames on each side of the vehicle; 28-cm (11in) or 32-cm (12,6-m) rockets were The SdKfz 251/20 was known as 'Uhu' \ (owl) and carried an infra-red searchlight to illuminate targets for small groups of Pan ther tanks at night. These variants were produced late in the war and were used mainly on the Eastern Front.

mounted on these side frames while still in their carrying crates and fired at short ranges against fixed or area targets. They werepowerful weapons, especially for street fighting, but other SdKfz 251 versions, such as the SdKfz 251/9 armed with a short 7.5-cm tank gun, were far more accurate. There was even a flamethrower version (the SdKfz 251/16) and one model was a late-war low-level anti-aircraft defence expedient, the SdKfz 251/21 mounting three 1.5-cm or 2-cm aircraft guns (the MG151) on a single mounting.

^{ing}. The SdKfz 251 in all its forms was produced in thousands and became a virtual 'trademark' of the Panzer formations. It was used on all fronts, usually in close co-operation with tanks, and although the early versions displayed some unfortunate reliability problems the type settled down to become a rugged and dependable vehicle in whatever role it was used.

Specification SdKfz 251 Crew: 12 Weight: 7810 kg (17,218 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 426cylinder petrol engine developing



74,6kW(100hp) Dimensions: length 5.80 m(19 ft 0.3 in); width2.10m(6ft 10.7 in); height 1,75 m (5 ft 8.9 in)

Performance: maximumroadspeed 52.5 km/h (32.5 mph); road range 300 km (186 miles); gradient 24⁶; fording 0.6 m (24 in); 2.0 m (6 ft 6.7 in) Armament: see text The badge on the front of this SdKfz 251/9 denotes that it is part of the schwere Kanonenzug of the reconnaissance battalion of the 2nd Panzer Division. This is an early example of the mounting for the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) short assault gun used to provide local fire support; this vehicle is one of six in the company.



SdKfz 6 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 5t

The SdKfz numbers allotted to the artillery halftracks used by the new German army during the early 1930s did not follow a logical sequence, and the SdKfz 6 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 5t was a medium tractor. Development of this vehicle commenced during 1934, the early work being carried out by Bussing-NAG in Berlin. There were two main purposes: one was for the SdKfz 6 to act as the main tractor vehicle for the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) leFH 18 batteries, and the other was for the engineer units, where the tractor would be able to tow the heavy combat engineer equipment on trailers. In both cases the vehicle could carry up to 11 men, and more at a squeeze.

to 11 men, and more at a squeeze. Production of the SdKfz 6 vehicles was carried out by Bussing-NAG and Daimler-Benz, but the numbers involved came to no more than about 737. The main reason for this was that the SdKfz 6 was rather an interim vehicle that fell between two stools: lighter vehicles could be used to tow the artillery pieces, and it was really too light for some of the heavier engineer equipment. It was also rather costly to produce, so by 1941 a decision was made to phase the vehicle from production and replace it with the far less expensive sWS. Even so, it was late 1942 before production finally finished and the vehicles already produced continued in use right until the war ended, sometimes pulling artillery pieces far heavier than those for which the type had been designed.

Two versions of engine were produced for the SdKfz 6, the first developing 67.1 kW (90 hp) and the later version 74.6 kW (100 hp), Surprisingly enough, the SdKfz 6 was modified only slightly during its service career. Most were produced as standard tractors with seating for the artillery detachment that could be covered by a canvas tilt, but there were also three weapon-carrier variants. The first was the 7.5-cm Slf L/40.8 and never really got past the prototype stage; it was an attempt to produce a mobile 7.5-cm (2.95-in) gun for use with cavalry units, and at least three prototypes were produced between 1934 and 1935, The type was never placed in production, but at least one was captured during the fighting in North Africa. Then there was the model known as the 'Diana' or 7.62-cm Pak 36(r) auf Panzerjäger Slf Zugkraftwagen 5t, an attempt to mount captured Soviet 76,2-mm (3-in) guns in a high armoured superstructure built onto the rear of an SdKfz 6. This superstructure was open and rather high and the gun was placed on the vehicle complete with its wheels and attenuated trails. The gun was the Soviet Model 1936 which was used as a dual anti-tank/field gun. Only nine were produced and again one was captured in North Africa by the Allies, The third SdKfz 6 weapon earner was the SdKfz 6/2, which mounted a 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Flak 36 anti-aircraft gun on an open platform behind the driver's position; the sides folded down to act as a working platform for the gun crew. The first of these variants was produced during 1937 and most of them went to the Luftwaffe. They had a crew of seven and were widely used.

Specification SdKfz 6 Crew: 11 Weights: 8700 kg (19,180 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach NL 386cylmder petrol engine developing 67.1kW(90hp)



First produced in 1937, the SdKfz 6 AA variant mounted a 3.7-cm (1.456in) Flak 36 on an open pia t form. The presence of a crew member with range finder da tes the picture as early in the war; later they were withdrawn to save manpower.

Dimensions:length6.01 m(19ft8.6in); width2.20 m(7 ft2.6in); height2.48 m (8 ft 1.6in) Performance: maximum road speed 50 km/h (31 mph) Armament: see text



The Czech-built Praga SdKfz 6s featured longer track bogies than the Bussing-NAG models, andhad differen t wings. Th ey were powered by a Maybach HL54 TUKRM sixcylinder engine developing 86 kW (115 hp), giving a maximum road speed of 50 km/h (31 mph).



The SdKfz 6 was originally planned to tow the 10-5-cm (4.13-in) leFhlS howitzer, but was phased out of production in 1942 as lighter tractors

like the sWS were equally capable and the SdKfz 6 was too Ugh t for heavier loads. Three weaponscarrier versions were also produced.



SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 8t

The SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 8t had its origins in a series of Kraus-Maffei design projects that dated back as far as 1928, but it was not until an army staff requirement for an 8-tonne halftrack tractor was made in 1934 that development really got under way. Between 1934 and 1938 a number of trial versions were produced until the final version appeared in 1938 as the SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen. This vehicle earned its primary fame as the main tractor for the well-known 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Flak 18, 36 and 37 guns, but it was also used as a tractor for many other artillery weapons including the 15-cm (5.9-in) sFH 18 and the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) K 18.

In its tractor form the SdKfz 7 could carry up to 12 men and their kit, and there was still space left for ammunition and/or other supplies. The gun detachment sat on open bench seats behind the driver, and could be covered by a canvas tilt to keep out some of the weather. The vehicle could tow



Famous as themain tractor for the 88-mm Flak 18,36 and 37 guns, the SdKfz 7 also towed a wide variety offield artillery. Over 3,000 of these halftracks were in service by the end of 1942, and it was still in widespread use at the end of the war.

weights up to 8000 kg (17,637 lb), and most vehicles were fitted with a winch that could pull up to 3450 kg (7,606 lb). The SdKfz 7 proved to be a most useful vehicle and was widely admired, A captured example was copied in the United Kingdom by Bedford Motors with a view to manufacture for Allied use, and the Italian produced a near-copy known as the Breda 61. But the Germans carried on churning out as many as they could. By the end of 1942 there were 3,262 in service. Not all of these were tractors, for the loadcarrying capacity of the SdKfz 7 was such that it also made an ideal weapon platform

The first of these weapon carriers was the SdKfz 7/1, which mounted a 2-cm Flakvierling 38 four-gun antiaircraft mounting on the open rear. On many of these vehicles the driver's position and the engine cover were provided with armoured protection. The SdKfz 7/1 was used extensively for the protection of columns in the field and the four cannon proved deadly to many Allied low-level fliers. This was not the only anti-aircraft version, for the SdKfz 7/Ź mounted a single 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Flak 36 anti-aircraft gun. An attempt was made to mount a 5-cm (1.97-in) Flak 41 on a SdKfz 7, but since neither the gun nor the conversion was very successful no further work was carried out once trials had been completed. Some SdKfz 7s were also converted to mount single-barrel 2-cm cannon for anti-aircraft use.

Perhaps the oddest use for the SdKfz 7 was when existing vehicles were converted to accommodate armoured superstructures for use as observation and command posts for V-2 rocket bat-teries during 1944. The V-2 rockets were prone to explode on their launch stands as they were being fired so the armour protected the launch crews. How many of these Fuerleitpanzer auf Zugkraftwagen 8t conversions were

made is uncertain, Production of the SdKfz 7 series ceased during 1944, but by then num-bers had been built by Krauss-Maffei in Munich, the Sauserwerke in Vienna and the Borgward works at Bremen, In the post-war years many were appropriated for Allied use, and the Czech army used numbers for some years.

Specification SdKfz? Crew: 12

Weights: 11550 kg (25,463 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 62 6-



cylinder petrol engine developing 104.4kW (140 hp) Dimensions:length6.85m(20ft3in); width2.40m(7ft10.5in); height2.62m (8 ft 7.1 in) Performance: maximum road speed 50 km/h(31 mph) Armaments: see text

An SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 8t was captured in Worth Africa and was extensively tested back in the United Kingdom. It made such an impression that Bedford Motors built a direct copy, but this was not taken into British service. The Italians also built a copy known as the Breda 61.



By the end of 1941 experience in the field had demonstrated that the German halftrack fleet was in some need of revision. At the bottom end of the range the 1-tonne and 3-tonne cargo and supply/artillery tractors were well capable of carrying on as they were, but the medium to heavy range was that the 5-tonne range would be dis-continued since the 8-tonne range would be required for heavy artillery and other purposes. Thus an interim between the 3-tonne and 8-tonne vehicles was sought, but it had to be a relatively low-cost solution for by 1941 the German war machine was being stretched, not in capacity alone but in the range of types of equipment required: a low cost halftrack was thus needed.

The design accepted was a Bussing-NAG offering, and eventually became known as the schwerer Wehrmachts-schlepper (sWS, or army heavy trac-tor). It was intended not so much for the Panzer or artillery formations but for infantry units, for which it would act as a general personnel carrier and supply vehicle, Accordingly it was virtually a half-tracked truck with virtually no armour in its cargo-carrying form and an open cab with a soft top for the driver and one passenger. In order to keep costs as low as possible the tracks did not use the time-consuming and expensive rubber capped tracks of front-line vehicles, but instead used single dry-pin all-steel tracks, The sWS went into production at the

Bussing-N AG plant in Berlin and also at the Ringhofer-Tatra plant in Czecho-slovakia, but production was very slow, The sWS did not have a very high production priority and from time to time Bomber Command weighed in to disrupt things to an extent that in place of the expected 150 vehicles per month, from December 1943 (when production commenced) to Septem-



ber 1944 only 381 had been delivered. These serious shortcomings in production led to the hasty Maultier' improvisation, but sWS production limped on almost until the end of the war and some survived to serve the new Czech army for a number of years after the war.

The small numbers produced did not prevent the s,WS from being subjected to the usual special-purpose variants. The basic truck model could be converted to act as a rudimentary front-line ambulance carrying stretchers under a canvas awning mounted on a frame. A special front-line supply version was fitted with an armoured cab and engine cover, and a similar arrangement was used for a projected version that would have carried a 3.7 cm Flak 43 anti-aircraft gun on a flatbed area at the rear; only a few of these 3.7-cm Flak 43 auf sWS versions were produced. Another variant proposed but built in small numbers only was an armoured version with a hull over the rear. On the roof of this hull was placed a 10-barrel launcher for 15-cm (5.9-in) artillery rockets; 10 rockets were car-ried in the launcher tubes and more inside the hull. This 15-cm Panzerwer-fer 42 (Zehuling) auf sWS version was to have had a crew of five, but it is doubtful if many actually reached the service stage.

Although few were actually produced when compared to the totals of other German halftracks, the sWS proved efficient enough in service, and was proportionately far more costeffective than some other models.

Specification sWS

Crew: 2

Weights: about 13500 kg (29,762 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 426cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6kW(100hp) Dimensions: length6.68m(21ft11in); width 2.50 m (8 ft 2,4 in); height 2,83 m

(9 ft 3,4 in) During 1944 an umber of Ma ultier

trucks were fitted with armoured bodies onto which the 10-barrelled Panzerwerfer 42 was placed. Some 300 of these conversions were made, and were used to provide rocket artillery support for armoured formations. They had a crew of three and most of the conversions were made by Opel.

The schwerer Wehrmachtsschlepper was intended to be a low-cost general-purpose tractor to fulfil a number of roles. Production started during 1943 but always lagged behind demand, leading to the development of the Maultier. This version was fitted with an armoured cab as a forward supply vehicle.

Performance: maximum road speed 27 km/h(16.8 mph) Armament: none





SdKfz 8 schwerer Zugkraftwagen 12t

As has already been mentioned, the SdKfz designation followed no logical sequence and the SdKfz 8 schwerer Zugkraftwagen 12t was actually the first of the German halftracks to be developed and produced. It consequently established many of the features and design details that were later to be used on other German halftrack designs. The line of development that led to the SdKfz 8 can be traced back to World War I, when Daimler-Benz was involved in some early halftrack design work, one result of which was an advanced vehicle known as the Marienwagen, After 1919 Daimler-Benz continued its development work, bringing out a series of vehicles, one of which attracted the attention of the Red Army (in 1931 there was even talk of a Soviet production order). This appears never to have come about, for instead the German army ordered a model known as the Daimler-Benz DB S 7. Later versions followed the general layout of this 1931 vehicle, but gradually more powerful engines were fitted until the series reached the Daimler-Benz DB 10. The SdKfz 8 was designed as an artillery tractor and an artillery tractor

GERMANY

The SdKfz 8 was designed as an artillery tractor, and an artillery tractor it remained throughout its service life. There was only one variation, a 1940 conversion of what was probably only one vehicle to mount an 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Flak 18. This was used in action in France in May 1940, and thereafter no

mention of this offshoot can be found. The SdKfz 8 remained in production until 1944 as an artillery tractor. Originally it was produced to tow two modernized ex-World War I artillery pieces, the 15-cm (5.9-in) K 16 and the 21-cm (8.27-in) lange Mörser, a stubby howitzer. As more modern equipment came into use the SdKfz 8 switched to towing weapons such as the heavy 8,8cm Flak 41 and the even larger 17-cm (6.7-in) K 18 long-range gun. The SdKfz 8 was also used by the Luftwaffe to tow the ponderous 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak 38 and 39 anti-aircraft guns. At times these tractors were called upon to tow tank-carrying semi-trailers or other forms of heavy trailer, but usually the artillery batteries retained their vehicles jealously. By late 1942 there were 1,615 SdKfz

By late 1942 there were 1,615 SdKfz 8s in service. Production was concentrated at two main centres, the Daimler-Benz works at Berlin-Manenfelde and the Kruppwerke at Mülhausen. At one time some production work was also carried out the Skodawerke at Pilsen, and in the years after the war the new Czech army used a large number of SdKfz 8s, some of them lasting until well into the 1960s

the new Czech army used a large number of SdKfz 8s, some of them lasting until well into the 1960s. One variation of the SdKfz 8 was a vehicle known as the HK 1601. This differed from the normal Sdkfz 8 in many ways and was an attempt to combine the features of the large 18t halftracks and the SdKfz 8. The prototype



appeared in late 1941 and after three more had been built it was decided to produce a batch of another 30. These were apparently built and used on the Eastern Front. They had a cargo-type body to carry the crew of 13, Production of the SdKfz 8 ceased during 1944.

Specification SdKfz 8 Crew: 13 Weight: 15000 kg (33,069 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach HL 85 12cylinder petrol engine developing 138.0kW(185 hp) A group of assorted British soldiers take advantage of a ride on a captured SdKfz 8 schwerer Zugkraftwagen 12t, somewhere in North Africa. The normal capacity of this vehicle was 13 men, but it has been exceeded here. This is the basic artillery tractor version of the SdKfz 8.

Dimensions: length 7.35 m(24 ft 1, 4 in);width 2.50 m(8 ft 2.4 in); height 2.81 m(9 ft 2.6 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 51 km/h (31.7 mph)



SdKfz 9 schwerer Zugkraftwagen 18t

By far the largest of all the World War II halftracks was the mighty SdKfz 9 schwerer Zugkraftwagen 18t, a vehicle that had its origins in a requirement made during 1936 for a heavy recovery vehicle to support the Panzer formations and tow disabled tanks. The development contract was awarded to the Famo Fahrzeugwerke und Motorwerke AG at Breslau, which became the sole producer. The first example appeared in 1936: this was the FM gr 1, and later came two other models, the FM gr 2 and FM gr 3 which used larger and more powerful envines.

appeared in 1936; this was the FM gr 1, and later came two other models, the FM gr 2 and FM gr 3 which used larger and more powerful engines. In the end both tractor and recovery versions of the SdKfz 9 were produced. The tractor version was the basic SdKfz 9, which was used to tow the German army's really heavy artillery weapons and some heavy engineer equipment including bridging (for which there was a tractor unit towing bridge units on special trailers and carrying 15 men). Among the heavy artillery towed by the SdKtz 9 was the 24-cm (9,45-in) K 3 (so large it had to be towed in five loads), the Krupp 21-cm (8.27-in) K 38, and the various Skoda heavy howitzers and guns. The Luftwaffe used a small number of tractors to tow the mobile versions of the superheavy 12.8-cm (5.04-in) Flak 40. An anti-aircraft gun was used on the only weapon-carrier version of the SdKfz 9 which appeared in 1943. This variant carried a 8.8-cm (3.46-m) Flak 37, and the vehicle had an armoured cab. The sides of the rear firing platform could be folded down to act as a working platform for the gun crew, and there were small outrigger arms to stabilize the vehicle in action. Only one conversion was made.

The recovery versions appeared in two forms, the SdKfz 9/1 and SdKfz 9/2.



The sdKfz 9/1 had a crane (Drehkran) with a 6000-kg (13,228-lb) lifting capacity, but this was insufficient for some lifting tasks and the SdKfz 9/2 was produced with a 10000-kg (22,046-lb) crane. Outrigger legs were fitted on the latter, and an extra jib was provided to suspend a counter-weight when really heavy loads were to be lifted. These vehicles were massive equipments, and although they were capable of dealing with tanks up to the size of the PzKpfw IV they could not handle the heavier Panthers and Tigers. Since the SdKfz 9 was the only recovery vehicle in use when these 'heavies' entered service, a way had to be found and the type was used in sections of three vehicles, at least two being needed to recover Tigers from some situations. In order to provide them with more traction some were fitted with a large earth spade at the

rear, but even so two vehicles still had to be used to drag a Tiger out of a ditch, and sometimes three to tow one in a disabled state, The only answer to that was to develop a heavy tracked recovery vehicle, which duly appeared as the Bergepanther.

Production of the SdKfz 9 ceased during 1944, by which time the last versions were powered by the same Maybach engines as those fitted to PzKpfw IV tanks. They were massive vehicles that were certainly impressive to look at, but one has to bear in mind that the basic tractor version cost 60,000 Reichsmarks: a Panther cost 117,100.

Specification SdKfz 9 Crew: 9 Weight: 18000 kg (39,683 lb) The SdKfz 9 schwere Zugkraftwagen 18t was the largest of the German halftracks and was used to tow heavy guns and similar equipment, mainly as a recovery vehicle, some being fitted with cranes and jibs for this role. This example is a heavy artillery tractor and could carry nine men.

Powerplant: one Maybach HL V-12 petrolengine developing 186.4 kW (250 hp) Dimensions: length 8.25 m(27 ft0.8 in); width 1.60 m (8 ft6 in); height 2.76 m (9 ft 0.7 in) Performance: maximum road speed 50 km/h (31 mph) Armament: none



It is difficult to condense the entire story of the American halftrack into a few hundred words, for to even list the number of types would probably fill this study. The American halftrack de-velopment history started during the 1920s, when some Citroen-Kégresse halftracks were purchased, and subsequent trials led to a long series of de-velopment models before the hull of the White Scout Car M2 was allied with a Kégresse halftrack suspension and the 'classic' American halftrack emerged as the Half-Track Car M2 that went into production in early 1941, the first examples reaching the troops in May of that year.

Thereafter the halftracks rolled off the assembly lines in their thousands. It would be easy to say that most of them were personnel carriers, but also in-cluded in the totals were mortar carriers, multiple gun motor carriages, gun motor carriages, trucks and a vast array of experimental types of all kinds. All manner of weapons were hung upon the basic halftrack chassis at one time or another but among those that were used in action were 57-mm (2.244-m) anti-tank guns, 75-mm (2,95-in) field guns and even 105-mm (4.13m) howitzers. Anti-aircraft versions carried varying multiples of 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, 20-mm cannon and 40-mm Bofors guns. Combat engineer equipment was another widely carried load (each model had racks along the sides to carry anti-tank mines).

It was the personnel carriers that were the most widely used, and in several versions. The early M2 was supplemented by the later Half-Track Personnel Carrier M3 which could also be used as a communications vehicle, an artillery tow vehicle, and as an armoured ambulance. The even later Half-Track Personnel Carrier MS differed in production methods and there was also a Half-Track Car M9. Seating varied between models from 10 to 13 and there were various dispositions of machine-gun mountings. The usual arrangement was a 12.7-mm Browning arrangement was a 12. / -mm Browning at the front on a large ring mounting and a 7.62-mm (0.3-in) Browning on a pintle at the rear. To this could be added the weapons of the carried troops, and the picture of halftracks firing away as they went into action is completed throus casme impossible to complete. It now seems impossible to visualize troops operating in Europe in 1944 and 1945 withouthalftrackssomewhere in the picture, for the Americans issued halftracks of all kinds to their Allies, including the British who started to use American halftracks even before the fighting in North Afri-ca ended, Production of halftracks was some 41,170 units.



Above: The American M3 halftrack was such a widely used vehicle that it became a virtual trademark of the US Army and other Allied forces, including the Red Army. This M3 is complete with the canvas tilt, a forward-mounted winch and the 'pulpit'machine-gun mounting, here with a 0.50-in (12.7-mm)Browning.

After the war the halftrack story did not end, and even now is still not over for the halftrack in several forms is still a front-line vehicle for the Israel Defence Forces. Re-engined and refurbished for the umpteenth time, half-tracks continue to be used by the mechanized formations of the Israeli army although most have now been relegated to the Reserve forces. Other armed forces still use halftracks, but now the most common use is as a recovery vehicle, a role that commenced during World War II with the Allied forces. It should not be forgotten that during World War II one of the halftrack user nations was the Soviet Un-ion, for large numbers were shipped there from 1942 onwards. Rumour has it that some still survive with some of the smaller Warsaw Pact nations.

Specification M3

M3 Crew: 13 Weight: 9299 kg (20,500 lb) Powerplant: one White 160AX6-cylinder petrol engine developing 109.6kW(147hp) Dimensions: length 6.18 m (20 ft 3.5 in); width2.22m(7ft 3.5 in); height2.26m (7 ft 5 in)

7 ft 5 in)

64.4 km/h (40 mph); range 282 km (175 miles); 'gradient 31°; fording 0.81 m (32 in)

Armament: one 12.7-mm(0.5-in) machine-gun and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun



An early shot of the M2 halftrack, taken when the US Army was still using the World War I helmets and equipment. This vehicle still has the original centre-mounted machine-

un mount for a 0.30-inch (7.62-mm) Browning machine-gun, and lacks the side racks for anti-tankmines that were often fitted to operational vehicles.



Unie Kégresse P 107

Some confusion still remains as to the actual manufacturer of the French half-track known as the P 107. Some references state it was produced by the Unie concern while others refer to this vehicle as the Citröen-Kegresse P 107. The truth is that both companies pro-duced the P 107, Citroen having what may now be described as the design parentage. Citroen employed Regresse for some years after the engineer's return from Russia to France, and accordingly Citroen produced a long

string of half-track designs using the Kégresse rubber-based track under the Citroen-Kégresse label, The P 107 was but one of these designs, and the first of this type appeared during the late 1930s. The P 107 went on to be among the more numerous of the many French halftracks.

The P 107 was produced in two forms. One was an artillery tractor for light field pieces and anti-tank guns. This version had a soft top covering the space for the crew of from five to seven

men, and lockers at the rear for ammunition and other supplies. The second version, produced in smaller numbers, was an engineer tractor. This had an open cargo body behind the cab and was used to tow trailers carrying combat engineer equipment such as bridging pontoons. By 1939 both types were in French army service in some numbers. Both were sound and reliable vehicles and the demand for them was such that both Unie and Citroen had production lines

devoted to them, hence the confusion in name.

The events of May 1940 caused a change of ownership for the P 107s. Large numbers of both types of vehicle fell into German hands and they had another change of name, this time to leichter Zugkraftwagen U 304(f). Always short of halftracks, the German army took the type into immediate service and the French halftracks that had c 16 towed French anti-tank guns were used to tow German weapons such as the 3,7-cm (1.456-in) Pak 35/36 antitank gun and later the hybrid 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 97/38, But not content with this use the Germans decided to go one better, Deciding that the P 107 fell into the same category as the SdKfz 250 series, the Germans converted the French vehicles to become substitute leichter Schützenpanzerwagen. The French vehicles were stripped of their superstructures and fitted with armoured hulls almost exactly like those of the SdKfz 250 series and when completed they were used in exactly the same way as their German coun-terparts (apart from the fact that some were used as armoured ambulances). The one 'French' feature the Germans did not change was the forwardmounted roller under the nose of the vehicle, This was used to assist the vehicle in and out of ditches and similar obstacles and proved so useful it was not removed. Most of these conversions were retained for use in France by the units based there, and many were used during the fighting in Normandy in June 1944. Some of the original tractors were also encoun-tered, so not all the P 107s underwent



the armoured conversion.

Specification P 107 Crew: 5-7 Weights: empty 2350 kg (5,181 lb); loaded 4050 kg (8,929 lb) Powerplant: one4-cylinder petrol engine developing 41.0 kW (55 hp) Dimensions: length 4.85 m (15 ft 10.9 in); width 1.80 m (5 ft 10.9 in); height 1.95 m (6ft 4.8 in) Performance: max road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); range 400 km (248.5 miles) Armament: none

Above: this little Citroen -.Regresse five-seater was one ofmany French light halftracks that were used during the 1920s to develop the Régresse rubber-based track. Many of these light halftracks were still in use in 1939, mainly as staffcars.



USSR

Soviet halftracks

For various reasons the Soviet Union did not make great use of halftracks during World War II other than employing American halftracks supplied to them under Lend-Lease. One of the main reasons for this was the relative cost in expense and production facilities that the halftrack demanded, and as the Soviet Union already had a large and productive full-tracked tractor industry geared to the requirements of the various agricultural Five-Year Plans, tracked tractors were frequently used for artillery when halftracks might otherwise have been considered.

This suggests that the Soviets were not interested in the halftrack concept; but they were. They recognized the strength of their mobility and handling advantages, and in 1931 considered the purchase of 12-tonne halftracks from Germany. At that time their interest was such that two indigenous designs were placed into limited production. These were the YaSP and the Zis-33 trucks converted to the halftrack configuration, and later also used as artillery tractors. The YaSP was produced at Yaroslavl and was a Ya G-5 Komits truck fitted at the rear with a halftrack suspension (derived from the track system of the T-26 light tank) allied to a new drive shaft from the main engine at the front, The Zis-33 was a somewhat simpler vehicle that retained the main rear drive wheed allied to a halftrack suspension, and was built using the Zis-5 truck.

The relative success of these two design ventures engendered more during 1936. Most of these did not get very far. One was the VM Pikap, a version of the Zis-6 light truck. In 1937 more models appeared, most of them intended for the artillery tractor role, They included a 1'/4-ton model (the Vezdekhods Model B), a 1'/2-ton model (the BM) and a 2-ton model (the VZ). As far as can be determined only the latter two models actually got to the production stage, and again they were halftrack conversions of existing



trucks.

By the time 1941 came around the Soviet armed forces had few halftracks in service compared with the number of wheeled or fully-tracked vehicles. Many of what they did have were soon lost during the German advances of 1941, and all captured German halftracks were pressed into Soviet use. The Red Army soldiers soon learned how useful these were, and from 1942 onwards there was a deliberate programme to make use of even damaged German halftracks. Hulks were salvaged from battlefields and stripped of all useful items, especially the running wheel, tracks and drive components. These were taken to the GAZ plant in the Urals where they were allied with GAZ-63 trucks to form GAZ-60 troop carriers, The GAZ-60 used all manner of German components, the most favoured being those from the SdKfz 251 series of vehicles. Few of these wartime expedient vehicles survived the war years.

One other known Soviet halftrack produced during 1942 was known as the Zis-42. It was a 2'/»-ton semitracked weapons carrier, but no other details have survived so it does not appear to have been produced in quantity,



The Soviets made great use of captured German halftrack components to construct hybrids like this GAZ truck.

Left; The Soviets made extensive use of USM3 halftracks supplied under Lend-Lease, modifying them for their own use. Here twoM3s of the Red Army are seen fitted with 76.2-mm (3-in) guns as improvised tank destroyers, an arrangement the US army also experimented with.

Below: One of the most successful Soviet pre-war halftracks, the Zis-33 was built on a truck chassis. This vehicle is seen with a propaganda unit broadcasting news of Red Army victories in the south to German positions in the north.

Armoured Cars

Battles are often won as a result of a commander having more accurate information than his opponent. For a long time, such battlefield information was provided by the cavalry, but in the fast-moving mechanized war of 1939-45 it was the armoured car that operated on many fronts, ranging far ahead of its parent formations.

The armoured car today retains **L** a niche in modern armoured warfare largely unchanged since the early days of World War II: its primary function was one of scouting and reconnaissance. The armoured car has this role because it is generally much faster and handier to employ than the more ponderous tank, though the armoured car does pay for these attributes by being relatively thinly armoured and lightly⁷ armed, if, indeed, it possesses any armament at all. In short, the armoured car has to rely on speed and manoeuvrability to survive. However, its reconnaissance role is a vital one as modern armoured and infantry formations cannot operate without knowing what is happening 'on the other side of the hill'.

By 1939 the armoured car had settled into an established form. It usually had a 4 x 4 drive configuration (although many larger designs had as many as eight wheels on four axles) and it was usually purpose-built. This did not prevent extemporized designs, such as the early South African Marmon Herringtons, from providing excellent service, but generally speaking most armoured cars were designed specifically for their job and were not the hurried conversions from



A German Panzerspähwagen or armoured car belonging to the 'Das Reich' Division of the Waffen-SS on the Eastern Front in the summer of 1941.

commercial chassis that were the general rule in World War I. The role of the armoured car had also been formalized, and by 1939 this car and the little scout cars were an integral part of the reconnaissance structure of virtually every type of operational structure from the German Panzer division to the ordinary infantry division.

It would be safe to say that the armoured car units had a relatively free and easy war. Their casualties were often heavy, but in general they enjoyed the benefits of operating well away from the formal methods and organizational structure of the rest of their army, and they were thus able to employ their own initiative and tactics in a way that was impossible in most other units. They ranged far and wide, sometimes took part in spectacular raids and generally took the battle to the enemy, but in all armies their primary function was one of reconnaissance. The success of the armoured cars was not measured in casualties and combat but in the quality and accuracy of the information and intelligence they were able to pass back to the rear. Their armament was primarily defensive, and although superlative fighting vehicles, such as the Puma and M8 Greyhound, were put in service, it should be borne in mind that perhaps the most successful of the vehicles described here was the little Daimler scout car, a vehicle type that survives to this day in the British Ferret reconnaissance vehicle.



The Automitrailleuse Panhard et Levassor Type 178 armoured car was first produced in 1935, and was de-veloped from a design known as the TOE-M-32, which was intended for use in the French North African colonies and mounted a short 37-mm turret gun. Panhard used this design as a basis for a new French army requirement but gave the new vehicle a 4x 4 drive con-figuration and moved the engine to the rear of the vehicle. The result was the Panhard 178 and the armament varied from a single 25-mm cannon on some vehicles to two 7.5-mm (0.295-m) machine-guns on others, while some command vehicles had extra radios but no armament. The Panhard 178 was known also as the Panhard Modèle_1935.

The Panhard 178 was put into pro-duction for the French infantry and cavalry formation reconnaissance groups. Production was slow, but by 1940 there were appreciable numbers available for the fighting which fol-lowed the German invasion in May. Many of the Panhard 178s were in widely scattered units and were unable to take much part in the fighting that ensued, so many were seized in-tact by the victorious Germans. The Germans liked the sound design of the Cermans liked the sound design of the Panhard 178 and decided to take it into their own service as the Panzer-spähwagen P 204(f), some of them being rearmed with 37-mm anti-tank guns and/or German machine-guns. Some of these were retained for garri-cer use in Forence but others used by som use in France, but others were la ter sent to the USSR, where the type was used for behind-the-lines patrol duties against Soviet partisans. Some were even converted for railway use, having their conventional wheels changed to railway wheels, and many of these 'railway' conversions were fitted with extra radios and prominent frame aerials.

Perhaps the most unusual use of the Panhard 178s took place in 1941 and 1942, when 45 vehicles, hidden from the Germans by French cavalry units following the defeat of 1940, were pre-

GERMANY



pared by Resistance personnel for possible use against the Germans. These vehicles had no turrets, but these were manufactured under the nose of the Germans and fitted with 25-mm or 47-mm guns and/or machine-guns. The armoured cars were then secretly distributed throughout centres of resistance mainly in unoccupied France, where many were subsequently taken over by the German forces when they took over the unoccupied areas of France in November 1942.

After the Liberation the Panhard 178 was once more put into production during August 1944 at the Renault factory outside Paris. These new vehicles had a larger turret with a 47-mm gun, and were later known as the Panhard 178B, The new vehicles were issued to the new French cavalry units and were used for many years after 1945. Some saw action in Indo-China, and it was not until 1960 that the last of them was taken out of service.

Specification Panhard 178 Crew:4 Weight:(inaction)8.5tonnes Dimensions:lengthoverall4.79m(15ft 8/2in);width2.01m(6ft7⁷Ain);height 2.31m (7 ft 7 in)

Two Automitrailleuse Panhard et Levassor Type 178s are seen here in German service following the fall of Francein 1940. The Germans found these vehicles good enough for them to take into their own service, and many were used for an ti-partisan operations in the USSR.

Powerplant: one 6.33-litre water-cooled petrol engine developing 105bhp(78kW) Performance: maximum road speed 72 km/h(45 mph); roadrange 300 km (186 miles); fording 0,6 m (1 ft 11 Vzin); gradient 40°; vertical obstacle 0.3 m (11% in); trench 0.6 m (11% in)

3

schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231

The schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231 6x4 heavy armoured car had its origins at the Kazan test centre established in the Soviet Union during the 1920s. There the German auto-mobile industry developed an 8x8 armoured car chassis that proved to be too expensive for further develop-ment, so a 6x4 chassis was tried in-stead. This model used a truck chassis as its basis, and originally this was a Daimler-Benz product but later Bus-sing-NAG and Magirus chassis and en-gines were employed. These chassis were fitted with suitable armoured hulls and turrets, and modifications were made to allow steering from either end of the hull. Early trials de-monstrated the need for stronger front axles and revised radiators, and the resulting vehicle was issued to German army units during 1932. Produc-tion continued until 1935, by which time about 1,000 had been produced. The 6x4 armoured cars were not a

great success but they were produced at a time when the German army lacked experience in the use of armoured vehicles, and were thus invaluable as

training and preparation equipments Using lorry chassis carrying armoured hulls that were really too heavy for their supporting structures, the six-wheeled armoured cars were under-powered and had only limited crosscountry capabilities, But when used on roads they were as good as anything else available, and they were used to good effect during the occupations of Austria and Czechoslovakia during 1938 and 1939, and were also used in combat in Poland and France. Their very appearance had great propaganda impact, and they were accordingly given great media coverage at the time. After 1940 they gradually faded from front-line use and were relegated

Schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231 armed with a 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon, This pre-war design used a



mainly to training roles.

Early examples of the six-wheeled armoured cars had provision for only one 7.92-mm (0.31-m) MG 34 machinegun in the turret, but the version used mainly by the heavy platoons of the German army motorized units was the SdKfz 231. This had a turret mounting a 20-mm cannon, originally the KwK 30 but later the KwK 38 with a higher rate of fire. Mounted co-axially with this cannonwasa7.92-mm(0.31-in)MG34, and there was provision for an antiaircraft machine-gun on the turret roof. The SdKfz 231 was used as a tactical vehicle (undertaking a combat role in direct fire support of motorized infantry units mounted on trucks or later on hålftracks), but at times it was also used in support of light reconnaissance units for Panzer formations, Another vehicle that was very similar to the SdKfz 231 was the SdKfz 232, which was basically a Sdkfz 231 fitted with a long-range radio set that required the fitting of a large and prominent frame aerial above the turret and over the hull rear, the turret acting as a support for the forward part of the aerial. Another similar vehicle was the SdKfz 263, which also had a large frame aerial, though on this vehicle the turret was fixed and had provision for a single machine-gun only. The SdKfz 263 was used as a command vehicle.



Specification SdKfz 231 Crew: 4 Weight: (in action) 5.7 tonnes Dimensions: length overall 5.57 m (18 ft 6% in); width 1.82 m (5 ft 11 ½ in); height 2.25 m (7 ft 4 ½ in) Powerplant: one Daimler-Benz,

Bussing-NAG or Magirus watercooled petrol engine developing between 60 and 80 bhp (45 and 60 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 65 km/h (40 mph); maximum road range250km(150miles);maximum cross-country range 200 km (125 miles); gradient 20°; fording 0.6 m (24 in)

Schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 232s seen during a pre-war parade in Berlin. These vehicles were equipped with a large and cumbersome radio antenna mounted over the turret which remained static while the turret rotated.



schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 23 l(8-Rad)

Almost as soon as the first six-wheeled armoured cars were issued to the ex panding German army during the mid-19303 the German staff planners realthat would be required in the long term as they were underpowered and lacked cross-country mobility, They requested an eight-wheeled armoured car with an engine to match, and decided to develop a Bussing-NAG 8x8 lorry chassis for use as an armoured car. Development began in full during 1935 and the first production examples were issued to the Army in 1937

GERMANY

This 8x8 heavy armoured car was known as the schwerer Panzer-spähwagen SdKtz 231, and to avoid confusion with the six-wheeled armoured cars with the same designation the new series was always suffixed (8-Rad), and the troops knew the type as the Achtrad. When the new eightwheelers appeared in service they were among the most advanced cross-country vehicles yet produced, but the high road-speed and mobility had been purchased only at a high price in abases complexity for the arout was chassis complexity, for the layout was highly complicated, expensive and slow to produce. The chassis had allwheel drive and steering, and fully independent suspension, and the vehi-cle was even able to travel across the thick mud of the Eastern Front. If the vehicle had one major fault other than its complexity it was that it was rather high and showed up prominently in combat.

The SdKfz 231 series remained in production until 1942, when it was phased out in favour of the SdKfz 234 series. By then 1,235 had been pro-duced, and the type remained in widespread use throughout the war on all fronts. The type was particularly prom-inent in the North African campaigns.



The SdKfz 231 (8-Rad) had a turret with a 20-mm KwK 30 or KwK 38 can-non with a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine gun. The SdKfz 232(8-Rad) was the radio version with a prominent frame aerial, and the SdKfz C62(8 Pac) was a common version 263(8-Rad) was a command version with a fixed superstructure in place of the rotating turret, and featuring a large frame aerial for the long-range radio equipments carried. The SdKfz 233 had no direct six-wheeler equiva-lent, for it mounted a short 75-mm (2.95m) tank gun (*Stummelkanone*) as used on early PzKpfw IV tanks. This gun was mounted in an open compartment formed by the removal of the normal turret and there was only a limited traverse for the gun. This vehicle had a crew of only three men, and was used to provide armoured reconnaissance units with improved offensive power.

The first SdKfz 233 was issued during late 1942 and proved to be highly effective, but there were times when the gun's limited traverse and lack of armour-piercing performance proved to be a liability. However, when pitted against the usual run-of-the-mill reconnaissance vehicles it was likely to en-counter, the SdKfz 233 was very effective and often provided covering fire for other Achtrads.

Specification SdKfz 23 l(8-Rad) Crew: 4

Weight: (in action)8,3tonnes Dimensions: length overall 5.85 m (19ft 2n); width2,20m (7ft 2Vzin); height 2,34 m (7 ft 8 in) Powerplant: one Bussing-NAGL8V-Gs

water-cooled petrol engine

This early example of a schwerer Panzerspähwagen 231 (8-Rad) is armed with a 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon and shows the distinctive spaced armoured stowage bin mounted on the front hull. The size and hultofthis valation the relation to and bulkofthis vehicle in relation to the armament carried can be seen in this view; the complexity cannot.

developing 150 hp (112 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 85 km/h (53 mph); maximum crosscountry speed 30 km/h (19 mph); road radius of action 270 km (170 miles); cross-country radius of action 150 km (95 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3/a in); gradient 30°; vertical obstacle 0.5 m $(1 \text{ ft } 7^{3}/4 \text{ in}); \text{ trench } 1.25 \text{ m} (4 \text{ ft } 1V \text{ (in)})$



leichter Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 222

When the Nazis came to power in Germany, the army was given a virtually free hand in selecting new equipment for the expanding German armed forces, and among the equipment requested was a new series of light armoured cars to be built on a standard chassis. The requirements laid down by the army were so demanding that commercial models could not be adapted to meet them, so an entirely new design was produced and in 1935 this was used as the basis for the leichter Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 221 4 x 4, a light three-man vehicle with a small turret mounting a single 7.92-mm (0,31in) machine-gun, From this evolved the SdKfz 222 armoured car with a slightly larger armoured turret with an open top and the potential to mount a slightly heavier armament. The first SdKfz 222 appeared in 1938 and thereafter was adopted as the standard German army armoured car for use by the new divisional reconnaissance units. The SdKfz 222 was initially referred

The SdKfz 222 was initially referred toasa Waffenwagen, orweapons vehicle, as it mounted a 20-mm KwK 30 cannon, a version of the standard antiaircraft cannon adapted for use in armoured vehicles. Later the 20-mm KwK 38 was alsoused. Mounted alongside this cannon was a 7.92-mm (0.31m) MG 34 machine-gun, and this combination left little room inside the turret for the commander/gunner and the radio operator, who were further restricted in action by the use of a wire screen over the top of the open turret to prevent hand grenades from being lobbed into the vehicle, The driver was situated centrally in the front of the hull, and the superstructure was made up from well-sloped armoured plates toprovide extra protection. During the war the thickness of the front hull plates was increased from 14.5mm (0.57 in) to 30 mm (1.18 in) and the 20mm cannon mounting was adapted to provide more elevation for use against aircraft targets.

Once in widespread service the SdKfz 222 proved to be a reliable and popular little vehicle. It served well in France during 1940, often racing far ahead of the following Panzer columns, and in North Africa the type proved itself to be a very useful reconnaissance vehicle, although somewhat res-

tricted in its operational range by the amount of fuel that could be carried in the internal tanks, This restriction proved to be a problem during the invasion of the Soviet Union after 1941, to the extent that the SdKfz 222 was replaced by the SdKfz 250/9 halftrack mounting the same turret and used for the same role. In the west the SdKfz 222 continued in service until the end of the war, and in the Soviet Union the type was used for patrol duties in rear areas.

The SdKfz 221 and SdKfz 222 were nottheonly armoured cars of their line. There was also the SdKfz 223, which could be recognized by a large frame aerial over the rear of the vehicle hull as the vehicle was used as a command and communications centre and carried only a single machine-gun. The SdKfz 260 was a long-range radio vehicle, used at higher command levels only, and the SdKfz 261 was similar. The SdKfz 247 was a personnel and stores carrier.

stores carrier. The SdKfz 222 was exported in some numbers to China before 1939, and once there was adapted to take a wide range of armament that ranged from heavy machine-guns to light anti-tank guns. Numbers of SdKfz 22 Is were also sent to China. Specification SdKfz 222 Crew: 3

Weight: (in action) 4.8 tonnes Dimensions: length overall 4,80 m (14 ft 8Vz in); width 1.95 m (6 ft 4% in); height 2.00 m (6 ft 6% in) with grenade screen Powerplant: one Horch/Auto-Union V8-108 water-cooled petrol engine developing 81 hp(60 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 80 km/h(50 mph); maximum cross country speed 40 km/h(25 mph); road radius of action 300 km (187 miles); cross-country radius of action 180 km (110 miles); gradient 20°; fording 0.6 m (24 in) The leichte Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 222 is seen here in its usual form, armed with a 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon and MC 34 machine-gun. The wire mesh anti-grenade screen roof is in position. Note the tool and fuelcan stowage and the number of stowage boxes on the exterior, as the interior was rather cramped.

On the left is a SdKfz 223 light communications vehicle with its large and distinctive frame aerial; on the right is a SdKfz 250/3 half track, a type of vehicle that proved more suited to service in the USSR.



Light Armored Car M8

Armoured cars have long been a feature of the American armoured fighting vehicle scene, and in 1940 and 1941 the US Army was able to observe operational trends in Europe and so develop a new armoured car with a good performance, a 37-mm (1.45-in) gun, $\delta x \delta$ drive, a low silhouette and light weight. In typical American fashion design submissions were requested from four manufacturers. One of the manufacturers, Ford, produced a design known as the T22, and this was later judged to be the best of all

The American Light Armored CarMS was considered too light in armour by theBritish, but was otherwise widely used. The main gun was a 37-mm (1.46-in) gun with a 7.62-mm (0.30-in) machine-gun mounted coaxially. A common addition was a 12.7-mm(0.50-in)Browningmounted on the turret.



submissions and was ordered into production as the Light Armored Car Mo.

The M8 subsequently became the most important of all the American armoured cars and by the time pro-duction was terminated in April 1945 no fewer than 11,667 had been pro-duced. It was a superb fighting vehicle with an excellent cross-country per-formance, and an indication of its sound design can be seen in the fact that many were still in use with several armies until the mid-1970s. It was a low vehicle with a full 6x6 drive configuration, with the axles arranged as one forward and two to the rear. The wheels were normally well covered by mudguards, but these were sometimes removed in action. The crew of four had ample room inside the vehi-cle, and the main 37-mm (1.46-in) gun was mounted in a circular open turret. A 7.62-mm (0.3-in) Browning machinegun was mounted co-axially, and there was a pintle for a 12,7mm (0.5-in) Browning heavy machine-gun (for anti-aircraft use) on the turret rear, A close cousin of the M8 was the

A close cousin of the M8 was the Armored Utility Car M20, in which the turret was removed and the fighting compartment cut away to allow the intenor to be used as a personnel or supplies carrier. A machine-gun could be mounted on a ring mount over the open area, In many way the M20 became as important as the M8 for it proved to be an invaluable run-about for any number of purposes, ranging from an observation or command post to an ammunition carrier for tank units,

The US Army employed the M8 and M20 widely from the time the first production examples left the production lines in March 1943. By November of that year over 1,000 had been delivered, and during 1943 the type was issued to British and Commonwealth formations. The British knew the M8 as the Greyhound but it proved to be too thinly armoured to suit British thinking, the thin belly armour proving too vulnerable to anti-tank mines. Operationally this shortcoming was overcome by lining the interior floor areas with sandbags. But these drawbacks were more than overcome by the fact that the M8 was available in large numbers and that it was able to cross almost any terrain. The 37-mm (1.46-in) main gun was well able to tackle almost any enemy reconnaissance vehicle the M8 was likely to encounter, and the vehicle's crew could defend the M8 against infantry with the two machine-guns, The M8 could be kept going under all circumstanes, but its



main attribute was that it nearly always seemed to be available when it was wanted.

Specification Light Armored Car M8 Crew: 4 Weight: (inaction) 7.94 tonnes Dimensions: length 5.00 m (16 ft 5 in); width 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in); height 2.248 m (7 ft 4 Va in) Powerplant: one Hercules JXD 6cylinder petrol engine developing 110 hp(82 kW) AnMSin a typical reconnaissance situation during the Normandy fighting of 1944. The crew have stopped to observe some enemy movement orpositions, and twomen are observing through binoculars to obtain as comprehensive an assessment as possible.

Performance: maximum road speed 89km/h(55 mph); maximumrange 563 km (350 miles); fording 0.61 m (24 in); gradient 60%; vertical obstacle 0.3 m (12 in)



Light Armored Car T17E1 Staghound

Although the Staghound armoured car was an American product, it was not used by the American forces, all the output going to the British army and other Allied and Commonwealth forces. The design had its origins in a US Army requirement for a heavy armoured car which was not produced despite the building of prototypes since the requirement was changed to a call for a medium armoured car. Following the drawing up of a specification, which was much influenced by input from British experience in combat, two vehicles emerged, One was the Light Armoured Car T17, a 6x6 vehicle by Ford, and the other the Light Armored Car T17E1 from Chevrolet.

Only relatively few of the 6x6 Tl7 armoured cars were produced, as by the time the type was ready for production the requirement for a large 6x6 vehicle appeared to have passed. However, the 4x4 Tl7El went into large-scale production even though the US Army no longer had any requirement for the design. The British Tank Mission asked for an initial batch of 300, but more orders followed and by the end of 1942 the first examples were coming off the production lines, After the perilous journey across the Atlantic the T17El swere issued to British and Commonwealth units as the Staghound Mk I.

The Staghound emerged as a large and well-armoured vehicle with a turret mounting a 37-mm (1.46-in) gun and a co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-gun. The vehicle looked good and in service proved to be easy to drive and maintain, and in addition was fast and had a good operational range. The type first went into action in Italy in 1943, where it proved well able to deal with the difficult conditions that prevailed. Thereafter the Staghound was issued to Canadian, New Zealand, Indian and Belgian units. The A StaghoundAA armoured car with twin 12.7mm (0.50 in) machine-guns intended specifically for the defence of armoured units against low-Hying aircraft



Staghound had several unusual features for the day, not the least of which was the fully automatic hydraulic transmission. The vehicle had two engines mounted side-by-side at the rear, and the crew were well provided with periscopes. The turret was hydraulically traversed, and additional armament was provided by two more 7.62mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-guns, one pintle-mounted for AA use and the other in the hull front,

Once the Staghound was in service several variations appeared. One was the fitting of a 76.2-mm (3-m) tank howitzer in place of the 37-mm (1.46-in) gun for use as a close-support weapon. The Americans had produced the T17E3 version with a short 75-mm (2.95-in) howitzer in the turret, but with the introduction of the British version, known as the Staghound Mk II, this was not further developed. Another British innovation was the Staghound Mk III, a rather drastic conversion of the vehicle to accommodate a Crusader tank turret mounting a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun. Small numbers of these were issued to the heavy troops of armoured car regiments during 1944, A production variant developed in the USA was the Staghound AA (T17E2) which had the usual turret replaced by a new poweroperated turret mounting two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning machine guns for anti-aircraft use. An order for 1,000 of these was placed, but production ceased in April 1944 after 789 had been built: by then the decline of the Luftwaffe was such that there no longer seemed to be any real need for the type.

type. There were numerous other conversions and local variations of the Staghound, ranging from mineclearing experimental models pushing heavy rollers to the Staghound Command, a version with the turret removed and increased internal stowage for radios, plus a folding canvas tilt. Local modifications such as the provision of extra external stowage boxes were common, and extras such as smoke dischargers and machine-guns were added for additional protection.

After 1945 the Staghound served on in the British army for several years, and the type was also passed to such nations as India, South Africa and Denmark (which used the Staghound Mk III for some years). The Staghound was a sturdy and well-liked armoured car that gave excellent service.

Specification Staghound Mk I Crew: 5 Weight: (in action) 13.92 tonnes Dimensions: length 5.486 m(18 ft0 in); width 2,69 m(8 ft 10 in); height 2.36 m (7 ft 9 in) Powerplant: two CMC 2706-cylinder petrol engines each developing 97 hp (72 kW) Performance: maximum speed 89 km/h(55 mph); maximumrange 724 km (450 miles); fording 0,8 m (2 ft 8 in); gradient 57%; vertical obstacle

0.533 m (1ft9 in)



SOUTHAFRICA Marmon Herrington Armoured Cars

Despite the fact that the vehicle con-struction industry in South Africa had never before produced any armoured vehicles, in 1938 the government of the day ordered the development of two types of armoured car, Work on these was slow until the outbreak of war in 1939 when, after a quick survey of possible alternatives, the ex-perimental vehicles were ordered into production. Orders soon swelled to 1,000 and, despite the fact that no facilities existed for the large-scale production of such vehicles, within only a few months the first examples were

appearing. The South Africans produced their armoured cars by importing Ford truck chassis from Canada, four-wheel drive transmissions from Marmon Her-rington in the USA and the armament from the United Kingdom. Local assembly and production was under-taken in local vehicle assembly plants and railway workshops, and the armour plate was produced at local steel mills. The first vehicles were known under the designation South African Reconnaissance Vehicle Mk I, and these had a long wheelbase and a k 2 deiva configuration. The South 4x2 drive configuration. The South African Reconnaissance Vehicle Mk II had a shorter wheelbase and a full 4x4drive. After early experience with the Mk Is against the Italians in East Africa, the South Africans thereafter confined the vehicles mainly to training pur-poses, but the Mk Us went on to better things.

The Mk II, known to the British as the Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington, Mk II, was a fairly simple but effective conversion of the original truck chassis to take the new 4x4 transmission and a well-shaped armoured hull. The early versions had a turret on the roof mount-ing a Vickers 7,7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun, another light machine-gun being located in the hull front, but once this combination had been tried in action it was changed to a Boys 13.97-mm (0.55-in) anti-tank rifle mounted alongside a7.7-mm(0.303-in) machine-gun in the turret. The vehicle had a crew of four housed in the roomy hull, and the engine was a Ford V-8.

When they were first produced and issued to South African and British units in North Africa, the Marmon Herring-tons were the only armoured cars available in any numbers and they formed the main equipments used by the reconnaissance units during the early Western Desert campaigns. They proved to be surprisingly effec-tive vehicles, but their 12-mm (0.47-in) armour was often too thin to be of much use, and the armament was really too light. The troops in the field made their own changes to the armament and all manner of weapons sprouted from the



turrets or from the open hulls once the turrets had been removed. One of the more common weapon fits was a cap-tured Italian 20-mm Breda cannon, but Italian and German 37-mm (1.45-in) and 45-mm (1.77-in) tank or anti-tank guns were also used. One vehicle mounted a British 2-pdr (40-mm) tank gun, and this became the preferred armament for later marks. The Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mk III was basically similar to the Mk II though based on slightly shorter chas-sis, and lacked the double rear doors

of the Mk II. The Mk Us had a hard time during the desert campaigns, but they kept going and were well-liked and sturdy vehicles. Local modifications were many and varied, and ranged from command and repair vehicles to versions with as many as four Bren guns in a turret. Gradually they were sup-plemented and eventually replaced by more formal armoured car designs such as the Humber. Later marks of Marmon Herrington served in other theatres, some even falling into Japanese hands in the Far East, and the Japanese hands in the Far East, and the number of formal versions was later extended to eight, including the Mk IV inspired by the German eight-wheeler armoured cars, but after the Mk IV most remained as prototype vehicles only. The Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mk IV was a markedly different vehicle, being a monocoque design with rear engine, Weighing 6.4 tons, the Mk IV was armed with a 2-pdr



(40-mm) gun and co-axial 7,62-mm (0.3-m) Browning machine-gun. A variant was the Mk IVF with Canadian Ford rather than Marmon Herrington automotive components. For a nation with limited production

and development potential the Marmon Herrington armoured cars were an outstanding South African achievement.

Specification

Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mkll Crew:4

Weight: (in action) about 6 tonnes

This official photograph shows a Marmon Herrington Mkll armoured carin its original form with a Vickers 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the turret and another in a side-mounted mantlet. This latter weapon position was soon discarded and extra weapon positions were provided around the open turret.

Dimensions: not known Powerplant: one Ford V-8 petrol engine Performance: maximum speed 80.5 km/h; maximumrange 322 km (200 miles)

Humber Armoured Cars

The Humber armoured cars were numerically the most important types produced in the United Kingdom, for produced in the United Kingdom, for production eventually reached a total of 5,400, The type had its origins in a pre-war Guy armoured car known as the Tank, Light, Wheeled Mk I, of which Guy produced 101 examples by October 1940. In that monthit was real-ized that Guyla merchanicar facilities ized that Guy's production facilities would be fully occupied producing light tanks, so production was switched to the Rootes Group and Karner Motors Limited of Luton in particular. There the Guy design was rejigged for installation on a Karrier KT 4 artillery Installation on a Karner K1 4 attney tractor chassis, Guy continuing to supply the armoured hulls and turrets. Although the new model was virtually identical to the original Guy design it Armoured Car, Humber Mk I. The Humber Mk I had a relatively

short wheelbase, but it was never man-

oeuvrable and used a welded hull. The turret mounted two Besa machine-guns, a heavy 15-mm (0.59-in) and a lighter 7.92-mm (0.31-in) weapon, The type had a crew of three: a commander who acted as his own wireless operator, a gunner and the driver in the front hull. The first production batch ran to 500 vehicles before the Armoured Car, Humber Mk II introduced some improvements, mainly to the front hull which had a pronounced

slope. The Armoured Car, Humber Mk III had a larger turret that allowed a crew of four to be carried, while the Armoured Car, Humber Mk IV re-verted to a crew of three as the turret housed an American 37-mm (1.45-in) gun. An odd feature of this vehicle was that the driver was provided with a lever which raised a hatch covering an aperture in the rear bulkhead for use as rear vision in an emergency. The first Humber armoured cars

were used operationally in the North African desert from late 1941 onwards, while the Humber Mk IV did not see service until the early stages of the Italian campaign, but thereafter all four mode where we have the provide or marks were used wherever British and Allied troops fought in Europe. A version was produced in Canada with some changes made to suit Canadian production methods. This was known as the Armoured Car, General Motors Mk I, Fox I, and the main change so far as the troops in the field were con-cerned was that the main armament was a 12.7 mm (0.5-in) Browning heavy machine-gun plus a 7.62 mm (0,3-in) Browning medium machine-gun. There was also an extensive conver-sion of the Humber Mk III as a special radio carrier known as a Rear Link vehicle. This had a fixed turret with a dummy gun. Another radio-carrying version was used as a mobile artiflery observation post, and numbers of Canadian Foxes were converted for this role. A later addition to many Humber armoured cars was a special anti-aircraft mounting using Vickers 'K' machine-guns that could be fired from within the turret; this mounting could also be used with Bren Guns. Smoke dischargers were another operational addition. A more extreme conversion was made with the Armoured Car, Humber, AA, Mk I, which had four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) Besa machine-guns



in a special turret. These were intro-duced during 1943 at the rate of one troop of four cars for every armoured car regiment, but they were with-drawn during 1944 as there was no longer any need for them, After 1945 many Humber armoured

cars were sold or otherwise passed to other armies. Some were still giving good service to armies in the Far East as late as the early 1960s.

Specification

Armoured Car, Humber Mks I to IV Crew: 3 (4 in Mk III) Weight: (in action) 6.85 tonnes (Mk I) or 7.1 tonnes (Mks II to IV) Dimensions: length 4.572 m(15 ft O in); width 2.184 m(7 ft 2 in); height 2.34 m(7 ft 2 in);

(7 ft 10 in)

Powerplant: one Rootes 6-cylinder water-cooled petrol engine developing 90 bhp (67 kW)

A HumberArmoured CarMkII, one of the few armoured vehicles to use the 15-mm (0.59-in)Besa heavy machine-gun as its main armament. Originally known as a wheeled tank, these vehicles gave sterling service in many theatres through the war.

Performance: maximum speed 72km/h(45mph);maximumrange 402kip(250miles)



When the BSA Scout Car was under-going its initial trials, it was decided to going its initial triats, it was decided to use the basic design as the foundation for a new vehicle to be known as the Tank, Light, Wheeled. As with the Scout Car, Daimler took over the development of the project, and the resolut was a vehicle that outwardly re-sembled the little Scout Car but was nearly twice as heavy and had a two-man turret. Work started on the project in August 1939 and the first prototypes were running by the end of the year, although troubles soon arose as the extra weight of the turret and armour overloaded the transmission. It took some time before these problems were overcome, and it was not until April 1941 that the first production examples appeared. By then the vehicle was known as the Armoured Car, Daimler Mk I.

The Daimler Armoured Car was basically a Scout Car enlarged to accommodate a turret mounting a 2pdr (40-mm) gun. The turret was the same as that designed for the Tetrarch light tank intended for use by airborne forces, but when this was placed on the Daimler it was the first such installation on a British armoured car, The turret also mounted a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31in) Besa machine-gun, and many vehi-cles also had smoke dischargers mounted on the sides of the turret. The four-wheel drive used double-coil springs on each wheel station although the early idea of using four-wheel steering was discarded as being too complex an idea without real oper-ational benefit. One advanced feature was the use of Girling hydraulic disc brakes, well in advance of general use elsewhere. A fluid flywheel was used in place of the more usual clutch arrangement. A duplicate steering wheel and simple controls were pro-



Above: The Daimler armoured car was one of the best of all the British armoured cars, and the one that became the standard equipment for many reconnaissance regiments. Armed with a 40-mm (1.57-in) 2-pdr gun, it had limited combat capability but proved to be an excellent and reliable reconnaissance vehicle in all theatres.

Right: A Daimler armoured car in North Africa during November 1942 carries an unusual load of German prisoners-of-war. Note the North African additions of the frontmounted sand channel and the rack for extra fuel cans on the side, plus the kit stowage all over the vehicle.



vided for use by the commander in an emergency to drive to the rear. The commander also had to double as loader for the main gun.

The Daimler underwent surprisingly few changes once in service. An Armoured Car, Daimler Mk II version was later introduced with a new gun mounting, a slightly revised radiator arrangement and a new escape hatch through the engine compartment for the driver. There was also an experimental Armoured Car, Daimler Mk I CS which had a 76.2-mm (3-in) howitzer in place of the 2-pdr (40-mm) gun to provide close support fire with high explosive and smoke projectiles (the 2-pdr/40-mm gun could fire only armour-piercing projectiles), but only a few were produced, Another armament alteration was to a small number of operational Mk Is, which were fitted with the Littlejohn Adaptor, a squeezebore muzzle attachment that enabled the 2-pdr (40-mm) gun to fire small projectiles that could penetrate thickerarmour than the normal-calibre projectile.

jectile. When the first Daimler Armoured Cars arrived in North Africa during 1941 and 1942 they were able to assume many of the operational roles of the Marmon-Herrington vehicles currently deployed in that theatre. They soon gained for themselves an enviable reputation for good all-round performance and reliability which was to remain for many years. By the end of the war not all were still in use as armoured cars, some being employed as scout or command vehicles with their turrets removed, but this was only a temporary measure and turreted vehicles served for many years after 1945. Total production was 2,694.

Specification Armoured Car, Daimler Mk I Crew: 3 Weight: (inaction) 7.5 tonnes Dimensions: Iength3,96m(13ft0in); width 2.44 m (8 ft0in); height 2.235 m (7 ft4 in) Powerplant: one Daimler 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 95 bhp (71 kW) Performance: maximum speed 80.5 km/h(50 mph); maximumrange 330 km(205 miles)

A British reconnaissance unit moves through the village of Gacein Northern France during August 1944. The turreted armoured cars are Daimlers and also visible are Daimler Scout Cars; the vehicle just visible on the left is a Humber Scout Car. The white star was the Allied recognition symbol of the period.





During the late 1930s the British Army was converting to mechanized traction and forming its first armoured divisions. One of the requirements to equip the new formations was a small 4x4 scout car for general liaison and reconnaissance duties, and three comparative trials, The three companies were BSA Cycles Ltd, Morris Commercial Cars Ltd and Alvis Limited. Of the three designs entered, the BSA submission emerged as the clear winner and a production contract was placed by the War Office in May 1939. A total of 172 examples was ordered as the Car, Scout, Mk I, and more orders followed later.

By the time the order was placed the BSA project had been taken over by Daimler, and the designation Car, Scout, Daimler Mk I was applied to the vehicle, But by the time the original order was placed the War Office had called for more all-round protection for the Scout Car, as in its original form it provided the two-man crew with fron-tal armour only. The resultant changes needed to provide the extra armour and a folding roof over the main crew compartment added enough weight to require an improved suspension and a more powerful engine, but once these changes had been incorporated in the Daimler Mk IA, the Daimler Scout Car remained virtually unaltered throughout its long service life, It was a simple enough design with a full 4x4 drive configuration and front-axle steering from the Daimler Mk II onwards. The engine was at the rear and the crew was seated side-by-side in an open compartment with only the folding roof for overhead cover. This roof was removed on the Daimler Mk III as experience showed that it was rarely used operationally. The only arma-ment carried was a single 7.7-mm

Above: The little Daimler Scout Car was in production as World War II began and was still in production when it ended. Although only lightly armed it was quiet and nippy, and proved to be one of the best of all reconnaissance vehicles in use by any side throughout the war.

Right: These Daimler Scout cars are ready for the Tunis Victory Parade of May 1943. Behind them is a Daimler armoured car and a Humber Mk II; the aircraft is a French Caudron Goéland captured from the Luftwaffe. These vehicles were used on the occasion as escorts for some of the VIPs arriving for the parade.



(0,303-m) Bren Gun firing through a hatch in the front superstructure, although other arrangements such as anti-aircraft mountings were sometimes provided, The Daimler Scout Car proved itself

The Daimler Scout Car proved itself to be a very tough and reliable little vehicle. It had the unusual distinction of being one of the few World War II vehicles in service when the war started and still remaining in production as the war ended. It was used by all manner of units other than the reconnaissance units for which it was originally intended, for it was also used by artillery units as a mobile observation post and by Royal Engineer units for locating mine fields and bridging positions, Many staff officers used them as run-arounds and liaison vehicles, and they were often added to motorized infantry units for reconnaissance and liaison purposes. In all these roles the Daimlers ran for enormous distances without benefit of maintenance or care and still kept going when needed. They were used by many Commonwealth armies other than the British army, and many served on with several armed forces for many years after the war, Most of them left British army service in the mid-1950s, but a few served on for years afterwards. Many are now prized collector's pieces and it would not be surprising if a few were still kept operational by some of the smaller armies around the world. Specification Car, Scout, Daimler Mk I Crew: 2 Weight: (in action) 3 tonnes Dimensions: length 3.226 m (10 ft 5 in); width 1.715m(5ft7V?.in);height 1.50 m (4 ft 11 in) Powerplant: one Daimler6-cylinder petrol engine developing 55 bhp (41 kW) Performance: maximum speed 88.5 km/h (55 mph); maximum range 322 km (200 miles)



The first AEC (Associated Engineering Company Ltd of Southall, London, a company that normally made London buses) armoured car was produced as aprivate venture based on information filtering back from the North African battlefields. What AEC had produced was virtually a wheeled tank, for the resultant vehicle was fairly large by contemporary standards and was equipped with armour nearly as thick as that used on the current 'cruiser tanks. The basic chassis used for the AEC armoured cars was based on that used for the Matador artillery tractor, but by the time this had been revised for the armoured car role many changes had been introduced, including an engine set at a slight front-torear angle to enable the overall height of the vehicle to be lowered.

The first example was demonstrated in early 1941, and an order was placed in June of that year, The Armoured Car, AEC Mk I mounted a 2-pdr (40-mm) gun and co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) Besa machine-gun in the same turret as that used on the Valentine infantry tank, but only 120 vehicles had been produced before calls came for something more powerful for use in North Africa. The result was a revision that introduced a new three-man turret mounting a 6-pdr gun with a calibre of 57 mm (2.244 in), but even this was not powerful enough for the troops in the field and the Armoured Car, AEC Mk II was replaced in production by the Armoured Car, AEC MK III with the same turret mounting the Britishdeveloped version of the American M3 75-mm (2.95-m) tank gun. This made the AEC Mk III a very powerful armoured car, and it was used as a fire-support vehicle for armoured car regiments until the end of the war, mainly in Italy

In the second s

One of the first AEC armoured cars to arrive in North Africa, this Mkl is recognizable by the ex-Valentine infantry tank turret and the 2-pdr gun. The bulk and height of these vehicles can be easily seen, but the vehicle appears to have few of the many extras which were fitted in the field to combat examples.

provided with electric power for traversing.

Production of all the AEC armoured car marks ceased after 629 had been produced. The vehicles were used in North Africa and Tunisia and thereafter in Italy. Some Mk Ills were used in north west Europe until the end of the war, most of them in the heavy troops of armoured car regiments. A few were used for odd experiments such as pushing mine-clearing rollers, and at least one example was fitted with a special anti-aircraft turret mounting two 20-mm cannon

In 1944 a batch of AEC armoured cars was sent into Yugoslavia for use by the partisans, but the activities of these vehicles have still to be fully uncovered, After 1945 numbers were issued to the newly re-formed Belgian reconnaissance regiments, and these vehicles served until at least 1950.

Specification Armoured Car, AECMk I Crew: 3 Weight: (inaction) 11 tonnes Dimensions: lengthoverall5.18 m(17 ft 0in); width2.70 m(8 ft 10 l/2 in); height 2.55 m (8 ft 4 Vain) Powerplant: one AEC 6-cylinder



diesel engine developing 105 bhp (78 kW)

Performance: maximum speed 58km/h(36mph);maximumrange 402km(250miles)

Specification

Armoured Car, AEC Mk II and Mk III Crew:4 Weight: (in action) 12.7 tonnes Dimensions: length overall (Mk II) 5.182 m (17 ft 10 in) or (Mk III) 5.613 m (18 f5 in) width 2.70 m (9 ft 10 kin)

(18 ft 5 in); width 2.70 m (8 ft l0Vfe in); height 2.69 m (8 ft 10 in) Powerplant: one AEC 6-cylinder diesel engine developing 155 bhp (116kW)

Performance: maximum speed 66km/h(41 mph); maximum range 402 km (250 miles)

An AEC Mkl armoured car proceeding through Aleppo, Syria duringApril 1943. This vehicle has an anti-aircraft Bren gun mounting on the turret and the driver's hatch is fully open. Behind are Marmon Herrington armoured cars, which were by then beingphased out of service.





ITAI Y

Autoblinda 40 and 41

The Autoblinda 40 and Autoblinda 41 armoured cars had their origins in a requirement for a high-performance car for use by the Italian colonial police in the new Italian colonies in Africa, The Italian cavalry branch had a requirement for a new armoured car at about the same time, so the two projects were merged to produce a new vehicle design that appeared in 1939. This new design had the engine at the rear and a turret (mounting a machinegun) towards the front. There was another machine-gun in the hull rear and the vehicle could be driven from either the normal front position or another position in the hull rear. From this design evolved the Autoblinda 40, of which production began by the mid-dle of 1940. When the original production order

was placed it was specified that a small number of Autoblinda 40s would be produced with a 20-mm cannon in place of the two 8-mm (0.315-in) turret machine-guns, This was achieved by using the turret of the L 6/40 light tank in place of the original turret, and with the appearance of this version known as the Autoblinda 41, it was realized that this vehicle/weapon combination was far more effective than the machine-gun version, and thereafter production centred on the Autoblinda 41. Relatively few Autoblinda 40s were produced, and many of these were la-ter converted to the Autoblinda 41 configuration.

For its time the Autoblinda 41 was an advanced design and possessed good performance marred only by recur-



The first BA-10 six-wheeled armoured car appeared in 1932, It was produced at the Gorki automobile plant, and was the logical outcome of a series of sixwheeled armoured cars that could be traced back to World War I, even though the configuration had been in abeyance for some years, The BA-10 was built on the chassis of the GAZ-AAA six-wheeled commercial truck, though the suspension was modified to assume the loads involved and some reinforcements were made to the chassis members. The layout of the BA-10 was orthodox, with the engine under an armoured cover at the front and the turret mounted at the rear over the twin rear axles. There were sever-al variations in the armament carried. but the main armament was either a 37-mm (1.46-in) tank gun or a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) DShK heavy machine-gun. La-ter versions used a 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun.

Like other Soviet armoured fighting vehicles the BA-10 was a functional and hefty item of equipment. It had several typically Soviet design sub-features such as the ability to wear tracks or chains on the rear axles to assist traction in mud and snow, and the spare wheels were located so that they could turn when obstacles under the chassis were encountered, and thus take some of the load. There was a crew of four, one of whom attended to the 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun fitted into a mounting on the front supertructure to the right of the driver.

Later versions of the BA-10 are sometime known as the BA-32, and to confuse matters further one of these

rent steering troubles that were never entirely eliminated. The main armament was a converted 20-mm Breda modello 35 anti-aircraft cannon, and this weapon was mounted co-axially with an 8-mm (0.315-in) Breda modello 38 air-cooled machine-gun specially designed for use in armoured vehi-cles. Another of these machine-guns was mounted at the hull rear. One vehicle in four had provision for an antiaircraft machine-gun mounting on top of the turret. Special sand or normal road tyres could be fitted, and there was a kit available to convert the vehicle for use on railway tracks. This kit included railway wheels and extra lighting and signalling devices, along with a searchlight to be mounted on the turret. Autoblinda 41s fitted with these kits were used extensively for anti-partisan patrols in the Balkans.

The Autoblinda 40 and Autoblinda 41 were extensively used by Italian reconnaissance units in the Western Desert and Tunisia. At the end of September 1942 there were 298 Autoblinda 41s in use, and more were employed by the colonial police. Some development work was carried out on the basic design, which later led to the mounting of a 47-mm (1.85-in) gun in the turret (AB 43), while an openhulled variant had a German 50-mm (1.97-in) tank gun but neither of these vehicles was placed in production. There was also an open-hulled variant that was produced in small numbers as a command vehicle or mobile observation post for artillery units.

21 篇 图 篇

Specification Autoblinda41 Crew:4

The Autoblinda 41, one of the most numerous of the Italian armoured cars, is shown armed with a turretmounted 20-mm cannon anda machine-gun at the hull rear.

Weight: (in action) 7.5 tonnes Weight: (in action) 7.5 tonnes Dimensions: lengthoverall,5.20 m(17ft 1Vzin); width 1.92 m(6ft4⁴ A in); height 2,48 m(7 ft U/2 in) Performance: one SAP Abm 1 6-cylinder water-cooled inline petrol engine developing 80 bhp (60 kW) Performance: maximum road speed 78km/h(49 mph); maximum cross-country speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum road range 400 km (248 miles); fording 0.7 m (28 in); gradient 40%; vertical obstacle 0.3 m (12 in)

The Soviet BA-10 armoured car looked as though it belonged to a previous era, but despite its weight and bulk it proved tobe wellsuited to the distances and terrain of the Soviet Union. The large turret mounted a 37-mm (1.46-in) or 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun.



latter variants is sometimes known as theBA-IOM. This first appeared in 1937 and used the turret of the T-26B light tank with its 45-mm (1.77-in) gun. This was not the only tank turret so used, for others known to have been fitted were the turret of the experimental T-30 light tank and that of the BT-3 tank. One odd variation of the BA-10 that appeared in 1932 was the BAZ amphibious vehicle, which used the basic BA-10 hull allied to a flotation body derived from contemporary German experimental vehicles. Only a few were produced.

When the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 the BA-10 and its later derivatives were in service in

some numbers with the Red Army, the number 1,200 often being quoted. However, the events of 1941 and 1942 decimated the numbers of BA-10s, and large numbers fell into German hands. The Germans found them to be ser-viceable vehicles, although they considered them not really modern or mobile enough for use with their Pan-zer units, and kept them for use with anti-partisan units both in the Soviet Union and in the Balkans, The Germans knew the BA-10 as the Panzer-spähwagen BAF 203(r); some of their reports mention the vehicle as a Ford. After 1942 the Soviets started to phase out the use of heavy armoured

cars such as the BA-10. Those that re-

mained were often relegated to the armoured personnel carrier role, having their turrets removed and the interiors stripped of all equipment other than the driver's seat and controls.

Specification BA-10M Crew:4 Dimensions: length 4.70 m(15 ft 5 in);width 2,09 m (6 ft 10/2 in); height $2.42 \text{ m}(7 \text{ ft} 11^1/\text{ jin})$ Powerplant: one GAZ-M-1 4-cylmder water-cooled petrol engine developing 85 hp (63 kW) Performance: maximum speed 87km/h(54mph); maximumrange 320km(199miles)

Allied and Axis Trucks

The sweeping strategic manoeuvres of armoured forces in World War II were made possible by large-scale mechanization of transport; without massive fleets of lorries, Blitzkrieg would not have been possible and the tempo of the conflict could not have been sustained.

Never had there previously been - and in all possibility will there ever be again - so mobile a war as was seen during World War II.

From the very outset, with the German Blitzkrieg on Poland, such warfare relied on mobility to push home the attack. During these early years much reliance was placed on the speed and efficiency of armoured thrvists backed by a mobile supply line. Unfortunately for the Germans, much of their supply line 'was still horsedrawn and the number of available motor transport vehicles was totally inadequate for the task. To compensate for this inadequacy, many civil trucks were conscripted into service along with the few surviving vehicles of the Polish army. In contrast with this, the British Expeditionary Force that landed in France in 1939 was a fully mechanized formation.

During the evacuation of Dunkirk very few vehicles could be rescued. They were thus captured (along with many different types of French trucks) by the Germans and pressed into service, leading to yet more spare parts problems. After this the German logistics department tried to rationalize matters in a standardization programme



Trucks were a logistical essential - overlooked as such by many - when the world's most mobile and mechanized war to date broke out in 1939. By D-Day the Allies had designed and built many new types of four-wheel-drive vehicles.

involving the Schell system, but even this never reached its target before the end of the war.

Perhaps the loss of about 90,000 vehicles in France was a blessing to the British military transport organization as it cleared all the 'dead wood', and thus paved the way for fresh ideas. The chronic shortage of transport forced a further temporary introduction of impressment until specific types of vehicles could be produced in greater numbers. The Commonwealth with its many assets was given the orders to produce many of these urgently needed types. Canada made a contribution out of all proportion to the size of its small automotive industry with its series of all-wheel-drive tactical trucks ranging from 15-cwt 4x4 to 3-ton 6x6, produced with various types of cabs from 1940 to 1943. During the early period the Canadian chassis and cabs were built to Canadian designs but to British specifications. The early wooden bodies were later replaced by pressed steel bodies.

The invasion of Europe was soon in the minds of the Allied planners, and considerable thought was being given to supplying the vast armies that would make the attack across Europe into Germany. It would require a supply system of a magnitude never before envisaged, and the production of trucks would be at a premium for the next two to three years. The British truck industry thus began to produce its own four-wheel-drive vehicles, with such established names as Bedford, Ford, Karrier, Thornycroft and Albion being to the fore. Once the Allied assault had gained momentum the supply lines would soon be overstretched, and to help overcome this problem heavier 10-ton trucks were also put into production. The biggest supplier of all military trucks during World War II was the USA, although it was slow at first to respond to the ever-growing transport need of its own army and the now famous Lend-Lease system to the UK. As the whole might of American industry turned on to a war footing, however, trucks were produced in countless thousands, ranging from the iH-ton Dodge 4 x 4 to the massive Mack prime movers and Diamond T transporters.



To meet her urgent need for motor transport the UK turned to the Commonwealth for a degree of support, the major supplier to the UK from the Commonwealth being Canada. Canada herself, once on a war footing, had ur-gent need to supply her own armies with equipment as every transport vehicle then in service was of civil ori-gin. During early 1937 Ford of Canada had been approached to produce 15cwt trucks based on similar lines to those of British design. General Motors of Canada also participated. Ford's ex-perimental vehicle was produced in no great haste at the Windsor plant, the pilot model being built up around a Ford V-8 chassis with wheels and tyres imported from England. When com-pleted in 1937 the vehicle was tested at the then small army testing ground at Camp Petawawa, near Ottawa. On arrival it was discovered that the specification had changed to a four-wheel drive application. Nevertheless, the type gave a good account of itself, and the Canadian Military Pattern Chassis formed the basis of many 15-cwt and 8-cwt trucks. During early 1940 the standard pattern of Canadian truck began to emerge with four-wheel drive, and in July of 1940, after Dunkirk, the UK placed a preliminary order for 7,000 vehicles. By 1941 Canada was the Empire's main supplier of light and medium trucks. Standardization was again of the utmost importance within a range of trucks including 8-cwt, 15-cwt, 30-cwt and 3-ton 4x4, 3-ton 6x4 and 3-ton 6x6 vehicles. Various Canadian cabs were produced through the different stages of development: the number 11 cab was identifiable by the radiator externally mounted to the bonnet; the number 12 cab had the radiator mounted inside the bonnet; the number 13 cab was a complete revision in design to allow more cab interior space and better placing of the foot pedals, and also had a forward sloping windscreen; and the number 43 was basically a number 13 with a

The 3-ton 4x4 became the mainstay of Canadian production, and was areli-able vehicle produced by both Ford and Chevrolet. The body variations were enormous and can only be touched briefly within this text. All



Above: A1940 Chevrolet WA is seen in the configuration developed by the LRDC for their operations in North Africa. The vehicle illustrated carries a Lewis gun behind the cab and a Browning .30 calM1919 with AA barrel above the dashboard.

models were produced in the generalservice role, some with timber and some with all-pressed-steel bodies, and other types included water and petrol tankers, mobile gun carriages, wireless house bodies, machinery vehicles (various types from 15-cwt mounted welding units to 6x6 fully-egmpped workshops), office bodies, ambulances and other medical requirement vehicles, and breakdown and recovery vehicles. Canada also supplied many conventional types from all the large manufacturers, fitted with military tyres/wheels and bodies. Over 900,000 Canadian vehicles were produced within the five-year period.

The Australian commitment was not on so grand a scale, the majority of production trucks being in the light range. Most of the medium to heavy trucks were supplied in kit or chassis and cab form, usually from Canada, to which locally-built bodies were added. Some of the conventional trucks supplied were used in halftrack conversions, but this never progressed beyond the experimental stage. All Canadian Fords were reassembled



at the Ford subsidiary plant at Geelong, in Victoria state some 48 km (30 miles) west of Melbourne.

Specification FordF60 Powerplant: one 70.8-kW (95-bhp) Ford V-8 petrolengine Dimensions: length 6.20 m (20 ft 4 in);

Two Chevrolet trucks pass through a rocky area of the desert carrying an in teresting assortment of weapons, including a Boys An ti-Tank rifle.

width2.29 m (7 ft 6 in); height 3.05 m (10 ft O in) Performance: max speed 80 km/h (50mph); range 270km(168miles)



Above: This Canadian-built Ford 3ton truck is carrying a curious cargo oflighting equipment. Canadian Fords were also produced in Australia, the Ford subsidiary plant in Victoria State re-assembling vehicles for use in the Pacific theatre.





German light trucks

German light trucks before the outbreak of World War II were of commercial 4x2 design with only superstructure details to identify them as army vehicles. The Phaenomen Granit had an air-

GERMANY

cooled engine and was used in great numbers, most specifically in the ambulance role. Although the Granit was auseful vehicle for the transport of goods and supplies on hard roads, the type's cross country ability left much to be desired. A specification for a 6x4 truck was published and the response from the industry was immediate. Daimler-Benz had already built its Daimler-Benz G3 6x4 model from 1928, many for service with the German railways. Bussing-NAG of Brauns-chweig was also involved with its Bus-sing-NAG G31, in production from 1933 to 1935. Whilst all vehicles were fitted as standard with petrol engines, a few dissel engines were also fitted ex-perimentally. Daimler-Benz, Buessing-NAG and Krupp produced chassis which were also used as the basis for armoured car bodies. Although a wide range of vehicle types were still in service during the invasion of Poland the Schell programme had introduced the idea of standard truck designs, For example the Daimler-Benz 1500A was built as the planned replacement for all current 2-ton payload types in ser-vice, many of which served in the German army for general-service use. Troop carrier versions were built on the lines of heavy cars with fold-down hoods.

Steyr of Austria built three basic types: a general-service truck, a heavy command car and a troop carrier, all powered by an air-cooled V-8 engine. Steyr also provided a 6x4 cargo Steyr Model 640, which was also produced in ambulance and command car variants. One of the most common types used during the early days of World War II was the Krupp Kfz 81 6x4, which was generally employed as an artillery tractor, a role in which it superseded the earlier Kfz 69 purpose-built artillery tractor. The Krupp Boxer, *as* it became known, was powered by a 4-cylmder horizontally opposed engine and had all-round independent suspension.

Hungary built few vehicles: one 6x4 personnel carrier for 14 men was the Botond. In Czechoslovakia Tatra produced the Tatra T92 2-tonner powered



Opel Blitz

By the late 1930s the German military inventory presented an enormous logistic problem, with over 100 different vehicle types in service.

A desperate programme to rationalize this situation was put in hand under the leadership of General von Schell, who was then director of mechanization. His aim was to cut down the vast number of types and bring in a degree of standardization which, when plans were finalized, allowed just 30 vehicle types.

types. In the 3-ton medium category Opel's design was the most successful. The Opel Blitz 4x2 was of a conventional layout and featured a pressed steel commercial type cab with wooden body, Under the so called Schell programme all 4x2 vehicles were designated Typ S. The 4x2 was produced in



by a V-8 engine; this model was first used by the Czech army, and later by the Germans. The Praga RV models were again 6x4 types, and were built as general-service trucks, wireless vehicles and command cars. The Schell programme was designed to make these 6x4 vehicles obsolete, but as production of Schell types could never keep up with demand, the older models soldiered on to the end of the war, some still being used immediately after the war in civilian hands.

Specification

Krupp Kfz 81 Powerplant: one 38.8-kW (52-bhp) Krupp M304 4-cylinder engine Dimensions: length 4.95 m (16 ft 2.9 *mj*) width 1.95 m (6 ft 4.8 in); height 2.30 m (7 ft 6.6 in)

Weight: 2600 kg (5,732 lb)

Below: The Krupp Kfz 81 (L2H43) had an air-cooled 'Boxer' engine and an all-independent suspension; it was used in a number of different roles, including prime mover for the 20mm (0.78-in) anti-aircraft gun.

The Germans made extensive use of captured light trucks and vehicles manufactured in Hungary, Czechoslovakia and France. Their own models, like the Krupp L2H43 seen here, were similar to con temporary British six-wheelers.



many different variants, for example general service, fuel tankers, house body etc. As the need for better crosscountry performance became a premium it was decided by Opel to produce a four-wheel-drive 3-ton truck with the designation Typ A and based on the same basic vehicle design as the Typ S. The addition of a driven front axle gave tremendous advantages over the normal 4x2 truck, and the wheelbase for the 4x4 was shor-

Opel Blitzes of the Afrika Korps are seen on a busy road in Libya, 1941. For all his panache as a tank commander, Rommel consistently neglected the logistics of the Afrika Korps and imposed an impossible burden on his transport columns.



tened by 15cm (5.9m). A two-speed transfer box gave the vehicle a choice of 10 forward gears. During the pro-duction span from 1937 to 1944 some 70,000 Opel Blitz trucks were built, as well as over 25,000 Allrad' (four wheel drive) models. By late 1944, however, manufacture was totally disrupted by Allied bombing and the Allied advance across Europe, making plans to produce vehicles in 1945 fruitless, The variations of body design were numer-ous, the most popular model being the house body. The Bitz's possibilities were endless, and the vehicles were used as field ambulances, mobile laboratories, laundries, mobile com-mand posts, field caravans, radio vans, cipher offices, and mobile workshops to name just a few. The body was made of timber and compressed card to save valuable steel. Later during the war when raw materials were desperately short, the cabs were produced from wood and pressed card and termed *Ersatz* cabs. During the winter campaigns on the Eastern Front even the four-wheel-drive vehicles were almost brought to a standstill, and the Waffen-SS developed a unique three-quarter track vehicle from an Opel Typ A and obsolete PzKpfw I tank track assemb-



the driven axle was moved forward to line up with the sprockets, and because of its performance the Maultier, as the vehicle became known, was accepted for standard production. Similar conversions to Ford and Daimler-Benz vehicles were also carried out, but were not so numerous. Opel Blitz Powerplant: one 54.8-kW (73,5-bhp) Opel 6-cylmder petrol engine Dimensions: length6.02m (19 ft9 in); width 2.265 m (7 ft 5.2 in); height 2.175 m (7 ft 1.6 in) Weights: chassis 2100kg (4,6301b); payload 3290 kg (7,253 lb) Above: An Opel Blitz Kfz 31 ambulance model. The Germans also used heavy car chassis ambulances and captured some, like the Austin K2. It was also used to carry mobile operating theatres.

Performance: maximum speed 80 km/ h(50mph); range410km(255 miles)



German heavy trucks

Most German heavy trucks were basically civil-based vehicles or Typ S models under the Schell programme, The majority were 4x2 4Va to 6 tonners, such as the MAN ML4500 which was also built in Austria by OAF. The Mercedes-Benz L4500A is a typical example of the type of German vehicle used by the Wehrmacht, Powered by a Daimler-Benz OM67/4 6-cylmder diesel engine, it formed part of the backbone of German army transport, and in one variant mobile anti-aircraft eguipment was built on the Mercedes chassis in the form of a 37-mm Flak 41 gun.

GERMANY

An attempt was made to produce a tank transporter unit for use with PzKpfw I tanks, and a 4x4 version of the Büssing-NAG 6.5-ton lorry was used. Very little progress was made in this direction, and most tank haulage was carried out by the 6x4 Faun L900D567 with a payload capacity of 8800 kg (19,400 lb). The German truck industry was never able to supply enough types to transport tanks, half-tracks with trailers eventually taking over this role.

over this role. With the German takeover of Czechoslovakia in 1938 many useful industries fell into German hands and were put to use supplying the German armed forces. In Kolin the excellent 6x6 Tatra 6.5-ton truck was produced with some outstanding features: they included a tubular frame and independent front and rear suspension, and power was supplied by a 12-cylinder air-cooled 157-kW (210-hp) engine. Skoda also supplied heavy trucks to the Germans, the Skoda 6 ST6 6x4

A Bussing-Nag 454 6^{J} -e-ton 4 x 4 truck carries a PzKpfw I command tank in Afrika Korps colours. Only a small number of these vehicles were produced, the most widely used tank transporter being the Faun6x 4, which was capable of carrying the PzKpfwII. Right: The control offar-flung armoured forces depended on a reliable network of radio communications, based on mobile radio stations mounted on heavy trucks. This vehicle is part of a German divisional headquarters outside Tobrukin 1941. Heavy trucks were mainly used for specialist tasks, general supplies being en trusted to ligh ter vehicles and the railways.

cargo truck generally being used in conjunction with four-wheel trailers. Skoda also produced one of the oddities of World War II, the 4 x 4 Skoda 175 built as an artillery tractor for use in rough conditions on the Eastern Front, It featured huge steel wheels (1500 x 300 mm front and 1500 x 400 mm rear). Some of these production models were used in North West Europe.

Hanomag tractors were used to haul one or two laden trailers and were purpose-built for this role: the Model SS 100 was employed by the army and the Luftwaffe, the latter using the type to tow fuel bowsers. It was strictly a commercial type which was made available to the civil market. A larger but similar type was produced by Faun with a 13.54-litre engine, and this vehicle could be adapted to fit railway



Overall the German transport system relied mainly on the railways, and on the road greater emphasis was placed on medium trucks,

Specification

FaunL900D567 Powerplant: one 111,8-kW (150-bhp) Deutz F6M517 6-cylmder diesel engine Dimensions: length 10.40 m (34 ft 1.4in); width2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); height 2.60 m (8 ft 6.4 in) Weight: 9200 kg (20,282 lb)





The AEC Matador 4x4 tractor first appeared in 1939, and was built to a War Office specification to tow 4.5-in (114-mm), 5.5-m (140-mm) and 6-in (152-mm) howitzers. The requirement was for a four-wheel tractor with seating for the crew and ammunition stowage. The early production vehicles had a cab roof of different shape to that of later production trucks, the latter having a circular hatch for air observation; when not in use this was covered by a small canvas sheet. The basic design of the cab was very simple and robust, being built on a wooden frame with steel sheets. The body was of conventional timber construction with a drop tailboard and a side door for use by the gun crew. Special runners were fitted to the floor to allow shells to be moved to the rear tailgate for unload-ing. The Matador was powered by a 6-cylinder 7.58-litte AEC engine producing 71 kW (95 bhp), allowing a top speed of 58 km/h (36 mph). For pulling purposes (for example extracting guns from mud) a 7-ton winch was fitted with 76 m (250 ft) of wire rope. The Matador was used in most theatres of the war. In the desert it proved to be extremely popular with the gun crews for its re-liability, and photographic evidence shows that some had the tops of the cabs cut down to door level. Matadors were also pressed into service in the desert to tow transporter trailers because of the lack of proper tractors for this purpose. Total production of Mata-dors was 8,612. The RAF was also a major user of this vehicle, 400 being supplied in various offerings. The General Load Carrier had a special all-steel body with drop down sides and tailgate to facilitate easy loading, and the support posts could also be removed, Special flat platform trucks were also supplied to transport heavy equipment such as dumpers and com-pressors. An armoured command post was also built on this chassis, called the Dorchester, in which accommodation was provided internally for high- or

An AEC Ma ta dor com es ash ore from an American tank Ianding ship during the Allied amphibious operation at Salerno. Introduced as an artillery tractor in 1939, some of the 9,000 produced served for many years post-war, an indication of the soundness of the basic design.

low-powered radio transmitting and receiving equipment, and an external penthouse could be erected. As these vehicles were considered prime

targets they were carefully disguised to look like general-service trucks. Approximately 175 Matadors were built in 1942 as self-propelled gun car-riages and comprised a 6-pdr anti-tank gun mounted in an armoured box. The cab and body were also armoured. Other variants included power equip-Other variants included power equipment 50 kVA, power equipment 50 kVA, air-traffic control, and an ex-perimental 25-pdr portée. The last did not progress beyond the prototype stage

The last of the Matadors were au-ctioned off in the mid-1970s, this late disposal date proving the sound strength and reliability of these trucks.

Specification AEC Matador

Powerplant: one 70.8-kW (95-bhp) AEC 6-cylinder diesel engine Dimensions: length 6.32 m (20 ft9 in); width2.40m (7 ft10.5 in); heights.10m (10 ft 2 in)

Weights:unladen7189kg(15,848lb) and laden 11024kg(24,304lb) Performance: maximum speed 58 km/ h (36 mph); radius 579 km (360 miles)

This AEC Matador has been fitted with the 'streamline'cab roof Developed from a Hardy (AEC) design of the 1930s, the Matador was a medium artillery tractor used to move the 5.5-in (140-mm) medium







Bedford's involvement in four-wheel drive vehicles began in 1938, during the development stages of the squarenosed 15-cwt Bedford. It was sug-gested that the War Office be approached with permission to proceed with this design, Some degree of

interest was expressed, but as no immediate requirement was envisaged the matter proceeded no further. Then Bedford decided to undertake private development on a low-priority basis with an eye to future military orders. After the outbreak of war the War

Office issued orders for large quantities of 4 x 2 vehicles and also told Bedford to proceed with a prototype 4x4 3-ton general-service truck. In Octo-ber 1939 a specification was approved, and on 1 February 1940 the first pro-totype was completed and was out on

road tests. Within a month two more had joined it for extensive factory and military tests. The usual army tests were completed and the fitments for special tools installed, and drivers began training to operate this new truck. It had taken one year exactly from the first prototype to the first production vehicles, a commendable feat in a time of great stress and shortages. The Bed-ford QL was designed to use its four-

Usedby the Army fire service, the Bedford QL fire tender was introduced in 1943 and saw service in north west Europe. It towed a trailer pump, and carried an integral water tank, hoses andPTO (power tender) before take-off) pump in the main body.



wheel drive on rough terrain, but could disengage the front drive for use on hard roads to ease the wear on tyres and gearbox, the change being effected by moving a lever on the secondary gearbox. Another feather in Bedford's cap (and a surprise one) was the lack of normal teething troubles during the QL's early use. It was only after about one year in service that the first sign of trouble occurred, and a rather peculiar one at that: a tendency for the vehicle to shudder when the brakes were applied slightly. These reports were followed up immediately, and it was found that only a small proportion of vehicles were showing this fault. After some time spent on investigation the fault was found to be simple, and the deep-treaded crosscountry tyres were replaced by normal road tyres, whereupon the problem ceased.

The first production vehicle was the steel-bodied OLD issued to units of the Army Service Corps as a general carrier. From this model stemmed many variants, including the QLT 3-ton troop carrier with a modified and leng-thened chassis to accommodate the extra long body to carry 29 troops and kit. The QLT was popularly known as the 'Drooper'. The QLR wireless house type was used by all arms of the signals. The truck featured an auxiliary generator, and other variants on this house type body were command, cipher office and mobile terminal carrier vehicles. A special requirement for use in the Western Desert was a

6-pdr portée, a vehicle designed to transport and fire a 6-pdr anti-tank gun from the body. It was necessary to modify the cab by cutting off the upper half and fitting a canvas top, and when this type became redundant the surviving vehicles were converted back to general-service types after being rebodied, The RAF was a major operator of, the Bedford QL, many being used as fuel tankers with swinging booms to refuel aircraft. Two experimental vehicles that never progressed beyond the prototype stage were the Giraffe and Bren. The Giraffe was designed for amphibious landings: all the major components were raised (along with the cab) on a special frame for deep wading. When fully elevated the vehicle's automotive parts were raised 2.13 m (7 ft) and the driver 3.05m (10ft). The vehicle was approved for production in the event that the waterproofing system then in use failed. The Bren was developed by the Ministry of Supply by taking a standard Bedford QLD and replacing the rear wheels with components from the Bren Gun Carrier, thus creating a halftrack. The aim of this scheme was to reduce rubber wear. The vehicle was considered adequate during tests, but the shortage of rubber did not materialize and the project was dropped.

A Bedford QLB lightAA (Bofors) tractor comes ashore from a 'Class 9 ferry during the 21st Army Group's Rhine crossing in March 1945. The QL saw service for manyyears after the war, finally retiring in the early 1960s.

Specification Bedford QLD

Powerplant: one 53.7-kW (72-bhp) Bedford 6-cylinder petrol engine Dimensions: length 5.99 m (19 ft 8 in); width 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in); height 3.0 m (9 ft 10 in) Performance: maximum speed 61 km/

Performance: maximum speed 61 km/ h(38 mph); radius 370 km(230 miles)



Designed as a heavy load carrier, the Leyland Hippo 6x4 10-ton truck entered military service in 1944 and eventually proved its worth hauling supplies during the closing stages of the Allied advance across North West Europe. The huge bodies on these trucks had a well-type floor incorporating the wheel arches, this giving a lower loading height, an important element in the war days as fork-lift trucks were few and much loading was accomplished by hand. Steel hoops and a canvas tilt gave weather protection to the stores carried, The Hippo Mk 1 initial version was based on a pre-war commercial type with an open cab with canvas tilt and fixed windscreen, while the Hippo Mk 2 had an all-steel cab, The Hippo Mk 2 had an all-steel cab, The Hippo Mk 2 had an all-steel cab, whilst the Hippo Mk 2A had dual wheels fitted with 10-50-22 tyres, The difficulty experienced with the Mk 2A was the need to carry two spare wheels, one for the front and one for the rear, It is perhaps quite amazing to see these trucks still in <u>service</u> in the 1980s. Besides the generalservice vehicle, many were fitted with large van type bodies, and several expandable body types were built, albeit of similar design. The side panels were split horizontally, the upper half being raised to form extra roof area and the lower half forming extra floor space to provide additional freedom around machinery. The vehicles could also be linked together to form a consolidated workshop area. Van bodies included an auto-processing type for developing photographs, an enlarging and rectifying type for exposing original film onto new film, aprinting type

with a rotary offset printing machine, and a photo-mechanical type equipped with a rotary offset printer, work tables and plateracks. Entrance to all these bodies was through a single door in the rear. Because of the length of the body, the spare wheel had to be transferred from behind the cab and placed under the rear of the chassis.

A post-war fitting was the adoption of a 9092-litre (2,000-Imp gal) AVTUR refueller body and, with the rear body removed, of a Coles Mk 7 or Neal Type QMC crane.

Specification

Leyland Hippo Mk 2 GS Powerplant: one 74.6-kW (100-bhp) Leyland Type L 6-cylinder diesel engine

Dimensions: length8,31 m(27 ft3in); width2.46 m(8 ft1 in); height3.33 m (10 ft 11 in) The IO-ton 6x4 format became widely used in the British army after the war. Manufacturers included Albion, Foden and Leyland. The Leyland Hippo, introduced in 1943, is seen here with WDpattern open cab and the GS body.

Weights: unladen 8941 kg (19,712 lb) and laden 19711 kg (43,456 lb) Performance: radius 837 km (520 miles)





Many of Italy's trucks were of old de-sign, but during the build-up of the Italian armed forces before the outbreak of World War II some measure of standardization was achieved, The largest supplier of trucks to the Italian army was Fiat. Fiat vehicles equipped most of the transport units, vehicles like the Fiat TL37 4x4 light truck hav-ing large wheels and tyres to suit the terrain of Ethiopia and the Western Desert. The OM Autocarretta 32 was a unique light truck, and was highly re-garded by its crews, and even by Brit-ish troops when examples were captured. The type was intended primarily for mountain operations, and featured a 4-cylinder air-cooled diesel engine and independent suspension front and rear, The gearbox was cen-trally mounted and drove both front and rear axles direct. The mediumtruck range was dominated by the Fiat 38R 4 x 2 and the Lancia 3 RO N 6'/2-ton 4 x 2. The latter vehicle also formed the basis of a mobile anti-aircraft mount, To start these trucks a hand-cranked inertia start unit was placed forward of the crankshaft. The power unit was a Junkers two-stroke engine. The Fiat 633 BM was built on similar lines to the Lancia.

Most Italian tanks were of the lighter types, and could therefore be carried in the bodies of the Lancia, though a tank-transporter trailer could also be used. Two other widely used vehicles were the Fiat 626BL powered by a 46-



kW (62-bhp) engine, and the Fiat 665NL. The latter was quite advanced in truck body and cab design,

The Germans used large numbers of Italian vehicles, these seeing service on almost every German front. In Libya the British discovered that Italian diesel-engined trucks were of great value because of their lack of a car-

A Lancia 3RON6ys-ton4x4AUP (Autocarro Unificate Pesante, or Standard Heavy Truck) is dug out of the sand in North Africa. burettor, which had a tendency to clog up in dusty conditions.

Specification

OM Autocarretta Powerplant: one 15.7-kW(21-bhp)OM Autocarretta 324-cylinderengine Dimensions:length 3.80m(12ft5.6in); width 1.30m(4ft3,2in);height2.15m (7 ft 0,6 in) Weight: 1615 kg (3,560 lb)

weight. 1015 kg (5,500 ft

The Fiat/Spa Dovunque was built by the Spa factory, at that time under Fiat control. 'Dovunque'means cross-coun try (literally 'go anywhere').

A Fia t/S pa Model 38R 2 ¥2-ton 4x2 truck is seen in use as an artillery observalion post in the pa use after the end of Operation 'Crusader'.





Dodge WC62

During 1941 the US logistic organization decided a vehicle was required to complement the ³/4-ton Dodge T214 WC52 weapons carrier. The design was to include a larger payload area for stores or troops and the requirement called for a standardization of vehicle parts to be easily interchangeble, The front of the 6x6 Dodge WC62 was typical Dodge, but the rear body was lengthened by 1.24 m (49 in) and a third axle was added.

The third axle allowed the doubling of payload compared with the ³/4-ton Dodge. Other considerations besides interchangeability were envisaged during production, with the need for new military trucks increasing as the war drew on and it was decided that rather than design a specific new truck this type of adapted vehicle would enable the production lines to complete vehicles at a much faster rate. The two types used the same engine, clutch, transmission, front axle, steering gear, wheels, brakes, tyres, radiator, fan and belt, windshield, seats and electrical system. Basically designed as infantry carriers, these vehicles found their way into all arms of the American forces, including the Army Air Force, During stringent military tests the vehicle proved to have excellent stability as a result of its low centre of gravity and wide-tread tyres. Six-wheel drive and high ground clearance enabled

A WC62 towing an anti-tankgun (the MI 57-mm adaptation of the British 6-pdr) halts in front of Munich city hall as the 7th Army moves through the city in April 1945.



The %-ton Dodge T214 was elongated to produce the WC62, both shown here with French troops in the south of France in August 1944.

the vehicle to give a good account of itself over really rough terrain. Production of the personnel carriers continued throughout the war, many being distributed to other nations including the Free French, who were eguipped almost entirely with American *matériel*. The majority of these vehicles were supplied with a complete canvas tilt, though a certain percentage was fitted with a pedestal gun mount on the passenger side of the cab to carry a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. Approximately 43,300 Dodge 6x6 trucks were produced between 1943 and 1945. Very few variants were developed on this chassis: one such was a scout car with an armoured shell fitted around the Dodge chassis and powerpknt; another was the mounting of twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns (on a Gun Mount M33) on the rear body. This model was developed and tested in 1943, but no further progress was



made. The 6x6 saw extensive use post-war until "the Korean War, after which the type became surplus as a result of wear and the introduction of new equipment. Today the WC62 is a much prized vehicle within the ranks

of vehicle preservation societies,

Specification Dodge WC62 Powerplant: one 68,6-kW (92-bhp) Dodge 6-cylmder petrol engine Dimensions: length 5.71 m(18ft 8,75in); width 1.91 m(6ft3.4in); height 2,21 m(7ft3in) Performance: speed 80 km/h (50 mph); radius 386 km (240 miles)

American medium trucks

When one thinks of US military medium trucks, the CMC $2^{1}/2$ -ton 6x6 immediately springs to mind. However, this type is discussed overleaf and other types are treated here.

USA

Semi-trailer tractors come into this category with designations from 2Vzton to 5-ton. These special-purpose vehicles were used to haul large trailers of all descriptions. The generalservice bodies were used in great numbers during the advance across Europe, proving extremely useful in such organized deployments as the 'Red Ball Express' route. Starting with some of the less publicized vehicles, the Autocar Model U4144T 4x 4 tractor was basically used in the USA, very few crossing the Atlantic and the US Army Air Force being a major user for the fuel bowser-towing role. Another early model, the CMC AFKX-502-8E COE tractor, was used to tow early horse box trailers for the cavalry. The CMC was powered by a 6-cylmder 91-kW (122-bhp) engine. Perhaps the two most popular and publicized tractors were the Autocar Model U7144T and the Federal 94x93, which were used in quite large numbers for haulage. The Autocar was used by artiaircraft units, These trailers were designed to use a front dolly wheel for use as full towing trailer, though when the trailer was coupled to the tractor the dolly could be towed behind the whole assembly. Early vehicles had fixed steel cabs, these later being changed to soft tops in line with most other American-produced military transport vehicles. Many soft-top vehicles were fitted with aring mount for a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. The

Studebaker produced almost 200,000 2 Vz-ton trucks, similar to the CMC 6x6, but more than half of that production went to the Soviet Union under Lend-lease. Many were produced with the Studebaker commercial-type closed cab. Federal model was used in the same basic way, the power unit for this type being the Hercules 6-cylinder RXC engine. In the 4-ton cargo range the FWD

In the 4-ton cargo range the FWD HARI saw extensive service with American, British and Canadian forces. It was powered by a Waukesha GB2 6-cylinder engine. Many of the trucks were instrumental in hauling supplies along the Allied supply line from Persia to the USSR. One interesting deployment of the FWD in British service was its use to tow mobile smoke generators. The RAF used the truck as mobile power supply vehicles and as snow ploughs, the latter being fitted with a Bros rotary plough, for which the rear body was replaced by a large Climax R6 petrol engine unit. Transmission of power to the plough was twofold, first by V-belts to the rotary parts then through transmission shafts to the rotor assembly with a chain drive for final power to the rake.

Diamond T supplied a 6x6 medium truck, the Diamond T 968, this being one of the US Army's cargo trucks until the end of the war. Variants included tipper, map reproduction, wrecker and bitumen tank vehicles. A total of 10,551 was built, and a further 2,197 were supplied as long- and shortwheelbase vehicles (cab and chassis) for fitment of special engineering bodies. These were supplied to many other countries during and after World War II



Specification Diamond T968 Powerplant: one 79-kW (106-bhp) Hercules RXC6-cylinderpetrol engine Dimensions: length 6.82 m (22 ft 4.5 in

Dimensions: length 6.82 m (22 ft 4.5 in); width 2.44m (8.0ft); height 3.01 m (9ft 10.5 in) Weights: unladen 8357 kg (18,424 lb) and laden 11939 kg (26,320 lb) The attack transport William Tilghman is loaded for the Allied armies in north west Europe. Visible are both major types ofmedium truck, including the cab-over-engine (COE)AFKWX6x6, alsomadeby CMC.

Performance: maximum speed 64 km/h(40mph); radius 266 km(165 miles)



Light Vehicles

World War II saw much in the way of innovation, and one of the major changes involved the vastly increased mobility of armies. For the first time, whole formations were motorized, and supporting the fighting troops were a host of vehicles of many types.

n recent years a wealth of In recent years a main published about armoured fighting vehicles, but relatively little has appeared on the ubiquitous 'B¹ vehicle, the unarmoured vehicle designed specifically for military use, without which modern armies would be unable to move. On the Allied side, there was almost total reliance upon US production: Britain had lost a major proportion of its 'B' vehicles with the retreat from Dunkirk; and the Soviet Union, in evacuating its industry to the east before the rapid German advance, had concentrated its industrial might upon AFV production, relying almost entirely upon US Lencl-Lease vehicles for logistical and support functions.

All nations had gone to great efforts to achieve standardization and to reduce the variety of vehicle types to a minimum. In the Allies' case this was not difficult; the USA managed to restrict its unarmoured vehicle programme to a very limited number of types (six basic classes), and the fact that it was the principal contributor to Allied production facilitated widespread standardization with major advantages in maintenance and resupply. The Germans also had begun the war with a standardization



British SAS soldiers in an unarmoured but well-armed jeep on patrol in North Africa in early 1943. Jeeps were ideal for staging hit-and-run raids and reconnaissance missions.

programme, introducing the Einheit (standard) or 'E' vehicles, each class of which had several manufacturers producing models that were built to the same specification. Unfortunately for them, these vehicles suffered from severe mechanical reliability problems, were complex to service and maintain, and could not be easily mass-produced in the quantities required; so civilian models had to be adopted. As the Germans occupied country after country, they gathered more and more vehicles, and by the time the Soviet campaign was well under way there were some 1500 different types of unarmoured vehicle in German service. This made maintenance and resupply a nightmare, and contributed as a significant factor to the eventual German defeat. Towards the end of the war the Germans completed a new standardization programme (the Schell Programme) under which the famous Volkswagen Kubel and Opel-Blitz lorry bore most of the brunt of the requirements. By this time, however, it was far too late.



Kraftfahrzeug2(Slower40)

During 1934 the Germans made the first attempts to create standardized (*Einheit*) vehicles for the Wehrmacht. Until this time vehicles employed for cross-country work had been based on commercial designs or were conversions of them. The new army motorization programme placed great emphasis upon the design of vehicles from not only technical but also operational considerations. A new system of Kfz (*Kraftfahrzeug*, or motor vehicle) numbers was introduced, whereby numbers were allotted to vehicles (irrespective of make or model) to denote their tactical or military function, With few exceptions, for the vehicles covered here these Kfz numbers were broken down into the following classes: 1 to 10 covered n.Pkw (*leichter Personenkraftwagen*, or ight personnel carrier); 11 to 20 covered m.Pkw (*mittlerer Personenkraftwagen*, or medium personnel carrier); and 21 to 30 covered s.Pkw (*schwerer Personenkraftwagen*, or heavy personnel carrier).

GERMANY

The 1.Pkw was *a* standard vehicle irrespective of its models or manufacturers, with the exception of the engine, which was always that of the manufacturer and commercially available, The engine was made by Stöwer, BMW and Hanomag from 1936 onwards. The Slower model (Kraftfahrzeug 2) used AW2 and R180W watercooled 4-cylinder OHV petrol engines withdry-sumplubrication. The chassis was of normal type with a frame of rectangular section, side- and crossmembers, and bracing to support the engine, transmission and body. The hood was hinged down the centre and fastened on each side by two clips. The chassis was used for the 4-seater light car (Kfz 1) and for a variety of other special-purpose vehicles,

Volkswagen Kübel

One of the most famous military cars of World War 2 was the Volkswagen Kübel, the German Jeep, During 1933 Hitler had instructed two car designers (Dr Porsche of Auto-Union and Werlin of Mercedes-Benz) to develop a 'people's car' (Volkswagen). The basic Volkswagen took shape on Porsche's drawing board as early as 1934. In 1936 the first design for a Volkswagen cross-country appeared, designated Volkswagen Typ 62. When the decision was reached that the only new personnel carrier to be employed by the Wehrmacht would be the Volkswagen, serving as the standard light passenger car for all arms, design changes were requested resulting in the Typ 82. During 1938 work was undertaken on the Volkswagen plant at Wolfsburg, and production began in March 1940.

The vehicle was designed for lightness and ease of manufacture. Built as cheaply as possible, it comprised components of simple design. Generally, the layout was very similar to that of the Jeep. The method of suspension, together with the use of a self-locking differential, gave it remarkably good cross-country performance. After initial problems, the 998-cc Volkswagen Typ 1 4-cylinder HIAR air-cooled engine soon became one of the most reliable powerplants ever. With its excellent automotive qualities and simple Made from 1936, the Kfz 2 was a standard body design based on mechanical components of several manufacturers. The S tower 40 was a 4x4 design, and, as here, was often the basis for radio cars.



Specification Kfz 2 (Slower 40) Dimensions: length 3.58 m (11 ft 9 in); width 1.57 m (5 ft 2 in); height 1.78 m (5 ft 10 in); wheelbase 2.24 m (7 ft 4 in) Weight: net 1815 kg (4,001 lb) Powerplant: one Slower AW2 or R1SOW 4-cylinder OHV petrol engine developing 50 bhp (37.3 kW) Transmission: five forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 5.50x18 (metric)

A Luftwaffe Kfz 2 in the desert. The JunkersJu 87 'Stukas'are just returning from a mission, as shown by their bombless condition.



maintenance level, the vehicle fully met the high demands of military use, especially in the desert and USSR. The military version had a touring body of sheet metal with a folding top, Four doors were provided, and weather protection was afforded by a folding canvas hood and side screens. The body panels were mostly of 18-gauge stampings. Tubular struts were used as the basic structural members of the body. The engine cylinders were of 'H' form and laid flat at the bottom of the rear to support the engine and trans-

mission, and the steel floor on each side of the central member supported the body. The front axle consisted of steel tube which housed the two torsion bars of the suspension. At each side of the differential were universal joints providing centres about which the two rear driving axles could articulate, and the rear wheels were stabilized laterally from the differential housing. The auxiliary gearboxes in each rear wheel brought the two halfshafts higher and so gave a greater ground clearance, There was independent suspension on all four wheels, and double-action hydraulic shockThè ubiquitous Kübel (bucket) served wherever the German armies were. Allied/German production con trasts are underlined by the fact that only 55,000 ofthesehandy vehicles were produced from 1940, as compared with 600,000-plusJeeps produced from 1941-5.

absorbers controlled the movement of the rear springing. The steering gear and connectors were of conventional type, The brakes were mechanical, cable-operated, and had double lever action on the brake shoes. Transmission was through a single-plate clutch

\$

gearbox. An overdrive was incorporated in fourth gear. The fuel tank was located below the instrument panel, facing the front right-hand seat.

This vehicle was also designed with an enclosed body, designated Typ 92. All models built from March 1943 had a larger engine (1131-cc capacity). By the end of the war some 55,000 Typ 82s had been produced (production ceased in mid-1944). To accommodate the various bodies required, an order was issued on 2 August 1940 demanding widening of the chassis by between 6 and 8 cm (2.36 and 3.15 in) in what became the Typ 86, The normal Kübelwagen was not very successful in the desert and so the *Tropenfest* (tropical) version was developed with numerous changes including the use of larger sand tyres. Volkswagen Kübels used in Africa were often referred to as Deutsches Kamel (German camel). There were numerous special-purpose models of the Volkswagen Kübel, many of them adopted by the Wehrmacht.



Before the introduction of the standard (Einheit) vehicles, the German army made extensive use of commercial cars as a means of motorizing the various arms and services. The Auto-Union/Horch Typ 830 was one of the many commercial passenger car chassis fitted with various military bodies between the late 1920s and early 1930s. V-8 powerplants with a capacity of 3, 3.2 and 3.5 litres were installed. Since only the rear wheels were driven, larger tyres and different rearaxle ratios helped to increase the types' cross-country performance. The vehicles saw action in most theatres of war, the majority of them fitted with open superstructures and used as prime movers for light infantry guns, as well as radio communications vehicles. The signal troops also used a variety of enclosed van-type bodies. The Kfz 11 was a closed-bodied com-munications or radio vehicle based on this chassis with two seats and a boot. The closed body was often made of wood. Later production models were fitted with sheet-metal doors and re-movable side windows. Eventually production was discontinued in favour of the medium standard cross-country personnel carrier built from 1937 onwards by Horch and, after 1940, by Opel.

Specification

Specification Kfz 11 (Auto-Union/Horch Typ 830) Dimensions: length 4.80 m (15 ft 9 in); width 1.80 m (5 ft 11 in); height 1.85 m (6 ft 1 in); wheelbase 3.20 m (10 ft 6 in) Weight: net 990 kg (2,183 lb) Powerplant: one Horch V-82,98-litre petrol engine developing 70 bhp (52.2 kW) Transmission; 7E A phon with four

Fransmission: ZFAphonwithfour forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 6.0x18 (metric)

The Kfz 11 saw action in Poland as a personnel carrier. Infantry who were not lucky enough to get places in the cars or trucks had to make do with bicycles or their own two feet.

Specification olkswagen Kübel

Dimensions: length 3,73 m(12 ft 3 in); Dimensions: length 3, 7 sm (121(3)ff); width 1,60 m (5 ff 3in); height 1,35 m (4 ft 5 in); wheelbase 2.39 m (7 ft 10 in) Weight: net 635 kg (1,400 lb) Powerplant: one Volkswagen Typ 14-cylinder HIAR 998-cc petrol engine developing 24 bhp (17.9 kW), or from March 1943 one Volkswagen 4-cylinder 1131-cc petrol engine developing 25 bhp (18.6 kW) Transmission: limited slip differential Transmission: limited-slip differential giving four forward and one reverse gears, with overdrive on fourth gear Tyres: 5.25x16

Kübels were not at first successful in the desert, so a Tropenfest (tropical) version was developed. Changes were numerous, including the use of sand tyres, and the altered model came to be known as the 'German camel'

Kraftfahrzeug 11 (Auto-Union/Horch Typ 830)



The Horch Typ 830 was one ofmany commercial designs fitted with military bodies in the 1930s. Originally used as a troop carrier and radio car, the vehicle saw action in most theatres.





The Kfz 15 mittlerer geländegängiger Personenkraftwagen (m. gì. Pkw, or medium cross-country personnel car-rier) was used as a communications *(Fernsprech)* or radio *(Funk)* car. It had an open 4-seater body and a boot, and it was fitted with a towing hook The vehicle was powered by a V-8 engine. Commercial chassis used for this role were: in 1933-8 the Horch 830 and 830B1, in 1937-9 the Wanderer W23S, and in 1938-40 the Mercedes-Benz 340.

The Mercedes-Benz 340, a larger version of the 320, was powered by a 3.5-litre engine and had a very long wheelbase, which tended to impair its cross-country performance. Like the Kfz 11 described above, production of this vehicle was discontinued in favour of the medium standard (*Einheit*) cross-country personnel carrier, This latter vehicle differed basically from the light model (described under Kfz 2, or Stöwer 40) in that the rear wheels were not steerable. As before, however, all-wheel-drive was used. The chassis was a conventional type used for staff cars, radio vehicles and other specialized types. Depending upon the manufacturer, the engine had a swept volume of between 2.9 and 3,5 for most models, the few exceptions being equipped with an Opel type.

Specification Kfz 15 (Mercedes-Benz 340) Ni 15 (Mercedes-Benz 540) Dimensions: length4.44 m(14ft7in); width 1.68 m(5ft6in); height 1.73 m (5ft8in); wheelbase 3.12 m(10ft3in) Weight: net 2405 kg (5,302 lb) Powerplant: one Mercedes-Benz 6cylinder petrol engine developing 90 bhp (67.1 kW)

GERMANY 59 Daimler-Benz G 5

During 1925-6 the first proposals were considered for the development of specialized vehicles for the Reichswehr (predecessor of the Wehrmacht). Among others, a requirement was laid down for a fully cross-country personnel carrier. This was to have six seats and use a six-wheeled chassis with more than one driven axle. The development of such a vehicle was taken up by the firms of Horch-Werk AG of Zwickau, Daimler-Benz AG of Stuttgart and Selve Automobilwerk AG of Hamelin, each of them supplying several models for trial purposes. The first Daimler-Benz model, designated Daimler-Benz G I, several of which were produced between 1926-8, were powered by a 50-hp M03 6-cylinder engine. This had the drive taken to the four rear wheels. The unladen weight was 1200 kg (2,645 lb), and the payload was 1000kg (2,205 lb). Daimler-Benz alone continued development of the three-axled personnel carrier. Be-tween 1933 and 1934 it produced a small number of its G 4 model. This was a vehicle widely known for its use by Hitler, although it was never suited to military usage, It had a poor cross-country performance and was too large, too heavy and too expensive. Between 1933 and 1934 57 were built and these were almost exclusively employed by high officials of the Nazi party and the general staff; one was mod-ified as a communications vehicle for



Above; The Mercedes-Benz 340 was not the ideal vehicle upon which to base the Kfz 15 body, as the long wheelbase impaired cross-country ability in spite offour-wheel drive. Even so, many were used as radio cars, staff cars and other special types.

Right: ThefallofTobrukinJune 1942 was a shock to the British, and soon the town square was filled with a motley collection of Afrika Korps vehicles, including this Mercedes 340 ambulance.

Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 6.5x20 (metric)





use by Hitler during his field trips. With the development of the Einheit series of personnel carriers, Daimler-Benz, which appears to have been neglected in the share-out of production contracts for these vehicles, prepared a model of its own as a private venture, The Daimler-Benz G 5 was basically orientated to the production model by Auto-Union AG. Between 1937 and 1941 378 were built, although only a few were actually adopted by the Wehrmacht. The vehicle had four-

wheel drive and steering. A few were fitted with elaborate superstructures for desert travel.

Specification

Daimler-Benz G 5 Damersions: length 4,52 m (14 ft 10 in); width 1.70m(5ft7in); height 1,80m (5 ft 11 in); wheelbase 2.79 m (9 ft 2 in) Weight: net 1630kg (3,593 lb) Powerplant: one Mercedes-Benz 6cylmder petrol engine developing 90 hp.(67 kW)

A powerful vehicle, with four-wheel drive and four-wheel steering, the Daimler-Benz G5 was designed as a Private venture tomeet the Wehrmacht Einheit specification. Few of the 378 built actually saw army service.

TIM

Transmission: five forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 5.50x18 (metric)



Car, Heavy Utility, 4X2, Ford C11ADF

The Ford C 11 ADF Heavy Utility Car was a commercial Canadian vehicle, based on the 1942 Ford Fordor Station Wagon, adopted for military use with only minimal changes. Canadian Ford produced the vehicle mainly for the British army, although several were used by the Canadian army. The type used extensively in the Western De-sert and Italy by HO staffs. The military version had right-hand drive (for the UK), heavy-duty tyres, black-out eguipment, simplified and streng-thened bumpers, internal rifle racks, a map-container, first-aid and medical kit, radio-interference suppression, fire-extinguishers, entrenching tools and other standard fittings, including a removable roof rack. In addition to the driver the all-steel body had seating for five passengers, two in front and three on the single bench-type rear seat. Access was via four doors. In addition there was a full-width rear door split horizontally and hinged top and bottom so that the lower portion formed a tailboard.

A similar vehicle, seating seven pas-sengers and designated Ford C 11A 5, was also used, this having lighter tyres and axles and making use of the luggage space for the additional two seats.

Another Ford Heavy Utility Car was essentially the same as the C 11 ADF but based on the 1941 production chas-sis. Weighing 91 kg (200 lb) more than

its predecessors, this variant had a slightly different estate car body and front radiator grill, Some of these vehicles had roof hatches added and jerry and water can racks fitted externally.

Specification Car, Heavy Utility, 4X2, Ford C 11 ADF Dimensions: length4,93 m(16ft2in); width2.01 m(6ft?in); height 1.83 m (6 ft 0 in); wheelbase 2.90 m (9 ft 6 in) Weight: net 1814 kg (4,000 lb) Powerplant: one Ford mercury V-8 3,91-litre petrol engine developing 95bhp(70.8kW) Transmission: three forward and one

reverse gears Tyres: 9,00x 13 for C 11 ADF, and 6.00x13forCl1AS

Heavy utility cars were of two major types, those of military design and those converted from civilian models. The C11 was developed from a commercial station wagon model, and was used extensively in the right-hand drive version by the British, in a variety o frôles, and equippedHQ Staffs in Italy and the Desert.

USA

US Army '/2-ton trucks were provided by a number of manufacturers including Dodge, Chevrolet, Diamond T, Ford, Marmon-Herrington and CMC. The //2-ton 4x4 vehicle was originally developed by the Marmon-Herrington' Company of Indianapolis in July 1936, During the conductors of the During the early stages of the war most of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -ton 4 x 2 vehicles were slightly modified civilian models and were re-tained for the home front. With the development of the war, standard tactical chassis began to be adopted to super-

USA

Truck, Vfe-ton, 4X4, Weapons Carrier, Dodge T207-WC3

sede these earlier models. As regards the Truck, Va-ton 4X4, Chrysler's Dodge Division began mass-production during 1939. The original T202 series used many commercial components, and 4,640 of all types were manufactured by Dodge, most of there are manufactured by Dodge. them as command reconnaissance cars and light trucks. The Truck, Vz-ton, 4x4, Weapons Carrier, Dodge T207-WC3wasintroducedin1941, and replaced all previous models then in use. It became one of the basic trans-

portation means for all arms and ser-vices. The vehicle was open-topped with dismountable bows and a canvas tilt, As with most other Dodge trucks, a spare wheel was carried on the offside of the vehicle.

Specification

Truck, Vz-ton, 4X4, Weapons Carrier, Dodge T207-WC3 Dinge 1207-1103 Dimensions: length4.60m(15ft1in); width1.93m(6ft4in); height2,24m (7 ft 4 in); wheelbase 2,95 m (9 ft 8 in) Weight: net 2014 kg (4,440 lb) Powerplant: one Dodge 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 85 bhp (63.4 kW)

Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 7.50x16

Truck, Va-ton, Ambulance, 4X4, Dodge T215-WC27

In the !/2-ton range Dodge was the sole producer to US Army contracts. The first contract for 14,000 Vfc-ton 4x4 trucks was placed with Dodge in mid-1940. The basic chassis was a slight modification of the normal commercial vehicle to incorporate a new transfer gearbox and forward transmission to cater for the four-wheel drive requirement. The basic chassis was employed for numerous roles including command, command reconnaissance, radio, weapons carrier and Truck, Vz-ton, Ambulance, 4x4, Dodge T215-WC27. Depending upon the role, they had the option of a fixed bodywork or open cab. The ambulance version had the former and the command, command reconnaissance, radio and weapons carrier versions, the latter. These earlier US military pattern 4x4 trucks were superseded in 1942 by a range of wider and more robust body types (%-ton) with a lower silhouette and shorter wheelbase, also built by Dodge. By this time 82,000 Va-ton trucks had been built, These left-hand drive vehicles were built by the

Dodge trucks were adapted to serve as ambulances, with sheet steel bodies accommodating up to four stretcher cases. Early versions had enclosed cabs as shown, but later reverted to the open cab of the weapon carrier version.





A ¹/2-tonDodge4x4, theT215model, preceded the ³A-ton T214in 1941. It was at this time that the Dodge

Dodge Brothers Corporation Division of the Chrysler Corporation of Amer-ica and also, in a modified form, in Canada. The International M-1-4 range was similar in layout but produced solely for the US Marine Corps and US Navy. A great number of these Dodge Va-ton trucks were supplied to the UK

division of Chrysler became the large-scale producer of such vehicles for the US forces.

and the USSR under the Lend-Lease programme.

Specification Truck, V2-ton, Ambulance, 4X4, DodgeT215-WC27 Dimensions: length 4.67 m (15 ft 4 in); width 1.93 m (6 ft 4 in); height 2.13 m



(7 ft 0 in); wheelbase 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in) Weight: net 2046 kg (4,510 lb) Powerpiant: one Dodge T215 6cylinder petrol engine developing 92bhp(68,6kW) Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 7,50x16

The Dodge T215 WC 23 was a V_2 -ton command and reconnaissance vehicle of the same family as the T207. The 1941 pattern vehicles are identifiable from the heavier 1942 models by their sloping bonnet.

Truck, %-ton, 4X4, Command Reconnaissance, Dodge T214-WC56

Introduced during 1942, the Dodge %-ton 4x4 range of light trucks super-seded the original !/2-ton 4x4 range. Both Ford and Dodge, previously the main suppliers of Va-ton 4x4 vehicles, each produced prototypes for US Army evaluation: these were slightly wider and lower than their predecessors, had larger wheels and tyres, and possessed stronger suspensions. The Dodge version was selected and Dodge version was selected and officially introduced during June 1942 when production started into full swing. As with the Vz-ton vehicles there were several special body types. The Dodge T214 series com-prised the WC51 weapons carrier, WC52 weapons carrier with winch, WC53 general-purpose and field com-mand vehicle, WC54 ambulance, WC5537-mmGunMotorCarriageM6, WC56commandreconnaissance vehi-cle, WC57 command reconnaissance with winch, WC58 radio vehicle, WC59 light maintenance and installation vehicle, WC60 emergency repair vehicle, WC61 telephone mainten-ance and installation vehicle, and WC64 ambulance. Generally, the vehicles in this series were referred to as Beeps' (contraction of Big Jeeps), The WC51 weapons carrier was used principally to transport personnel, cipally to transport personnel, weapons, tools and other eguipment. It had an open body with a canvas tilt and canvas side-screens. The WC53 was fitted with a 'safari' type body with rear side doors, a map table, special seats and internal lighting. The WC56 com-mand reconnaissance was the most common variant, and was used for re-connaissance and liaison, and as a staff car for high-ranking officers. It was fitted with map-boards and had a de-tachable canvas top and side-screens.

106

Specification Truck, ³/4-ton, 4x4, Command Reconnaissance, Dodge T214-WC56 Dimensions: length 4.24 m (13 ft 11 in); width 1.99 m (6 ft 6.5 in); height 2.07 m (6 ft 9.5 in); wheelbase 2.49 m (8 ft 2 in) Weight: net 2449 kg (5,400 lb)

Powerplant:oneDodgeT2146cylmder petrol engine developing 92bhp(68.6kW) Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 9.00x16

> Above:Superseding the T207; WC3, the T214 range of trucks were ³A-ton vehicles. Introduced early in 1942, they were in full production by June. Used to transport personnel, weapons, tools and equipment, the T214 range were sometimes known as 'Beeps'(BigJeeps).

Below: Dodge T214s can still be found in use in many parts of the world, a tribute to the vehicle's sturdy design. The command and reconnaissance version, the WC53, was used in much the same way as thejeep.

Eorry,8cwt,4X2,FFW,Humber

Just before the outbreak of war in 1939 Just before the outbreak of war in 1939 the British army was in the process of intensive mechanization, and several classes of load capacity had been de-fined for 'B' vehicles. The second class was the 8-cwt truck which fulfilled such roles as the OS (General Service) and FFW (Fitted For Wireless). Such 8-cwt trucks with both 4x2 and 4x4 wheel arrangements were produced in considerable numbers from a period just before the war, but were eventually phased out of production in order to rationalize output and reduce the number of types in service. The 5-cwt and 15-cwt classes could carry out any duties that had been allocated to the 8-cwt class. These vehicles were manufactured by Ford, Morris and Humber. Similar in appearance, these vehicles had detachable well-type bodies with seating for three men (two facing offside and one nearside) and canvas tills, though the wireless ver-sion had seating for two men only. Folding legs were fitted which en-abled the body to be placed on the ground for use as a mobile command centre or wireless station. The Humber 8-cwt Lorry early production vehicles employed the chassis of the original 1939 Humber Snipe saloon with louvres in the bonnet sides. The Lorry, 8-cwt, 4X4, FFW incorporated the No. 11 wireless set, a map table and other fittings necessary for command opera-tions. The wireless batteries could be recharged from a generator driven off the main engine, The OS model had the same body but lacked the radio equipment.

Specification Lorry,8cwt,4x2,FFW,Humber Dimensions:length4.44m(14ft7in);

The early production version of the Humber 8-cwt lorry was based on the chassis of the pre-war Humber Snipe saloon, and could be identified by the louvres in the bonnet sides. Later these were omitted, as shown here

width 1,96 m (6 ft 5 in); height 1.89 m (6 ft 2,5 in); wheelbase 2,84 m (9 ft 4 in) Weight: net 1769 kg (3,900 lb) Powerplant: one Humber 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 85 bhp (63.4 kW)

Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 9.00x13

Aight: The Humber FFW (Fitted for Wireless) was an 8-cwt 4x2 truck with seating for two wireless operators in the body. The body was detachable for use on the ground as a wireless station or as a command centre.





😹 Čar, Heavy Utility, 4X4 (FWD), Humber

Together with the Ford 4x2 Heavy Utility, the Humber Heavy Utility Car was the basic staff and command car of the British army during World War II at all levels of command. Nicknamed the Humber 'Box', this was the only Britishbuilt four-wheel drive utility car, and production began during May 1941, continuing for the duration of the war. Employed on a very wide scale, this staff car remained in service until the late 1950s. The cab and body were integral and of all-steel construction, and later models were fitted with a sliding roof. The body was a six-seater with four individual seats and, at the rear, two tip-up occasional seats which could be folded down to leave the body clear for stowage: there was a folding map table behind the front seats, There were two hinged doors on each side with a full-width double door arrangement at the rear. The front mudguards, radiator grill and bonnet were identical to those of the Humber 8-cwt 4×4 chassis, In the Western Desert this vehicle was sometimes mod-ified by replacement of the roof by a canvas folding tilt. Some vehicles,

A four-wheel-drive estate car, the Humber Heavy Utility was the basic staffand command car in British service, and remained so for some years after 1945. It was the only



especially those used by high-ranking officers, were also fitted with a sliding roof,

Specification Car, Heavy Utility, 4X4 (FWD), Humber Dimensions: length 4.29 m (14 ft 1 in); width 1.88 m (6 ft 2 in); height 1.96 m (6 ft 5 in); wheelbase 2.84 m (9 ft 3.75 in) Weight: net 2413 kg (5,320 lb) Powerplant: one Humber 6-cylinder 1-L-W-F 4.08-litre petrol engine developing 85 bhp (63.4 kW) Transmission: four forward and one reverse gear with auxiliary two-speed Tyres: 9.25x16

Fitted with a folding map table, the Humber Heavy Utility was used mainly as a staff car. Some were given folding canvas tops for operations in North Africa. Staff officers occasionally had forward sliding roofs fitted.





The Morris Company produced a whole range of vehicles for the British army, one of the most successful being the Morris C8 Artillery Tractor (popularly known as the Quad). Introduced in 1939, this vehicle had four-wheel drive and was equipped with a 4-ton winch driven from the transfer case. It had a distinctive beetle-shaped body and usually a towed limber and 18- or 25-pdr gun/howitzer. As far as the army was concerned the vehicles built for gun-towing had to have the same characteristics as the horse-drawn gun carriage team which they replaced, such as good cross-country performance, seating for the gun crew, and adequate stowage space for equipment and ammunition. They were al-ways manned by artillerymen. In this vehicle there was accommodation for the driver, gun-crew commander and five men. The final model, introduced in 1944, was automotively identical but had a new body (no longer beetle-shaped) with an open top and canvas tarpaulin cover. This was introduced as a dual-purpose vehicle to tow the 17-pdr anti-tank gun or the 25-pdr gun/howitzer, and could now seat eight men including the driver, Two doors were provided on each side. At the rear of the body ammunition racks were installed to take all types of standard British artillery ammunition. This vehicle remained in service until the 1950s, The original vehicle was powered by a Morris 4-cylinder petrol engine and the gearbox had five forward and one reverse gear driving all four wheels. When the C8 Mk III version was introduced, however, four-wheel drive could be disengaged except in first gear and reverse.

Morris was one of the many suppliers of GS pattern trucks, and the C84x2 was one of the major types lost in numbers at Dunkirk. Some were used in the desert, however. The C8 was eventually upgraded to fourwheel drive.



Specification Tractor, Artillery4X4, MorrisC8Mk III

Dimensions: length 4.49 m (14 ft 8.75 in); width 2.21 m (7 ft 3 in); height 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in); wheelbase 2.51 m (8 ft 3 in) Weight: net 3402 kg (7,500 lb) Powerplant: one Morris 4-cylinder 3.5litre petrol engine developing 70 bhp (52.2kW) Transmission: five forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 10,5x16 Above: The C8 Artillery Tractors were originally four-wheel-drive versions of the C8 trucks. The MkIII, introduced in 1944-5, hadacanvas top and square contour body.




During 1935 the War Office carried out trials with new lorry models, and the Bedford Truck Division of Vauxhall Motors Ltd submitted various prototype vehicles. One of these was a modification of the commercial 2-ton lorry with rear-wheel drive, Following the trials the vehicle was fitted with a new radiator and larger tyres, After further trials in 1936 the chassis was modified to increase the ground clearance and a new engine cooling system was incorporated. In 1937aspecial-totype Bedford WD prototype was produced on this chassis, rated at 15-cwt payload capacity, The most noticeable feature was the flat full-width bonnet necessitated by the extra-large airfilter specified by the War Mechanisation Board, During 1938 a more powerfulengine was used. An initial orderfor 2,000 Bedford 15-cwt Truck vehicles was placed in August 1939, the first 50 being constructed as special portée vehicles to carry the 2-pdr anti-tank gun. Originally, the vehicle had an open cab with folding windscreen and collapsible canvas tilt, but from 1943 an enclosed cab with side-doors, canvas top and perspex side screens was adopted. By the endofthe war Bedford had produced a total of 250,000 vehicles, a large proportion of which were this model. The vehicle remained in service with the British army until the late 1950s. Although intended mainly



as a workhorse for the infantry, the Bedford 15-cwt GS eventually became used by all arms including the Royal Navy and the RAF.

Specification

Dimensions: length4.38 m (14 ft4,5 in); width 1.99 m (6 ft6.5 in); height 2.29 m (7 ft 6 in) with GS tilt and 1.93 m (6 ft 4 in) with GS tilt; wheelbase 2.51 m (8 ft 3 in)

Weight: net 2132 kg (4,700 lb) Powerplant: oneBedford6-cylinder OHV 3.5-litre petrol engine developing 72 bhp (53.7 kW) Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres:9.00x16 The 15-cwt class of truck was most important to the British army, numbers in use rising from 15,000 in 1939 to 230,000 in 1945. The Bedford MWD with CS body is typical ofa late-war 15-cwt 4x2 truck.



GAZ-67B light car

The GAZ-67 (named for the Gorkiy Avtomobil Zavod, or Gorky Car Fac-tory) was first manufactured in the Soviet Union during 1943 as a cross-country vehicle for the transportation of personnel and light equipment. It was obviously greatly influenced in design and construction by the US design and construction by the US Bantam Jeep (the USSR received some 20,000 Jeeps during World War II under the Lend-Lease programme). In particular the body and headlamp arrangement were very similar to those of the Bantam, The vehicle was powered by the Soviet Ford (GAZ) powered by the Soviet Ford (GAZ) Model A 4-cylinder side-valve engine, and the wheels, suspension and other automotive components were similar to those used on other GAZ cars, with the exception of the use of four-wheel drive, Suspension was through quar-ter-elliptic springs. The fuel tank was located below the dashboard. The vehicle was fitted out with four seats and was capable of speeds up to 90km/h (56 mph). Compared with the US Jeep it had very poor acceleration, The GAZ-67B differed from the original GAZ-67 in that it had a longer wheel-base (1.85m/6ft 0.75m as against1.27 m/4 ft 2 in). This model saw extensive service in Indo-China (where the only existing specimen in the West was captured by the French) and Korea. Production ceased in 1953, the role being taken over by the GAZ-69A, This class of light vehicle has always been used as the workhorse of the airborne divisions.

Specification GAZ-67B Dimensions: length 3.34 m (10 ft 11.33 in); width 1.68 m (5 ft 6 in); height 1.70 m (5 ft 7 in); wheelbase 1.85 m (6 ft 0.75 in)



Above: First made in 1943atCorky, the GAZ-67 was influenced by early Jeeps (20,000 having been sent under Lend-Lease). Simple and rather crude, the GAZ-67 was nonetheless strong anda good offroad perform er.

Right: The CAZ-67B saw extensive service in Korea, where this particular vehicle was captured, as well as being used in numbers during World War II. It was even tually replaced by the CAZ-69.

Weight: net 1220 kg (2,690 lb) Powerplant: one GAZ-A 4-cylinder 3.28-litre petrol engine developing 54 bhp (40.3 kW) Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 6,50x 16 or 7,00x 16 (metric)





ITALY

Autovettura Fiat 508 C.M.

Most light vehicles used by the Italian armed forces were of Fiat manufac-ture. Where the Germans had de-signed and produced several pseudomilitary vehicles before the war, so had the Italians, the Autovettura Fiat 508 C.M. being one of them. The Ita-lians referred to the type as a colonial vehicle, specially designed for use on rough terrain such as that encountered in Africa and Ethiopia. Also known as the Fiat 1100 Torpedo Militare, the Fiat 508 C.M. was the most prolific Italian military vehicle of World War II. Just before the war the Ispettorata della Motorizzazione (Inspectorate of Motor-ization) had requested development of a light, simple and robust vehicle capable of achieving high speeds on roads and reasonable performance cross country, with low production costs. As the result the Fiat Company de-veloped the Torpedo 508, derived from a similar civilian model, from which it differed in an increase in ground clearance, a reduction in the gearbox ratios and a special military body. The vehicle was built in substan-tial numbers and in various versions tial numbers and in various versions between 1939 and 1945, one of which was a special colonial model adapted to avoid ingress of sand and sinking in soft terrain (Modello 1100 Col.).

Specification Autovettura Fiat 508 C.M. Dimensions: length 3,35 m (11 ft 0 in); width 1.37 m(4ft6in); height 1.57 m (5 ft 2 in); wheelbase 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in) Weight: net 1065 kg (2,348 lb) Powerplant: one Fiat 108C 4-cylinder petrol engine developing 32 bhp 23.9 kW Transmission: four forward and one

reverse gears Tyres: 5.00x18 (metric)

JAPAN



Above: The Fiat 508 was developed from pre-war colonial designs, and like many Italian vehicles little was required to militarize a car designed for rough tracks in Libya and Eritrea.

Right:Based on the 'balilla'civilian model, the 508 C.M. was in production from 1939 to 1945. It was a simple vehicle, with reasonable cross-country performance and a high road speed.



Type 95 Scout Car (Kurogane Black Medal)

The Type 95 was a lightweight recon-naissance vehicle developed after the Manchunan Incident, which had indi-Manchunan Incident, which had indi-cated a real need for such a vehicle. Some 4,800 examples were built by Kurogane with variations in bodywork. This was about the only native vehicle of its type used by the Imperial Japanese Army. Most others were of American origin or patterned on American designs. The air-cooled en-gine was ideal for operations in Man-churia and northern China, where there was often a lack of unpolluted water and frequently very low temper-atures. Initial difficulties were experi-enced with the four-wheel drive and enced with the four-wheel drive and front universal joints, but these were eventually overcome. Special tyres, with heavy rubber treads, were prowith heavy rubber treads, were pro-vided for exceptionally difficult ter-rain. Power was supplied by a 4-stroke, 2-cylinder V-1-A-F 1399-cc air-cooled engine operating on petrol and developing a maximum of 33 bhp (24.6KW). The engine had a com-pression ratio of 5:1 and a removable cylinder head. Ignition was provided by a high-tension magneto with a 12-voltgenerator for charging the battery; a 12-volt electric starter motor was used. Oil pressure was maintained by used. Oil pressure was maintained by a gear-pressure feed pump, and a con-ventional fuel pump was used. There was a main fuel tank for 35 litres (7.7 Imp gal) and an auxiliary fuel tank of 4-litre (0.88-Imp gal) capacity. Fuel



consumption was stated to be 4 litres (0.88 Imp gal) per hour. A dry single-plate clutch was used. The foot-brakes were mechanical contracting with an emergency mechanical expanding type.

Specification Type 95 Scout Car Dimensions: length 3.38m(11ft1in);

width 1.52 m(5ftOin); height 1.68 m (5 ft 6 in); wheelbase 3.84 m (12 ft 7 in) Weight: net 1,100 kg (2,425 lb) Powerplant: one 2-cylinder 4-stroke V-1-A-Fpetrolengine developing 33 bhp (24.6 kW) Transmission: selective sliding type giving three forward and one reverse gears Tyres: 18x6

The four-wheel-drive Type 95 Scout car was one of the few unarmoured vehicles used by the Imperial Japanese Army that was not based on an American original. The 'Black Medal' was made in closed cab and truck versions in addition to the more usual convertible.

Self-Propelled Guns

Once Germany had demonstrated the new pace of armoured warfare, most belligerent nations began to develop fully mechanized divisions. Field guns were mounted on tank chassis and a new generation of armoured fighting vehicles was born. Selfpropelled guns became more important, and largely replaced towed artillery.

C elf-propelled artillery was very Smuch a product of the type of warfare that evolved during World War II: before 1939 self-propelled artillery scarcely existed (apart from a few trial weapons), but by 1943 it was used by all the combatant nations. The sudden rise of this new form of weapon can be attributed almost entirely to the impact of the battle tank on tactics, for warfare no longer took place at the speed of the marching soldier and the scouting horse, but at the speed of the tank. These swarmed all over Poland, France and eventually the Soviet Union, and the only way that the supporting arms, including the artillery, could keep up with them was to become equally mobile.

Many of the early self-propelled artillery platforms were simply conversions of existing tanks in order to mount artillery pieces, but the measure of conversion varied widely. Some were scarcely more than lash-ups to meet a hasty requirement or were built locally to suit a particular task. Others, however, were carefully designed from the outset and may be regarded as virtually new products. But two distinct trends can be discerned in the way self-propelled artillery was used in action. One school



Cossacks in German Waffen-SS service relax alongside a Sturmgeschütz III self-propelled gun, arguably the most famous of its type. The early versions had short-barrelled guns.

regarded mobile artillery as a simple adjunct to existing artillery doctrines, and this school designed and used the self-propelled platforms to deliver indirect supporting fire in the usual way. The other school regarded the mobile gun as a form of close-range direct-fire weapon to be used in close support of armour, and this

school was responsible for the assault gun. Today both types of weapon are extant, but in the West the modern accent is on the indirect-fire weapon and in the East it is on the close-support assault gun.

Only a selection of the many types of self-propelled artillery that proliferated between 1939 and 1945 can be found in this section. While some important types have been omitted, some 'one-offs' have been included to demonstrate the variety of design concepts that were attempted. The number and approaches of the different designs were enormous before 1945, but only relatively few models actually found their way into action. Most of these are covered here.



sIG 33 auf Geschützwagen

The German infantry battalions each had a small artillery complement of four 7.5-cm (2.95-m) light howitzers and two 15-cm (5.9-in) infantry howit-zers for their own local fire support. The 15-cm howitzer was known as the schwere Infantrie Geschütz 33 (sIG 33, or heavy infantry gun) and was a very useful and versatile weapon, but it was heavy and the only 'equipment' allocated to most infantry formations for the movement of the weapons were horse teams. Thus when an increasing degree of mechanization began to filter through the German army the sIG 33 was high on the list for consideration.

GERMANY

The first form of mobile sIG 33 was used during the French campaign of May 1940. It was one of the simplest and most basic of all the German selfpropelled equipments, for it consisted of nothing more than a sIG 33 mounted complete with carriage and wheels on to a turretless PzKpfw I light tank as the 15-cm sIG 33 auf Geschützwagen I Ausf B. Armoured shields were provided for the crew of four, and that was that. It was not a very satisfactory conversion as the centre of gravity was rather high and the chassis was overloaded. Moreover, the armour protec-tion was not good, and so in 1942 the PzKpfw II was the subject for conversion. This 15-cm sIG 33 auf Geschütz-wagen II ausf C SdKfz 121 conversion had the howitzer mounted low in the chassis, and was so successful that during 1943 a version with a lengthened hull was produced as the 15-cm sIG 33 auf Fgst PZKpfw II (Sf) Verlänget. The ex-Czech PZKpfw 38(t) was also converted to act as a sIG 33 carrier. In

1942 the first of a series of vehicles known collectively as the 15-cm sIG 33 (Sf) auf PzKpfw 38(t) Bison SdKfz 138 were produced. The first series had the sIG 33 mounted forward on the hull top behind an open armoured super-structure, and this weapon/vehicle arrangement proved to be so success-ful that it was formalized in 1943 by the production of a new version. This was a factory-produced model rather than a conversion of existing tanks and had

One of the first German selfpropelled conversions was the mounting of a 15-cmsIG 33 infantry howitzer onto the hull of a PzKpfw I light tank.

the vehicle engine mounted forward (instead of at the rear as originally located) this entailing the movement of the fighting compartment to the hull rear. This was the SdKfz 138/1 (SdKfz for *Sonder Kraftfahrzeug*, or special vehicle) and it was this vehicle that was retained as the German army's stan-dard sIG 33 carrier until the end of the war. The SdKfz 138/1 had a crew of four men including the driver, and 15 shells were carried on the vehicle. There was no room for more because the fighting compartment was rather restricted for space.

There was one other sIG 33 selfpropelled version, this time on a PzKpfw III chassis. This 15-cm sIG 33 auf PzKpfw III appeared in 1941 and used a large box superstructure on a PzKpfw III to house the sIG 33. This proved to be rather too much of a good thing, for the chassis was really too large for the weapon which could be easily carried by lighter vehicles. Thus production never got properly under way, being terminated after only 12 conversions had been made, These vehicles were used in action on the

Eastern Front. All the sIG 33 self-propelled equip-ments were used for their original role, i.e. the direct fire-support of infantry units in the field. Perhaps the most suc-cessful of these self-propelled car-nages were the Bison and the later SdKtz 138/1. Over 370 of the vehicles were produced, and they were still in production in late 1944.

Specification SdKfz 138/1 Type: self-propelled infantry-support howitzer Crew: 4

Weight: 11500kg(25,353lb) Powerplant: one Praga6-cylinder

\$ Wespe

GERMANY

Even as early as 1939 it was obvious that the days of the little PzKpfw II tank were numbered, for it lacked both armament and armour, However, it was in production and quite reliable, so when the need arose for self-propelled artillery the PzKpfw II was selected to be the carrier for the 10.5-cm (4,13-in) leFH 18 field howitzer. The conversion of the tank hull to carry the howitzerwasquitestraightforward, for the howitzer was mounted behind an open topped armoured shield towards the rear of the hull and the area where the turret had been was armoured over and the space used for ammunition stowage. Maximum armour thick-ness was 18mm (0.7 in).

ness was 18mm (0.7m). The result was the self-propelled howitzer known as the Wespe (wasp) though its full official designation was rather more cumbersome: leFH 18/2 auf Fgst Kpfw II (Sf) SdKfz 124 Wespe, but to everyone it was just the Wespe, tt was a very nonular little self. It was a very popular little self-propelled weapon that soon gained for itself a reputation for reliability and mobility. The first of them were based on the PzKpfw II Ausf F chassis and went into action on the Eastern Front during 1943. On this front they were used by the divisional artillery batteres of the Panzer and Panzergrenadier divisions. They were usually orga-nized into batteries of six howitzers with up to five batteries to an Abteilung (battalion). The Wespe was so successful in its

The Wespe was so successful in its artillery support role that Hitlerhimself made an order that all available PzKpfw II chassis production should be allocated to the Wespe alone, and the many other improvised weapons on the PzKpfw II chassis were drop-ped or their armament diverted to other chassis. The main Wespe con-struction centre was the Famo plant in Poland, and there production was so Poland, and there production was so rapid that by mid-1944 682 examples had been built. Some time around that

The SdKfz 124 Wespe was a purpose-built carrier for a 105-mm howitzer based on the chassis ofthePzKpfwII light tank. It was first used during 1942 and had a crew of five.





Taken from a German newsreel, this shot clearly shows how high and awkward the mounting of the 15-cm howitzer really was on thePzKpfwI chassis. The crew had only limited

petrol engine developing 111.9 kW

150hp) Dimensions: length 4.835 m (15 ft 10.4in); width 2.15m(7ft0.6in); height 2.4 m (7 ft 10.5 in) protection and stowage was minimal, but it provided the Germans with an indication of what would be required in future.

Performance: maximum road speed 35 km/h (21,75 mph); maximum road range 185 km (115 miles); fording 0.914 m (3 ft) Armament: one 15-cm (5.9-in) howitzer



date manufacture of the Wespe ceased, but not before 158 had been completed without howitzers; these vehicles had the gap in the armour plate for the howitzer sealed off, the space behind the armour being used for resupply ammunition needed by batteries in the front line.

space bernhult the amountion being used for resupply ammunition needed by batteries in the front line. A typical Wespe went into action carrying its crew of five, including the driver, and 32 rounds of ammunition. A Wespe battery was completely mobile, although some of the vehicles were soft-skinned trucks for carrying ammunition and other supplies. The forward observers were usually carried in light armoured vehicles although some batteries used ex-Czech or captured French tanks for this purpose. Fire orders were relayed back to the battery by radio, and from the battery fire command post the orders were further relayed to the gun positions by land lines, The howitzer carried on the Wespe was the standard 10.5-cm leFH 18 as used by towed batteries (although most were



Hummel

The self-propelled artillery vehicle that became known as the Hummel (bumble bee) was a hybrid combinings components of the PzKpfw III and PzKpfw IV tanks into a new vehicle known as the Geschützwagen III/IV. The first of these hybrids was produced during 1941 and used a leng-thened PzKpfw IV suspension and running gear combined with the final drive assemblies, track and transmission of the PzKpfw III. Onto this new hull was built an open superstructure formed with light armour plates, and two types of weapon were mounted. Vehicles intended for use as tank destroyers mounted a version of the 88-mm (3.46-in) anti-tank gun, but vehicles intended for use as self-propelled artillery mounted a special version of the 15-cm (5.9-in) FH 18 field how/tzer,

The FH 18 vehicle was the 15-cm Panzerfeldhaubitze 18M auf GW III/IV SdKfz 165 Hummel, and it formed the heavy field artillery element of the Panzer and Panzergrenadier divisions from 1942 onwards, The ordnance was known as the Panzerfeldhaubitz 18/1, and could fire a 43.5-kg (95.9-lb) projectile to a range of 13325m (14,572 yards). The first howitzers produced for the self-propelled role were fitted with large muzzle brakes, but experience demonstrated that these were not really necessary and were accordingly left off later production versions. Maximum armour thickness was 50mm (1.97 in).

amour of the armour thickness was 50mm (1.97 in). The Hummel had a crew of five, including the driver who sat in an armoured position forward, The provision of an armoured compartment for the driver alone was considered a luxury in war-production terms, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing more flat steel plates. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition so more had to be kept nearby and brought up when necessary. Trucks were often of little value for this task, so by late 1944 no less than 150 Hummels were produced fitted with muzzle brakes) and so used the same ammunition, They also had the same range of 10675m (11,675 yards),

Specification Wespe

Type: self-propelled field howitzer Crew: 5

Weight: 11000 kg (24,251ib) Powerplant: one Maybach 6-cylinder petrolengine developing 104.4 kW (140hp)

Dimensions:length4.81m(15ft9,4in)width2.28m(7ft5.75in);height2.3m (7 ft 6.6 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.85 mph); road range 220 km (137 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7,5 in)

Armament: one 105-mm (4,13-in) howitzerandone7.92-mm(0.31-in) MG34 machine-gun



This shot of a Wespe on the move shows that the top of the fighting compartment was open but protection was provided at therear.

Note how small the vehicle actually was compared to the stature of the gun crew in the compartment.



Above: The Hummel (bumble bee) was a purpose-built German vehicle that used components from both the PzKpfw III and IV. Used on all fronts, it was a successful weapon that remained in production until the war ended. Ithad a crew of five.

without the howitzer and the divided front armour plates replaced by a single plate. These vehicles were used as ammunition carriers for the Hummel batteries. By late 1944 no less than 666 Hummels had been produced and the type remained in production until the end of the war. They proved to be useful and popular weapons, and were used on all fronts. Special versions with wider tracks known as Ostkette were produced for use during the winter months on the Eastern Front, and the open superstructures were often covered with canvas tarpaulins to keep out the worst of the weather. The gun crew generally lived with the vehicle, so many Hummels were festooned not only with camouflage of all kinds but also with bed rolls, cooking pots and items of personal kit.

The Hummel was one of the Germans' best examples of purpose-built self-propelled artillery. It had plenty of



A battery offour Hummels stand ready for action on a Russian steppe in 1942. The closeness of the guns and the overall lack of concealment

room for the crews to serve the gun, and the carriage gave the howitzer the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions.

Specification Hummel Type: self-propelled howitzer Crew:5 Weight: 24000 kg (52,911 lb) demonstrates that the Luftwaffe had air superiority at this time, otherwise the guns would have been much more dispersed and camouflaged.

Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol engine developing 197.6 kW(265 hp) Dimensions: length 7.17 m(23 ft6.3 in)' width 2.87 m (9 ft5 in); height 2.81 m (9 ft 2.6 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 42 km/h (26.1 mph); road range 215 km (134 miles); fording 0.99 m (3 ft 3 in) Armament: one 15-cm (5.9-m) howitzer and one 7.92-mm (0.13-in) machinegun



The Waffenträger (literally weapons carrier) was a novel concept for the Germans when it was first mooted during 1942. The idea was that the Waffentrager was to be not so much a form of self-propelled artillery but a means of carrying an artillery piece in a turret into action, where it would be removed from the tank, emplaced, used in action, and picked up again when no longer required. The exact tactical requirement for this arrangement is still uncertain, for in 1942 the Panzer divisions were still dictating mobile warfare to all opponents and the need for a static artillery piece seems remote.

static artillery piece seems remote. Be that as it may, a series of eight vehicles known generally as Heuschrecke IVB (locust) were produced during 1942, These vehicles were converted PzKpfw IV tanks with a gantry at the rear to lift off the turret mounting a 10.5-cm (4.13-in) light field howitzer, The turret could be emplaced on the ground for action or it could be towed behind the vehicle on wheels carried on the rear specifically for this purpose; this arrangement allowed the vehicle to be used as an ammunition carrier for the turret.

The eight vehicles produced were no doubt used in action, for one of them was captured and is now to be seen in the Imperial War Museum in London, but at the time no more were requested. But by 1944 things had changed somewhat. The German army was everywhere on the defensive and anything that could hold up the advancing Allies was investigated. The Waffenträger concept came within this category, and more designs were initiated. One was an interim design in which a normal field howitzer, a 10.5-cm leFH 18/40, was carried in an armoured superstructure on top of a modified Geschützwagen III/IV (normally used for the Hummel), The howitzer could be fired from the vehicle, but it was also designed to be removed from the carrier using a block and tackle and mounted on the ground as a normal field piece once the wheels and carriage trails had been fitted. This design did not get far for it was overtaken by a series of design projects that were in turn overtaken by the end of the war. These late-1944 and early-1945 Waf-

These late-1944 and early-1945 Waffenträger all adopted the removable turret concept used in the 1942 Heuschrecke IVB. They had a variety of chassis, including both the modified PzKpfw IV and Geschützwagen III/IV. The artillery pieces involved ranged from 10.5-cm to 15-cm (5.9-m) howitzers. One that got as far as model form was to have carried either the 10.5-cm or 15-cm howitzer on a cruciform carriage that would have been used with the '43' series of weapons had they ever advanced further than the prototype stage. These howitzers were mounted in an open-backed turret, and could be fired from the carrier on from a ground mounting. They could also be towed behind the carrier on their field carriages, It was all rather complicated and overengineered as it involved the use of ramps and winches, and the concept was typical of many that never got to the hardware stage. But a few such equipments were built only to be overtaken by the end of the war, being broken up or scrapped in the post-war years.

Specification Heuschrecke IVB Type: self-propelled howitzer carrier Crew:5 Weight: 17000 kg (37,479 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach petrol engine developing 140.2 kW (188 hp) Dimensions: length 5.90 m (19ft4, 3 in); width 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); height 2,25 m (7 ft 4.6 in) Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 250 km

45 km/h (28 mph); road range 250 km (155 miles) Armament: one 10.5-cm (4.13-in)

howitzer

This Heuschrecke prototype was one in which a 105-mm field howitzer was carried on a chassis produced from PzKpfwIII and IV components in order for it to be lowered to the ground when at the firing position. The howitzer could be fired from the vehicle if required.



Karl series

The weapons known as Karl were originally devised as anti-concrete weapons for the demolition of the Maginot Line forts and other such fortified locations. They were produced during the 1930s following a great deal of mathematical and other theoretical studies carried out during the 1920s. Work on the actual hardware began during 1937, and the first equipment was ready by 1939,

GERMANY

twas ready by 1939, The Karl series must be regarded as being the largest self-propelled artillery weapons ever produced. There were two versions. One was the 60-cm Mörser Gerät 040 which mounted a 60cm (23.62-m) barrel and the other the 54-cm Mörser Gerät 041 which mounted a 54-cm (21.26-in) barrel. Both weapons fired special concretepiercing projectiles. The range of the Gerät 040 was 4500 m (4,921 yards) and that of the Gerät 041 6240m (6,824 yards). Both could penetrate between 2.5 and 3.5 m (8.2 and 11.5 ft) of concrete before detonating to produce maximum effect. These projectiles were massive items. The 60-cm shell weighed no less than 2170kg (4,784 lb), although a lighter version was also used, The 54-cm shell weighed 1250 kg (2,756 lb).

Both Karl weapons were massive, ponderous brutes, Although technically self-propelled, their mobility was limited by their sheer weight and bulk and the tracked carriages were meant for only the most local of moves. For long-distance travel they were carried slung between special railway trucks, Shorter moves were made by removing the barrel from the carnage and placing both the barrel and the carriage on separate special trailers towed by heavy tractors. Assembly

The Karl howitzers were intended to smash the Maginot Line forts, but were instead used against the Sevastopol defences and later against Warsawin 1944. They fired special anti-concrete projectiles that exploded only when they had penetrated their targets.



The Heuschrecke was one of a number of experimental German vehicles that were meant to carry an artillerypiece to a firing site and then lower the piece to the ground for firing. The Heuschrecke was the only one ofmany similar designs to be produced in any numbers.





and break-down was carried out using special mobile gantries. The whole process was difficult to an extreme, but the Karl weapons were not intended for mobile warfare. They were produced to reduce fortresses and that meant a long, planned approach to the firing site, a slow rate of fire (the best was one round every 10 minutes) and a steady withdrawal once the fortress had been reduced.

The Karls were too late for the Maginot Line, which fell along with the rest of France in 1940. Their first real en-gagement was the siege of Sevastopol in exactly their designed role. Following the successful end of that siege more Karls were used during the Warsaw uprising when they were used to demolish the centre of Warsaw and crush the Polish underground fighters. By then it was 1944. Most of the early

60-cm barrels had then been replaced by 54-cm barrels, but Warsaw was their last period in action, The increasing mobile warfare of the last year of the war gave the Karls no chance to demonstrate their destructive powers,



Despite their overall success, the StuG III assault guns were considered by 1943 as being too lightly armoured for the assault role, and a new heavy assault vehicle was required. The ex-isting 15-cm (5.9-in) sIG 33 self-propelled equipments lacked the armour protection required for the close-support role and so, with the PzKpfw IV tank gradually being re-placed by the Panther and Tiger tanks, there was the chance to produce a purpose-built vehicle using the later versions of the PzKpfw IV as a basis.

The first examples of this new vehi-cle appeared during 1943 under the designation *Sturmpanzer N Brumm-bär* (grizzly bear). The Brummbär used a box structure formed from slop-ing armour plates ext over the front of a ing armour plates set over the front of a turretless PzKpfw IV, and mounted a specially developed howitzer in a ball mounting on the front plate, This howit-zer was known as the Sturmhaubitze 43 zer was known as the Sturmhaubitze 43 and was a shortened version, only 12 calibres long, of the 15-cm sIG 33. Armour was provided all round (the frontal armour being 100 mm/2.54 in thick), so the crew of five men were well protected, Later stand-off side armour was added, and most vehicles acquired a coating of Zimmerit plaster paste to prevent magnetic charges being stuck on to the hull by close-in tank killer squads. A machine-gun was mounted on the hull front plate on late production models, earlier versions having lacked this self-defence weapon.

The roomy fighting compartment of the Brummbär could accommodate up to 38 rounds of 15-cm ammunition. The commander sat to the rear of the howitzer using a roof-mounted periscope to select targets. Two men served the gun and handled the ammunition, while another acted as the gun layer. The driver normally remained in his seat at the left front. Most targets were engaged with direct fire, but provision was made for indirect fire. About 313 Brummbär vehicles were

produced before the war ended, and most appear to have been used in direct support of Panzergrenadier and

and most were destroyed by their a few of the special PzKpfw IV a mmunition carriers produced to car-ry projectiles for the Karls survived for Állied intelligence staffs to examine. It is possible that one example of the Karl may survive as a museum piece in the Soviet Union, but that is all.

Specification Gerät 041 Type: self-propelled siege howitzer Type: sen-propended stege nowizer Crew: not recorded Weight: 124000 kg (273,373 lb) Powerplant: one V-12 petrol engine developing 894.8 kW (1,200 hp) Dimensions: length of barrel 6.24 m (20ft 5.7 in); length of carriage 11.15 m (36ft 7 in); track 2.65 m (8ft 8.3 in) Porformence und recorded Performance: not recorded Armament: one 54-cm (21.26-in) howitzer/mortar



The massive 60-cm and 54-cm Karl howitzers were really fortification -smashing equipments, and they had only limited tactical mobility. They

had to be carried to the firing positions by special trailers in pieces and assembled on site.

infantry units. The vehicles moved forward with the first waves of attacking troops and provided fire to reduce strongpoints and smash bunkers. Infantry had to remain close to prevent enemy tank-killer squads from coming too close to the Brummbär vehicles, which were always vulnerable to close-range anti-tank weapons, especially as some of their side armour was as thin as 30 mm (1.18 in). Brummbär vehicles were generally used in ones and twos split up along an area of and twos spin up along an aca of attack. As defensive weapons they were of less use, for the short howitzer had only a limited performance against armour as its prime mission was the delivery of blast effect HE pro-inctilea One for the tracting the jectiles. One factor that restricted the Brummbar's overall mobility was its weight, which gave the vehicle a rather poor ground-pressure Toot-print': it was nippy enough on roads, but across country it could get bogged down in soft ground.

The Brummbär was a well-liked vehicle that often provided exactly the degree of fire support required by in-fantry formations. On the debit side it was heavy, rather ponderous and the early examples lacked close-in protection. But they were well protected against most weapons and they car-ried a powerful howitzer.

Specification

Brummbär Type: self-propelled heavy assault howitzer

Crew: 5

Crew: 5 Weight: 28200 kg (62,170 lb) Powerplant: oneMaybach V-12 petrol enginedeveloping 197.6kW (265hp) Dimensions: length 5.93 m (19 ft 5.5in); width2.88m(9ft5.4in);height2.52m (8 ft 3.2 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 40km/h(24.85km/h); maximumroad range 210 km (130 miles); fording

0.99 m (3 ft 3 in) Armament: one 15-cm (5.9-in) howitzer and one or two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-guns



Most German self-propelled equipments carried only light armour, so when a call was made for a special close-support assault gun

the result was the heavily-armoured Brummbär. The Brummbär was often used for street fighting, as this captured example shows.



The Brummbär was normally used when infantry tank-killer squads were likely to be encountered. It was therefore liberally covered with a

plaster-like substance known as ^r 'Zimmerit' that prevented magnetic charges from sticking to the hull.



Stalingrad taught the German army many lessons, not least of which was that the Germans were ill-equipped for the art of close-quarter street fighting. In typical fashion they de-cided to meet any future urban warfare requirements by a form of overkill by requirements by a form of overkill by using a super-heavy weapon that would do away with the need for house-to-house fighting by simply blowing away any defended houses or structures. This they decided to do with a land version of a naval weapon, the denth charge

with a land version of a navai weapon, the depth charge. In 1943 the Germans produced a version of the Tiger tank known by several names including 38-cm Sturm-mörser, Sturmpanzer VI and Sturmti-ger. Whatever the designation, the weapon was a Tiger tank with the tur-rest replaced by a large box-shaped ret replaced by a large box-shaped superstructure with a short barrel pok-ing through the front sloped plate. This barrel was not a gun but a 38-cm (14,96-m) Raketenwerfer 61 rocket projector of an unusual type, for it fired a rocket-propelled depth charge that weighed no less than 345 kg (761 lb). As this projectile was based upon the design of a naval depth charge nearly all the weight was high explosive; the effect of this upon even the stoutest structure can well be imagined. The rockets had a maximum range of 5650 m(6,180 yards), and the projector barrel was so arranged that the rocket efflux gases were diverted forward to vent from venturi around the muzzle ring. The Sturmtiger was exceptionally well armoured, with 150 mm (5.9 in) at its front and between 80 and 85 mm (3.15 and 3,35 in) at the side.

The Sturmtiger had a crew of seven including the commander, a fire observer and the driver. The other four men served the rocket projector. Because of their massive size, only 12 projectiles could be carried inside the superstructure, with the possibility of one more inside the projector. Load-ing the rockets into the vehicle was helped by a small crane jib mounted on the superstructure rear, and a small hatch nearby allowed access to the

GERMANY

interior. Once inside overhead rails assisted in the movement of the rockets to and from their racks along each side, and loading into the projector was carried out using a loading tray.

Although the Sturmtiger prototype was ready by late 1943, it was not until August 1944 that production of this massive vehicle got under way. Only about 10 were ever produced, and these were used in ones and twos on most fronts but in situations where their powerful armament was of little advantage. Consequently most were soon either knocked out in action or simply abandoned by their crews once their fuel allocation had been used.

Used as they were in isolation and in such areas as the North Italian campaign, the hulks fascinated the Allies who encountered them and many detailed intelligence reports were writ-ten on them. Most realized that the Sturmtiger was a highly specialized weapon that was simply pushed into the field during the latter stages of the war in the German effort to get any weapon into action, If the Sturmtigers had been used as intended for street fighting, they would have been formidable weapons. Instead, by the time they were ready the time of concen-trated urban warfare had passed.

Specification Sturmtiger Type: assault gun Crew: 7

Crew: 7 Weight: 65000 kg (143,300 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol engine developing 484,7 kW (650 hp) Dimensions: length 6.28 m (20 ft 7,25 in); width 3.57 m (11 ft 8,6 in); height 2.85 m (9 ft 4.2 in) Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h(24.86 mph); roadrange 120 km(75 miles); fording 1,22 m(4 ft) Armament:one38-cm(14.96-in)rocket projector and one 7,92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun



Above; This side shot of a Sturm tiger shows the large armoured superstructure, mounting the 38-cm (14.96-in) rocket projector with the roof-mounted crane needed to load the projectiles in to the in terior through a hatch at the rear.

Below: Largest of all the German close-support weapons was the Sturm tiger, carrying a 38-cm rocket projector that fired a form of naval depth charge to demolish buildings. This example has been captured by American troops.





Sturmgeschütz III

Following from its experiences in World War I, the German army saw the need for an armoured mobile gun that could follow infantry attacks and provide fire support and the firepower to knock out strongpoint and bunkers, During the late 1930s such a gun was developed using the chassis, suspen-sion and running gear of the PzKpfw III tank. This armoured gun was known as the Sturmgeschütz III though its formal designation was Gepanzerte Selbst-fahrlafette für Sturmgeschütz 7.5-cm Xanone SdKfz 142, (assault gun model 3) and it had the usual upper hull and turret of the tank replaced by a thick carapace of armour with a short 75-mm (2.95-in) gun mounted in the front. This weapon was first issued for service in 1940 (StuG III Ausf A) and was soon followed by a whole series of vehicles that gradually incorporated overall and detail improvements, to the extent that when the war ended in 1945 many were still in service on all fronts. The 1941 models were the StuG III Ausf B, C and D, while the slightly improved StuG III Ausf E appeared in 1942. The main change to the Sturmge-

schütz III (or StuG III) series was a gradual programme of upgunning, The original short 75-mm gun was an y24 weapon (i.e. the length of the bar-rel was 24 times the calibre) and had limitations against many targets except at short ranges. Thus it was replaced by longer guns with improved performance, first an y43 (StuG III Ausf F) and then an L/48 gun (StuG III Ausf G). The latter gun also provided the StuG HI series with an anti-tank capability, and this was in a way to the detriment of the original assault-support concept, for it was far easier to produce a StuG than it was a tank, so many StuG Ills with L/48 guns were diverted to the Panzer divisions in place of battle tanks, Used as a tank-killer the StuG III had its moments, but it lacked traverse and adequate protection for the task. It had to be retained as such, however, for German industry simply could not supply enough tanks for the Panzer divisions.

As an assault gun the StuG III series was far more successful. Eventually the type was upgunned to the stage late in the war when many StuG Ills were armed with the powerful 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Sturmhaubitze, a special assault howitzer produced for the StuG III für 10.5-cm StuH 42 The first of these was completed in 1943, but manufacture of this variant was initially slow. Instead the version with the 75-mm L/ 48 gun was rushed off the production lines for the Panzer divisions. The StuG III had a crew of four and

extra machine-guns were often car-ried behind a shield on the roof The protective mantlet for the main gun underwent many changes before it ended up as a *Saukopf* (literally 'pig's head') mantlet which proved very good protection. More protection against short-range hollow-charge warheads was provided with the addi-tion of *Schützen* (literally 'skirts') along both sides. These were simply shets of stand-off armour to detonate the warheads before they hit the vehicle armour, and were used on many German tanks after 1943.

As a close-range assault support weapon the StuG III series was an ex-cellent vehicle/weapon combination. It was also relatively cheap and easy to

produce, and in war-time Germany that mattered a lot. Therefore the series was built in some numbers and numerically it was one of the most important German armoured vehicles.

Specification StuG III Ausf E

Type: assault gun Crew-4

Weight: 23900 kg (52,690 lb) Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol Dimensions: length 6.77 m(22615 hp)Dimensions: length 6.77 m(22615 sin); width 2.95 m(9618 in); height 2.16 m(7 ft 1 in)

Performance: maximum speed 40 km/h (24.85 mph); road range 165 km (102 miles); fording 0,8 m (2 ft 7.5 in)

Armament: one 75-mm (2.95-in) gun and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machineguns



JAPAN Type 4 HO-RO

The Japanese were behind in armoured warfare development throughout all their World War II campaigns. Their early military excursions into China and Manchuria misled them into disregarding the need for heavy armoured vehicles, and instead they concentrated on what were regarded elsewhere as light tanks and tankettes. This approach was supported by the state of Japanese industry, which was still in a relatively early state of industrial development and lacked largescale production capability. Thus it was that the Japanese army fell way behind in the development of selfpropelled artillery, andultimately only a small number of equipments were produced.

One of these was the Type 4 HO-RO, a self-propelled howitzer that allied the Type 38 150-mm (5.9-m) howitzer with the Type 97 medium tank. The conversion to the self-propelled role was a straightforward design task in which the howitzer was mounted in a shield which provided forward and side armour protection while leaving the top and rear open; the side armour, it is worth noting, did not extend even to the rear of the fighting compartment. The howitzer dated from 1905 and was derived from a Krupp design. It fired a 35.9-kg (79.15-lb) projectile to a range of 5900m (6,452 yards), but most of these weapons were so old and worn that they had been withdrawn from general use after about 1942, They had a slow rate of fire as a result of the type of breech mechanism employed, but they were apparently thought good enough for the self-propelled role. The chassis used for the Type 4 was

The chassis used for the Type 4 was the Type 97 CHI-HA, a medium tank by Japanese standards and dating from 1937. It was a mobile enough vehicle, but showed a relative lack of development in its thin armour, which was only about 25 mm (1 in) thick on the gun shield frontal armour, and in its overall riveted construction, The use of rivets in tank construction had elsewhere long disappeared, but the Japanese had no option but to retain the method as they lacked any other form of construction capability.

the method as the lacked any other form of construction capability. They also lacked the ability to produce the Type 4 HO-RO in anything but small numbers. Even those were virtually hand-built, with few preten-

ITALY



Semovente da 149/40

The Italian army was not far behind the Germans in realising the need for assault guns, and developed a string of vehicles that outwardly resembled the German StuG III. These Italian assault guns were produced in appreciable numbers, for they were better armoured and in relative terms quicker to produce than contemporary Italian tanks. But by the time significant numbers had been issued Italy was effectively out of the war, and most of these Italian assault guns fell into German hands.

The majority of Italian self-propelled weapons, known as *semovente*, mounted 75-mm (2.95-in) or 105-mm (4.13-in)guns and howitzers of varying lengths, but since these were directfire mounts the Italian artillery arm still required self-propelled artillery weapons to support the armoured formations. Accordingly Ansaldo di-



Above: The Type 97mounted a short Type 38 howitzer with limited range, but the Japanese were never able to produce the numbers required and they were mainly used in ones and twos as local fire-support weapons.

sions to mass production. Even then the Japanese did not concentrate on the Type 4 HO-RO alone, for they also produced a version known as the Type 2 mounting a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun and designed to double as a self-propelled artillery platform and a tank-killer. Again only small numbers were produced.

The Type 4 HO-RO vehicles appear not to have been organized into anything larger than four-howitzer batteries. No records survive of larger formations, and most accounts refer to these vehicles being captured or knocked out in ones or twos. Very often they were assigned for island defence in the amphibious campaign leading to the Japanese mainland, and only a few were captured intact.

Specification Type4HO-RO Type: self-propelled howitzer Crew: 4 or 5 Weight: not recorded, but about 13600 kg (29,982 lb) Powerplant: one V-12 diesel developing 126.8 kW(170 hp) Dimensions: length 5.537 m(18 ft2 in); width 2.286 m (7 ft 6 in); height to top of shield 1.549 m(5 ft 1 in)

Thè long, lean lines of the Italian 149/40 can be seen at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, USA, still looking very serviceable as a modern artillery weapon despite the lack of crew protection and stowage on the vehicle for ammunition and other items.

verted some of its precious development facilities to design a powerful artillery weapon that could be carried on a trucked chassis. In the end Ansaldo plumped for an existing weapon, the long Canone da 149/40 modello 35, and decided to place it on a muchmodified Carro Armato M. 15/42 tank chassis. The selection of these two items of equipment was made in order to produce as good a carriage/weapon combination as possible, but the snag was that the Italian army was already



The Type 97 had its 150-mm howitzer mounted in place of the turret normally carried. The howitzer was meant to be used as a form ofmobile field artillery but was normally used as close support artillery.

Performance: maximum road speed 38km/h(23.6mph)

as close support artillery. Armament: one 150-mm (5.9-in)

howitzer



crying our for large numbers of both the gun and tank. Italian industry quite simply could not keep up with the existing demands and so the new selfpropelled weapon, known as the Semovente da 149/40, got off to a shaky start.

The Semovente da 149/40 was a completely unprotected weapon as the long gun barrel was placed on an open mounting carried on the turretless tank chassis. The gun crew stood in the open to serve the gun, which had its trunnions mounted right to the rear



During the desperate days of 1941 the Red Army lost so much materiel, that Soviet planners were forced to list mass production as their top priority, and in order to cut down the numbers of equipments being produced only a few types were selected for future use. One of these types was the superlative ZIS-3 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, which was not only an excellent field piece but at that period also a good anti-tank gun. Thus when it was decided to adopt the ZIS-3 in quantity the Red Army had a very good weapon for the future, especially when the chance arose to make the weapon a self-propelled one. The events of 1941 had shown the

The events of 1941 had shown the Red Army that its light tanks were virtually useless, and the type was scheduled for withdrawal from production and service. A production line was in existence for the T-70 light tank, however, and it was decided to convert the T-70 to take the ZIS-76 gun as a highly mobile anti-tank weapon. Thus was born the SU-76 (SU for *Samokhodnaya Ustanovka*, or self-propelled mounting). The conversion to take the 76.2-mmgunand 62 rounds of ammunition was a simple one, but the T-70 chassis had to be widened somewhat and an extra road wheel was added to take the extra weight. The first examples had the gun mounted centrally, but later models had the gun offset to the left. Maximum armour thickness

It was late 1942 before the first SU-76s were produced, and it was mid-1943 before they were in Red Army service in any appreciable numbers. By that time the ZIS-3 gun had lost much of its edge against the everthickening German tank armour, and thus the Su-76 was gradually phased over to the direct fire-support of Red Army infantry formations, Some antitank capability was retained when new anti-armour ammunition was introduced, but by the end of the war the SU-76 was being phased out in favour of vehicles with larger-calibre guns. Many SU-76s were pressed into other roles by 1945. The usual process was to remove the gun and then use the vehicle as a supply and ammunition carrier, as an artillery tractor and as a light armoured recovery vehicle. Some

After 1945 there were still many SU-76s to hand, and the Soviets handed them on to many friendly nations including China and North Korea, with whom the type saw another bout of action during the Korean War that started in 1950. More went to some of the Warsaw Pact armed forces. It is doubtful that the new recipients welcomed the SU-76, for it was very much to absorb some of the recoil forces produced on firing. It was late 1942 before the first prototype was ready for prolonged firing trials, but even before these were over unsuccessful attempts were being made to start production, Before the lines could start rolling the Italians surrendered to the Allies, and the Germans took over what was left of the Italian economy. Thus the Semovente da 149/40 prototype remained the sole example of what seemed to be a promising design. The gun of the Semovente da 149/40 was certainly a useful weapon: it could fire a 46-kg (101.4-lb) projectile to a range of 23700 m (25,919 yards), at which distance the lack of protection for the gun crew would have been of relatively little importance,

The prototype survived the war, and can now be seen at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in the USA. It still looks a thoroughly modern piece of equipment that would not be too out of place in many modern gun parks. Specification Semovente da 149/40 Type: self-propelled gun Crew: (on gun) 2 Weight: 24000 kg (52,911 lb) Powerplant: one SPA petrol engine developing 186.4 kW (250 hp) Dimensions: length 6.60m (21 ft 7.8 in); width 3.00m (9 ft 10 in); height 2.00m (6 ft 6,7 in) Performance: maximum road speed 35 km/h (21.75 mph) Armament: one 149-mm (5.87-in) gun



The Soviet SU-76 was a wartime and rather rushed conversion of the T-70 light tank to carry a 76-mm field gun, and although it was produced in large numbers it was little liked by its crews, who called it the 'Bitch'.



a wartime expedient vehicle with no crew comforts whatsoever. Apart from a few examples that had an armoured roof, the crew compartment of the SU 76 was open to the elements and the driver had to sit next to the twin engines with no intervening bulkhead. The Red Army knew the SU-76 as the *Sukami* (bitch). Thus the SU-76 started life as a mobile anti-tank weapon and ended

Thus the SU-76 started life as a mobile anti-tank weapon and ended up as an artillery support weapon. It was no doubt a very useful weapon in the latter role, but essentially it was a hasty expedient rushed into production at a time of desperate need. Surprisingly, the type may still be encountered in odd parts of the world,

Specification SU-76 Type: self-propelled gun Crew: 4 Weight: 10800 kg (23,810 kg) Powerplant: two GAZ 6-cylinder petrol engines each developing 52.2 kW (70 hp) Dimensions:length4.88m(16ft0.1in); width2.73m(8ft11.5in);height2,17m (7 ft 1.4 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 450 km (280 miles); fording 0.89 m (2 ft 11 in) Armament: one 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun SU-76s wait to take part in one of the massive artillery actions that usually took place before any major Red Army action. The open structure of the SU-76 must have made life very uncomfortable for their crews under such conditions, as only tarpaulin covers were carried.



Red Army soldiers attack under the close supporting fire of SU-76 76-mm guns, providing a graphic example of what close-range artillery support

really means. By 1945 theSU-76 was used almost exclusively in this role after being used a t onepoin t as mobile field artillery.



ISU-122 and ISU-152

The first of the heavy Soviet selfpropelled artillery carriages was the SU-152, which first appeared in 1943, just in time to take part in the tank battlesat Kursk. Itwasbuiltonto a KV-2 heavy tank chassis and was typical of later World War II designs in that the tank chassis was taken virtually unchanged and a large armoured box was built on to the front of the hull. The weapon was a 152-mm (6-in) M-1937 howitzer mounted in a large and heavy mantlet on the front superstructure plate and there were roof hatches, one of which had provision for mounting an

USSR

anti-aircraft machine-gun. This first vehicle was intended for use as much as an anti-armour weapon as a heavy assault weapon, for the Red Army made no differentiation between antitank and other weapons when it came to tactics. The SU-152 relied upon sheer projectile weight and power to defeat enemy armour.

defeat enemy armour. When the KV tank series was replaced in production by the IS series, these too were used for the SU selfpropelled role. The conversion followed closely that of the original SU-152, and the IS-based conversion was



ISU-152s were still in front-line service in 1956 when the RedArmy ruthlessly crushed the Hungarian uprising. In the streets of Budapest the lack of traverse proved a serious disadvantage. The gun mechanism was never m odernized; eleva tion and loading were done by hand.



The SU-122 was a conversion of the T-34 tank to accommodate a frontmounted 122-mm howitzer in a wellarmoured and well-sloped superstructure. It was produced in large numbers for the close-support role, but could be used for 'stand-off artillery fire.

The ISU-152 was a straightforward conversion of an IS-2 tank to carry a 152-mm howitzer as a powerful close-support artillery weapon; it was also a powerful tank killer. The howitzer was housed in a thick superstructure with dense frontal armour that made it a difficult vehicle to knock out. originally known as the ISU-152. To the average observer the SU-152 and ISU-152 were visually identical, but the ISU-152 mounted a more modern howitzer known as the ML-20S (with 20 rounds), technically a gun-howitzer and a very powerful weapon, especially at the assault ranges favoured by Red Army tactics. The weapon was protected by an armoured box made up from sloping plates of thick armour, with hand rails around the edge of the roof for use by 'tank descent' infantry who used the vehicles to carry them

up from sloping plates of thick armour, with hand rails around the edge of the roof for use by 'tank descent' infantry who used the vehicles to carry them into action. Maximum armour thickness was 75 mm (2.95 in). The ISU-152 was joined by the ISU-122, a virtually identical vehicle carrying a powerful 122-mm (4.8-in) gun known as the M-1931/4 or A-19S (with 30 rounds), the ordnance being a modification of the then-standard 122-mm M-1931/37, though there was also another gun known as the D-25S which was ballistically identical to the A-19S but differed in the way it was constructed. Numerically the ISU-122 was less important than the ISU-152, but the 122-mm version was potentially the more powerful weapon as it fired a higher-velocity projectile than the heavier 152-mm weapon, which relied more upon shell weight for its effects. During 1944 and 1945 the ISU-152 and ISU-122 were in the vanguard of

During 1944 and 1945 the ISU-152 and ISU-122 were in the vanguard of the Red Army advances through Germany towards Berlin. Some of the first Red Army units entering Berlin were ISU-152 units, which used their howitzers to blast away strongpoints at close ranges and clear the way to the remains of the city centre.

mains of the city centre. If the ISU weapons had a fault it was that they lacked internal ammunition stowage space. Thus they had to have a virtual constant supply of ammunition brought foward by armoured carriers, which was often a hazardous undertaking. But the massive weapon carried by the ISU vehicles was considered to be of great value in the direct support of Red Army tank and motorized infantry divisions, and both types went on to be used for some years after the war.



An ISU-152 crosses a river during the latter stages of World War II. These vehicles appear to be carrying their crewmembers on the roof, butin action they would be carrying squads of 'tank descent' assa ult infantry instead. Note the size of the howitzer's muzzle brake. Specification ISU-122 Type: self-propelled assault gun Crew: 5

Crew: 5 Weight: 46430 kg(102, 361 lb)Powerplant: one V-12 diesel developing 387.8 kW(520 hp)Dimensions: length overall 9.80 m (32 ft 1.8 in) and hull 6.805 m (22 ft 3.9 in); width 3.56 m (11 ft 8.2 in); height 2.52 m (8 ft 3.2 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 37 km/h (23 mph); road range 180 km (112miles); fording 1.3 m (4 ft 3,2 in) Armament: one 122-mm (4.8-in) gun and one 12.7-mm (0,5-in) machine-gun





During early 1941 the British Purchas-ing Commission in Washington asked the Americans if the M7 Priest could the American's if the M7 Priest could be altered to allow it to carry the British 25-pdr (87.6-mm/3.45-m) gun-howitzer. While the British appreci-ated the amenities of the M7 Priest, it had the major disadvantage of mounting a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer that ing a 105-mm (4.13-m) howitzer that was not a standard British weapon calibre at that time. The Americans accordingly produced the M7 with the 25-pdr and named it the T51, but at the same time announced that there was no way that they could produce it in events at they had their production quantify as they had their production hands full already. The British accor-dingly looked around and noted that the Canadians had set up a production line for the Ram tank, a type that was soon to be replaced by the American M3 and M4. The Ram was accordingly altered to accommodate the 25-pdr, and thus was born the Sexton. The Sexton used the overall layout of

the M7 Priest, but many changes were introduced to suit British require-ments. These included the movement ments. These included the movement of the driver's position to the right-hand side. The Sexton lacked the pro-nounced 'pulpit' of the M7, but the fighting compartment was left open with only a canvas cover to provide weather protection for the crew. The Savton hed a craw of eix and much of Sexton had a crew of six, and much of the interior was taken up with lockers for ammunition and some of the crew's personal kit; more stowage was pro-vided in boxes at the rear. Maximum armour thickness was 32 mm (1.25 in), The 25 edge was pro-

armour thickness was 32 mm (1.25 in), The 25-pdr gun-howitzer was car-ried in a special cradle produced by the Canadians specifically for the Sex-ton. This allowed a traverse of 25° left and 40° right, which was very useful for the anti-tank role (18 AP rounds) but in the event the Sexton had little need of this facility. Instead it was used almost exclusively as a field artillery weapon (87HE and smoke rounds) supporting the armoured divisions in North West Europe from 1944 onwards. There were several variations, all of them in-corporating the production changes corporating the production changes progressively introduced on the lines of the Montreal Locomotive Works at Sorel. Production continued there until late 1945, by which time 2,150 Sextons had been manufactured

The Sexton was a well-liked and re-

USA M7 Priest

Experience gained with 105-mm (4.13-m) howitzers mounted on halftracks enabled the US Army to decide that it would be better if the howitzer was mounted in a fully tracked car-riage, and accordingly an M3 medium tank chassis was modified to take such a weapon. The M3 chassis was con-siderably reworked to provide an open-topped superstructure with the howitzer mounted in its front. The development vehicle was known as the T32, and following trials which added a machine-gun mounting to the right-hand side of the fighting compartment, the vehicle was adopted for service as the Carriage, Motor, 105-mm Howitzer, M7. Maximum armour thickness was 25.4mm (1 in).

The first production examples were for the US Army, but many were soon diverted to the Lend-Lease program-me for the Allies, among them the Brit-





This Sexton is now a preserved 'runner'maintained by theRoyal School of Artillery a t Larkhill,

liable gun and weapon combination that proved so successful that many are still in use in odd corners of the world to this day. The British army used the type until the late 1950s, and one is preserved as a museum piece at the Royal School of Artillery at Larkhill inWiltshire.

Wiltshire. It originally came from Portugal, where it was sent during the years after 1945.

There were a few in-service variants of the Sexton, some being con-verted to 'swim' for possible use on D-Day, but none appear to have been used in this role on the day. A more common conversion was the replacement of the gun-howitzer by extra map tables and radios in the Sexton Gun

Above: The Sexton mounted the British 25-pdr gun and was a well-liked and reliable vehicle that served on for many years after World War II with many armies. It is still used by India.

Position Officer command vehicle; there was usually one of these to a battery. In post-war years some Sextons were handed over to nations such as Italy who preferred the 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer; in this instance the 25-pounders were replaced with German 105-mm howitzers.

Specification Sexton

Sexton Type: self-propelled gun-howitzer Crew: 6 Weight: 25855 kg(57,0001b) Powerplant: one Continental 9-cylinder radial piston engine developing 298.3 kW (400 hp) Dimensions: length 6.12m (20ft 1 in); width 2.72m (8 tt 11 in); height 2.44m (8 ft 0 in) (8 ft 0 in) Performance: maximum road speed

Performance: maximum road speed 40.2 km/h (25 mph); road range 290 km (180 miles); fording 1.01 m (3 ft4 in) Armament: one 25-pdr gun-howitzer, two unmounted 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Bren Guns and (on some vehicles) one pintle-mounted 12.7-mm(0.5-in) Proving machine gun Browning machine-gun

The British gunners nicknamed the American M7 the 'Priest'after seeing the 'pulpit'that housed the 12.7-mm machine-gun forAA defence.



ish Army. The British soon named the M7 the Priest, legend having it that the prominent machine-gun mounting gave the impression of a pulpit. The British gunners adopted the M7 with alacrity, and the type first went into action with them at the 2nd Battle of El Alamein in October 1942. The British asked for 5,500 M7s to be produced for their use alone by the end of 1943, but this order was never completed in full. The figure nonetheless provides an indication of the success of the M7 with the British gunners. They appreciated the space and mobility of the carriage and also the extra space for personal stowage. The one snag was the howitzer, which was not a standard British Army type: thus ammunition (stowage was provided for 69 rounds on each vehicle) had to be supplied separately for the M7 batteries, which made for a considerable logistic complication. This was not resolved until the first Sextons with the 25-pdr weapons began to be issued in 1944. Until that time the Italian campaign, and some were landed in Normandy in June 1944 though they were soon replaced by Sevtons

Sextons. The M7 then began a new service An Ml in action in the Ardennes, 1945, with the open fighting compartment covered by a tarpa ulin to keep out the worst of the bitter weather. The tank obstacles behind the M7 are part of the infamous Siegfried Line defences that in the event were taken without too much trouble.

career in a revised form: the howitzers were removed and the hulls were used as armoured personnel carriers nicknamed Kangaroos. This soon became a normal fate for unwanted M7s, and the idea soon spread to Italy.

The US Army also made wide use of the M7, although production for the US Army was not a constant process. After 1942 M7 production proceeded in fits and starts. At one stage the original M3 chassis was replaced by the later M4A3 Sherman chassis, and these M7s were known by the designation M7B1. After 1945 large numbers of M7s

After 1945 large numbers of M7s were handed over to other countries, and some remain in use to this day in such nations as Brazil and Turkey. The 105-mm howitzer is still a standard weapon all over the world, and thus the M7s continue to fire a 14.97-kg (33-lb) shell to a range of 11430m (12,500



yards). Throughout their service life the M7s have always showed outstanding reliability, and have demonstrated their ability to cross all types of rough terrain.

Specification M7 Type: self-propelled howitzer Crew: 5 Weight: 22967 kg(50,634 lb) Powerplant: one Continental9cylinder radial piston engine developing 279.6 kW(375 hp) Dimensions: length 6.02 m (19 ft9 in); width 2,88 m (9 ft 5.25 in) height 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in) Performance: maximum speed

Verformance: maximum speed 41.8km/h(26mph);maximumroad range201km(125miles);fording 1.219 m (4 ft) Armament: one 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzerandone 12.7-mm(0.5-in) machine-gun

Although the M40 arrived on the scene later in the war, it was one of the best of all wartime self-propelled equipments and went on to a long post-war career. It used the chassis of the M4 tank as a basis.

Carriage, Motor, 155-mm Gun, M40

The first 155-mm (6.1-in) selfpropelled gun produced in quantity by the Americans during World War II was the M12, a design originally known as the T6 and built on to a converted M3 medium tank chassis. Initially this weapon was not considered for service as it used an obsolescent World War I ordnance that had become available once the type's original carriages had become too worn for further use. However, once accepted for service, they gave good performance although it was agreed that a new ordnance was required if a long-term weapon was to be procured.

Starting in December 1943 a new weapon/carriage combination was initiated. The gun was the 155-mm MIAI known as the 'Long Tom' (with 20 rounds) and the carnage was based on the chassis of the M4A3 medium tank, though much widened and fitted with the latest high volute suspension springing. The engine was moved from the rear to a new forward position, and to absorb some of the recoil forces a spade was added to the rear; this latter could be raised for travelling, A working platform under the breech was also provided. The gun had a range of 23514 m (25,715 yards) and fired a projectile weighing 43,1 kg (95 lb), which made it a very useful counterbattery and long-range bombardment weapon. Maximum armour thickness was 12.7 mm (0.5 in)

The development of this Carriage, Motor, 155-mm Gun, M40 took rather longer than expected, so it was not until January 1945 that the first production examples rolled off the lines. They were rushed across the Atlantic in time to see the end of the war in Germany. M40s took part in the bombardment of Köln and the short campaigning after this. Between January and May 1945 no less than 311 M40s were built, and production continued after the war, The M40 was to see its most concerted use during the Korean conflict, where it proved to be an excellent weapon/car-

U.S. ARMY 40195650

irige combination. On the M40 there was no protection for the crew as the type was designed for use so far behind the front line that none would be necessary. The M40 had a crew of eight, and there was provision on the carnage for their weapons and kit. The same carriage was also used to mount a 203-mm (8-in) howitzer, but this version (the Carriage, Motor, 8-in Howitzer, M43), was not used in great numbers; only 48 were built

After 1945 M40s were distributed to many other armies, The British Army accepted a number and used them for some years. More were used by nations such as France, with whom the type saw extensive service in Indo-China.

There was one variant of the M40, the T30 Cargo Carrier. As its designation implies, it could be used as a general supply carrier though its normal deployment was for the ammunition supply of M40 batteries. Not many were built as most of the manufacturing potential was concentrated on producing gun carriers.

One of the main claims to importance of the M40 was that it paved the way for the current generation of selfpropelled weapons. It was produced at a time when nuclear warfare was just making its debut, and the need for protection against this new battle hazard was particularly noticeable on the M40 with its open fighting platform. The type was therefore used extensively for trials and experiments designed to provide protection for the crew. The M40 proved beyond doubt that the only proper protection comes from an armoured turret, and most modern self-propelled weapons now use such an arrangement.

Specification M40

Type: self-propelled gun Crew: 8

Crew: 8 Weight: 37195kg(82,0001b) Powerplant: one Continental 9cylmder radial piston engine developing 294.6 kW (395 hp) Dimensions: length overall 9.04 m(29ft 8 in) and hull only 6.65 m (21 ft 10 in); width 3.15 m(10ft4 in); height 2.84 m (9 ft 4 in)

(9 ft 4 m) Performance: maximum speed 38.6 km/h (24 mph); range 161 km (100 miles); fording 1.067 m (3 ft 6 in) Armament: one 155-mm (6,1-in) gun



The vehicle that became known as the Bishop was conceived at a time when 25-pdr batteries in the North African desert were perforce used as anti-tank weapons and were taking a terrible pounding as a result. It was decided to place the 25-pdr on a mobile carriage to increase protection for the gun crews, and it was soon clear that the Valentine infantry tank would make a good basis for such a conversion. Unfortunately the exact role of this gun/ tank combination was uncertain from the start. The tank exponents saw it as a variant of the heavy-gun tank theme, while the gunners wanted a selfpropelled carriage. These arguments were never really solved, and the result was something of a compromise even though the gunners won in the end.

The Valentine 25-pdr emerged as a straightforward conversion (officially the Mounting, Valentine, 25-pdr Gun Mk I on Carrier, Valentine, 25-pdr Gun, Mk I) the usual turret being replaced by a much larger turret mount-ing the 25-pdr. This new turret was fixed, and was a large slab-sided design too large for battlefield concealment and too small to allow much room inside for the gun crew. The turret de-sign also had one major disadvantage for the gunners in that it restricted the elevation of the barrel and thus curtailed range to only 5852 (6,400 yards) which was a considerable reduction from the normal 12253m (13,400 yards). The only way to increase this performance was the tedious and tactically-hampering construction of earth ramps up which the vehicle could be driven to increase the elevation angle. Traverse was also severely restricted, to a maximum of 4° to each side. Internal ammunition stowage was 32 rounds but more could be carried in a limber towed behind the vehicle. Armour varied in thickness from 8 mm (0.315 in) to 60mm (2.36 in).

The 25-pdr Valentine went into action in North Africa during the latter

Below: The Bishop was an early British attempt to produce selfpropelled artillery by placing a 25pdr gun onto a Valentine tank chassis. The gun was mounted in a fixed turret with only limited elevation and the result was not a success, being replaced in service by the Priest as soon as possible. stages of the campaign in that theatre, by which time the 25-pdr was no longer in use as an anti-tank gun, so the vehicles were used as self-propelled attillery with no distraction and the Royal Artillery learned a lot from their use. The type was eventually named Bishop, and it went on to be used in Sicily and Italy during the opening stages of that campaign. Throughout these campaigns the Bishop demonstrated all its several drawbacks, but also provided an indication of the potential of self-propelled artillery for it was the first British self-propelled weapon to see active service. The need for supporting logistics was more than emphasized, as was the need for improved radio links with forward observers.



Above: A Bishop on the ranges with the gun detachment commander outside the fixed turret, as there was room for only two gunners inside. The fixed turret restricted the barrel elevation and thus range.

The Bishop also demonstrated things to avoid in future designs. The most obvious one was for the gun to have its full range of movement if it was to be of any use; additionally, more room was needed to serve the gun, for the turret of the Bishop was cramped and ill-ventilated. More internal ammunition stowage was needed and the carrier had to be fast enough to keep up with tanks. Being an infantry tank, the Valentine chassis was too

slow to keep up with the armoured formations.

All these things were put right when the gunners were issued numbers of M7 Priests. The gunners took to the Priest with a will, and before long the Bishops had been discarded. They may have been less than perfect, but they taught the gunners a lot and the Bishop has the distinction of being the British Army's first self-propelled artillery piece,



Above: This Bishop in 'factory's tate clearly shows the high and bulky outline of the fixed turret mounting the 25-pdr gun. As this was a 'first

a ttempt' design there was confusion as to whether theBishop was a heavy gun tank or a self-propelled gun, but in the end the gunner's view prevailed.



Above: Ammunition stocktaking takes place on a Bishop with the projectiles laid out on the engine covers for counting. TheBishop could carry only 32 rounds in ternally,

as space inside the fixed turret was cramped. The projectiles are 25-pdr HE shells, the normal round fired, although smoke could also be carried.

Specification Bishop Type: self-propelled gun-howitzer Crew: 4 Weight: 7911 kg (17,440 lb) Powerplant: one AEC 6-cylmder diesel developing 97.7kW (131 hp) Dimensions: length 5.64m (18ft 6 in) width 2,77m (9ft 1 in); height 3,05m

Dimensions: length 5.64m (18ft 6 in) width 2,77m (9ft 1 in); height 3,05m (10ft) Performance: maximum road speed 24 km/h (15 mph); road range 177 km (110 miles); fording 0.91 m (3 ft)

24 km/h (15 mph); road range 177 km (110 miles); fording 0.91 m (3 ft) Armament: one 25-pdr (87.6-mm/3.45in) gun-howitzer

Heavy Artillery

The dramatic success of the German advance in 1940 seemed to herald a return to an era of mobile warfare, but the moment their advance into the Soviet Union became bogged down heavy artillery reappeared on the battlefield. The battles that were to decide the war, from North Africa to Berlin, were dominated by these monsters.

uring World War II heavy Dartillery was as important a weapon as it had been in the past. Despite the overall impression given by much current military literature, the tank could not operate without the support and covering fire provided by heavycalibre artillery of all types, and for all its many advantages the tank is of limited use against heavily protected strongpoints and defended localities. It is only heavy artillery that can be used to any effect against such targets and, while the same might be said of the bomber aircraft, the fact remains that only artillery can carry out its fire missions around the clock and under all weather conditions.

Thus heavy artillery was highly important during World War II. It was used on nearly all fronts and the weapons involved were many and varied. Not all of them can be mentioned here but an overall impression of the types of artillery involved has been provided. This section also includes some of the oddities of the artillery world, such as 'Little David' - even though this particular weapon did not see active service - and the massive German 35.5cm (I4in) Haubitz M.I. Such items did exist during World War II and some were even used in action but, while their effect was



Poles of the 2nd Artillery Group, 2nd Polish Corps, man a heavy artillery piece bombarding German positions. No one was keener to liberate their homeland than the Poles.

no doubt devastating to the recipients, in the overall sense their impact was slight. Instead, attention should be given to weapons which were in the range from 150mm (5.9in) to 210mm (8.26in) in calibre, for it was with these weapons that the really hard heavy artillery work was accomplished. One has only to look at the power of the massive Red Army artillery battering ram to realize this fact: despite all its power this arm used nothing heavier than the Model 1931 203mm (8in) howitzer.

This section deals with the weapons that were used to reduce fortified areas, to lay down the counterbattery fire that silenced the enemy's field artillery batteries and to carry out the heavy and long-range fire support without which the infantry and armoured formations of all combatants could neither move nor fight. Included here are the weapons that during World War II were the modern equivalents of the types of ordnance that were once 'the last argument of kings', but served instead on all sides of the most destructive war in history.



CZECHOSLOVAKIA Skoda 149-mm vz 37 howitzer (K4)

By the early 1930s the Skoda works at Pílsen in Čzechoslovakia were in a position to design, develop and pro-duce entirely new artillery pieces that owed nothing to the old World War I weapons that had hitherto been the company's main output. By 1933 they had produced, among other things, an entirely new 149-mm (5.87-in) range of howitzers known as the 'K' series. The first of these, the K1, was produced in 1933 and the entire output of these vz 33 weapons went for export to Turkey, Romania and Yugoslavia. The Kl was a thoroughly modern piece with a heavy split trail, and was designed for either horse or motorized traction. For the latter the piece could be towed as one load, but for the former the barrel could be removed for towing as a separate load.

Despite the success of the K1, the Czech army decided that the weapon did not meet its exact requirements and funded further development to the stage where a K4 model met the specification. The K4 had much in common with the earlier K1, but had a shorter barrel and (as the Czech army was making considerable strides towards full mechanization) the need for re-moving the barrel for separate horse traction was no longer required, The K4 also used pneumatic wheels (the K1 had solid rubber-rimmed steel wheels) and some other modifications to suit it for the mechanized tractorto suit it rest is to suit it rest. The suit is the suit rest is to suit it rest. The suit rest is to suit it rest is to suit it rest is to suit it rest. The suit rest is to suit it rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest is to suit rest. The suit rest is to suit

decided to adopt the K4 as its standard heavy field howitzer to replace the large range of elderly weapons re-maining from World War I. The K4 was given the army designation 15-cm hrubâ houfnice vz 37, vz 37 (vz for vzor, or model) denoting the equipment's year of acceptance for service, Skoda drew up production plans, but as always this took time and in the interim the Ger-mans occupied the Czech Sudetenland. Plans for production became even more frantic, but with the Su-detenland line of defences in German hands Czechoslovakia was wide open to further German aggression and in 1939 they duly marched in to take over

the rest of the country. The Germans also secured the Sko-da works at Pilsen, finding on the pro-duction lines the first of the full production vz 37 weapons. By that time only a few models had been produced, and these the German army tested on ranges back in the Reich, discovering that the vz 37 was a sound and serviceable howitzer with a good range of 15100m (16,515 yards) and firing a very useful 42-kg (92.6-lb) projectile. The Germans decided to keep the vz 37 in production at Pilsen for their own requirements, and thus the vz 37 be-came the German army's 15-cm schwere Feldhaubitze 37(t), or 15-cm heavy field howitzer Model 1937 (Czech), the (t) denoting *tschechisch*, or Czech. With the German army the sFH 37(t) became *a* standard weapon of many divisions, forming part of the



divisional artillery equipment and even being used by some corps bat-teries. It was used during the French campaign of May and June 1940, and later in the invasion of the Soviet Union during 1941. Some were still in service in the Soviet Union as late as 1944, but by then many had been passed to the various Balkan forces under German control and operating within what is now Yugoslavia; the Slovak army was one such recipient.

Specification SFH 37(t) Calibre: 149,1 mm (5.87 in) Length of piece: 3.60 m (11 ft 9.7 in) Weight: travelling 5730 kg (12,632 lb)

The high wa ter mark of German success in the late summer of 1942: elements of Army Croup A penetrated over 300 km (200 miles) south-east of Stalingrad. Here a Czech-built vz 3715-cm howitzer pounds Soviet positions in the foothills of the Caucasian mountain range.

and in action 5200 kg (11,464 lb) Elevation:-5° to+70° Traverse: 45° Muzzle velocity: 580 m (1,903 ft) per second Maximumrange: 15100m(16,515 yards) Shell weight: 42 kg (92.6 lb)



CZECHOSLOVAKIA Skoda 220-mm howitzer

Whereas the Skoda vz 37 howitzer was a completely new design, the slightly earlier Skoda 220-mm howitzer was very much a product that had its origins in earlier days. In the period up to 1918, when the Skoda works were the largest armament producers for the Austro-Hunganan empire, the Pilsen works had been only slightly behind the German Krupp concern in the manufacture of really heavy artillery, and the heavy Skoda howitzers were second to none in overall efficiency. Thus when the Skoda works started production again the 'classic' howitzer was one of its main products.

However, the accent was no longer on heavy calibres alone. Despite their dreadful efficiency in demolishing fortifications, such equipments were ponderous beasts to move and their rate of fire was extremely slow. They were also fearfully expensive, so when some of the new nations formed after the Treaty of Versailles started to arm themselves against a difficult future they still wanted heavy artillery, but not too heavy, An interim calibre of about 220 mm (8.66 in) was still about right for the destruction of heavy structures, but the howitzer itself need not be too ponderous. Skoda sensed the market and produced the required 220-mm design incorporating much of its considerable experience in such matters, and it was not long before customers arrived.

The first was Yugoslavia, formed from several of the pre-World War I Balkan states. The new nation decided it had much to fear from its neighbours, and thus was involved in numerous purchases of weapons of all kinds throughout Europe. Yugoslavia was a

good customer of Skoda, and in 1928 took delivery of a batch of 12 220-mm Skoda howitzers under the designation M.28. Another customer was Poland, which ordered no less than 27, These Polish howitzers featured prominently in many pre-war propaganda photo-graphs of the Polish army, all with one feature in common: in all of these photographs the breech mechanism was obscured in some way, usually by a soldier, as part of the normal Polish security procedure in any artillery

illustration intended for publication. It did the Poles no good, for in 1939 the Germans invaded and captured or destroyed the entire Polish gun park, The unfortunate Yugoslavs followed just over a year later. Thus the Ger-mans found themselves with a useful quantity of 220-mm howitzers, which promptly became part of the German army's inventory. There was not much of a role for such a relatively heavy piece in the German *Blitzkrieg* con-cept, so the captured howitzers were distributed mainly to garrison and static units in the occupied territories. Some of these were as distant as Norway, but in late 1941 a number of these howitzers were gathered together and added to the siege train that was sent to invest the fortress of Sevastopol in the Crimea. This was the last classic

Skoda produced some of the best heavy artillery pieces of World War I, and continued the tradition with the 220-mm howitzer, which was exported to both Poland and Yugoslavia. After the Germans invaded Eastern Europe they used the captured weapon's against the fortress of Sevastopol.



investment of a fortress by the age-old method of assembling and using a siege train, and the fortress fell after the Skoda howitzers had played a useful part, Thereafter they were once more scattered and saw little use during the remainder of the conflict.

Specification Skoda 220-mm howitzer Calibre: 220 mm (8.66 in) Length of piece: 4.34 m (14 ft 2.8 in) Weight: travelling 22700 kg (50,045 lb)and in action 14700 kg (32,408 lb)Elevation: $+40^{\circ}$ to $+70^{\circ}$ ' Traverse: 350° Muzzle velocity: 500 m (1,640 ft) per second Maximum range: 14200 m (15,530 yards) Shell weight: 128 kg (282.19 lb)



During the late 1930s the Italian army decided to attempt to replace the bulk of its heavy artillery park, which by that time resembled an oversize artillery museum. It selected two good and thoroughly modern designs, one a gun with a calibre of 149 mm (5.87 in) and the other *a* howitzer with a calibre of 210 mm (8.26 in), The howitzer was designed by an army organization known as the Servizio Tecnici Armi e Munizioni (STAM), but production was carried out by Ansaldo at Pozzuoli.

The howitzer was known as the Obice da 210/22 modello 35. Although shown in prototype form in 1935, it was not accepted for service until 1938 when a production order for no less than 346 was placed. The modello 35 was a very sound and modern design. It used a split-trail carriage with two road wheels on each side. When the howitzer went into action these wheels were raised off the ground and the weight was assumed by a firing platform under the main axle. The entire weapon could then be traversed easily through 360° once the stakes that anchored the trail spades to the ground had been raised,

ground had been raised, The main problem for the Italians was that having designed a first-rate howitzer they could not produce it quickly enough. Despite the good intentions of the Italian army, it had to enter the war with its antique gun park still largely undisturbed by modern equipments, and by the autumn of 1942 the grand total of modello 35s was still only 20, five of them in Italy and the rest in action in the Soviet Union. Part of this state of affairs was due to the fact that despite the requirements of the Italian army, modello 35s were sold to Hungary as they came off the production line, no doubt in exchange for raw materials and food products. The Hungarians found it necessary to make their own carriage modifications to suit this 21-cm 39.M to the rigours of their service and eventually set up their own 21-cm 40.M and finally 21-cm 40a.M production line in 1943.

40a.M production line in 1943. In service the modello 35 was successful enough, It could be transported in two loads, but for prolonged moves it could be further broken down into

Most of Italy's 210-mm howitzers found their way in to Hungarian hands for service on the Eastern Front. Those still in Italy at the time of the Italian surrender were promptly manned by Germans, and made their contribution to the tenacious defence of the peninsula un til 1945.



four loads with an extra load for assembly equipment and accessories. The modello 35 attracted the attentions of the Germans, and when the Italians surrendered in September 1943 the Ansaldo concern was forced to continue production for German units based in Italy. Thus the modello 35 became the 21-cm Haubitze 520(i) and was still in action with the Germans when the war ended.

After 1945 attempts were made by Ansaldo to sell the modello 35 on the home and export markets. There were no takers as the home market was sated with American equipment that was freely supplied to the Italian army and war-surplus equipment was widely available elsewhere.

Specification Obice da 210/22 Calibre: 210 mm (8.26 in) Lengthofpiece: 5m(16ft4,85in) Weight: travelling (two loads) 24030 kg (52,977 lb) and in action 15885 kg (35,020 lb) Elevation: 0°t0+70° Traverse: 75° Muzzle velocity: 560 m(1,837 ft) per Italy made extensive use ofheavy artillery in World War I, but by the 1930s her big guns were looking decidedly obsolete and new weapons were ordered. The 210-mm howitzerpictured here was an excellent design, but Italian industry could not produce the guns with sufficient speed.

second Maximum range: 15407 m (16,850 yards) Shell weight: 101 or 133 kg (222.7 or 293.2 lb)



8-in Howitzer Ml

After the United States entered World War I in 1917, among the various types of heavy artillery its army received once US troops arrived in France was the British 8-in Howitzer Mks VII and VIII, which were incidentally being produced in the United States to a British order, The Americans took to this howitzer with a will, for they soon dis-covered that it was a very accurate weapon and in the years after 1918 set about producing their own version. This was under the aegis of an advisory body known as the Westervelt Board, which also recommended the introduction of the 155-mm Gun MI. The board also recommended that the 155-mm (6.1-in) gun and the 203-mm (8-in) howitzer should share the same carriage and thus the new howitzer used the same MI carriage as the 155-mm Gun Ml.

Despite the recommendations of the Westervelt Board, however, the de-velopment of the new howitzer was slow and erratic, and at times ceased altogether for years on end. Thus it was not until 1940 that the howitzer was standardized as the 8-in Howitzer M1. The Ml owed much to its British origins but was longer, and as it used the MI carriage it was even more accurate than its predecessor. However, it should not be thought that because the 8-in Howitzer MI and the 155-mm Gun Ml shared the same carriage the two barrels were interchangeable. They were not, for to exchange the two bar-rels involved a great deal of workshop time and a great deal of trouble. Once the Howitzer MI had been in-

troduced into service it soon became a very popular and powerful weapon. Because of its accuracy it could be used to bring down heavy fire on spot targets quite close to friendly troops and was frequently used thus in the



elimination of enemy strongpoints and bunkers. The shell fired by the MI was initially a 90.7-kg (200-lb) high explo-sive shell also used by 203-mm (8-in) coast guns, but this was later replaced by a special high explosive shell known as the M106 which had the same weight as the earlier shell but

which could be fired to a range of 16596m (18,150 yards). The M106 is still in service with the 8-in Howitzer M1, which in a post-war designation reshuffle was redesignated M115, Like the 155-mm Gun M1 the 203-mmhowitzer also wentself-propelled, although the first version did not

Above/A good view of the interrupted-screw stepped-thread merhapita streps a support interact breech mechanism of an 8-in howitzer in action. Four crew members prepare to lift the 91-kg (200-lb) shell, the size of which gives some clue as to why the maximum rate offire was one round per minute.



TVie blast effect of an 8-in howitzer hits notjust the ears but the whole body as the shock wave passes outwards. This is the firs 18-in howitzer in action in Normandy, 1944, firing during the barrage the Americans organized to celebrate the Fourth of July.

appear until 1946. This was the M46 which used a much-modified M25 tank chassis as the carrier. Subsequent development along these lines has now led to the M110 series which originally used the 203-mm howitzer in a form virtually unchanged from its towed version but which has now been developed to the M110A2 which uses a much lengthened 203-mm howitzer barrel.

The towed 8-in Howitzer MI 15 is still in widespread service all over the world, and there are few signs that it is likely to be replaced in the near future. Thus the 203-mm howitzer can lay claim to being one of the longest-lived of all modern heavy artillery pieces: it can trace back its origins to World War I and is still in service.

Specification 8-in Howitzer Ml Calibre: 203 mm (8 in) Length of piece: 5.324 m (17 ft 5,59 in)Weight: travelling 14515 kg (32,000 lb) and in action 13471 kg (29,698 lb) Elevation: -2° to $+65^{\circ}$ Traverse: 60° Muzzle velocity: 594 m (1,950 ft) per second Maximum range: 16596 m (18,150 yards)Shell weight: 90.7 kg (200 lb)

Right: Driving through the bitter December weather of 1944, these 8-in howitzers are travelling through Belgium tojoin the US FirstArmy. Artillery was particularly effective in areas like the Ardennes, where roads were few and choke points obvious.











7.2-in Howitzers Marks I-V and 6

Between the wars the British army tended to neglect artillery; a number of programmes were initiated but came to naught, so when heavy artillery was required in 1940 all that there was to hand was a quantity of old World War I 203-mm (8-in) howitzers with ranges too short for current conditions. As a stopgap it was decided to reline the existing 203-mm barrels to a new calibre of 183 mm (7.2 in) and to develop a new range of ammunition. The original 203-mm carriages were to be retained, but the old traction engine wheels were replaced by new pneumatic balloon-tyred wheels on what became known as the 7.2-in Howitzer.

The new ammunition provided the conversion with a useful increase in range, but when the weapon fired the full charge the recoil forces were too much for the carriage to absorb. Firing the 7.2-in howitzer on full charge was a risky business, for the whole equipment tended to rear up and jump backwards. Before the next round could be fired the howitzer had to be manhandled back into position and re-laid. Some of this unwanted motion could be partly overcome by placing behind each wheel wedge-shaped ramps up which the howitzer and carriage could climb, only to roll down again into roughly the original position, but sometimes even these ramps were insuf-ficient and the howitzer would jump over them. But the conversion proved to be an excellent projectile-delivery system capable of good range and a high degree of accuracy, to the extent that the gunners in the field called for more.

In order to provide more, the number of 8-in howitzer conversions eventually ran to six marks depending on the original barrel and type of conver-sion; some of the 8-in barrels came from the United States. The first 7.2-m howitzers were used in action during the latter period of the war in North Africa (they were the howitzers men-tioned in Spike Milligan's hilarious military memoirs) and in Tunisia, went on to take part in the long slog north through Sicily and Italy; and were used following the Normandy landings.

But by 1944 numbers of 7.2-in bar-American MI carriages. These excel-lent carriages proved to be just as suit-able for the 7.2-in howitzer as they were for the American 155-mm (6.1-in) gun and 203-mm howitzers, and the first combination of a 7.2-in barrel with the MI carriage was the 7.2-in Howit-zer Mk V. Few, if any such combinations were made as it was obvious that the Ml carriage was capable of carrying more than the original conver-sion. Thus a much longer 7.2-in barrel was placed on the Ml carriage and this was the 7.2-in Howitzer Mk 6, The longer barrel produced a considerable range increase to 17985m (19,667 yards) and the carriage was much more stable than the old 203-mm carriage. As more Ml carriages became available they were used to mount the new Mk 6 barrels, and by the end of 1944 there were few of the original 8-in carriages left. With the increased stability came increased accuracy, and the Mk 6 howitzer gained an enviable reputation for good shooting, to the ex-tent that they were retained for many years after the end of the war in 1945.



The 7.2-in howitzer could be as terrifying to its crew as to the target; seen here in action atRoutot, France, in September 1944 the 10-ton gun

Specification 7.2-in Howitzer Mks I-V Calibre: 183 mm (7.2 in) Length of piece: 4.343 m (14 ft 3 in) Weight:inaction 10387 kg(22,900 lb) Elevation: 0° to+45 Traverse: 8° Muzzlevelocity: 518 m(1,700 ft)per second Maximum range: 15453 m (16,900 vards) Shellweight:91.6kg(202lb)

leaps in to the air after firing at full charge. Surprisingly for such a makeshift design, the 7.2-in proved fairly efficient.

The story of British heavy artillery after 1918 is the familiar one of inaction and neglect. When war broke out again, heavy guns had to be improvised by re-lining the old 8-in howitzers to a calibre of 7.2-in to give them a repectable store.

give them a respectable range.

Specification 7.2-inHowitzerMk6 Calibre: 183 mm (7.2 in) Length of piece: 6,30 m (20 ft 8 in) Weight: in action 13209 kg (29,120 lb) Elevation:-2° to+65° Traverse: 60° Muzzle velocity: 497 m (1,630 ft) per second Maximum range: 17984 m (19,667 yards) Shellweight:91.6kg(202lb)

USA 155-mm Gun Ml

When the United States entered World War I in 1917 it was ill-equipped with heavy artillery, and consequently was issued with various Allied artillery models, including the French 155-mm (6.1-in) GPF (Grand Puissance Filloux). This gun was one of the best of its type at that time, but in the years after 1918 the American design teams sought to improve the overall efficiency of the series of prototypes throughout the 1920s. Sometimes this programme stood in abeyance for years, but by the late 1930s the new design (very basi-cally the original GPF barrel equipped to accommodate an Asbury breech mechanism) was standardized as the 155-mm Gun Ml on Carriage Ml, and production started at a steady pace at various American arsenals.

The MI gun and carriage combination was very much an overall improvement on the old French GPF design, but introduced some new fea-tures. The barrel was 45 calibres long, and the carriage was of a heavy splittrail type carried on four double-typed road wheels forward. This carriage arrangement was such that in action the wheels were lifted to allow the carriage to rest on a forward firing platform that in use proved to be an excellent arrangement and very stable. This stability made the gun very accurate, and eventually the carriage was adopted by the British for use with their 7.2-in (183-mm) howitzer. For their 7.2-in (183-mm) howitzer. For towing the trail legs were hitched up on to a limber device. There were two of these, the M2 and the M5, the latter having a rapid up-and-over lift arrangement that permitted quick use in action but which could also be dangerous to an untrained crew. For this reason the M2 limber was often preferred preferred,

The MI was gradually developed into an MIAI form and then into the M2 in late 1944. These changes were mainly limited to production expe-dients and did not affect the gun's performance, which proved to be excel-lent: a 43,1-kg (95-lb) shell could be fired to a range of 23221 m (25,395 yards). The MI soon became one of the standard heavy guns of the US Army and was often used for counterbattery work. Numbers were issued to various allied nations, and the Ml was soon part of the British army gun park, which used the type in action in Europe from the Normandy landings onwards. The Ml also went self-propelled. This was carried out using a much-modified M4A3E8 Sherman tank chassis with the gun mounted in an open superstructure, and in this form the vehicle/gun combination was known as the M40. It was 1945 before the M40 actually got into production so its main career was post-war but it was widely used by many nations, again including the UK. After 1945 the US Army underwent a

period of internal reorganization and in the process the MI and M2 guns be-came the M59. The post-war period also saw the end of the limber devices for it was discovered that with most of the heavy tractors used to pull the guns all that was needed was to join the trails and connect them direct to the tractor towing eye, usually with chains. In this form the 155-mm (6.1-in) M59 serves on to this day with many armies around the world. It is still a good gun,

although now considered to be rather lacking in range and range flexibility as a result of the fixed charges used, and it is gradually being replaced by more modern designs. But it will still be some years before it is replaced in the armies of nations such as Austria, South Korea, Taiwan and Turkey.

Specification 155-mm Gun MIAI

155-mm Gun MIAI Calibre: 155 mm (6. lin) Length of piece: 7.366 m (24 ft 2 in) Weight: travelling 13880 kg (30,600 lb) and in action 12600 kg (27,778 lb) Elevation:-2° to+65° Traverse:60° Muredevide situ 262 m (2.800 ft) and Muzzle velocity: 853 m (2,800 ft) per second Maximum range: 23221 m (25,395 vards) Shellweight: 42kg(92,6lb)

240-mm Howitzer Ml

The Westervelt Board of 1919 made many recommendations as to the future state of American artillery, too many in fact for the military funds available at the time. Thus some parts of the re-equipment programme had to be postponed following some preliminary design investigations that lasted until 1921. One part of these postponed projects concerned a common carriage that could mount either a 203-mm (8in) gun or a 240-mm (9.45-in) howitzer. At that time the 240-mm howitzer project could be dropped because the US Army was still trying to develop a 240mm howitzer based on a French Schneider design, but that project was beset with problems and eventually came to nothing, only a few equipments being produced for training purposes. But in 1939 things looked different,

and the 203-mm gun/240-mm howitzer project was resurrected. The 203-mm gun took far longer to get into service than was at first envisaged, and it was not until 1944 that the first equipments were issued. But the 240-mm howitzer project was less problematical and was ready by May 1943, This 240-mm Howitzer MI turned out to be a fairly massive piece of artillery using what was virtually an enlarged MI carnage as used on the 155-mm (6.1-in) Gun MI But the 240-mm howitzer carnage did not travel with the barrel fitted. Instead it travelled on a six-wheeled carriage and once on site its wheels were removed. The barrel was towed on a form of semi-trailer. At the chosen site the carriage had to be carefully emplaced and a pit was due to permit barrel recoil at full 65° elevation. The barrel was then lifted into position, usually by a mobile crane that was also used to place the carriage into position and spread the trails. Emplacement of the 240-mm howitzer was thus no easy task, and sometimes took up to eight hours of arduous labour.

But once in place the howitzer proved to be a powerful weapon. It was first used extensively during the Italian campaign and afterwards in North West Europe whenever the



Despite the fact that many artillery pieces were much larger than the strange device known as 'Little David', the fact remains that this weapon still holds the record of having the largest calibre of any modern artillery piece at no less than 914 mm (36 in), and not even the largest German railway gun, the huge 80-cm K(E), got anywhere near that with its calibre of 800 mm (31.5 in).

Little David was one of the oddities of the artillery world. It had its origins in a device used to test aircraft bombs by firing them from converted largecalibre howitzers at chosen targets. Existinghowitzerscouldnotmanageto fire the heavier bombs so a device known as the Bomb Testing Device TI was designed and produced. It performed well enough and gave somebody the idea of using the device as an artillery weapon proper. With the invasion of the mainland of Japanin prospect such a weapon would be ideal for demolishing the expected Japanese bunkers and strongpoints, so the project was given the go-ahead in March fighting settled down behind static lines for any time, There was little call for the type to be employed whenevei fighting was fluid as it took too long tc emplace the weapons or get them oui of action, but when they were used the heavy 163,3-kg (360-lb) high explosive shells were devastating weapons. The 240-mm howitzers were used by boti" the US and British armies, and they served on for many years after the war A few attempts were made to place the 240-mm howitzer onto some form o: self-propelled chassis but none o: these projects got very far despite the advantages that self-propulsion would have given this heavy weapon. Insteac attempts were made to simplify the assembly procedure or even allow the piece to travel in one load. Nothing came of these ideas and the 240-mm howitzer was gradually withdrawn from use during the late 1950s. Today the only 240-mm Howitzer

Today the only 240-mm Howitzer Mis still in use are those emplaced on the Chinese Nationalist-held islandsoff the coast of mainland China. There they act as heavy coast-defence weapons and are kept fully serviceable.

Specification 240-mmHowitzerMI Calibre: 240 mm (9,45 in) Length of piece: 8.407 m (27 ft 7 in) Weight: complete 29268 kg (64,525 lb) Elevation:+ 15° to+65° Traverse: 45° Muzzle velocity: 701 m (2,300 ft) per second Maximum range: 23093 m (25,255 yards)

Shellweight: 163.3kg(360lb)

Weighing over 30 tons, the US 240-mm howitzer originated from a project begun after World War I, but little progress had been made before 1940, and America had been at war 18 months before the 240-mm weapon was ready. However, once in action it proved very useful against German emplacements in Italy and north west Europe.



A 240-mm howitzer prepared for action: it travelled on a six-wheel carriage, which was emplaced over a pit dug to absorb the recoil. The

barrel was then lifted in to place by a crane which was also used to spread the trails. Setting up the howitzer could take over eight hours.



1944 and firing tests started later the same year,

Little David was little more than a large muzzle-loaded mortar with a rifled barrel. The barrel rested in a steel box buried in a deep pit which also contained the elevating gear and the six hydraulic jacks, used to mount and remove the barrel. Some traverse was provided in the box mounting and the barrel was elevated and depressed using a geared quadrant on the breech end of the barrel. There was no recuperator mechanism, the barrel being simply pumped back into position after each firing. Loading was by a special crane which formed part of Little David's equipment train, The projectile was of a unique form

The projectile was of a unique form with a long tapered nose and a curved base. It weighed no less than 1678 kg (3,700 lb), of which 726kg (1,600 lb) The largest-calibre artillery piece of modern times, 'LittleDavid was originally a device for testing aircraft bombs by firing them at various targets. Someone suggested that it could be used as a gun proper, and with the invasion of Japan in prospect the US Army welcomed the idea of a monster howitzer to smash Japanese fortifica tions. was explosive. Such a projectile would have had dreadful effects on any target, but Little David was never used in action. During its firing trials it was soon demonstrated that accuracy was poor, and the US Army was less than enchanted by the 12-hour emplace-ment time required every time the ment time required every time the weapon was used, The war ended be-fore the development trials were com-plete and the US Army promptly put the whole project 'on ice' before finally cancelling it during late 1946. Thus Lit-tle David never even left the Aber-deen Proving Grounds in Maryland where all its development and firing where all its development and firing trials had been conducted, and the weapon promptly became a museum piece for the wonderment of all. Today it can still be seen there, forming part of the extensive ordnance museum that occupies much of the site open to the public. The weapon is still relative-ly complete. What appears to be a small metal shed is in fact the main mounting which was supposed to be dug into a pit. The barrel rests on its transporter wheels ready to be towed in semi-trailer fashion by a heavy tractor, and one of the oddly-shaped shells is still to hand.

Specification Little David Calibre: 914 mm (36 in) Length of piece: with elevating arc 8.534 m (28 ft 0 in) Weight complete: 82808 kg (182,560 lb) Elevation: +45° to +65° Traverse: 26° Muzzle velocity: not recorded Maximum range: 8687 m (9,500 yards) Shell weight: 1678 kg (3,700 lb)

Once the atomic bomb had saved the Allies from mounting a conventional invasion of Japan the fortress-crusher 'Little David' was without a role and the project cancelled.



Soviet 152-mm guns

THE OTHER DESIDE

When considering Soviet artillery de-velopment it is as well to remember that the Soviet artillery design teams rarely produced anything innovative. Instead they placed great emphasis upon a steady programme of develop-ment in which a new piece of ord-nance was placed on an existing car-riage, or in which a new carriage was allied to an existing gun or howitzer. allied to an existing gun or howitzer. Their continual aim was to produce an artillery piece that was as light as possible but firing as heavy a projec-tile as possible to as great a range as possible.

USSR

This was particularly true of the Soviet 152-mm (6-in) heavy guns. There were three main types of these, although others existed and the earliest of them could trace its origins back to 1910, Despite its age this weapon, designated the 152-mm Push-te abr ka obr. 1910g was updated in 1930 to become the 152-mm Field Gun Model 1910/30, In this form it was still in service when the Germans invaded in 1941. The Model 1910/30 was an unremarkable piece of artillery, so heavy that it had to be carried in two loads This was considered to be too much of a disadvantage for modern use, and by 1941 the Model 1910/30 was being phased out of use. The Germans desig-nated captured equipments 15.2-cm K 438(r)

In 1937 the Soviet design teams in 1957 the Soviet design teams came up with a replacement. This was the 152-mm Gaubitsa-Pushka obr. 1937g (152-mm Gun-Howitzer Model 1937) which emerged as a new and rether long gup herel merutad on the rather long gun barrel mounted on the carriage of an existing piece, the 122-mm (4.8-in) Field Gun Model 1931/37 (A-19). This combination was a gunhowitzer rather than a gun, and turned out to be a very versatile and powerful weapon, known to the Germans as the 15.2-cm K 433/l(r) in captured service.

Seen here in German hands as a coastal defence piece, the Soviet 152-mm gun was a tough and reliable weapon and was produced in vast numbers. Massed batteries of heavy artillery played a vital role in driving the Germans back from Moscow to Berlin.

The 152-mm gun-howitzer M1937 has a box section split trail carriage, and its double tyres were filled with sponge rubber. On the move, a twowheeled limber is secured under the trails

The Soviets wanted vast numbers, but the Artillery Plant Number 172 at Perm could not produce enough so another source of these gun-howitzers was sought. This turned out to be the same barrel as the Model 1937 but mounted (4.8-in) field gun, the Model 1931. This combination was known for some reason as the 152-mm Gun-Howitzer Model 1910/34, to the Soviets and as the 15.2-cm K 433/2(r) to the Germans. There was also one other Soviet 152-

mm (6-in) field gun about which little is now known. This was apparently a long 152-mm naval barrel placed on the carriage of the 203-mm (8in) howitzers produced as a form of emergency design in 1941-2. Few details now exist.

These two major field guns designs, the Model 1937 and the Model 1910/34 formed the mainstay of the heavy field gun batteries of the Red Army throughout the war. Later develop-ment tended to concentrate on howitzers, but the field guns proved to be very useful weapons. They were often able to outrange their German coun-terparts and so impressed the German gunners that they used as many cap-tured Soviet 152-mm guns as they could lay their hands on. Many of these captured weapons were used against their former owners and as many again were diverted to the Atlantic Wall defences

Perhaps the best indication of how good the Model 1937 gun-howitzer was at the time it was introduced can be seen by the fact that it is still in widespread service to this day. Now known as the ML-20, it remains in ser-vice with many Soviet-influenced armies throughout the world, from Cuba to China.

Specification Model 1937 Calibre: 152.4 mm (6 in) Length of piece: 4.925 m (16 ft 1,9 in) Weight: travelling 7930 kg (17,483 lb) andinaction7128kg(15,7151b) Elevation:-2° to+65° Traverse: 58° Muzzle velocity: 655 m (2 149 ft) per Muzzle velocity: 655 m (2,149 ft) per second Maximum range: 17265 m (18,880 yards) Shell weight: 43.5 kg (95.9 lb)

Soviet 152-mm howitzers

In 1941 the Red Army still had substantial numbers of short 152-mm (6-in) howitzers such as the Field Howitzer Model 1909/30 and Field Howitzer Model 1910/30, but these were long in the tooth and despite an interim updating programme carried out after 1930 they lacked range, It was realized that these howitzers would have to be replaced and in 1938 the replacement appeared. For once this weapon was an all-new design combining a long 152-mm barrel with a sturdy and steady split-trail carriage. It went into production at two artillery factories, Artillery Plant Number 172 at Perm and Artillery Plant Number 235 at Volkinsk. The Field Howitzer Model 1938, later known as the M-10, turned out to be a great success and was widely used, later becoming one of the main types in Red Army service throughout the war.

USSR

The Red Army came to value the flexibility of the howitzer over the longrange capabilities of the gun to a great extent, and found during the early days of the war with the invading German army that the heavy 51.1-kg (112.6-lb) high explosive shell was also a powerful anti-tank weapon. This derived from the Red Army practice of using every available field piece as an antitank weapon, and was so successful that a special solid-shot projectile was introduced for use by the Model 1938, This weighed 40 kg (88.2 lb) and could The Soviet Union not only produced some of the best artillery designs of World War II but also manufactured big guns in prodigious quantities. The 152-mm howitzer series was even used in the anti-tank role, for which it fired a 40-kg (88-lb) solid shot projectile.

knock out any known tank, The Germans also prized the Model 1938 highly, using as many as they could capture under the designation 15.2-cm sFH 443(r), either in the Soviet Union or as part of the Atlantic Wall defences. More turned up in Italy and France.

In their constant striving to make their progeny as light and efficient as possible, the Soviet artillery designers later converted the Model 1938 to be mounted on the carriage of the 122-mm (4.8 in) Model 1938 howitzer. A larger muzzle brake was fitted to reduce, at least in part, the recoil forces of the heavier barrel, and the new combination became the 152-mm Field Howitzer Model 1943, As its designation implies this new howitzer/carriage combination was first produced in 1943 and soon replaced the earlier Model 1938 in production, It continued to fire the same range of ammunition as the Model 1938 and the range capabilities remained the same. By 1945 it was in service with the Red Army in huge numbers and was later designated the D-l.

Post-war the Model 1938 and Model 1943 went on to serve in many more conflicts. Gradually the Model 1938 faded from use and is now known to be used only by Romania, but the Model 1943 is still very much in evidence, It is still in Red Army service; although now mainly with reserve units. It has been bestowed the accord of being thought fit to be copied by the Chinese army, which now has its own version, known as the Type 54. The Model 43 is used by nearly every nation that has come under Soviet influence, ranging from Czechoslovakia to Iraq and from Cuba to Vietnam. It has even turned up in Ethiopia and Mozambique. There seems to be no sign of its ever passing away.

jang-po

Specification Model 1943 Calibre: 152.5 mm (6 in) Length of piece: 4.207 m(13 ft9.6 in)Weight: travelling 3640 kg(8.025 lb)and in action 3600 kg(7.937 lb)Elevation: $-3^{\circ} \text{ to} + 63.5^{\circ}$ Traverse: 35° Muzzle velocity: 508 m(1,667 ft) per secondMaximum range: 12400 m(13,560 yards)Shell weight: HE 51.1 kg (112.6 lb)



USSR

203-mm Howitzer Model 1931

The heaviest of the field-type weapons used by the Soviets between 1941 and 1945 was the 203-mm Howitzer Model 1931, also known as the B-4. This was a powerful but heavy weapon that is now generally remembered as being one of the few artillery weapons to use a carriage that ran on caterpillar tracks. This was an outcome of the huge Soviet investment in tractor factories during the 1920s and 1930s, and the use of these tractor tracks was thus an obvious and economic measure for the Soviet carriage designers to take. The use of these tracks meant that the Model 1931 could traverse very bad or soft terrain where other weapons of similar weight could not venture.

This was an important point for the Model 1931, which was a heavy piece, It was so heavy that although most versions could be towed for short distances in two loads, long moves involved the breaking down of the weapon into as many as six separate



The mighty 203-mm howitzer M1931 is still in service with some heavy artillery units of the Soviet army today, although it no longer uses its tracked chassis. The Germans were pleased to use any they captured, and fielded them not only in the Soviet Union but against the Allies in Italy and north west Europe.



loads. Some versions could move in five loads but there were about six different variants of the Model 1931. All of them used the tracked carriage but varied in the way they were towed. Movement of the Model 1931 involved the use of a limber onto which the split trails were lifted to be towed, usually by some form of heavy tracked tractor with (again) agricultural origins, Some of these limbers used tracks again but others had large single road wheels. Others had twin road wheels of smaller diameter.

To the soldier at the front all these variations made little difference as the howitzer itself remained much the same throughout its service life. It was rather a ponderous weapon to use in action, and the rate of fire was usually limited to one found every four minutes, although higher rates could be attained. It made a powerful barrage weapon but was also used for the demolition of heavy strongpoints, a heavy 100-kg (220.46-1b) high explosive shell being provided for the role. But essentially it was a weapon for static use as it was a ponderous beast, being limited on the move to a maximum speed of no more than 15 km/h (9.3 mph), Not sur-

GERMANY

55

prisingly, whenever mobile warfare was possible the Model 1931 was at a disadvantage and consequently many fell into German hands as they could not be moved quickly enough. The Germans were so short of heavy artillery that they used as many as they could, mainly in the Soviet Union but also in Italy and in North West Europe after 1944, under the designation 20.3cm H 503(r),

After 1945 the Model 1931 appeared to fade from service but in recent years it has once more emerged. It is still part of the equipment of the current Red Army heavy artillery brigades and is still used for the destruction of strongpoints and any fortresses that might still be encountered. It has now lost the tracked travelling arrange-ments and has in their place a new wheeled road-wheel suspension with two wheels in tandem on each side. It is now very likely that this form of car-riage allows the Model 1931 to be towed in one load, and it is also believed that this veteran will be replaced in the near future by a new 203-mm (8-in) howitzer on a selfpropelled carriage,



 $\begin{array}{l} Specification \\ Model 1931 \\ Calibre: 203 mm (8 in) \\ Length of piece: 5.087 m (16 ft 8.3 in) \\ Weight: in action 17700 kg (39,022 lb) \\ Elevation: 0° to +60° \\ Traverse: 8° \\ Muzzle velocity: 607 m (1,991 ft) per \\ second \\ Maximum range: 18025 m (19,712 \\ yards) \\ Shell weight: 100 kg (220,46 lb) \end{array}$

Its tracks provided the 203-mm howitzer with unusual short-range mobility for such a heavy gun, although for longjourneys it had to be broken down in to several loads. Here the 203-mm howitzer ploughs its ponderous way through the snow to a new position, towed by a Stalin artillery tractor.

Shown here in an Eastern Front colourscheme, the 15-cmsFH18was a compromise between Krupp and

15-cm schwere Feldhaubitze 18

Within Germany the two major artillery manufacturing concerns had been Krupp and Rheinmetall since the turn of the century. Both firms survived World War I intact, but with their usual markets shattered both decided to start again with new products. Thus for both the 1920s was a period of retrenchment and research so that by the time the Nazi party came to power in 1933 both were ready to supply their new customer, The new customer was shrewd enough to invite both parties to submit designs for every new artillery requirement made by the expanding German forces, and thus when a call was made for a new heavy field howitzer each company was ready with a suitable design. The trouble for the army selectors

The trouble for the army selectors was that the submissions were as good as each other. Thus the eventual equipment was a compromise, the Rheinmetall ordnance being placed on the Krupp carriage. This selection was made in 1933 and given the designation of 15-cm schwere Feldhaubitze 18 (15-cm sFH 18), although the actual calibre was 149 mm (5.87 in). The howitzer quickly became the standard German heavy field howitzer and it was churned out from numerous production lines all over Germany.

duction lines all over Germany. The first version of the sFH 18 was intended for horse traction and was towed in two loads, namely barrel and carriage. But before long a version intended to be towed by a halftrack tractor was produced, and this soon became the more common version. It proved to be a sound and sturdy howitzer and served well throughout all of Germany's World War II campaigns. Once the invasion of the Soviet Union was under way in 1941, however, it soon became apparent to the Germans that the piece was outranged by its Soviet 152-mm (6-in) equivalents. Various attempts were made to increase range, including two more powerful propellant charges to be added to the six already in use. These extra charges worked to a limited extent but caused excessive barrel wear in the process and also overstrained the carriage recoil mechanism. To overcome the latter problem some howitzers were fitted with a muzzle brake to reduce recoil forces, but this modification was no great success and the idea was dropped; weapons so modified were designated 15-cm sFH 18(M).

As the war went on the sFH 18 was placed on a self-propelled carriage known as the Hummel (bumblebee), and thus formed part of the artillery component of a few Panzer divisions. Not all were used in the field role, Divisions that found themselves installed along the Atlantic Wall defences used their sFH 18s to bolster coastal defences, usually under German navy control. Some sFH 18s were handed out to some of Germany's allies, notably Italy (obice da 149/28) and, for a while, Finland (m/40).

The sFH 18 was still in use in very



large numbers when the war ended in 1945 and for a period the howitzers were used by many armies. Czechoslovakia used an updated version of the sFH 18 until quite recently, and the type was also used by the Portuguese army for a considerable period. Some still survive in parts of Central and South America, and the sFH 18 has surely been one of the soundest and sturdiest of all German artillery pieces.

Specification 15-cm sFH 18 Calibre: 149 mm (5.87 in) Length of piece: 4,44 m (14 ft 6,8 in) Weight: travelling 6304 kg (13,898 lb) and in action 5512 kg (12,152 lb) Elevation:-3° to+45° Traverse: 60° Muzzle velocity: 520 m (1,706 ft) per second Maximum range: 13325 m (14,570 yards) Shell weight: 43.5 kg (95.9 lb) This 15-cm sFH 18 is being towed into

This 15-cm sFH 18 is being towed into the cavernous mouth of an Me 323 transport by an Sdkfz 7 half track. The majority of German artillery was horse-drawn, but the 15-cm howitzer was modified early in the war tobe towed by vehicles.





When a German army requirement for a heavy gun to arm the new divisional artillery batteries was made in 1933, Rheinmetall was able to land the contract. Using the same carriage as that submitted for the 15-cm sFH 18 competition, Rheinmetall designed a long and good-looking gun with a range of no less than 24500m (26,800 yards), which was well in excess of anything else available at the time. Production did not begin immediately for at the time priority was given to the sFH 18, so it was not until 1938 that the army got its first examples as the 15-cm Kanone 18 (15-cm K 18).

When the army began to receive the weapon it was very happy with the range and the projectiles, but was less than enchanted with some of the car-riage features. One of these was the fact that as the gun was so long the gun and carriage could not be towed together except over very short dis-tances. For any long move the barrel had to be withdrawn from the carriage and towed on its own special transpor-ter carriage. The carriage itself was towed on its own wheels and a small limber axle carrying another two wheels. All this took time, an undesirable feature when getting the gun into and out of action, and this time was increased by another carriage feature, the use of a two-part turntable onto which the gun was lifted to provide 360° traverse. This too had to be got into and out of action, and the carriage was equipped with ramps and winches so that even when sectionalized for towing it made up into two heavy loads.

As if the time-consuming installation and removal drawbacks were not enough, the rate of fire of the K 18 was at best two rounds per minute. Not sur-

GERMANY

\$



prisingly, the gunners asked for some-thing better but in the interim the gun was in production and the gunners had to put up with things as they were. As things turned out, many of the K 18s were allocated to static coastal-defence batteries or garrison divisions where their relative lack of mobility was of small account. Not surprisingly, the coastal batteries soon found that the K 18 made a good coastal gun: its long range and the easily-traversed carriage made it ideal for the role, and it was not long before special marker projectiles using red dyes were pro-duced specially for the marking and ranging of the guns. Production of the K 18 ended well

before the end of the war in favour of heavier weapons, But for the guns already in the field a range of ammunition in addition to the marker shells was made available. There was a spe-cial concrete-piercing shell with a much reduced explosive payload, and another was just the opposite, being *a* thin-walled shell with an increased explosive content for enhanced blast effect.

On paper the K 18 should have been one of RheinmetaU's better designs. It had an excellent range and fired aheavy projectile, but for the gunners who had to serve the thing it must have provided the course of creat deal of provided the source of a great deal of hard work. Gunners are always trained to get in and out of action as rapidly as possible, whatever weapon they are using, but the K 18 seems to have provided them with something that only hard work could turn into an acceptable battlefield weapon.

A 15-cm K18 forms the centrepiece of a German artillery park captured by the British in Libya. This Rheinmetall design had an impressive range, but was dangerously time-consuming to deploy or withdraw.

Specification

15-crnK18 15-crnK18 Calibre: 149.1 mm (5.87 in) Length of piece: 8.20 m (26 ft 10.8 in) Weight: travelling 18700 kg (41,226 lb) and in action 12460 kg (27,470 lb) Elevation:-2° to+43° Traverse: on platform 360° and on carriage 11° carriage 11° Muzzle velocity: 865 m (2,838 ft) per second Maximum range: 24500 m (26,800 yards) Shellweight: 43 kg(94.8 lb)

The gun that became known to the Germans as the 15-cm Kanone 39 (15cm K 39) came to them via a roundabout route. The gun was originally designed and produced by Krupp of Essen for one of its traditional customers, Turkey, during the late 1930s, The gun was intended to be a dual field/ gun was intended to be a dual field/ coastal-defence gun and so used a combination of split-trail carriage allied with what was then an innova-tion, namely a portable turntable onto which the gun and carriage would be hoisted to provide 360° traverse, a fea-ture very useful in a coastal-defence weapon. Two of the ordered batch had been delivered in 1930 when World been delivered in 1939 when World War II broke out, and there was then way no easy way of delivering any more to Turkey, With a war on its hands the German army decided it needed as many new field guns as possible and the design was taken into German service without modification as the 15 cm Kanone 39, and the type remained on the production lines at Essen for the German army alone. Thus the German army found itself

The 15-cm Kanone 39 was a Krupp design commissioned by Turkey. Only two examples had been supplied when war broke out and the German army adopted it instead, along with large stocks of ammunition built to Turkish specifications.



with a large and useful gun that had to be transported in three loads: barrel, carriage and turntable. For most purposes the turntable was not really necessary and was only used when the gun was emplaced for coastal de-fence; the unit consisted of a central turntable onto which the carriage was placed, a series of outrigger struts and an outer traversing circle, The whole turntable was made of steel, and in use was anchored in place. The spread trails were secured to the outer traverse circle, and the whole gun and carriage could then be moved by us-ing a hand crank arrangement. This platform attracted a great deal of attention from many other design teams, including the Americans who used it as the basis for the 'Kelly Mount' used with 155-mm (6.1-in) Ml guns.

The K 39 could fire conventional German ammunition, but when first introduced into service it came with siz-able stocks of ammunition produced for Turkish use and to Turkish specifications. This involved a three-charge system and included a high ex-

GERMANY

plosive shell and a semi-armourpiercing projectile originally intended by the Turks to be used against warships, All this non-standard ammunition was gradually used up before the Germans switched to their

normal ammunition types. By that time the K 39 was no longer in use as one of the standard weapons of the German army. The full production run for the army was only about 40, and this was understandably thought to be too awkward a number for logistical comfort. Thus the K 39s were diverted to the training role and then to the Atlantic Wall defences, where they reverted to their intended purpose, On the static Atlantic Wall sites the turn-tables could be carefully emplaced to best effect and the guns could use their long range to good purpose.

Specification 15-cmK39

Calibre: 149,1mm (5.87 in) Length of piece: 8.25 m (27 ft 0.8 in) Weight: travelling 18282 kg (40,305 lb) and in action 12200 kg (26,896 lb)



Elevation:-4° to+45° Traverse: on turntable 360° and on carriage 60° Muzzlevelocity:865m(2,838ft)per second Maximum range: 24700 m (27,010 yards) Shell weight: 43 kg (94.8 lb)

A15-cm K 39 lies abandoned on the frozen steppes, providing a subject of interest for the columns of Soviet K 39 was eventually withdrawn to a training role for logistic reasons. Some were emplaced in the Atlantic Wall as a coastal defence gun.

17-cm Kanone 18 and 21-cm Mörser 18 \$

When it came to artillery design in the years during both world wars, Krupp of Essen can be regarded as the virtual leaders, The company's sound approach, coupled with the thorough development of innovations, led to some of the most remarkable artillery pieces in use anywhere in their day and one of these innovations featured on what were two of the most remarkable artillery pieces in service during World War II. This innovation was the 'double recoil' carriage on which the normal recoil forces were first taken up by the orthodox recoil mechanism close to the barrel and then by the carriage sliding inside rails set on the bulk of the travelling carriage, In this way all the recoil forces were absorbed with virtually no movement relative to the ground, and firing accuracy was thus enhanced. Further improvements also ensured that the entire barrel and carnage could rest on a light firing platform that formed a pivot for easy and rapid traverse. This double-action carriage was

used mainly with two Krupp weapons. the smaller was the 17-cm Kanone 18 (actual calibre 172.5 mm/6.79 in) and the larger the 21-cm Mörser 18 (the Germans often followed the continental practice of calling heavy howitzers a mortar). These two weapons were first introduced in 17-cm (6,8-in) form in 1941 and in 21-cm (8,3-in) form in 1939. Both proved to be excellent weapons and demand was such that Krupp had to delegate extra produc-tion to Hanomag at Hannover. Of the two weapons priority was at first given to the 21-cm Mrs 18, and a wide range for this weapon, including concrete-piercing shells. But with the advent of the 17-cm K 18 it soon became apparent that the 17-cm shells were only marginally less effective than their 21cm equivalents, and that the 17-cm gun had a much greater range (29600 m/ 32,370 yards as opposed to 16700 m/ 18,270 yards), Thus in 1942 priority was given to the 17-cm K 18, production of the 21-cm Mrs 18 ceasing.

But the 21-cm Mrs 18 remained in



use until the end of the war, as did the 17-cm K 18 which continued to impress all who encountered it, either as reci-pients of the 68-kg (149.9-lb) shell or as gunners. In fact the Allies sometimes acted as gunners, for in 1944 some Allied batteries used captured 17-cm K 18s when ammunition supplies for their normal charges were disrupted by the long logistical train from Nor-mandy to the German border. For all their weight and bulk, both the 17-cm their weight and bulk, both the 1/-cm (6.8-in) and 21-cm pieces were fairly easy to handle. A full 360° traverse could be made by only one man, and although both pieces had to be carried in two loads the carriage was well equipped with winches and ramps to make the process of removing the bar-rel from the carriage a fairly light and rapid task. For short distances both weapons could be towed in one load by a heavy halftrack tractor.

Specification

Specification 17-cm K 18 Calibre: 172.5 mm(6.79 in) Length of piece: 8,529 m (27 ft 11.8 in)Weight: travelling 23375 kg (51,533 lb) and in action 17520 kg (38,625 lb) Elevation: 0° to+50° Traverse: on platform 360° and on carriage 16° Muzzle velocity: 925 m(3,035 ft)per second Maximum range: 29600 m (32,370

yards) Shell weight: HE 68 kg (149,9 lb)

21-cm Mrs 18 Calibre: 210.9 mm (8,3 in) Length of piece: 6,51 m(21 ft4,3 in)Weight: travelling 22700 kg (50,045 lb) and inaction 16700 kg (36,817 lb) Elevation: 0° to +50° Traverse: on platform 360° and on carriage 16° Muzzle velocity: 565 m (1,854 ft) per second Maximum range: 16700 m (18,270 vards) Shellweight: HE 121 kg(266,81b)

A 21 -cm Mörser 18, so called because the Germans referred to their hea vy howitzers as mortars, used the same carriage as the 17-cmK18.

As the 8th Army advanced deeper into Tunisia, this 17-cm K18 was captured in tact and used against its Afrika Korps former owners. Longer ranged than the 21-cm M18, production facilities were devoted exclusively totheKIS after 1942.





24-cm Kanone 3

During 1935 Rheinmetall began design work on a new heavy gun to meet a German army requirement for a longrange counterbattery gun firing a heavy projectile. The first example was produced during 1938, and a small batch was ordered soon after as the 24-cm Kanone 3 (24-cm K 3). The K 3 was a fairly massive piece of artillery that used the 'double recoil' carriage coupled to a firing table that could be easily traversed through 360°. The bar-rel could be elevated to 56° and thus fired in the upper register to ensure that plunging fire against fortifications and field works would make the shells as effective as possible.

The K 3 carriage was well endowed with all manner of technical novelties. In order to make the gun as mobile as possible the whole gun and carriage were broken down into six loads, and assembly on site was made as easy and rapid as possible by a number of built-in devices such as ramps and winches. Various safety measures were incorporated in case assembly was in some way incorrect; for inst-ance, incorrect breech assembly left the gun unable to fire. Other safety measures ensured that if a winch cable broke the component involved could not move far enough to cause any dam-age. For all these measures it took some 25 men 90 minutes to get the gun into action. Once the gun was in action a generator, an integral part of the carnage, was kept running to provide

Not rtlany K 3s were produced; most references mention eight or 10. They were all used operationally by one unit, schwere Artillerie Abteilung (mot)83. This motorized artillery battalion had three batteries (each with two Europe from the USSR to Normandy. The K 3 was the subject of much

35.5-cm Haubitze M.I

GERMANY



the Rhemmetall-designed K 3 weapons were actually manufactured by Krupp of Essen. The Krupp en-gineers were not highly impressed by the engineering of the K3 and decided they could do better, so producing their own version, the 24-cm K 4. This was a very advanced design with the mounting carried on the move between two turretless Tiger tanks, There was even supposed to be a selfpropelled version, but in 1943 the pro-totype was destroyed during an air raid on Essen and the whole project was terminated.

The K 3 was still in action when the war ended and at least one example fell into US Army hands, This was taken to the United States and underwent a great deal of investigation. Once the trials were over it went to Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, where it can still be seen.

Specification 24-cmK3 Calibre: 238 mm (9,37 in) Length of piece: 13.104 m (42 ft 11.9 in) Weight: travelling (six loads) 84636 kg (186,590 lb) and inaction 54000 kg (119.050 lb)



Elevation:-1° to+56° Traverse: on turntable 360° and on carriage 6° Muzzle velocity: 870 m (2,854 ft) per second Maximum range: 37500 m (41,010 vards) Shell weight: 152.3 kg (335,78 lb)

The 24-cm K3 was a very long-range gun designed for counter-battery work as well as to pound fortifications to rubble. Only a handful were made, this one being captured by the US Army, Krupps produced a version which was carried by two turretless Tiger tanks.

In 1935 the German army asked Rheinmetall to produce an enlarged version of its 24-cm K 3, and although the design of that gun was still at an early stage the Rheinmetall company went ahead and produced a new design with an actual calibre of 355.6mm (14 in). The first example was produced ready to enter service in 1939, and emerged as a scaled-up version of the 24-cm (9.37-in) design. The new weapon was designated the 35.5-cm Haubitze M.1 (35.5-cm H M.I) and incorporated many of the features of the 24-cm (9.37-in) design including the double-recoil carriage. The weapon was even carried in six loads, but an extra load had to be involved for the special gantry needed to assemble and disassemble the massive weapon. This gantry used electrical power from a generator carried on the same 18tonne halftrack tractor that towed the disassembled gantry, Other 18-tonne halftracked tractors were also used to tow the other components; these were the cradle, top carriage, barrel, lower carriage, turntable and rear platform.

There appears to be no record of how long it took to get the H M. 1 into action, but the time involved must have been considerable. It is known that the weapon was used by only one unit, namely 1 Batterie der Artillerie Abteilung (mot) 641. This motorized artillery battery was certainly involved in the siege of and assault on Sevastopol, but its exact whereabouts at other times are not certain.

In fact the H M. l is something of a mystery weapon, and there are still a number of unknown facts regarding its service career. Even the exact number produced is uncertain, It is known that the weapon was manufactured at Rheinmetall's Dusseldorf factory, but the number completed varies from three to seven depending on the refer-ence consulted, The projectiles fired included a high explosive shell weighing 575 kg (1,267.6 lb), and there was also a concrete-piercing shell that weighed 926kg (2,041.5 lb). Four charges propelled these shells, It is known that it was possible to effect 360° traverse on the carriage platform

by using power jacks. For all its weight and bulk, the H M. 1 had a range of only 20850m (22,800 yards), so the efficiency of the weapon must have been questionable even at the time. Looking back it now seems doubtful that the considerable investment of money, manpower and equipment in a howitzer with such a limited range was generally not worth the efforts involved. But the H M. 1 fired a shell that must have been devastating in effect when it landed on target. Even the strongest fortification would be



hard put to remain operational after a few hits from such a shell, and this no doubt made the howitzer a viable weapon for the Germans. But the truth was that during World War II there were few such targets for the H M. l to pulverize, and the only time that the howitzers were put to any great use was during the siege of Sevastopol. There are records of these howitzers firing 280 rounds, though they must have taken some time to accomplish this, for the rate of fire of the H M. I was at best one round every four minutes,

Specification 35.5-cmHM.1

Calibre: $356.6 \,\mathrm{mm} \,(14 \,\mathrm{in})$

Length of piece: 10.265 m (33 ft 8.1 in)

The career of the monstrous 35.5-cm HM.1. seenherein action on the Eastern Fron t, is still shrouded in mystery. Several of them were used to pulverize the Soviet fortifications at Sevastopol.

Weight: travelling 123500 kg (272,271 lb) and in action 78000 kg (171,960 lb) Elevation:+45° to+75° Traverse: on platform 360° and on carriage6° Muzzlevelocity: 570m(1,870ft)per second Maximum range: 20850 m (22,800 vards) Shellweight: HE575kg(1,267.6lb) and anti-concrete 926 kg (2,041.5 lb)

Field Artillery

From the earliest days of gunpowder, artillery has often had a decisive impact upon the battlefield. The evolution of field artillery meant that armies could carry their own fire support with them, and by 1939 the field gun was an important weapon of all the major armies.



The German invasion forces in Norway in 1940. The horses are drawing a 105mm (4.13in) light howitzer or LeFH 18, a piece of field artillery that was overweight due to its solid construction.

Field artillery, whether in the form of guns or howitzers, plays the major part in providing fire support for other arms of the service to operate. Without the covering fire of field artillery, effective infantry and armoured operations would be all but impossible, while time and again throughout military history it has been demonstrated that artillery fire support saves lives. It makes the enemy keep his head down as an attack goes in, it disrupts his supply lines and it destroys his installations and weapons.

By 1939 field artillery had grown into a well-established and well-organized arm in all major armies. Whatever its nationality, each army had ready for use a well-exercised chain of artillery command and a well-tried chain of communications. Without these two essentials, field artillery could not, and still cannot even today, operate. Field artillery must have its orders carefully handed along a sensible command network and its orders must arrive at the correct place in as short a time as possible.

In all this interlocking system the guns and howitzers have only one part to play: they are but the delivery system for what is really the gunner's weapon, the projectile itself. The gun merely acts as the method of delivering that projectile, but this is often forgotten in the attraction that artillery has for so many. The guns of all nations are constantly cosseted and cleaned in a way no electronic black box can ever be, for each gun somehow acts as a gleaming example of a gunner's pride in his role and function: it is the guns that win battles, it is the guns that control the destinies of nations, and it is the guns upon which are lavished the care that would otherwise go to such equally intangible symbols as a regiment's colours.

Thus, the guns and howitzers discussed here are just a part of a much larger method of waging war. The selection contained in the following pages are merely an example. There were far more types and models of artillery pieces used during World War II than are shown in these pages, but the examples shown here are a good cross-section of the range of types used, from the ancient to the modern and from the little-used to those that were mass-produced.



During World War I the French 75' or, more formally, the Canon de 75 mod-èle 1897, passed into French national legend as the gun that enabled the French to win the war. It was famous even before 1914 as what may now be regarded as the first of all modern field artillery designs: it coupled a highly efficient recoil mechanism with a rapid-action breech design and a carriage that enabled hitherto unheard-of rates of fire to be maintained. Before 1914 the 75 was a virtual state secret but once in action it more than proved its worth, to the extent that the French army depended on its high rate of fire to make up for deficiencies in the availability of heavier artillery weapons.

By 1939 the 75 was rather past its best, and was outranged by more modern field gun designs, but the French still had well over 4,500 of them in front-line use. Other nations also had the 75. The list of these nations was long for it included the USA (which was producing its own 75-mm M1897A2 and 75-mm M1897A4 versions), Poland (armata polowa wz 97/17), Portugal, many of the French colonies, some Baltic states, Greece, Romania, Ireland and many other nations. The 75 of 1918 was also very different from the 75 of 1939 in many cases. The Americans and Poles had introduced split trail carriages to the 75 in place of the original pole trail, and many nations (including the French) had introduced rubber-tyred wheels for motor traction in place of the original spoked wheels. The 75 has also undergone some

The 75 has also undergone some other changes in role. Before 1918 many 75 barrels had been placed on rudimentary anti-aircraft carriages, both static and mobile, and despite their limited value many were still around in 1939. The 75 has also undergone some adaptation as a form of tank weapon, but it was to be left to the Americans to make the full development of this possibility when they later dapted the type as the main gun for their M3 and M4 tank series. In France the 75 was updated to Canon de 75 modèle 1897/33 standard with a new split trail carriage, but by 1939 there were few of these in service. In the shambles of May and June

1940 huge numbers of 75s fell into the hands of the Germans, who were only too happy to use many of them for their own purposes as the 7.5-cm FK 231(f) or, more commonly, as the 7.5-cm FK 97(f). At first many were issued to occupation garrisons and second-line formations, while others were later incorporated into the beach defences of the Atlantic Wall. Many more were stockpiled ready to be on hand when some use could be found for them. That came during 1941 when it was discovered the hard way that the armour of the T-34/76 Soviet tank was invulnerable to nearly all the German anti-tank weapons. As a hasty stopgap impro-visation the stockpiled 75s were taken from the storerooms, fitted with strengthening bands around the barrel and placed on 5-cm Pak 38 anti-tank gun carriages. A muzzle brake was fitted and special armour-piercing (AP) ammunition was hastily produced, the results were rushed to the Eastern Front and there they proved just capable of tackling the Soviet tank armour. This rushed improvisation was known to the Germans as the 7.5-cm Pak 97/38 and was really too powerful for the



Right: French gunners after a range training session. These 75shaveall been fitted with the large pneumatic tyres, but still retain the original 1897 carriage and shield. Note the lug under themuzzle that engaged with the recoil cylin der at full recoil.

light anti-tank gun carriage, but it worked for the period until proper anti-tank guns arrived on the scene,

The 7.5cm Pak 97/38 was not the only war-time development of the 75, for later the Americans developed the 75 to the stage where it could be carried in North American B-25 bombers as an anti-ship weapon.

After 1945 the 75 lingered on with many armies, and it would not be surprising if it is still in service here and there. In its day it was an excellent artillery piece that well deserved its famous reputation.

 $\begin{array}{l} Specification\\ Canon de 75 modèle 1897\\ Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)\\ Length of piece: 2.72 m(107.08 in)\\ Weight: travelling 1970 kg (4,343 lb)\\ and in action 1140 kg (2,514 lb)\\ Elevation: -11^\circ to + 18^\circ \end{array}$



Traverse: 6° Muzzle velocity: 575 m(1,886ft)per second Range: 11110 m(12,140 yards) Shell weight: 6.195 kg(13.661b) Below:Not all the mie 1897guns were fitted with tyres for pneumatic traction, as demonstrated by this example on tow behind a Citroen-Regresse half-track.



Canon de 105 mie 1913 Schneider

The Canon de IOS m le 1913 had its origins in a Russian design, but it was a thoroughlymodem weapon that vvas still good in 1939-45. Despite its age (1913) it was a good-looking gun with a good performance, and after 1940it waspressed into service by the occupying German army.

In the first decade of this century the French Schneider concern took over the Russian Putilov armaments factory as part of a deliberate plan of commercial expansion. Putilov had for long been the main Russian armament concern, but during the early 1900s had been restricted in its expansionist ideas by the backwardness of the Russian commercial scene, so the infusion of French capital was a decided advantage.

Among the designs found on the Putilov drawing boards was an advanced design of 107-mm (4.21-in) field gun that appeared to offer considerable increase in range and efficiency over comparable models. Schneider eagerly developed the model and offered it to the French army, which was at first not interested as the 75 was all it required and there was no need for heavier weapons. But eventually the Schneider sales approach triumphed and in 1913 the Russian design was adopted by the French army as the Canon de 105 modèle 1913 Schneider, more usually known as the L 13 S. The events of 1914 rammed home to the French the fact that the 75 was not capable of supplying all the artillery fire support required, and that heavier guns would be necessary. Thus the L 13 S was placed in a higher priority bracket and large numbers began to roll off the Schneider production lines.

Between 1914 and 1918 the L 13 S provided sterling service, It was a

FRANCE

handsome gun with a long barrel and a conventional box trail that provided enough elevation for the 15.74-kg (34.7-1b) shell to reach a range of 12000 m(13,130 yards). After 1918 the L 13 S became a French export as it was either sold or handed on to numerous armies under French influence. These nations included Belgium, Poland and Yugoslavia but it was in Italy that the L 13 S achieved its main market penetration, There the L 13 S became the Cannone da 105/28, and it remained one of the main field guns of the Italian forces until 1943, The Poles modified their L 13 S guns to take a new split trail design, and this armata wz 29 was in service when the Germans attacked in 1939.

After 1940 the Germans found that the L 13 S was a viable weapon and out of the 854 still in French service in May 1940 they captured many that were still intact. Large numbers were handed over to various occupation units but it was not until 1941 that a real use was found for the bulk of the booty. When the Atlantic Wall was ready to be armed the L 13 S was decided upon as one of the primary weapons to be used. There were enough on hand to become a standard weapon, and there were stockpiles of ammunition ready for use. Thus the L 13 S became the German 10.5-cm K 331(f) and was ready to play its most important part in World War II. Ex-Belgian guns were designated 10.5-cm K 333(b).

The Germans took the guns off their carriages and mounted them on special turntables protected by curved or angled armour shields, These were placed in bunkers all along the French and other coasts, and many of the bunkers can still be seen among the Atlantic sand dunes to this day. As a beach defence gun the L 13 S was more than suitable, and the bunkers were hard nuts for any attacking force to crack. Fortunately the Normandy landings of June 1944 bypassed most of these bunkers. Not all the guns in these bunkers were directly ex-French; some found their way into the defences from as far away as Yugoslavia and Poland. Captured guns used by the Germans were the 10.5-cm K 338(i) and 10.5-cm K 338 (j) Italian and Yugoslav weapons, while unmodified and modified Polish weapons were the 10.5-cm K 13 (p) and 10.5-cm K 29 (p) respectively.

Specification L13S

Calibre: 105 mm (4.134 in) Length of piece: 2.987 m (117.6 in)Weight: travelling 2650 kg (5,843 lb) and in action 2300 kg (5,070 lb) Elevation: -0° to+ 37° Traverse: 6° Muzzle velocity: 550 m (1,805 ft) per second Range: 12000 m (13,130 yards) Shell weight: 15.74 kg (34,71b) for French guns and 16.24 kg (35,81b) for Italian guns

Canon de 105 court mie 1935 B

By the mid-1930s the French artillery park was beginning to take on the appearance of an antique supermarket. The vast bulk of the weapons in service were items retained from World War I, and if not already obsolete were at best obsolescent, Most of the weapons involved were 75s, which despite their one-time excellence had their limitations by the 1930s and were also unable to produce the plunging fire that was so often required when attacking fixed defences. Thus the need was forecast for a new field piece capable of easy transport for the support of mechanized forces, and two weapons were produced as the result of this forecast,

The first was a weapon known as the Canon de 105 court modèle 1934 S, It was a Schneider design which was entirely orthodox in design and appearance yet possessing a relatively short barrel. Although the mie 1934 was designated a gun, it had more in common with a howitzer, The mie 1934 was ordered into production, but only at a low priority as more was expected of a slightly better design. The better design was a product of

The better design was a product of the state-run Atelier Bourges and appeared during 1935, hence the designation Canon de 105 court Modèle 1935 B (court, for short, and B for Bourges). The mie 1935 was a very advanced design for its day, and it too had a relatively short barrel, shorter in fact even than that of its Schneider equivalent. The carriage had a split trail which, when opened, also splayed the wheels outwards to improve crew protection. Once spread the trails were held in place with large spades that were pushed down into the ground through the trail extremities, The wheels could be either large steel items with solid rims or more modern designs with pneumatic tyres for towing by Laffly tractors. The rate of fire was about 15 rounds perminute, which was quite high for a weapon of its calibre.

The mie 1935 was ordered into production, but this was slow to the extent that although 610 were initially ordered this total was never reached. Instead production was terminated in 1940 in order to permit the churning out of more anti-tank guns, which were by then realized as having a higher operational priority. Thus there were only 232 mie 1935s in service when the Germans attacked in May 1940 (and only 144 of the Schneider mie 1934s), In action they proved to be excellent little field pieces, so much so that the Germans took over as many as they could. The Germans recognized the mie 1935 for what it was and gave it a howitzer designation as the 10.5-cm leFH 325(f). The weapons were used for training purposes and by various second-line occupation units. Some have been recorded as being incorporated into various coastal and beach defences. The mie 1934 became the 10.5-cm leFH 324(f).

Specification Canon de 105 court mie 1935 B Calibre: 105 mm (4,134 in) Length of piece: 1.76 m (69.3 in) Weight: travelling 1700 kg (3,748 lb)

and in action 1627 kg (3,587 lb) Elevation:-6° to+50° Traverse:58° Muzzle velocity: 442 m(1450 ft)per second Range: 10300 m (11,270 yards) Shell weight: 15,7 kg (34.62 lb)

This photograph of a Canon de 105 mle 1935 B provides a good indication of how the steel carriage wheels were 'toed in'to provide extra protection for the carriage and gun crew.



105-mm Howitzer M2A1 - Carriage M2A2

When the USA entered World War I in 1917 the US Army was poorly equipped with artillery and once in France was issued mainly with French or British equipments, The Americans decided to egmp themselves properly with the French 75' and began production in the USA for their own use. Production was just getting under way when the war ended, leaving the US Army with a huge stockpile of 75s that was to last them until 1942, Thus when an investigating body met to report on the future equipments for the US Army the findings of their initial reports were not implemented

USA

not implemented. The investigating body was the Westerveldt Boardof 1919, and among its recommendations was the desirability of a 105-mm (4,13-in) howitzer. At the time little was done to put the suggestions into practice, so it was not until 1939 that the design of the proposed howitzer was completed. The weapon was placed into production the following year and thereafter the 105-mm Howitzer M2A1 poured off the A meric an production lines in thousands. The M2A1 was destined to become

The M2A1 was destined to become one of the most widely used of all American weapons in World WarII. A measure of its success can be seen in the fact that it is still in widespread service in this decade, and even now some production batches are still run off.

The M2A1 was an orthodox piece of artillery with little of note in its overall design. The associated Carriage M2A2 was a split-trail design with the gun assembly mounted in such a way that the centre of balance was just forward of the breech. The weapon was never intended for animal traction and so was fitted with rubber-tyred wheels from the outset. Overall the weapon was heavy for its calibre, but this meant that strength was so 'built-in' that the howitzer never seemed to wear out, The barrel and carriage could take enormously hard use and still keepfiring.

keepfiring. The M2A1 was used in all theatres where the US forces fought, from Europe to the Pacific. Throughout the war years the basic design was the subject of numerous trials and improvements, and the ammunition underwent the same development process. By the time the war ended the range of ammunition fired by the M2A1 ranged from the usual HE through to propaganda-leaflet shells, various smoke marker shells and tear gas shells. Not all of the 105-mm (4,13-in) howitzers were towed. Some were placed on various self-propelled carriages, one of the most widely used being the M7, known to the British gunners who used it for a while as the Priest. Later, Sherman tank chassis were used to mount the howitzer, and there was at least one attempt to mount the M2A1 on a half-track. Thus the M2A1 was able to provide fire support for armoured formations as well as infantry formations, and was among the first such weapons to provide mobile



fire support, even though many others had undergone trials for the task, Post-war the M2A1 was given a later

form of designation (it is now the M102) and it is still a front-line weapon with the US Army and of the armies of many other nations. It is still used as a yardstick by which other artillery designs are measured.

Right: A 105-mm HowitzerM2A1 in action during the Korean War. Although taken in 1950, this photograph could be typical ofmany actions in which the howitzer was used in World WarII. TheM2A1 was eventually re-designated M102, and it is still in service with the USArmy Reserve.

Below: 105mm HowitzerM2Als on a training range. In the foreground is a 37-mm (1.45-in) sub-calibre barrel that was used mounted over the barrel during training to decrease costs by firing smaller calibre and cheaper amm unition and to reduce wear and tear on the full-calibre howitzer barrels. Specification Howitzer M2 Al Calibre: 105 mm (4.134 in) Length of piece: 2.574 m(101.35 in) Weight: travelling and in action 1934 kg (4,260 lb) Elevation: -5° to +65° Traverse: 46° Muzzle velocity: 472 m (1,550 ft) per second Range: 11430m (12,500 yards) Shell weight: 14.97 kg (33 lb)







One of the most elderly of all field artil-World War II was the Italian army's Cannone da 75/27 modello 06 (gun of 75 mm, 27 calibres long, model 1906, in the standard way of interpreting Italian artillery designations). This was origi-nally a German Krupp export model adopted by the Italian army in 1906 and retained thereafter until 1943.

The original Krupp designation was M.06, and the weapon was an entirely orthodox design with little of note other than a sound and sturdy construction. The carriage used a form of one-piece pole trail which restricted elevation and thus range, but for all that the 75/27 still had a useful range for a field gun. still had a useful range for a held gun. Not surprisingly, the original models had wooden spoked wheels for horse traction, but by 1940 some had been modified to take all-steel wheels and rubber tyres for powered traction, and it was this model that was most usually anonutrend outlot to the value meny encountered outside the Italian mainland. The steel-wheeled gun was widely used throughout the North Afri-can and other Italian colonial campaigns, and was at one point issued to German field batteries in North Africa when their own equipment was not available. The Germans even supplied the 75/27 with their own designation, An American soldier examines a captured Cannone da 75/27modello 11. This had a barrel that elevated independently of its recoil mechanism.

7.5-cm FK 237(i). So widespread was the use of the 75/27 modello 06 in Italian service that there were even special versions produced for use in fixed fortifications.

The modello 06 was not the only 75/ 27 in Italian service, To confuse mat-ters somewhat there was also a Cannone da 75/27 modello 11. This was another licence-built gun, this time from a French source, namely the De-port design centre. The 75/27 modello 11 had one unique feature, namely the original design of recoil and recuperator mechanism. On nearly all artiflery pieces the recoil/recuperator mechanism is situated alongside the barrel, either above or below it and in some cases both. On the modello 11 the mechanism stayed in the horizontal position and the barrel elevated inde-pendently. The operation of the system was in no way impaired, but this feature did not catch on with other de-signers and soon fell into abeyance. Nevertheless the modello 11 was still in widespread Italian service in



1940 and was used mainly in support of cavalry units, although some were issued to field batteries. As with the modello 06 some were modified to take steel wheels with rubber tyres for powered traction and some were also used by the Germans at one time or another as the 7.5-cm FK 244(i).

Specification

Specification Cannone da 75/27 modello 06 Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Length of piece: 2.25 m (88.6 in) Weight: travelling 1080 kg (2,381 lb) and in action 1015 kg (2,238 lb) Elevation:- 10° to + 16° Traverse: 7°

Muzzlevelocity: 502 m(1,647 ft)per second Range: 10240 m (11,200 yards) Shell weight: 6,35 kg (14 lb)

Specification Cannone da 75/27 modello 11 Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Length of piece: 2.132 m (83,93 in) Weight: travelling 1900 kg (4,190 lb)and in action 1076 kg (2,373 lb)Elevation:-15° to+65° Traverse: 52° Muzzlevelocity: 502 m(1,647 ft)per second Range: 10240 m (11,200 yards) Shell weight: 6.35 kg (14 lb)

In contrast to many other Italian artillerypieces of World War II the Obice da 75/18 modello 35 was a very

modern and handy little field piece. Designed by Ansaldo, it was the field

howitzer version of a mountain howitzer design and thus lacked the ability to be broken down into

several pack loads.



ITALY

Obice da 75/18 modello 35

Ever since the establishment of Italy as a nation, a certain sector of its armed forces has associated itself with the specialized art of mountain warfare. specialized art of mountain warfare. This has included the provision of spe-cial types of artillery adapted for the mountain role. Many of these mountain artillery pieces came from the Austrian firm of Skoda, and during World War I the Italians were happily firing Aus-trian mountain guns at their formetrian mountain guns at their former suppliers. By the 1930s much of this mountain

artillery material was obsolescent and overdue for replacement. The Italian firm Ansaldo thus undertook to produce a new mountain howitzer design. By 1934 this had emerged as the Obice da 75/18 modello 34, a sound and thor-oughly useful little howitzer that was intended for the mountain role and could thus be broken down into eight loads for transport. In the interests of standardization and logistics it was de-cided that the 75/18 was just what was required as the light howitzer component of the normal field batteries, and thus the weapon was ordered for them as well, but this time with a more orthodox carriage with no provision for being broken down into loads, This field version became the Obice da 75/ 18 modello 35.

The modello 35 was ordered into full-scale production but like its con-temporary, the modello 37 gun, could not be produced in the numbers re-quired. This was despite the fact that the carriage used by the modello 35 howitzer had many features in common with the later modello 37 gun, and the same barrel and recoil mechanism as that used for the mountain howitzer was carried over to the field howitzer design.

The supply situation was not eased in any way by the need for the Italians to sell the modello 35 abroad in order

to obtain foreign currency. In 1940 a sizable batch was sold to Portugal, and more went to some South American states to pay for raw materials. More production capacity was diverted to the production of versions for use on various forms of Italian semovente (self-propelled) carriages, but very few of these ever reached the troops. Those that did proved to be as efficient as any of the comparable German *Sturmgeschütze* (assault guns).

After 1943 the Germans took the modello 35 under their control as swift-ly as they took over the rest of the available Italian gun parks, and the little howitzers took on a new guise as the 7.5-cm leFh 255(i).

Italian gunners undergo training on an Obice da 75/18 modello 35. The box by the wheel contained the sights, not ammunition. That no firing was intended can be deduced by the fact that a dust cover is still in place over the muzzle. The small size of this howitzer can be clearly seen. Specification Obice da 75/18 modello 35 Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Length of piece: 1.557 m (61.3 in) Weight: travelling 1850 kg (4,080 lb) and in action 1050 kg (2,315 lb)

Elevation:-10° to+45° Traverse: 50° Muzzle velocity: 425 m (1,395 ft) per second Range: 9565 m (10,460 yards) Shell weight: 6.4 kg (14. lib)





When Italy emerged from World War I its economy, never particularly sound, was in no state to support a rearmament programme, and thus the weapons of World War I were bulked out by reparations from the Austro-Hungarian Empire, and the army was otherwise left to cope with what it already had. By the 1930s even the large numbers of weapons at hand were seen to be no real answer to more modern designs, so a programme of new weapon design was undertaken. The first weapons to be considered were those of the field artillery, and thus the first post-war artillery design to be introduced since 1918 was a field gun, the Cannone da 75/32 modello 37,

This new gun was an Ansaldo design. It was a good, sound and modern idea that was intended from the outset for powered traction, it had a long barrel fitted with a muzzle brake, and had a high enough muzzle velocity that it could be usefully employed on occasion as an anti-tank weapon. When the split trail was deployed it provided a traverse of 50° , which was no doubt useful in armoured warfare, but this was rather negated by the use of large trail spades that were hammered down into the ground through the trail legs, and thus a rapid change of



vain, for Italian industry was in no position to provide the numbers required. There was quite simply no industrial potential to spare to produce the guns and all the raw materials, or at least the bulk of them, had to be imported. Thus gun production had to get under way at a time when all other arms of the Italian forces were in the process of rearmament; the air force was given a far higher degree of priority than the artillery, and the Italian navy was also absorbing a large proportion of the few available manufacturing and raw material resources. So demand for the modello 37 constantly exceeded supply, and by 1943 most of the Italian artillery park was still made up of weapons that dated from World War I or even earlier

In 1943 the Italians changed sides. The Germans had already noted the finer points of the modello 37 and as the Italian nation withdrew from the Axis the Germans swiftly moved in to take over the Italian armoury, or at least as much of it as they could lay their hands on, In this grab for possession large



numbers of modello 37s on the Italian mainland changed their designation to 7.5-cm FK 248(i). The Germans used their booty until the war ended, not only in Italy but also in the confused campaigns against Yugoslav partisan forces.

Specification

Cannone da 75/32 modello 37 Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Length of piece: 2,574 m (101.3 in) Weight: travelling 1250 kg (2,756 lb) and in action 1200 kg (2,646 lb) Elevation:-10° to+45° The Cannone da 75/32 modello 37 was another Ansaldo design, and was a good modern weapon that could stand comparison with any of its contemporaries. Its main fault for the Italian army was that there was never enough of them. After 1943 the Germans took over as many as they could find for their own use.

Traverse: 50° Muzzle velocity: 624 m (2,050 ft) per second Range: 12500 m (13,675 yards)Shell weight: 6.3 kg (13.9 lb)



Skoda 76.5-mm kanon vz 30 and 100-mm houfnice vz 30

When the Austro-Hungarian Empire vanished in the aftermath of World War I, the new state of Czechoslovakia was left with the huge Skoda arms manufacturing complex at Pilsen. Consequently the Czech state became a major supplier of all manner of arms to the Central European nations, but in the years after 1919 the arms market was still sated with the residue of World War I. The only way to break into the market was to offer something that was not already in the market and by 1928 the Skoda gun designers decided that they had found such a breakthrough.

breakthrough. What the Skoda designers discovered was that there was a definite market for a gun that could be all things to all men. Their suggestion was for a field gun with a high angle of barrel elevation that would enable it to be used as an anti-aircraft gun, or as an alternative act as a useful mountain gun. At that time the limitations imposed by the requirements of the antiaircraft weapon were still not fully appreciated so the new Skoda proposal met with some interest. The new weapon was produced in two forms, one as a 75-mm (2.95-in) field gun/antiaircraft gun and the other as a 100-mm (3.93-in) howitzer that could be used in a mountain role.

The first two weapons of this type were known as the 75-mm kanon vz 28 (vzor, or model) and 100-mm vz 28 as they were produced during 1928. Both types found ready markets in Yugoslavia and in Romania, Both weapons used a conventional enough carriage in appearance but what was not immediately obvious was that the barrel could be elevated to an angle of +80°. A firing table could be placed under the spoked wheel carriage enabling the barrel to be traversed rapidly in order to follow aerial targets. Needless to say the performance of the guns against aircraft targets was less than satisfactory for by the late 1920s it was formally being recognized that there was more to anti-aircraft firing than merely pointing a muzzle skywards; but as a field and mountain gun the vz 28 weapons were more than adequate and the anti-aircraft role was dropped. Instead the multi-role feature was emphasized by making the carriage easy to dismantle into three loads that could be carried on three horsedrawn carts for the mountain warfare role.

In 1930 the Czech army decided to adopt the two Skoda weapons as their vz 30 guns. The main change from the export models was that the calibre of the gun was altered to 76,5mm (3,01 in) to suit the standard Czech calibre requirements, resulting in the 76.5-mm kanon vz 30, The 100-mm houfnice vz 30 was fitted with a new pattern of rubber-tyred wheels and the result was a more than adequate field gun and howitzer combination to arm the field batteries of the Czech army.

These weapons never got a chance to prove their worth in Czech hands. The events of 1938 and 1939 meant that the Germans were able to take over the large Czech army gun parks and the assets of the Skoda complex at Pilsen without a shot being fired, All the Czech guns and the bulk of the various export models eventually found their way into German army service and Skoda was forced to supply

TheSkoda 76.5mm kanon vz30 was an attempt to produce a field gun with enough barrel elevation for it to be used as an anti-aircraft gun. While it was a sound enough field gun, it proved to be oflittle use as an antiaircraft weapon, but the type was used by the Czechoslovak and other armies. ammunition, spares and even more guns for German army requirements. In German service the 7.65-cm FK 30(t) guns and 10-cm leFH 30(t) howitzers were used by all manner of units from front-line batteries to beach defence positions on the Atlantic Wall. They provided excellent service wherever they were, but not as anti-aircraft guns.

 $\begin{array}{l} Specification \\ 76.5-mm vz 30 \\ Calibre: 76.5mm(3.01 in) \\ Length of piece: 3.606 m (120,47 in) \\ Weight: travelling 2977 kg (6,564 lb) \\ and in action 1816 kg (4,004 lb) \\ Elevation: -8^{\circ} to + 80^{\circ} \\ Traverse: 8^{\circ} \end{array}$

Muzzle velocity: 600m(1,968ft)per second Range: 13505 m (14,770 yards) Shellweight: 8 kg(17.641b)

Specification 100-mm vz 30 Calibre: 100 mm (3.93 in)Length of piece: 2.5 m(98,4 in)Weight: travelling 3077 kg (6,785 lb)and in action 1766 kg (3,894 lb)Elevation: -8° to $+80^{\circ}$ Traverse: 8° Muzzle velocity: 430 m(1,410 ft) per second Range: 16000 m (17,500 yards)Shell weight: 16 kg(35.28 lb)





CZECHOSLOVAKIA Skoda 100-mmhoufnicevz 14 and houfnice vz 14/19

In the days of the Austro-Hungarian Empire the name of Skoda ranked only to that of Krupp in European arma ments manufacture, and many of the old European nations armed them-selves almost entirely with weapons produced at the massive Skoda works at Pilsen. By 1914 Skoda's designs were as good as any produced any-where, and the range of weapon products was greater than most as Skoda also specialized in mountain guns. One of its products was a 100-mm (3.93-in) mountain howitzer mounted on a special carriage that could be broken down into loads for carrying over difficult terrain, and this weapon attracted the attention of many armies, Unfortunately they did not like the idea of the special carriage which was heavier than many would want for field artillery use so a new field carriage was produced. This was the 100-mm

houfnice vz 14. The vz 14 was destined to be used mainly by the Italian army, which re-ceived large numbers in the upheavals of the break-up of the empire in 1918 and 1919. The type became a standard weapon for the Italians as the Obice da 100/17 modello 14, and was still in service in 1940 in large numbers. The numbers involved were so large that the Italians produced their own spare parts and ammunition. The type saw action in North Africa and served with Italian units on the Eastern Front alongside the Germans, But in 1943 the Italians withdrew from the conflict and their modello 14 howitzers were taken over by the German forces and re-mained in use until 1945 under the designation 10-cm leFH 315 (i), supplementing similar weapons taken over from the Austrians as the 10-cm leFH 14(o). The type was also in ser-



vice with the Polish and Romanian armies

When Skoda resumed production for its new Czech owners the vz 14 was one of the first weapons placed back into production, However, the opportunity was taken to modernize the design, the main change being to the barrel length which was increased from 19 calibres (L/19) to 24 calibres (L/24), i.e. the length of the barrel was increased to 24 times that of the calibre (10prnmx24 for 2400mm/7ft 10.5m). This improved the range, and new ammunition was also introduced to provide the new design, soon known as the 100-mm houfnice vz 14/19, with an improved all-round performance.

The vz 14/19 was soon in demand and numbers were exported to Greece, Hungary, Poland (Haubica wz 1914/1919) and Yugoslavia (M. 1914/19). Italy also acquired the parts to modernize a proportion of its modello 14s and the Czech army also adopted the vz 14/19 as one of its standard field pieces. All in all the vz 14/19 became

one of the most important Central European field pieces, and by 1939 the howitzer was in service in numbers that ran into the thousands. It was a stout weapon with few design frills and it was capable of prolonged hard use. Many Italian examples were fitted with rubber-tyred wheels for motor traction (Obice da 100/24) but even after 1939 many examples retained their original spoked wheels and were pulled into

action by horse teams. After 1940 many vz 14/19s passed into German army service. The Czech army stocks had by then already pas-sed into German hands as a result of the take-overs of 1938 and 1939 and the vz 14/19 was widely used during the French campaign of May-June 1940 as the 10-cm leFH 14/19(t). Many more were used during the initial stages of the invasion of the Soviet Union during 1941 but thereafter the vz 14/19s were gradually relegated to second-line use and many were incorporated into the Atlantic Wall defences where they remained until 1945. Examples taken The Skoda 100-mm houfnice vz!4 was one of the better field weapons of the old Austro-Hungarian Empire during World War I, and went on to serve with many armies in World War II. By then it had been updated to the vz 14/19 standard by several modifications.

over from Greece were 10-cm leFH 318(g), those from Poland 10-cm leFH 14/19(p) and those from Yugoslavia 10cm leFH 3160).

Specification

100-mm vz 14/19 Calibre: 100 mm (3.93 in) Lengthof piece: 2.40 m(94.5 in)Weight: travelling 2025 kg (4,465 lb) and in action 1505 kg (3,318 lb) Elevation: -7.5° to $+48^{\circ}$ Traverse: 5.5° Muzzle velocity: 415 m (1,362 ft) per second Range: 9970 m (10,907 yards) Shell weight: 14 kg (30,87 lb)

75-mm Field Gun Type 38 (Improved)

Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) was a title given by Western intelligence agencies to a field gun that was in widespread use with the Japanese field batteries between 1935 and 1945. The gun had its origins in a Krupp design that was obtained for licence pro-duction as far back as 1905. This was the original Type 38, and during World War I the Japanese had observed enough of artillery developments elsewhere to be able to make improve-ments to the original design. Perhaps the most obvious of these

JAPAN

Japanese innovations was the introduction of a form of box trail in place of the original Krupp pole trail. This innovation made possible extra eleva-tion, and thus range was increased ac-cordingly. Other alterations were made to alter the balance of the barrel on its cradle, and yet more minor changes were made to the recoil mechanism. Although the updated gun was given the full title Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) by the Allies, by 1941 few, if any, of the Type 38 guns had been left unmodified, so the extra ter-minology was superfluous. Despite the changes introduced to

the Type 38 by the Japanese, the overall design was unremarkable, and the overall performance was also unimpressive. Throughout its service life the gun was never adapted for vehicle traction, so horse or mule teams were used right up to 1945. In appearance



the gun was archaic, and it was indeed a design relic of a former era, main-tained in service as the Japanese were tained in service as the Japanese were never able to develop the industrial potential to produce artillery in the amounts required, Although much more modern and powerful field guns (with calibres of 75 mm/2,95 in and up-wards) were produced right up to the beginning of World War II, they were never produced in numbers sufficient to permit the replacement of the Type 38. Thus Japanese gunners were sad-dled with obsolete guns in default of

anything else. During the initial stages of the Japanese war against the Chinese dur-ing the 1930s the Type 38 proved more

than adequate for all its required oper-ational tasks, but once the Allies joined in the conflict after 1941 things were very different. Following initial easy successes, the Japanese gunners con-stantly found themselves outgunned by even small forces of Allied artillery, and in these circumstances the Type 38 did not shine. In fact the Type 38 became something of a liability for, being horse-drawn, it was easily rendered immobile by enemy action or terrain conditions of the second many precious Japanese guns were lost or knocked out simply because they could not be moved rapidly enough, After 1945 quantities of Type 38 guns

passed into the hands of various forces

in South East Asia, some official and some unofficial, and there were re-ports of the weapon being used against French forces in Indo-China during the late 1940s.

Specification Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) Calibre: 75 mm (2,95 in) Length of piece: 2.286 m (90 in) Weight: travelling 1910 kg (4,211 lb)and in action 1136 kg (2,504 lb)Elevation: 8° to $+43^{\circ}$ Traverse:7 Muzzlevelocity: 603 m(1,978 ft)per second Range: 11970m(13,080yards) Shellweight: 6.025 kg(13.31b)



The British 25-pdr was one of the 'classic' field artillery weapons of World War II. It served in all theatres after 1940 and made its initial mark during the famous barrage a t El Alamein. As well as being used as a field gun, it was atone time pressed into action as an anti-tank gun in the Western Desert.

The gun that was to become one of the most famous of all British artillery most famous of all British artillery pieces had its origins in operational analysis after World War I that indi-cated that it would be possible to pro-vide the Royal Artillery with a light field piece that could combine the attributes of a gun and a howitzer. Some development work on this concept was carried out in the 1920s and 1930s, but funds for the project were very limited and it was not until the mid-1930s that the go-ahead was given to develop the new weapon to replace the British Army's ageing stock of 18-pdr field guns and 114-mm (4.5-in) howitzers.

Since there were large stocks of the old 18-pdr guns still around in the 1930s the Treasury dictated that some way would have to be found to use them. From this came the Ordnance, O.P., 25-pdr Mk 1, which was a new barrel placed on an 18-pdr carriage, and it was with this gun that the BEF went to war in 1939. The old carriages had been updated with new pneumatic wheels and other changes (some even had split trails), but the 25-pdr Mk 1 had little chance to shine before

most of them were lost at Dunkirk. By then the 25-pdr Mk 2 on Carriage 25-pdr Mk 1 was on the scene. This was a purpose-built weapon that was intended to be the full replacement for the old pieces, and was among the first examples of what can now be de-scribed as a gun-howitzer. It used an ammunition system with variable charges but could be used for lowerregister firing with no loss in efficiency The barrel itself was orthodox and

used a heavy vertical sliding breech mechanism, but the carriage had some unusual features. It was a humped box trail carried on a circular firing table that enabled one man to make large changes of traverse angle easily and quickly. The design was intended from the start for powered traction, the usual tractor being one of the large 'Quad' family,

Almost as soon as the first 25-pdr guns saw action in North Africa they were pressed into use as anti-tank guns. The little 2-pdr anti-tank gun guis. The fitte 2-put anti-taik gui proved to be useless against the Afrika Korps' tanks, and the 25-pdr had to be used as there was nothing else to hand. It was then that the circular firing table came into its own, for the guns could be rapidly moved from target to target, but the 25-pdr had to rely on shell power alone for its effects as there was no armour-piercing ammunition. Such a round was developed, but it entailed the use of an extra charge which in turn dicated the use of a muzzle brake, and in this form the 25-pdr was used

throughout the rest of World War II.

Some changes were made to the carriage design to suit local requirements, A narrower version was developed for jungle and airborne warfare (25-pdr Mk 2 on Carriage 25-pdr Mk 2) and a version with a hinged trail (25-pdr Mk 2 on Carriage 25-pdr Mk 3) was produced to increase elevation for hill warfare. The Australians produced a drastic revision for pack transport, and there was even a naval version mooted at one time. The 25-pdr went 'self-propelled' in the Canadian Sexton carriage and there were numerous trial and experimental versions, one classic expedient being the stopgap mounting of 17-pdranti-tankbarrelson 25-pdr carriages, Captured examples were designated 8.76-cm FK 280(e) by the Germans.

The 25-pdr provided sterling ser-vice wherever it was used. It had a useful range, and the gun and carriage proved capable of absorbing all manner of punishment and hard use. It remained in service with numerous

armies for many years after 1945 and is still in service with many. The 25-pdr was one of those artillery pieces that will go down in history as a 'classic', and many gunners remember the weapon with what might almost be termed affection.

Specification

Ordnance, Q.F, 25-pdr Mk 2 Calibre: 87.6 mm (3.45 in) Length of piece: 2.40 m (94,5 in) Weight: travelling and in action 1800 kg (3,968 lb)Elevation:-5° to+40° Traverse: on carriage 8° Muzzle velocity: 532 m(1,745 ft)per second Range: 12253 m (13,400 yards) Shellweight: 11.34kg(251b)

25-pdrs on a training range are manned by Canadian gunners, the 25-pdr being the standard field gun for many Commonwealth armies. This photograph probably da tes from mid-1943.



GERMANY The 10.5-cm howitzer family

> The 10.5-cm leFH 18 in its original form with no muzzle brake, pressed steel wheels of typical German form and the original heavy carriage. This was a Rheinmetall design that proved sound but too heavy for the mobile role intended, especially in them uddy conditions of the Russian front.

The 10.5-cm leFH 18(M) where the (M) of the Mundungbremse (muzzle brake) can clearly be seen. This allows the howitzer to fire a more powerfulpropellant charge and thus range was increased. Several designs of muzzle brake were used until one that allowed sub-calibre ammunition to be fired was devised.

The German army had chosen the calibre of 105 mm (4,134 in) for its standard field howitzers well before World War I, and then stuck with it. During World War I the standard field howitzer had been the 10.5-cm leFH 16 (*leichte FeldHaubitze*, or light field howitzer) which used the same carriage as the then-standard 7.7-cm FK 16. After 1918 numbers of these howitzers remained with the rump of the German army and were used to train the generation of gunners who were to be the battery commanders and NCOs of World War II.

63

The operational analysis carried out by German war planners during the 1920s indicated that in future conflicts a 105-mm (4.13-in) projectile would be far more effective than the 75-mm (2.95-in) equivalent for no great cost in delivery system weight, that is the artillery piece involved. Thus they plumped for a new 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer, and design work started as early as 1928-9. Rheinmetall was the project leader, and the result of its efforts was ready for service in 1935.

The new weapon was the 10.5-cm leFH 18, a conventional and sound howitzer with a useful projectile weight and adequate range, If there was a fault with the leFH 18 it was that it was so soundly constructed that it was rather heavy, but as motor traction was expected to provide the bulk of the pulling power that was no great disadvantage, at least in theory. The leFH 18 became a valuable export item, and numbers were sold to Spain, Hungary, Portugal and some South American nations; large numbers also came off the production lines to equip the expanding German forces.

As ever the gunners were soon asking for more range, and as a result an increased propellant charge was introduced for the leFH 18. This dictated the introduction of a muzzle brake which meant a change of designation to 10.5-cm leFH 18(M), the suffix denoting *Mundungbremse*, or muzzle brake. The introduction of this muzzle attachment meant that a special sabot sub-calibre 88-mm (3.46-in) projectile could not be fired until a new revised design was introduced slightly later.

Thus the leFH 18 series went to war and proved itself efficient enough until the winter campaign in the Soviet Union took its toll in 1941-2, During the thaws involved in that campaign large numbers of 105-mm(4.13-in) howitzers were lost because the weights involved were too great for the available towing vehicles to drag weapons clear of the all-prevailing mud, Thus the overweight howitzers showed their disadvantage with a vengeance, and a hurried search for some form of alternative carriage then began.

The result was an unsatisfactory improvisation, The carriage of the 7.5-cm Pak 40 anti-tank gun was simply taken as the new mount for the leFH 18(M) gun, its associated cradle and the large shield. The result was slightly lighter than the original (but not by very much), and the improvised arrangement gave constant problems that were never properly eradicated. It was intended that the new howitzer/ carriage combination, designated 10.5-cm leFH 18/40, would become the standard field howitzer for all the German army, but this never happened and in 1945 even the old FH 16 was still in the line.

Specification 10.5-cmleFH 18/40 Calibre: 105 mm(4.134 in) Length of piece: 3.31 m (130.23 in) Weight: travelling and in action 1955 kg (4,310 lb) Elevation:-5° to+42° Traverse: 60° Muzzle velocity: 540 m (1,770 ft) per second Range: 12325 m (13,478 yards) Shell weight: 14.81 kg (32.65 lb)



Above: Abandoned 10.5-cm leFH 18(M) howitzers in Normandy in June 1944. Note the obvious bulk and weight of the trail legs and spades that combined to make this howitzer too heavy for the mobile field role.

Below: 10.5-cm leFH 18sin action in France during May 1940 when these howitzers, towed into action by halftracks, consistently outfought the more numerous French artillery units as they swept across France.




7.5-cm Feldkanone 16 nA and leichte Feldkanone 18

Almost as soon as the German army began to adopt new field guns in the late 19th century they adopted the calibre of 77 mm (3.03 in) as their standard field gun calibre. In 1896 they produced the C/96 of this calibre, and in 1916 updated and revised the design to produce the 7.7-cm FK 16 (*Feld Kanone*, or field gun, and 16 for 1916). After 1918 there was a drastic

GERMANY

After 1918 there was a drastic rethink of German weapon practices, and among the changes that emerged from this study was the adoption of a new standard calibre of 75 mm (2.95 in); this calibre was (and still is) a standard field gun ammunition calibre, so the Germans were only following a well trodden path. The Versailles Treaty had left the rump of the German army with a stockpile of the old FK 16s, so in order to modernize these guns they were rebarrelled with new 75-mm (2.95-in) barrels. The guns were then known as the 7.5-cm FK 16 nA, with the nA denoting *neuer Artillerie*, or new model.

The rebarrelled guns were issued during 1934, initially to horse-drawn batteries supporting cavalry units. The Germans continued to use horse cavalry units until 1945, but by then the FK 16 nA had fallen out of use for it was really a relic of a past era, and was as such too heavy and lacking in mobility for the cavalry role. Instead many were relegated to the training role or were issued to various second-line units. Large numbers were still in service when the war ended, and one fired its way into history when it held up an The Model 16 field gun seen here is being used to train members of the Indian Legion, one of the units raised by the Germans from disaffected prisoners of war to fight against their former comrades.

Allied armoured formation for some time during the fighting near the Normandy beach-heads in June 1944. That particular gun was not destroyed until it had knocked out at least 10 Allied tanks,

Even while the rebarrelling of the old FK 16 carriages was under way a call for a new design of cavalry gun was put out. During 1930 and 1931 both Krupp and Rheinmetall produced designs, and although the Krupp design was finally chosen it was not until 1938 that the first examples were issued for service. The new design became the 7.5-cm leFK 18 (*leichte Feldkanone*, or light field gun), and it had such modern features as a split trail carriage to increase the on-carriage traverse (so useful for anti-armoured warfare) and a range of ammunition that included a hollow-charge warhead for use against tanks, The leFK 18 was judged to to be a great success, Its range was less than that of the weapon it was intended to replace, and the complex carriage made it an expensive and difficult item to produce. Consequently not many were produced and the emphasis for field artillery calibres changed to 105 mm (4.134 in). However, the leFK



18 was kept in production for export sales to gain influence and foreign currency. Some sales were made to various South American countries and in one of them (Brazil) the leFK 18 is still in limited use.

Specification FKIGnA Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Length of piece: 2.70 m (106.3 in) Weight: travelling 2415 kg (5,324 lb) and in action 1524 kg (3,360 lb) Elevation: -9° to +44° Traverse:4° Muzzle velocity: 662 m (2,172 ft) per second. Range: 12875 m (14,080 yards) Shell weight: 5.83 kg (12.85 lb)

Specification leFK 18 Calibre: 75 mm (2,95 in) Length of piece: 1.94 m (76,4 in) Weight: travelling 1324 kg (2,919 lb) and in action 1120 kg (2,470 lb) Elevation:-5° to+45° Traverse: 30° Muzzle velocity: 485 m (1,590 ft) per second Range: 9425 m (10,310 yards) Shell weight: 5.83 kg (12.85 lb)



^{GERMANY} 10.5-cm Kanone 18 and 18/40

Among the post-war requirements for a new German artillery park to replace the lost relics of World War I was that for a new long-range gun for use by corps rather than field artillery batteries. This project was one of the very first put out to the underground German armaments industry, for by 1926 both Krupp and Rheinmetall had produced specimen designs and by 1930 both were ready with prototype hardware.

As it turned out the German army could not decide which design to approve; in the end it compromised by accepting the Rheinmetall barrel and the Krupp carriage. The Krupp carriage was destined to become one of the most widely used of all the German artillery carriages, for it was the same as that used on the larger 15-cm sFH 18 howitzer series. It was 1934 before the first guns actually reached the troops and for a while the new gun, known as the 10.5-cm K 18 (*Kanone*, or gun), was the standard weapon of the medium artillery batteries.

This state of affairs did not last long for the choice of 105-mm (4.134-in) calibre for a medium gun was to prove an unhappy one. In a nutshell the gun was too heavy for the weight of projectile fired. The larger 150-mm (actually 149 mm/5.87 in) howitzers fired a much more efficient projectile over almost the same range and at no great increase in weapon weight. There was also another snag: when the K 18 entered service it was at a time when the German army had yet to become even partially mechanized, so the guns had to be pulled by horse teams. The gun weighed too much for one horse team



to tackle, so the barrel and carnage had to be towed as separate loads, which was a bit much for a 105-mm (4,13-in)gun, Later on the introduction of half-tracked tractors enabled the piece to be towed in one load, but by then the K 18 was on a very low production priority.

In order to was only tely tow production priority. In order to make the K 18 a more powerful weapon, the German staff planners called for an increase in range. There was no way to produce this increase without lengthening the length of the barrel from the original IV52 to IV60. The first of these improved models was ready in 1941 and was known as the 10.5-cm K 18440, but it was not put into production until much later when the designation had been changed yet again to 10.5-cm sK 42 (schwere Kanone, or heavy gun). Very few were actually produced. By 1941 the disadvantages of the K

By 1941 the disadvantages of the K 18 and its later versions had been recognized, but there remained a role for them where their weight and bulk would be of a relatively minor disadvantage, namely coastal defence. Weapons for the Atlantic Wall, at that time still under construction, were in great demand and short supply, so the K 18 was assigned to that relatively static role, As a coastal defence weapon the piece had a considerable A 10.5-cm K18 stands in splendid isolation in the middle of an abandoned German field position in the Western Desert. In the background is one of the famous '88' Flakguns, giving an indication that the position was in tended to be some form ofstrongpoint.

advantage in its long range, even if the projectile weight was still rather low, To enable it to be used to greater advantage when firing at marine targets anew range of ammunition was introduced, among which was a special sea marker shell for ranging purposes. Specification 10.5-cmkl8 Calibre: 105 mm (4.134 in) Length of piece: 5.46 m (214.96 in) Weight: travelling 6434 kg (14,187 lb) and in action 5624 kg (12,400 lb) Elevation:-0° to+48° Traverse: 64° Muzzle velocity: 835 m (2,740 ft) per second Range: 19075 m (20,860 yards) Shell weight: 15.14 kg (33.38 lb)

British infantry examine a 10.5-cm K 18; note the sheer size of this gun. In the foreground is a handspike used to move the trail legs either for a rapid change of traverse or to join them together for a move to a new position.

USSR



76.2-mm Field Gun Model 00/02 and 02/30

The family of field guns based on the old Russian 00/02 design are among that group of weapons which are little known or regarded but are yet among those which have provided excellent service over a long period. They are still hardly known outside the Soviet Union, but they were used throughout two world wars (and in a great number of other conflicts as well) and have all plaved their part in world history.

of other connects as well) and nave an played their part in world history. The original gun in the series was the Russian 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 00, produced in 1900 by Putilov. The origins of the Model 00 may have been in a Krupp design, for the Russian weapon certainly had many of the current Krupp features, but by 1902 the full production model, the Model 00/02 was being issued to the Tsarist armies. The type was used throughout the many large-scale campaigns on the Eastern Front in World War I, and throughout them all was used in an unspectacular but effective manner,

After the upheavals of 1918 the Model 00/02 was retained by the new Red Army but numbers were either sold or handed over to some of the new Baltic States and such nations under Soviet influence such as Finland. Poland also received a batch which they proceeded to convert from the original 76.2-mm (3-in) to 75-mm (2.95-in) calibre to match the rest of their French-supplied equipments. The Poles knew the gun as the armata wz 02/26, and it was still in service when Germany attacked in 1939, examples passing into German service being designated 7.5-cm FK 02/26(p).

passing into German service being designated 7.5-cm FK 02/26(p). In the Soviet Union the Red Army decided to modernize its large but elderly gun stocks and the Model 00/02 was an early candidate for the process. In 1930 most of the in-service guns were updated by the introduction of new ammunition, better propellants and in some cases new barrels. To confuse matters some guns retained their original IV30 barrels while others were fitted with entirely new IV40 barrels. Both of these modernized guns were then known as the Model 02/30, and became two of the standard Red Army artillery field pieces. Large numbers were in use when the Germans in turn took over the types as two of their own standard field weapons (7.62-cm FK 295/l(r) for the L/30 and 7.62-cm FK 295/2(r) for the L/40). The guns were later relegated to the usual round of second-line units and Atlantic Wall beach-defence purposes.

Wall beach-defence purposes, The Model 02/30 was not used only by the Red Army. Numbers found their way all over the world, especially in the years following 1945 when many started to appear in the Far East. Large numbers were handed over to the Communist Chinese, who used them both against their Nationalist foes and later against the United Nations forces in Korea. The type turned up again in the hands of the Viet Minh in Indo-China and it may be doubted if the last has yet been heard of this gun.

For all its longevity and variety of forms, the Model 00/02 and Model 037 30 were entirely orthodox guns in almost every way. Most never lost their original spoked wheels or their simple box trails, and the majority appear to have retained their gun shields throughout their service lives. They must have been produced in thousands, but perhaps the greatest



reasons for their longevity were their essential simplicity and design to meet the worst rigours of the Russian terrain and climate. Any weapon that could resist them could stand up to virtually anything,

Specification Model00/03 (ySOtype) Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Lengthofpiece: 2.286 m (90 in) Weight: in action 1320 kg (2,910 lb) Elevation:-5° to+37° Traverse: 2.66° Soviet field guns were captured in huge numbers during the early stages of the war in the east, and with Germany having a huge requirement for weapons both to continue the war and to control occupied territories many of these captured weapons were pressed into service. This 76.2mm field gun is on the Atlantic Wall.

Muzzle velocity: 646 m (2,119 ft) per second Range: 12400 m (13,565 yards) Shell weight: 6.4 kg (14.11 lb)



76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1936

By the early 1930s the Red Army artillery staff was becoming aware that its stock of field pieces was falling behind those of the rest of Europe in power and efficiency, and so in the early 1930s the USSR began a programme for new weapons. One early attempt, made in 1933, was the placing of a new 76.2-mm (3-in) barrel on the carriage of a 107-mm (4-in) field gun, but this was intended only as a stopgap until

the introduction of what was intended to be one of the best all-round field guns in the world, The new gun was introduced in

The new gun was introduced in 1936, and was thus known as the 76.2mm Field Gun Model 1936, usually known as the 76-36. It was an excellent design that made quite an impression on artillery designers elsewhere when the details became known over the next few years. The 76-36 had a very long and slender barrel mounted on a deceptively simple split-trail carriage that provided a wide angle of traverse. This wide angle had been deliberately designed into the weapon for even by that time (the early 1930s) the Red Army's anti-tank defence philosophy had been formulated to the extent where every gun and howitzer in the Soviet armoury had to have its own inherent anti-tank capability. Even when firing a standard high explosive shell the 76-36 had a powerful antiarmour effect, and this factor was a constant benefit throughout the service life of the gun,

The 76-36 first saw active service in Finland in the Winter War of 1939-1940. It performed effectively enough in this campaign, but in its second major deployment it did not fare so well. The second campaign was the invasion of the Soviet Union by Germany, in which it was not so much that the 76-36s did not perform well but rather that they had little chance to do anything. The advancing German armies moved so fast that whole Soviet armies were cut off and destroyed. Huge numbers of 76-36s fell into German hands and, more disastrously for the Soviets, the Germans also captured a great deal of the manufacturing plant that produced the guns. Thus almost the whole Red Army stock of 76-36 guns was lost within a very short time.

German artillery experts swarmed over the captured guns. They took measurements, carried out their own firing trials and came up with two suggestions. One was that the 76-36 should become a standard German field gun, 7.62-mm FK 296(r) as there was enough ammunition to hand to make them useful for some time, and long-term plans were laid to produce more ammunition in Germany. The second suggestion was also acted upon. That was to convert the 76-36 into a specialized anti-tank gun for use against even the most powerfully

USSR

armoured Soviet tanks, and this suggestion was also implemented. Large numbers of 76-36 guns were taken to Germany, and there modified to take new ammunition for the guns to become the 7.62-cm Pak 36(r), one of the best all-round anti-tank guns of World War II. The changes for the antitank role also involved some oncarnage changes (such as all the fire control wheels being used by the layer instead of the original two men) and a few other modifications.

Thus a Soviet field gun ended up being used just as much by the Germans as by the Red Army. With the disruption in production imposed by the German advances the 76-36 was never put back into full production, although spare parts were made in a few places for use on the few 76-36s remaining in Red Army hands. By 1944 the 76-36 was no longer a Red Army weapon, for they had by then a new gun in service.

Specification Field Gun Model 1936 Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Length of piece: 3,895 m (153.3 in)



Weight: travelling 2400 kg (5,292 lb)and in action 1350 kg (2,977 lb)Elevation:-5° to+75° Traverse: 60° Muzzle velocity: 706 m (2,316 ft) per second Range: 13850 m (15,145 yards)Shell weight: 6.4 kg (14,1ib)

A long way from home for this 7.62cm Model 1936 field gun, taken from the Eastern Front by the Germans in 1941 and converted for use as a very effective anti-tank gun in North Africa.

The 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1942 was produced in greater numbers than any other artillery weapon of World War II. Also known as the 76-42 or Zis-3, the Model 1942 was a very sound design with no frills and

good performance, as it fired a 6.21kg (13.7-lb) shell to a range of 13215 m (14,450 yards).

HC.

76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1942

With much of their artillery production facilities lost to the advancing German forces during 1941, the Soviet staff planners had some difficult decisions to make. Vast stockpiles of weapons of all kinds had been lost to the Germans and in order to make new weapons production capacity had to be hurriedly improvised in outlying areas where factories did not even exist. One factor in the Soviet's favour was that their weapon design bureaux were inherently conservative and made few innovations, depending rather on the gradual evolution of design and on the practice of using a new gun or carriage in conjunction with an existing carriage or gun.

This practice served the Soviets well after 1941, for in 1939 they had introduced a new gun known as the 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1939, or 76-39. This was introduced mainly because it was realized that good as the 76-36 was, it was really too bulky and a smaller design was thus desirable, The 76-39 used a shorter barrel on the carriage derived from that of the 76-36. When the Germans struck in 1941 they did not capture the main plant for 76-39 barrels, though they did take the carriage plant for the 76-36. Thus it was possible to use the barrel and recoil mechanism of the 76-39 on a new carriage to allow production to once more get under way. The result was the 76-22 mm Field Gun Model 1942, later known as the 76-42 or Zis-3. The 76-42 was to achieve fame by

The 76-42 was to achieve fame by being produced in greater numbers than any other gun during World War II. It was produced in its thousands, and if this had not been enough it turned out to be an excellent all-round weapon capable of being used not only as a field gun but an anti-tank gun, *a* form of tank gun and a self-propelled gun. The new carriage was a very simple but sturdy affair using split pole trails and a simple flat shield. The gun assembly was modified to take a muzzle brake to reduce firing stresses and keep the carriage as light as possible and throughout the design process emphasis was given to ease of mass production. Once in action the 76-42 proved light and easy to handle, and it also had excellent range. To simplify the Red Army's logistic load the ammunition was ruthlessly standardized to the point where the 76-42 used the same types of ammunition as the 76.2-mm (3-in) guns carried by the T-34 tanks and many other similar guns. Only two basic types of projectile and AP (though smoke was fired on

The 76-42 was produced in such large numbers that it remains in service with some nations to this day. Examples were encounterd in Korea and Indo-China, and the gun is still widely used in Africa and the Far East, The

A 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1942, or Zis-3, in action in the ruins of the Tractor Works in Stalingrad during the ferocious fighting in the winter of 1942-3. Both sides discovered that this gun had a very good anti-tank capability. 76-42 has been widely issued to various guerrilla groups such as the PLO and SWAPO in South West Africa, and there seems to be no time-limit on its active life.

Numerous attempts were made to mount the 76-42 on various selfpropelled carriages but only one was ever produced in any quantity, This was the SU-76, another ex-Soviet weapon that is still in widespread service. Specification Field Gun Model 1942 Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Length of piece: 3.246m (127.8 in)Weight: travelling and in action 1120 kg (2,470 lb) Elevation: -5° to+ 37° Traverse: 54° Muzzle velocity: 680 m (2,230 ft) per second Range: 13215 m (14,450 yards) Shell weight: 6.21 kg (13,7 lb)



of the last large-scale use

had been born during World

War I, but by 1939 the heavy

with the fire-control systems

been in 1918. Although the guns

which were hardly more

Heavy Anti-Aircraft Guns

The dramatic rise in the power of aircraft between the wars saw many areas formerly safe from battle come under threat. While the major counter to highaltitude bombing was the defending fighter, ground forces also had a part to play, notably centred around the anti-aircraft gun.

> duction. At many and diverse locations these guns stood and waited for an enemy which often never arrived, but elsewhere the enemy came in droves and the heavy anti-aircraft guns were in action for as long as their crews could load them.

Among the guns discussed here is one that has by now become almost a legend, namely the German '88'. This famous gun earned its reputation outside its design spectrum as an antiarmour weapon, but all its details are provided here along with accounts of its use in action. Nevertheless, as will be seen, the '88' was not endowed with magical powers; nor did it have a specification that made it differ from many other weapons in this book. It was simply the way it was used that attracted so much notoriety. Many other guns could have been used in a similar way against armour but their owners were either not so inclined or not organized to use anti-aircraft guns against land targets. They were used instead for the role for which they were designed, namely the engagement of aircraft targets in defence of a locality or installation. Most of them were able to carry out this task more than adequately, and certainly as well as any German '88'.

The combatting of raiding bombers was the task of anti-aircraft guns, such as these Soviet 85mm (3.34in) examples, a design highly prized by the Germans who sent captured pieces to the Reich.

 γ orld War II was the scene appeared to be similar to the World War I weapons they had in of the heavy anti-aircraft gun - it fact been considerably advanced was also its hey clay. The weapon in performance: more powerful charges fired larger and more effective projectiles to greater anti-aircraft gun was basically the heights than ever before and at much higher muzzle velocities. same as that used in 1918, along Their carriages had also been updated. advanced in 1939 than they had

Here and there some leftovers from World War I had survived.

especially among the French 75mm (2.95in) guns. But by 1939 many of the guns in service were no longer the hasty improvisations of 1918 and earlier, but purpose-designed and purpose-built weapons of considerable power. Upon them fell the brunt of the defence of cities and field armies against air attack, and the same guns defended the important centres of communication and pro-





Between the two world wars the Italian armaments industry produced many good designs, but not many got to the hardware stage for the Italian economy was constrained, then as now, by an overall shortage of raw materials of every kind. Thus before any new weapon design was introduced into service it had to be vetted carefully to ensure that it was as good a design as possible to justify the expenditure involved. So when Ansaldo produced a new anti-aircraft gun in 1926 it was examined over a long period before production was authorized, and it was not until 1934 that the gun was actually in service.

The new gun was the Cannone da 75/46 C.A. modello 34 (75/46 denoting the calibre of 75 mm and the barrel length of 46 calibres). In overall design the 75/46 was a sound though unremarkable effort that owed much to the influence of the contemporary Vickers designs produced in the United Kingdom. This was especially apparent in the carriage design, with a central pivot on which the gun saddle swivelled and a folding cruciform platform. On the move the platform legs were folded together, leaving the pivot resting on a two-wheeled carriage arrangement. When the equipment was ready for emplacement, the legs were swung forward and the wheels removed once the load had been taken by the centre of the carriage. The arrangement of the ordnance on the carriage was very simple and straightforward, and the fire-control instruments on the carriage were simple but adequate, As always for the Italian armaments industry, the main problem with the 75/46 was one of production. Despite ever-increasing demands from the field, production was slow and erratic. Initially 240 equipments were ordered, but even by the end of 1942 only 226 had been delivered. Not all of these were used primarily as antiaircraft guns, some being emplaced as dual-purpose anti-aircraft and coastal defence guns at selected points. This meant that many of the rather ancient AA weapons in use at the time had to be retained well past their planned replacement dates. Things were not helped greatly by the diversion of some finished barrels for use in *semovente* (tracked assault gun) mountings.

Despite this dispersion of effort, the 75/46 was spread as thinly as possible for home defence of the Italian mainland and the North African territories. When Italian army units moved to serve on the Eastern Front they took a further 54 guns with them, leaving even fewer to defend Italy. But even these guns were destined to follow a varied service career, for in 1943 after the Italian surrender the guns still around were taken over by German occupation forces, The 74/46 then became the 7.5-cm Flak 264/3(i), but the type was not used by the Germans outside Italy other than in some of their anti-Yugoslav partisan operations. Even this change of hands did not mark the end of the ownership list for the 75/46, for following the Allied invasion of the Italian mainland numbers were captured by the advancing Allied



This Cannone da 75/46 C.A. Modello 34 is in action against Allied aircraft Hying over Libya. This Ansaldo gun was the standard Italian anti-aircraft

armies and eventually used in a coastal defence role around such ports as Naples.

Specification

Cannone da 75/46 C.A. modello 1934 Calibre: 75 mm (2,95 in) Weight: travelling 4405 kg (9,711 lb) and firing 3300 kg (7,275 lb) Dimensions: lengthoverall 7,4 m (24 ft gun; it was used on all the Italian fronts and was a good all-round performer, but could not be supplied in the quantities required.

3 in); width 1.85 m(6 ft0.8 in); height 2.15 m(7 ft0.6 in); length of barrel 3.45 m (11 ft3.8 in); length of rifling 2.844 m (9 ft4 in) Elevation: $+907-2^{\circ}$ Traverse: 360° Maximum effective ceiling: 8300 m (27,230ft) Shell weight: 6.5 kg (14,33 lb) Muzzle velocity: 750 m (2,461 ft) per second



Cannone da 90/53

Of all the anti-aircraft guns in service with the Italian army from 1941 to 1943 none was better than the Cannone da 90/53. It was an excellent weapon that could stand comparison with any of its contemporaries, and it was a good, sound and modern design. It was another product of the Ansaldo design team and the first examples were produced during 1939. Production was authorized in three main versions.

ITALY

The most numerous version of the 90/53 was supposed to be the modello 4IP intended for static emplacement only; 1,087 examples of this version were ordered. A further 660 examples of the towed modello 41C were ordered, while another order was for a further 57 guns to be mounted on a variety of heavy trucks (autocannoni da 90/53). A later order requested yet another batch of barrels (30) for mounting on self-propelled tracked mount-ings.

ings. Ordering these weapons was one thing, but producing them was quite another, and the final production figures never reached the original optimistic totals. By July 1943 only 539 weapons of all variants had been delivered, but by then the production line was in German hands and continued for German use alone, German formations in North Africa had already had the 90/53 in their service for some time, for they recognized it as a very good gun comparable with their own '88'. At first sight the 90/53 resembled the 8.8cm (3.465-in) Flak 18 and Flak 37 weapons, but there were many differences and the similarities were only superficial. The 90/53 had a pivot carriage mounted on a cruciform platform, but on the carriage itself the arrangement of the fire-control instruments was quite different from those of the German guns and the barrel was of one-piece construction instead of the multi-section arrangement of the later German guns.

The Italians used the 90/53 as a multipurpose weapon on occasion, but some were emplaced as dual-purpose anti-aircraft/coast defence weapons. At times they were used as long-range field guns and the performance of the gun was such that it could match the German '88' as an anti-armour weapon. Numbers were also diverted to the Ita-lian navy. The Germans valued the 90/ 53 so highly that following the Italian surrender of 1943 they impressed as many 90/53s as they could find. Many of them were sent back to Germany for the defence of the Reich as the 9-cm Flak 41(i) though the official designa-tion was 9-cm Flak 309/l(i), and by December 1944 315 such equipments are mentioned in German records, though many of these would no doubt have been emplaced in Northern Italy. Numbers of 90/53s also fell into Allied hands during their advance north through Italy, and many of these were impressed for the coast defence role by British coastal batteries around the main captured ports.

Specification Cannone da 90/53 Calibre: 90 mm (3.54 in)



Weight: travelling 8950 kg (19,731 lb) and firing 6240 kg (13,757 lb) Dimensions: length 7.60 m(24 ft 11.2 in); width2.30 m(7 ft 6.5 in); height 2.50 m(8 ft 2,4 in); length of barrel 4.736 m(15 ft 6.5 in); length of rifling 4.046 m (13 ft 3.3 in) Elevation: $+857-2^{\circ}$ Traverse: 360° Maximum effective ceiling: 12000 m (39,370 ft) Shell weight: 10.33 kg (22.77 lb)

This Cannone da 90/53 is rendered mobile by mounting on a Autocarro Pesante Lancio 3/RO heavy truck. The gun is seen here fitted with a protective shield for the gun crew in action, and very noticeable are the outriggers used to stabilize the gun when firing. Only a few of these combinations were made.

Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second



Type 88 75-mm anti-aircraft gun

The 75-mm (2.95-m) Type 88 Mobile Field AA Gun was a Japanese army weapon introduced into service in 1928, At that period the Type 88 was as good a gun as any in service, and was well capable of tackling the aerial targets then likely to be encountered. But it was soon overtaken by increases in aircraft performance, to the extent that it could at best be described as an efficient but indifferent performer. The Type 88 design was chosen af-

ter an examination of other current and prospective anti-aircraft guns, and was an amalgam of some of the better points of several weapons, The barrel was a single-piece design with a sliding breech and mounted on the thenfashionable central pivot. The firing platform had five legs which folded fore and aft for transport, and to assist the overall balance on the move the barrel was partially retracted. In action each outrigger leg was supported on an adjustable foot for levelling and there was another adjustable foot under the central pivot. A central pair of wheels was used to tow the gun along roads, these being removed be-

fore firing. Like so many other contemporary Japanese weapons, the Type 88 was difficult to produce as virtually everything on the gun had to be hand-made. It gradually became the standard Japanese army anti-aircraft gun and at one time or another was used by every army field formation, starting in China and Manchuria during the 1930s. It was also widely used during the early Japanese advances in the Pacific. However, once the Japanese mainland came increasingly under threat of air However, once the Japanese mainland came increasingly under threat of air attack from 1943 onwards the Type 88s were gradually withdrawn from the more outlying island garrisons and sent to the home islands. Their places were taken by a motley array of di-verse weapons, mainly ex-naval pieces dug into improvised land em-placements placements

Back in Japan the Type 88 soon de-monstrated that it suffered from a low



The French 75-mm guns

When the problem of anti-aircraft de-fences arose during World War I the French army reacted in its usual man-French army reacted in its usual man-ner, taking the ordnance of the famous '75', the mie 1897 field gun, and placing it onto a simple high-angle mounting, There were several of these mount-ings, one being a simple arrangement of the gun on a fixed turntable with the carriage knocked up, from steal carriage knocked up from steel assemblies. This simple arrangement was the Canon de 75 mm anti-aérien mie 1915, but a better arrangement was produced by the Canon de 75 mm anti-aérien mie 1913, which was an early attempt to produce a self-propelled anti-aircraft gun by mount-ing a mie 1897 on a truck. Despite the early design date this turned out to be a remarkably good anti-aircraft weapon but it was not the only use of the mie 1897 for the role. There was also a Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1917 which was a towed piece but one in which all the firecontrol instruments were mounted on the carriage; this was a Schneider design

These three equipments were still in use in appreciable numbers in 1939

maximum effective ceiling (the altitude to which the projectiles could be fired to engage an aircraft target for a useful amount of time). For the Type 88 this was about 7250m (23,785ft), and on many occasions Boeing B-29 bom-bers could operate at well above this altitude. But for the Japanese it was the Type 88 or nothing, for as always they lacked the large manufacturing base and design experience to produce anything better in the time available. Instead they had to impress all manner of modified naval guns for the home defence role and even resorted to the use of simple mortars for low-level de-fences in some areas

use of simple mortars for low-level de-fences in some areas. The Type 88 is mentioned in some Allied intelligence reports as having an anti-armour role, but there appears to be little (if any) evidence of the Type 88 being used in this role. A special armour-piercing projectile known as the Type 95 was produced for use by the Type 95 was produced for use by the Type 88, but the usual high explosive projectile was the Type 90.

Specification

Type 88 Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Weight: travelling 2747 kg (6,056 lb) and firing 2443 kg (5,386 lb) Dimensions: length travelling 4,542 m (14 ft 10.8 in); width 1.951 m(6 ft 4.8 in) height2.019m(6ft7.5in); lengthof hor 13.315 m(10ft10,5in); length of rifling 2.578 m (8 ft 5.5 in) Elevation: $+85^{\circ}/-0^{\circ}$ Traverse:360°

Maximum effective ceiling: 7250 m (23,785ft)

Shell weight: 6,58 kg (14.5 lb) Muzzle velocity: 720 m (2,362 ft) per second





Above: The mount of a captured Type 88 75-mm gun is examined in the Pacific. Notice the five legs of the firing platform and the detached barrel at the bottom left of the photograph.

Left: An emplaced 75-mm (2.95-in) Type 88 anti-aircraft gun. This Japanese gun should not be confused with the German 88, for the Japanese Type 88 referred to the year of introduction according to the Japanese calendar and not to the calibre, as with the German gun; the two had very little in common.

ple reason that there appeared to be no real need to replace them; moreover, funds for new equipment for the French army were scant while the Maginot Line was being constructed. However, by the late 1920s it was appreciated that the old mie 1897 field gun was being rapidly outmoded as an anti-aircraft weapon and that higher-

velocity weapons would soon be needed, Thus there started a desultory programme of re-equipping the many old batteries. Some of the first to be updated were the fixed batteries around such locations as Paris, where the old fixed mie 1915 equipments simply had their barrels replaced with a more powerful Schneider ordnance a more powerful Schneider ordnance to produce the Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 17/34. This new barrel provided a much better performance with less time-of-flight and improved service ceiling. Similar barrels were placed on the old mie 1913 truck-mounted equipments and also on the almost-as-old mie 1917 equipments, but so slow was this gradual rebarrell-ing programme that many guns still had their original mie 1897 barrels in

when World War II began for the sim-



The Canon de 75 mm mie 1936 was a Schneider design produced only in small numbers. This example was

captured in North Africa from the Vichy French in 1943.

1940

Some completely new equipments were produced during the 1930s. Us-ing the new Schneider barrel a completely new anti-aircraft gun known as the Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1933 was produced during the mid-1980s. This was an odd-looking gun mounted in action on a cruciform platform with the barrel trunnions mounted well down the barrel near the breech; 192 equipments were in service in 1940, Another totally new Schneider weapon was produced in two forms as the Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1932 and 1936, which djffered only in detail, This was a thoroughly modern weapon designed from the outset for mobility. The mie 1932 had a crew of nine men and could fire up to 25 rounds per minute. On the road it could be towed at speeds of up to 40 km/h (24.85 mph). When the Germans invaded in May

1940, the French army was thus still in a state of confusion regarding anti-aircraft guns, The planned programme of replacement of the old weapons was still far from complete, and many guns still had their obsolete mie 1897 barrels. There were really too many types of guns in service for logistical comfort but in the event the advances of May and June 1940 swept the French army away before the anti-aircraft guns could make any impact on the Luftwaf-(2.95-in) anti-aircraft equipment were captured by the Germans, who took over many for their own use - but not

SWEDEN

the old mie 1897s, which were removed from their carriages and were later used as beach defence weapons in the Atlantic Wall. However, many of the more modern Schneider guns were still in German use in 1944, The designations were 7.5-cm FK 97(f) for the 75-mm anti-aérien, 7.5-cm Flak M.17/34(f) for the mie 17, 7.5-cm Flak M.33(f) for the mie 1933, and 7.5-cm Flak M.36(f) for the mie 36,

Specification

second

Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 193

Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Weight: travelling 5300 kg (11,684 lb) and firing 3800 kg (8,377 lb) Dimensions: length travelling 6.95 m (22 ft 9.6 in); width travelling 1.5 m (4 ft 11 in); length of barrel 4.05 m (13 ft 3.5 in); length of rifling 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in) Elevation:+707-5° Traverse: 360°

Maximum ceiling: 8000 m (26,245 ft) Shell weight: 6.44 kg (14.21b) Muzzle velocity: 700 m (2,297 ft) per

The Germans were always short of anti-aircraft guns, and used as many ex-French guns as they could. This gun in German hands is a 75-mm mie 1933 formed by placing a modernized Schneider barrel onto a revised and updated World War I carriage. At one time the Germans had 160 of these in service.



Bofors 75-mm and 80-mm Model 1929 and Model 1930

The widely acknowledged success of the 40-mm Bofors gun has tended to overshadow the fact that the Swedish company of Bofors also made a larger and quite successful 75-mm (2.95-in) anti-aircraft gun. The Bofors concern has always been insistent that this gun was evolved by the company alone, but it cannot be overlooked that the design was being formulated at a time when Bofors was working in close association with the Krupp team resident in Sweden as a means to avoid the terms of the Versailles Treaty. It now seems almost certain that some form of cross-fertilization occurred between the two teams, for almost at the same the two teams, for almost at the same instant the Krupp team produced a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun that led eventually to the famous German '88' and Bofors pro-duced its 75-mm (2.95-in) Model 1929. The Model 29 differed in many de-tails from the Krupp 75-mm (2.95-in) design, but the two weapons had a very similar performance. Other simi-

very similar performance. Other simi-larities were that both used a cruciform carriage with a central traverse, and carriage with a central traverse, and that both guns used barrels of similar length and construction, But whereas the Krupp gun was used in only limited numbers by the German navy and a few South American states, the Bofors model was adopted by the Swedish armed forces in two versions.

There were two main models of the Bofors gun, the Model 29 and Model 30. These differed only in detail, but to confuse matters both were produced for export in calibres of 75 mm and 80mm(2.95inand 3.15in). Export versions were sold to Argentina, China, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Iran and Thailand, some in 75-mm (2.95-in) and

somein80-mm(3.15-in)calibre.Oneof the largest customers was Hungary, which received 80 mm guns; these where used extensively during the period when the Hungarian army was allied with the Germans along the Eastern Front from 1941 to 1944, and more were retained for home defence. In Hungary the Model 29 was known as the 8-cm 29 M. Another 80-mm (3.15-in) customer was the Dutch East In-dies, but few of these weapons sur-vived after 1942.

The Bofors gun was a sound but unspectacular performer. It used a cruci-form firing platform that was lowered to the ground from two wheeled axles, which were then completely removed which were then completely removed before firing. A horizontal breech block mechanism was fitted, and this was virtually the same as that used on the Krupp gun. However, the Bofors gun did have one thing that the Krupp design lacked, namely an overall sim-plicity of design: the Bofors gun had little of the complicated fire-control little of the complicated fire-control equipment that was used on the Krupp design and proved to be easy to operate, even in the hands of relatively un-trained personnel. Thus when the Bofors gun was used in China it proved to be remarkably effective, and the type was chosen for its overall simple approach by such armed forces as those in the Dutch East Indies, which had to rely on a personnel force with few technical assets. Overall, the Bofors gun was a sound gun but one that was soon outperformed by later designs.

Specification 8-cm29M Calibre: 80 mm (3.15 in) Weight: travelling 4200 kg (9,259 lb) and firing 3300 kg (7,275 lb) Dimensions: barrellength 4.0 m (13 ft 1.6 in)

Elevation: +807-3° Traverse: 360° Maximumeffectiveceiling: 10000m (32,810ft) Shell weight: 8 kg (17.61b) Muzzle velocity: 750 m (2,461 ft) per second

The Swedish Bofors Model 29 was sold to various countries in either 75mm (2.95-in) and 80-mm (3.15-in) calibres. It was a sound design produced by Bofors when German designers were working in Sweden on the 88, and so there were many design features common to the two.



The terms of the 1919 Versaille Treaty laid down strict guidelines as to what artillery production could be be carried out in Germany, so the largest German armaments company, Krupp of Essen, sent a team to Sweden to carry on research and development outside the imposed restrictions. Working with Bofors the Krupps team worked initially on a 75-mm (2.95-in) anti-aircraft gun using clandestine German army funds, but the army was not particularly happy with the result and asked for something heavier, The 'Swedish' Krupp team accordingly produced a new and advanced 88-mm (3.465-in) gun that by 1933 was in series production at Essen as the NSDAP came to power.

This new gun was the 8.8-cm Flak 18 (Flak standing for *Fliegerabwehrkanone*, or anti-aircraft gun), and it was an immediate success. It was a long-barrelled gun mounted on a pivoted cruciform carriage which was in turn carried on the move by twin axles that allowed the gun to be rapidly placed into the firing position. The Flak 18 had a one-piece barrel but was later supplemented by an improved version, the 8.8-cm Flak 36, which had a multisection barrel on which only the worn part nearest the chamber needed to be changed after prolonged firing. Then came the 8.8-cm Flak 37, which was a Flak 36 with a revised system of fire-control data transmission more suited to static use than field use. In practice the three models were interchangeable to a high degree, and it was not unusual to see a Flak 18 barrel on a Flak 37 carriage. Several changes were introduced to the weapons once they were in service, including a revised twin-axle carriage arrangement, and the 8.8-cm Flak series was adapted to be carried on a variety of self-propelled mountings, including railway flatcars.

The 8,8-cm Flak series became one of the most celebrated weapons in the entire German army, for it went on to be as famous as an anti-tank weapon as it was as an anti-aircraft gun: following the gun's 'blooding' in Spain during the Civil War and again in France in 1940, it was discovered that the high muzzle velocity coupled with an efficient and



heavy projectile made the weapon ideal as a 'tank killer'. This became very evident during the early North African and later Eastern Front campaigns, but the 8.8-cm Flak series was really too high and bulky for the antitank role and had to rely on its range and power rather than concealment in action.

As anti-aircraft guns the 8.8-cm Flak series was the mainstay of the German field armies and of the defence of the Reich under Luftwaffe control. The type was never replaced by later models as had been planned, and in August 1944 there were 10,704 of all three models in service. Production was undertaken at several centres, and a wide range of ammunition was produced for these, weapons, including a high proportion of armour-piercing. By the end of the war versions for static emplacement only were being produced, but by then the 8,8-cm Flak series had been used on selfpropelled platforms, railway mountings, coastal defence locations, light shipping and in several experimental forms.

The 8.8-cm Flak guns were also used by the Italian army, and for a while in late 1944 the type was even used operationally by the US Army along the German borders when its own supply lines became overextended. Many were used by several armies post-war, and the Yugoslav army uses the 8.8-cm Flak as a coastal gun to this day.

Specification 8.8-cm Flak **18** Calibre: 88 mm (3.465 in) Weight: travelling 6861 kg (15,1261b) This Flak 36 is seen in action during the Soviet campaign. After the tribulations of the bitter winter of 1941, the German army had become more familiar with sub-zero fighting, but 'General Winter'was still a potent contributor to the Soviet war effort.

and firing 5150 kg (11,354 lb) Dimensions: length overall 7.62 m (25 ft 0 in); width 2.305 m (7 ft 6.75 in); height 2,418 m (7 ft 11.2 in); length of barrel 4,93 m (16 ft 2.1 in); length of rifling 4.124 m (13 ft 6.4 in) Elevation: +857-3° Traverse: 360° Maximum ceiling: 8000 m (26,245 ft) Shell weight: HE 9.24 kg (20,34 lb) Muzzle velocity: 820 m (2,690 ft) per second

\$

8.8-cm Flak 41

By 1939 it was obvious to the long-term German military planners that the expected increases in aircraft performance then on the way would render the existing 8.8-cm (3.465-in) and 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak weapons obsolete, so they initiated the development of a new 8,8-cm (3.465-in) weapon. Rheinmetall was given the contract for this new gun, and the company accordingly attempted to integrate into the design all the various lessons learned from the existing 8.8-cm Flak 18 and Flak 37 series. Thus the new weapon, known initially as the Gerät 37, was intended for use not only as an antiaircraft gun but it also had to be suited for use as an anti-tank weapon and even a field or coastal artillery niece

for use as an anti-tank weapon and even a field or coastal artillery piece. The result was that when development of the Gerät 37 was completed in 1941 a highly complicated weapon was presented to the troops. The Gerät 37 was adopted as the 8.8-cm Flak 41, but service development took until 1943 for the design was full of 'bugs', some of which were never entirely eliminated. An example of this can be quoted as the ammunition, which in typical German style used a long and expensive cartridge case. These cases frequently jammed on extraction after firing, to the extent that special high-grade brass cases had to be manufactured specifically for some of the early examples. Both three- and four-section barrels were produced, and the weapon even had an automatic fuse setter on the loading mechanism, There were no fewer than three separate firing circuits, and a powered rammer was

fitted. The first production examples were sent to Tunisia during the latter stages of the North African campaign: here their technical troubles continued and they were given little chance to shine. Thereafter they were assigned to use within the borders of the Reich only, where they could be near the very necessary workshop facilities that they constantly demanded. But it should not be thought that the Flak 41 was an unsuccessful weapon, for when it worked it was an excellent anti-aircraft gun. After the war it was generally regarded as the best of all the German anti-aircraft guns from a technical point of view, but one that required an inordinate amount of maintenance and repair time, When it did work properly it had a rate of fire of up to 25 rounds per minute and had a maximum effective ceiling of 14700m (48,230ft). It fired a different round from the other 8.8-cm (3.465-in) weapons. Despite the technical promise of the

Despite the technical promise of the Flak 41, the type was never produced in anything but limited numbers. It consumed a great deal of manufacturing potential and production was not assisted by the constant attention given by the Allied air forces to the weapon's main production centre at Düsseldorf. Further lengthy production delays were imposed when an attempt was made to switch some production to the Skoda Werke at Pilsen, but for all their efforts the most the Germans could ever field was 318 and that was in January 1945.

Specification

8.8-cm Hak 41 Calibre: 88 mm (3.465 in) Weight: travelling 11240 kg (24,780 lb) and firing 7840 kg (17,284 lb) Dimensions: length overall 9.658 m (31 ft8.2 in); width2.4 m(7 ft 10.5 in); height2.36 m(7 ft8.9 in); length of barrel 6.548 m (21 ft5.8 in); length of rifling 5.411m (17 ft 9 in) Elevation: +907-3° Traverse: 360° Maximum effective ceiling: 14700 m (48,230 ft) Shell weight: HE 9.4 kg (20,7 lb) Muzzle velocity: 1000 m (3,280 ft) per second



10.5-cm Flak 38 and Flak 39

As far back as 1933 the German milit-ary planners saw a need for an anti-aircraft gun heavier than the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series, and both Rheinmetall and Krupp were invited to sub-mit designs for a 'shoot-off contest for 10.5-cm (4,13-m) weapons held in 1935, Rheinmetall won the contract with its Gerät 38, which duly went into produc-tion as the 10.5-cm Flak 38. This model had an electrical control system and a powered loading mechanism, but was soon replaced in production by the 10.5-cm Flak 39 with a revised electrical and fire-control data system.

GERMANY

Both 10.5-cm (4.13-m) Flak guns were intended for use by the German field armies, but in the event they were almost all employed in the home dealmost all employed in the nome de-fence of the Reich. In appearance the Flak 38 and Flak 39 resembled scaled-up Flak 18 guns, but there were many detail differences and proportionally the Flak 38 and Flak 39 were much heavier and bulkier weapons. In over-all terms the Flak 38 and Flak 39 were complex weapons and ware mede complex weapons and were made more complex to manufacture by the use of a sectional barrel (for rapid change of the worn portion only after firing) on the Flak 39, Unfortunately, in action they proved to be little better than the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series as far as overall performance was con-cerned, and at one point it was even intended to replace them in produc-tion by the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak 41 though this never happened: produc-tion of the Flak 41 tion of the Flak 41 was so slow that the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak guns were kept on the production lines. When the war ended there were still 1,850 in service, most of these within the borders of the Reich

Although intended as a field weapon, the Flak 38 and Flak 39 were really too heavy for the role. They used a scaled-up version of the mobile twinaxle carriage of the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series, but even with the aid of integral winches and pulleys the guns



were slow and awkward to emplace. were slow and awkward to emplace. Many were subsequently assigned to static emplacements, and 116 were mounted on special Flak railway trucks that rumbled around the Reich wherever they were needed. Each model needed a crew of a commander and nine men, though use of the manual loading system required a further two men. The 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak series

never acquired the fame of the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series, mainly because (3.465-in) Flak series, mainly because it was not widely used in the field and because its bulk and weight meant that it was only rarely used as an anti-armour weapon, Overall its perform-ance was not as good as had been originally hoped, and despite a great deal of development work on a project known as the 10.5-cm Flak 40, which was to have had a longer barrel to fire a heavier projectile, the 10.5-cm (4.13in) Flak guns were never 'stretched' to the same extent as the other German Flak guns. Instead production went

steadily ahead at several centres until the war ended.

Specification 10.5-cm Flak 39 10.5-cm Flak 39 Calibre: 105 mm (4.13 in) Weight: travelling 14600 kg (32,187 lb) and firing 10240 kg (22,575 lb) Dimensions: length overall 10.31 m (33 ft 9.9 in); width 2.45 m(8 ft 0.5 in); height 2.9m(9 ft 6 in); length of barrel 6.648 m (21 ft 9.7 in); length of rifling 5.521 m (18 ft 10 in) 5.531 m (18 ft 1.9 in) Elevation:+857-3° Traverse:360° Maximum ceiling: 12800 m (41,995 ft) Shell weight: 15.1kg(33.3lb) Muzzle velocity: 880m(2,887 ft)per second

A 10.5-cm Flak39in action ona special railway truck mounting, here being used for harbour defence. These railway mountings were moved around the occupied territories and the Reich itself.

resembled scaled-up versions of the 8.8-cm Flak 18 series, but used an all-electrical control system and a revised loading system. Intended for use byfield units, many were later diverted to the Luftwaffe for the defence of the Reich and many were used on railway mountings.





GERMANY 12.8-cm Flak 40

The idea of producing a German 128mm (5.04-in) anti-aircraft gun was first mooted in 1936 when Rheinmetall was requested to produce a design known then as the Gerät 40. Progress on this design was not placed at a very high priority, so it was not until 1940 that the first prototype was ready. At that time it was intended that the Gerät 40 would be a weapon for the field army, but when the military saw the size and bulk

of the prototype they decided that the weapon would be produced for static use only. The weapon was ordered into production as the 12.8-cm Flak 40.

By that time plans had already been madeforaproduction-linemobileversion, so the first six were produced on mobile carriages. The Flak 40 was so large that it proved impossible to carry the gun in one load over other than very short distances, so a two-load system was initially employed, Even this proved to be too cumbersome, and was later revised to a single load once again. Later versions were produced for static use only, and such was the overall performance of the Flak 40 that it was carefully emplaced around some of the main production and population centres such as Berlin and Vienna, Special Flak towers were built in some locations to make best use of these guns, and there was also a special railcar version to provide the guns

with some sort of mobility. Production of the static version be-gan in 1942, but it was a costly and complex gun so by January 1945 there were only 570 in service, all of them based inside the borders of the "Reich,

Soon after full-scale production be-gan, the Flak 40 was joined by a twin version of the same gun known as the



12.8-cm Flakzwilling 40. This consisted of two 12.8-cm (5.04-in) Flak guns mounted side-by-side on the same mounting and provided with 'mirror' loading arrangements. These powerful gun combinations were used only on special Flak towers around the main centres of population within the Reich, and were so costly and difficult to produce that there were never many of them; even by February 1945 there were only 33 in service. The Flakzwilling (*Zwilling*, or twin) was introduced as it was realized that ever heavier anti-aircraft guns would be needed to counter the increasing performance of Allied bombers, and despite strenuous efforts to develop guns with calibres of 150 mm (5.9 in) and even 240mm (9.45 in), none got past the prototype stage at best and some failed to get even that far, Thus the twin arrangement of the Flakzwilling 40 was an attempt to produce at least some form of increased firepower to counter the Allied heavy bombers, and in the event it turned out to be an excellent anti-aircraft weapon,

As the war ended the original mobile Flak 40s were still in use, many more were in use on special Flak trains. A new 12.8-cm Flak 45 gun was under development as the war ended, and this would have been an even more powerful weapon than the original. Only a single prototype was completed.

Specification 12.8-cmFlak40 Calibre: 128 mm (5,04 in) Weight: travelling (mobile) 27000 kg (59,524 kg), firing (mobile) 17000 kg (37,478 lb), and firing (static) 13000 kg (28,660 lb) Dimensions: length overall 15 m (49 ft



Ordnance, QF, 3 in 20 cwt

The British 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft gun had the distinction of being one of the very first, if not the first gun to be designed specifically for the antiaircraft role, the initial examples being in service as early as 1914, From that time the basic design was gradually modified and generally updated, and in 1940 there were still many in service as the Ordnance, OF, 3 in 20 cwt. The updating meant that the gun was still a viable weapon for its role, but its overall performance was such that it lacked the power of later designs and it was intended in 1939 that most of them would be replaced by more modern equipments (mainly the 94-mm/3.7-in weapon) by 1941. In 1939 there were no fewer than

In 1939 there were no fewer than eight marks of gun in service, some with sliding breech blocks, some with interrupted thread blocks, some with loose barrel liners, and so on. There was an equally formidable array of carriages in use as well: some of these had four wheels, others had but two and still more were statically emplaced in concrete. By 1940 nearly all in-service anti-aircraft (ack-ack) gunners had been trained on the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun of the small regular forces but it was also the main equipment of the growing number of Territorial Army batteries that were formed during the late 1930s.

The gun was of simple design, being little more than a barrel and recuperator/recoil mechanism slung between two side mounting plates carried on a turntable. The turntable could be either mounted on a heavy cruciform firing platform or carried on a four-wheeled platform, the field army pre-ferring the latter by 1939. The gun was the mainstay of the anti-aircraft batteries with the BEF, for although some batteries had been issued with the 94mm (3.7-in) gun by 1940, they by far preferred the much lighter and handier 76.2-mm (3-in) gun with which they were familiar. However, the Dunkirk episode put paid to that source of dis-sent for most of the 76.2-mm (3-in) guns with the BEF were either destroyed or captured by the Germans (they later took over the type for their own use by units in France under the designation 7.5-cm Flak Vickers (e)). There were few servicable 76.2-mm (3-in) guns left The British 3-in (76.2-mm) was one of the first designed for an ti-aircraft use during World War land was still in widespread use in 1939-40. They had been progressively modernized, and many gunners preferred them to the new 3.7-in (94-mm) guns as they were som uch handier. Many were lost at Dunkirk.

in the United Kingdom other than the few static installations, but gradually even they were soon phased out as front-line weapons and many of the mobile platform carriages were converted to rocket-launching platforms. About 100 platforms were eventually converted for this rocket role, and of the barrels removed some were used as the main armament for a tank destroyer using a Churchill tank chassis. That project eventually came to nothing, and mystery still surrounds a project to place 50 old 76.2-mm (3-in) gunsonto surplus 17-pounder anti-tank gun carriages for home defence during 1944. There were few, if any, 76.2mm (3-in) anti-aircraft guns left in service by 1945.

Specification

Ordnance, OF, 3 in 20 cwt (on fourwheel platform) Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Weight: travelling and complete 7976 kg (17,584 lb) Dimensions: lengthtravelling 7.468 m (24 ft 6 in); widthtravelling 2.311 m (7 ft 7 in); height 2.794 m (9 ft 2 in); length of barrel 3.551m (11 ft 7,8 in); length of rifling 2.977 m (9 ft 9.2 in) Elevation:+907-10° Traverse: 360° Maximum ceiling: 7163 m (23,500 ft) Shell weight: 7.26 kg (161b) Muzzlevelocity: 610m (2,000 ft) per second 2.6in);height 3.965 m(13 ft);length of barrel 7.835 m(25 ft 8.5 in);length of rifling 6.478 m(21 ft 3 in)Elevation: $+877-3^{\circ}$ Traverse: 360° Maximum effective ceiling: 14800 m (48,555 \text{ ft}) Shell weight: 26 kg(57.3 lb)Muzzle velocity: 880 m (2,887 ft) per second

This photograph of 12.8-cm Flak 40s in the field was taken in 1940 in order toshowthe 'might'of the German army's anti-aircraft field defences. In fact only one battery was so used before all production of the gun was switched to the home defence of the Reich. This one battery was also moved out of the field.



The usual model of the 3-in (76.2mm) gun in use with the BEF in 1940 was this platform version, complete with twin axles. The platform used

outriggers when firing and the feet for these can be seen below the gun platform. The locker housed readyuse ammunition and the sights.



Soon after World War I ended it was suggested that something heavier and more powerful than the existing 76,2mm (3-in) anti-aircraft gun would be required by the UK to meet anticipated increases in aircraft performance, but at that time (1920) the report was simply shelved as there was then no prospect of any funding for even initial research into such a project. Instead it was not until 1936 that Vickers produced a prototype of a new gun with^a calibre of 94mm (3.7 in). The design was approved for production as the Ordnance, OF, 3.7 in, but initial progress towards this goal was so slow that it was not until 1938 that the pilot production models were issued for development trials.

The main reason for this slow pro-gress was the gun's carriage. While the gun was a fairly straightforward but modern component, the carriage was complex to what seemed an extreme. The gun was intended for use in the field by the army and thus had to be fully mobile, but the final assembly was what can only be classed as 'semi-mobile'. The gun and its cradle and saddle rested on a large firing platform which in action rested on four outrig-gers. The front wheels were raised off the ground in action in order to provide some counter-balance for the weight of the gun mass, and the rear (towing end) axle was removed, Production of the carriage soon proved to be a time-consuming bottleneck, to the extent that production began of what was to be a purely static carriage for emplacement in concrete. As time engineered to a more manageable form. Thus the first production car-riage was the Mk I, the static carriage the Mk II and the final production version the Mk III; there were sub-marks of all of these.

When the equipment was first issued the gunners did not take kindly to it as they by far preferred the handier and familiar 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, but even they came to appreciate that the performance of the 94-mm (3.7-in) ordnance by far exceeded that of the older gun. In fact the 94-mm (3.7-in) had an excellent all-round performance even if emplacing and moving it was sometimes less than easy. As more equipments entered service they were gradually fitted with improved and centralized fire-control systems and such extras as power rammers and fuse setters. By 1941 the type formed the mainstay of the army's antiaircraft defences, and went on through the rest of the war to prove itself to be an excellent weapon. The 94-mm (3.7-in) gun was im-

The 94-mm (3.7-in) gun was impressed into use as an anti-armour weapon in the Western Desert campaigns, but its weight and bulk made it less than effective in this role although it could still knock out any tank set against it. Instead it was retained for whatit was best suited, the anti-aircraft role, and thus the 94-mm (3.7-in) never really got a chance to prove itself as the British equivalent of the German '88'. It was used on occasion as a long-range field piece and was even at one stage of the war used as a coastal defence gun. However, its use in this role was in the hands of the Germans, who had captured some of the type at Dunkirk, They appreciated the effectiveness' of the weapon they termed the 9.4-cm Flak Vickers M.39(e) so

Right: The static version of the British 3.7-in (94-mm) anti-aircraft gun was theMkll, of which there were three slightly different versions. This version had a power rammer and had a characteristic counterbalance weight over the breech to compensate for the long barrel.

Below: A 3.7-in (94-mm) gun sited in a desert sangar formed by filling old Italian ammunition boxes with stones. The barrel is fitted with makeshift sights as the gun was no doubt opera ting away from its normal position.





much that they even went to the trouble of manufacturing their own ammunition for them for both the Flak and the coastal defence roles. In the latter they were particularly effective at Walcheren, where 94-mm (3.7-in) guns sank several Allied landing craft.

The gun soldiered on in British use until Anti-Aircraft Command was disbanded during the 1950s. Many were sold or handed over to other nations, and some still survive in use in such locations as South Africa and Burma.

 $\begin{array}{l} Specification\\ Ordnance, OF, 3.7 in Mk III on\\ Carriage Mk III\\ Calibre: 94 mm (3.7 in)\\ Weight: complete 9317 kg (20,541 lb)\\ Dimensions: length overall travelling\\ 8.687 m (28 ft 6 in); width 2.438 m (8 ft);\\ height 2.502 m (8 ft 2.5 in); length of barrel 4.7 m (15 ft 5 in); length of frifling\\ 3.987 m (13 ft 0.95 in)\\ Elevation: +807-5°\\ Traverse: 360°\\ \end{array}$

Maximum effective ceiling: 9754m (32,000ft)

SheUweight: HE 12.96 kg(28.56 lb) Muzzle velocity: 792 m (2,600 ft) per second



A victory salute is fired in May 1945 by a complete battery of 123.7-in (94-mm) guns, probably on the Larkhill ranges on Salisbury Plain.

These guns were only just entering production when the war began, but they remained in British service until the late 1950s.



The gun that was to become the British army's 4.5-inch anti-aircraft gun had a rather muddled provenance, for it was on board heavy vessels. It was undergoing acceptance trials in 1936 when it was decided that it would make an ideal anti-aircraft weapon for the army, and after some inter-service discussion the Admiralty agreed to divert some of its anticipated production to the army, but only on the understanding that the guns would be emplaced for the local defence of naval dockyards and other such installations. More muddle ensued when it was discovered by the army that the naval guns (actual calibre 113 mm/4.45 in) were intended for mounting in pairs. The army wanted single mountings, so time was lost while the necessary changes were made and tested.

When the type did eventually get into service (as the Ordnance, OF, 4.5 in AA Mk II) in time for the difficult days of 1940, it was emplaced as a static weapon only, Some measure of mobility could be provided by using a special heavy transporter trailer but such moves were difficult and lengthy, and required a great deal of preparation. Once emplaced, the guns demonstrated their naval origins by the retention of a turret-type mounting that rested on a base of heavy steel plate, The turret-type shelter over the gun had only limited protective value against steel splinters or falling shrapnel, but was welcome on some of the bleak gun-sites at which the weapons were located,

The gun had all the usual naval attributes, namely items such as a power rammer, a heavy counter-weight over the breech and a fuse setter on the loading tray. The ammunition handling equipment was very necessary, for each complete round weighed 38.98 kg (85.94 lb) and the movement of such weights over even a short period would soon have exhausted the ammunition handlers. By 1941 the need to locate the guns

By 1941 the need to locate the guns around Admiralty-significant areas had been relaxed somewhat, allowing some of the guns to be relocated on stretches of coastline. There they could be used in a dual anti-aircraft/ coastal defence role, but the numbers involved were never large as most of the guns remained in their static emplacements. These guns were issued with a special armour-piercing ammunition, but the projectiles generally fired were of the HE type, although there was a special but little-used shrapnel projectile intended for local defence against low-flying aircraft. By 1944 it was intended that the gun

By 1944 it was intended that the gun should be phased out in favour of the more powerful 133-mm (5.25-in) weapon, but this never happened and some 113-mm (4.45-in) weapons were still in their static emplacements as late as 1951.

Specification Ordnance, OF, 4.5 in, AAMk II Calibre: 113 mm (4.45 in) Weight: emplaced 16841 kg (37,128 lb) Dimensions: height of muzzle above groundemplaced (0° elevation) 2.438 m (8 ft) or (80° elevation) 7,163 m (23 ft6in); length of barrel 5.086 m (16 ft 8.25 in); length of frifling 4.341 m (14 ft 2,9 in) Elevation: +807-0° Originally produced as secondary armament for major warships, the 4.5-in retained some naval characteristics.

Traverse: 360° Maximum ceiling: 12984 m (42,600 ft) Shell weight: 24.7 kg (54.43 lb) Muzzle velocity: 732 m (2,400 ft) per second

3

3-in Antiaircraft Gun M3

When the USA decided to adopt an anti-aircraft gun during World War I it saved a great deal of development time by taking a couple of 76.2-mm (3-in) coast defence guns and adapting them for the new task. Two main versions emerged from this operation, one a static gun and the other a mobile gun using a basic form of platform mounting. In time the mobile mounting was used as the basis for a more modern mobile equipment, and starting in the mid-1920s a great deal of experimental and development work was carried out, the original M1918 coastal defence guns still being used as the basis.

By the time that this development work had been completed, the original gun was virtually unrecognizable. The rifling had been changed and practically every other item on the gun was altered to some degree as well. The main trouble was that the gun itself proved to be far too difficult to make and required a great deal of machining to very close tolerances. Some redesign resulted in the 3-in Antiaircraft gun M3, which also had a semiautomatic breech block. It was this gun that was standardized for use with the new mobile platform, itself the result of a great deal of development. The original World War I platform had been very much a 'rushed' job, and as such left much to be desired in the eyes of the US Army, which sought an ideal solution, In time this emerged as the carriage known as the M2 or 'Spider Mount': this was a pedestal mounting with a number of long outrigger legs over which a thick mesh platform was laid for the gun crew. The arrangement was certainly practical but the long outrigger legs, which folded upwards to the centre, took up a great deal of ground space. By the mid-1930s it was obvious that

By the mid-1930s it was obvious that the days of the M3 were coming to an end, and the basic design was once again revamped in an effort to secure better performance. An entirely new 90-mm (3.54-in) design was already on the way, however, and thus the revamped M3 did not prosper. Instead the existing equipments were gradually withdrawn from front-line use as the new 90-mm (3,54-in) guns appeared. This took time, and when the USA entered the war in 1941 the old M3 was still in use in the Philippine Islands, where the weapons were used as long as the islands held out, Some lingered on for a while in other Pacific areas, and during early 1942 some were paraded through US west coast towns and cities in a programme to boost civilian morale. These west coast guns were culled mainly from training stocks, for by early 1942 the M3 was in use as a training gun only. Many of the gunners who subsequently went on to man the 90-mm (3.54-mm) guns started their service training using up the existing ammunition stocks for the M3 guns. Once this training role had been completed the old guns still found a use, for many were removed from their Spider Mountings and renovated for use as the barrels for the M5 anti-tank gun. For this role the breech of the 105-mm (4.13-in) M2 howitzer was used, and thus the old anti-aircraft guns went on to a new service career.

At one point in the days immediately after Dunkirk there were plans to sell numbers of M3 guns to the United Kingdom to replenish AA guns lost at Dunkirk, but in the event none made the Atlantic crossing,

Specification 3-in Antiaircraft Gun M3 on Mount M2A2 Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Weight: complete 7620 kg (16,800 lb) Dimensions: length travelling 7.62 m

(25 ft0 in); width 2.108 m (6 ft 11 in); height 2.87 m (9ft 5 in); length of barrel 3.81 m (12ft 6 in); length of rifling 3.196 m (10 ft 5.83 in) Elevation:+807-T Traverse:360° Maximum ceiling: 9510 m (31,200 ft) Shell weight: 5.8 kg (12.8 lb) Muzzle velocity: HE 853 m (2,800 ft) per

second

Left: The M3 was by 1941 largely relegated to home defence, as many of the guns dated back to World WarI. Some did see action in theFar East during 1942, however. Above: In 1941 the American 3-in (76.2-mm) gun was still in service in several forms. This static version was the 3-in Gun M4 on Mount M3, and was used in such locations as the Philippines and the Canal Zone.



Once it was realized that the old 76.2-

mm(3-in) anti-aircraft guns were coming to the end of their service life during the late 1930s, it was decided by the US Army to produce a weapon not only with a better performance but one capable of firing a heavier projectile, Since a 90-mm (3.54-in) projectile was considered the upper weight limit of what a soldier could handle manually this was fixed as the new calibre, and design work began in 1938. By 1940 the prototypes were approved for service

Right: The 90-mm Antiaircraft Gun MŽ was a much revised version of the earlier Ml but used a new carriage with a turntable, a power rammer, fuse setter and other changes. This resulted in an excellent gun but one that was slow and expensive to produce.





use as the 90-mm Gun Ml on Antiaircraft Mount MIAI, and production commenced with a high priority cachet.

The Ml was a handsome but a rather complex weapon which proved dif-ficult to produce. The gun assembly itself was straightforward, but the carriage was another matter. It was designed to be towed on a single axle with two pneumatic tyres on each side,

This 90-mm Ml anti-aircraft gun is dugin to take part in beach defences. Other emplacements can be seen, including one at the left rear containing the battery's rangefinder and other fire control equipment. Themountis theMIAI; the later M2 mount used a turntable.

and in action it stood on a cruciform mounting with the crew standing around the gun on a folding platform. The problem was to get all this car-riage and platform folded onto the single axle. The result can be de-scribed only as complicated.

Son after the MI gun was placed in production it was supplemented by the MIAI, which had provision for the fitting of a spring rammer. In practice this rammer proved to be more trouble than it was worth and was usually removed, but another change was on the way, In July 1941 it was decided that in future the 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and carriage would have to be capable of engaging sea and land targets as well. This meant a revision of the carriage as on the Ml carnage the gun could not

be depressed below 0° , and the opportunity was taken to incorporate a radical redesign, The M2 carnage had a totally different design with a low firing platform carried on four outrigger legs when firing. It was much handier and quicker to get into action, and some versions also had a small shield. The main change, however, was to the gun, which became the M2 in which the ammunition feed for a new fuse setter and rammer was added, this making fuse setting much more rapid and accurate, and also raising the rate of fire to a possible 27 rounds per minute. Yet more accuracy and lethality was added in late 1944 when the 90-mm (3.54-in) gun was used as one of the first weapons on land to fire the new proximity-fused round, one of the most advanced weapon develop-ments of the war years, Using this fuse one gunner managed to shoot down a Focke-Wulf Fw 190 fighter with a single shot as the unfortunate aircraft attempted to intervene in the Arden-nes campaign. The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and the proximity fuse were also instrumental in the defeat of the V-1

flying bombs over southern England. The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun in all its forms was manufactured in large numbers, By August 1945 a total of 7,831 of all types had been produced. This in-cluded some guns intended for static mounting only, and some guns were indeed used around the coasts of the continental USA in a dual anti-aircraft/ coastal role.

The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun was also used in a purely coast defence mount-ing in a special armoured turret, and at one stage it was proposed that these turrets would even have their own automatic loaders, thus removing the need for men to crew them in action as they would be aimed and fired by remote control. The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun was also used in M36 tank destroyers mounted on Sherman chassis, and there were several advanced designs involved in the production of a towed 90-mm (3.54-in) anti-tank gun, but none of these saw service,

Specification

90-mm Gun M2 on Mount M2 Calibre: 90 mm (3.54 in) Weight: complete 14651 kg (32,300 lb) Dimensions: lengthtravelling 9.021 m (29 ft 7.15 in); height 3.073 m (10 ft 1 in); wheelbase 4,166 m (13 ft 8 in); length of barrel 4.50 m (14 ft 9.2 in) Elevation:+807-10° Traverse:360° Maximum ceiling: 12040 m (39,500 ft) Shell weight: 10.6 kg (23.4 lb) Muzzle velocity: 823 m (2,700 ft) per second



The Soviet 85-mm guns

By the late 1930s the Soviet armed forces, in common with many other armed forces of the time, decided that the anticipated increases in aircraft performance over the next few years would soon render their current antiaircraft weapons obsolete. Accordingly they set about looking for a more modern anti-aircraft gun with a better all-round performance, but, in typical Soviet fashion, instead of designing a new weapon they used an old design as the basis for a new weapon. They simply took the 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1938 and enlarged it all round to be-1958 and enlarged it all round to be-come an 85-mm (3.346-in) gun. The new gun was designated the 85-mm Anti-Aircraft Gun Model 1919, and is sometimes known as the KS-12. The Model 1939 was very similar to the 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1938, but could be acably according to the intervent

could be easily recognized by its multi-bafflemuzzlebrake, a feature lacked ti-barrie muzzle brake, a feature lacked by the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun. A shield was an optional extra. Production of the Model 1939 was just getting under way at Kaliningrad, near Moscow, when the Germans invaded in 1941, so the entire plant was moved to the Urals for the rest of the war. Once back in prothe rest of the war. Once back in pro-duction the Model 1939 became the standard heavy anti-aircraft gun of the Red Army, though it was replaced in production during 1944 by the more powerful 85-mm Anti-Aircraft Gun Model 1944 or KS-18. This was virtually the same weapon as the Model 1939, but could use a more powerful charge to boost all-round performance with the same projectile as that of the Model 1939

Both the Model 1939 and the Model 1944 were designed from the outset to be used as anti-armour weapons in the same manner as the German '88'. They same manner as the German '88'. They were so successful in this role that the Germans prized them as war booty and used any captured examples alongside their own 88s under the de-signations 8.5-cm Flak M.39(r) and 8.5-cm Flak M.44(r). As with the Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) guns, captured exam-ples were also shipped back to the Reich for home defence where they Reich for home defence, where they were.rebored to the standard German 88 mm (3.465 in) once all captured ammunition stocks had been expended. Most of the guns used in this way by the Germans were Model 1939s, which became 8.5/8.8-cm Flak

M.39(r) guns. The Model 1939 and the Model 1944 were both good anti-aircraft guns, and this is attested by the fact that many are still in active service to this day. Num-

bers are still in service with some of the Warsaw Pact nations (but not the Soviet Union itself) and they are likely to be encountered in countries as di-verse as the Sudan and Vietnam. Large numbers were active during the Viet-nam conflict against the US Air Force, These 'modern' guns now usually rely on some form of centralized fire control system, usually radar-based, and the original on-carner fire controls are now either removed or little used.

The 85-mm (3.346-in) gun itself was used as the basis for a number of other Soviet weapon projects. It was adopted to become the main arma-ment of the SU-85 assault gun/tank destroyer, and was even adapted for use on a towed anti-tank gun mounting.

Specification

85-mm Anti-Aircraft Gun Model 1939 Calibre: 85 mm (3,346 in)

Weight: travelling 4220 kg (9,303 lb) and firing 3057 kg (6,739 lb) Dimensions: length travelling 7.049 m (23 ft 1.5 in); width 2.15 m (7 ftQ.65 in); height2.25m(7ft4.6in); lengthof barel4.693 m(15 ft4,76 in); lengthof rifling3.494 m (11 ft 5.54 in) Elevation: +827-2° Traverse: 360° Maximum ceiling: 10500 m (34,450 ft)

Shell weight: 9.2 kg (20.29 lb) Muzzle velocity: 800 m (2,625 ft) per second

The 85-mm anti-aircraft gun was developed from the success fui 76.2-mm series, and proved highly effective in service. Much prized by the Germans, captured equipment was used alongside the famous '88'. Many were used in the defence of Germany from the Allied bombing campaign.

The Soviet 85-mm (3.346-in) Model 1939 was so good that some were still in use in Vietnam during the early 1970s. The gun was also known as the KS-12, and was much used by the Germans after 1941, many being re-bored to take 88-mm (3.465-in) German ammunition.



Light Anti-Aircraft Guns

1939 saw tactical air power take its place as a significant factor in battle, its importance calling for newer, more effective methods to protect forces on the ground. High volumes of light anti-aircraft fire were seen to be the answer, with the Wehrmacht taking the lead.

In 1939 the light anti-aircraft gun was a relatively new concept. For the most part such weapons had calibres of between 20mm (0.787in) and 40mm (1.575in), and were all capable of putting into the air large volumes of automatic fire. They were mainly intended for the defence of an area of sky that extended to no more than 3000m (9843ft) at best, although they were usually employed against targets flying at altitudes much lower than that.

Most of the guns included in this study had a calibre of 20mm. This calibre had been established by the end of World War I as the optimum for a projectile that could carry a useful explosive payload and yet remain economic to fire automatically. However, after 1941 this calibre had to be revised upwards in size as aircraft targets increased in speed and in the degree of protection they carried. Some nations, such as Germany, had foreseen this trend and had equipped themselves accordingly with 37mm (1.457in) weapons; it is indicative that the best all-round weapon in this category in service during the war years was the Swedish Bofors gun with a calibre of 40mm (1.575in), one that is still in widespread use today. But the smaller-calibre weapons remained in use even



The operation to liberate Europe meant the building and maintenance of vast stockpiles in England ready to equip the troops once the battle began; these are 40mm (1.6in) Bofors Light AA guns.

though the only way that they could ensure a target 'kill' was to increase the number of projectiles actually hitting the target. Since to increase the rate of fire of most guns would mostly have entailed a major redesign, the only way to boost the weight of fire was to increase the number of barrels firing from one mounting at any one time. The best example of this concept could be seen with the change of the single-barrelled 2cm Flak 38 to the four-barrelled 2cm Flakvierling 38, one of the German weapons most feared by Allied tactical flyers.

Not all the guns included in this section attained the fame of the German light Flak weapons. Some, such as the Schneider 37mm (1.457in) gun, were somewhat less than successful, but others, such as the Bofors gun, the Oerlikon cannon and the Soviet Model 1939, are assured of a place in artillery, if not world, history. They defended the ground forces against tactical attack aircraft and they defended home areas against more formal bomber forces in a war in which the aircraft became a dominant weapon.



Maxson Mount

One of the main American weapons produced as a counter to the low-flying aircraft was not of the same calibre as the other weapons in this study, for instead of using what are normally regarded as cannon calibres, the American solution used heavy machineguns with a calibre of 12.7 mm (0.5 in). This was the Maxson Mount, which used a combination of four 12.7-mm Browning M2 heavy machine-guns on a single mounting with two guns on each side of a central pedestal-type housing, The proper service designation for this arrangement was Multiple Caliber .50 Machine-Gun Carriage M51.

M51. The Maxson Mount was used on a variety of different carriages. One of the most common was a trailer towed by a light truck or even a Jeep. This trailer used twin axles, and in action legs could be lowered to the ground at each corner to provide increased stability when firing. The trailer also earned a number of batteries and a battery-charging set, for the Maxson Mount was electrically powered. The electrical supply was used for elevation and traverse, and the motors used were powerful enough to meet the most demanding calls made upon them by the gunner, who sat on the turret between the two pairs of machine-guns. The motors could move the guns from the horizontal to +60° in one second, and the turret could traverse at the same rate. In order to keep the two main batteries topped up at all times, they were normally kepton constant charge in action.

The combined fire of the four Browning machine-guns was sufficient to bring down any aircraft caught in their fire, despite the fact that the rounds carried no explosive payload. The guns were aimed using a naval reflector sight, but the tracer fired by the guns could also be used to assist aim and some gunners relied on the tracer alone to make fire control corrections. The Maxson Mount was also used on

The Maxson Mount was also used on halftracks as well as towed trailers. On

both types of carriage the guns were supplied with 200 rounds each, fed into the guns from belts carried in enclosed chests mounted outboard of the guns. On some turrets the belts could be fed into the guns under electrical control but the normal gun action was more commonly used.

The Maxson Mounts were normally used to provide protection for convoys or mobile units against air attack, and after 1945 continued to serve with many armies. Many are still in use today, but recent years have seen a move away from the retention of the four machine-guns to a new configuration using two 20-mm cannon. Israel has adapted all the Maxson Mounts it. has in service to this new form, and Brazilis anothernation taking the same path, Israel continues to use its modernized Maxson Mounts on halftracks, but there is a towed version as well.

Specification

Maxson Mount Calibre: 12.7 mm (0.5 in) Length: (guns) 1.654 m (65.1 in) Weight: in action 1087 kg (2,396 lb) Elevation:-5° to+85° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 884 m (2,900 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: about 1000 m (3,280 ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic, all guns) 2,300 rpm

The crew of a Multiple Gun Motor Carriage MI 6 relax on stand-by close to the famous bridgeât Remagen. The crew have toned down the appearance of the vehicle with hessian and a board over the tracks. Note the spare ammunition magazines.





A Maxson mount is dug in in the Solomons. The gun layout can be clearly seen, as can the box magazines that held up to 200

12.7-mm (0.50-in)rounds. The gunner was seated between the guns and used a reflector sight.



A Multiple Caliber .50 Machine-Gun Carriage MS1 is seen in action at Hollandia on New Guinea against low-flying aircraft. This trailer-borne

carriage was commonly known as the Maxson mount and the gunner's helmet can just be seen protruding from between the magazines.



The development work that led to the 37-mm Antiaircraft Gun MI on Carriage M3 series started in 1921. It was yet another product of the fertile mind of John M. Browning, who continued to work on the gun until he died in 1926. Development of the project then lapsed until 1934, mainly as a result of defence spending cuts of the period. When work resumed it was not long before the gun was in production, not only for the US Army but for the US Navy (37-mm AN-M4) and US Army Air Corps (37-mm Aircraft Automatic Gun M4 and MIO) as well. Production started in 1940 under the auspices of the Colt Company, and to some the gun is still known as the Colt 37 mm.

The 37-mm (1.457-in) gun was an unremarkable design that performed well enough, but it was rather let down by its ammunition which proved to be underpowered and was thus of limited value against low and fast aircraft targets. Various production and carnage changes were introduced until the M1A2 stage was reached, and at that point the British requested that the Americans should use some of their industrial potential to build Bofors guns for them. A quick perusal of the Bofors gun convinced the Americans that it was much better than their 37-mm design, and they promptly adopted the Bofors in its place. But it was some time before Bofors fabrication could get under way, so the M1A2 continued to roll off the Colt production lines.

Somewhere along the line combat analysis revealed that many antiaircraft gunners were not using the gunsights to aim their weapons, but

SWITZERLAND

20-mm Oerlikon

The 20-mm Oerlikon gun has a rather long history, stretching back as far as 1914 when it was produced by one Remhold Becker in Germany. Versions of this gun were used during World War I as German air force weapons, but in 1919 Becker transferred his brainchild to Switzerland, where it was produced by a firm known as SEMAG until that concern was taken over by the Werkzeug Maschinenfabrik Oerlikon at Oerlikon, still in Switzerland. Under this new concern production of many types of Oerlikon gun expanded greatly (the original Becker weapon as the Type F, the SEMAG model as the Type L and its own version as the Type S), and the usual practice of licence production elsewhere soon followed. France was one early manufacturing location (2cm Mitrailleuse C.A. Oerlikon), and Japan another (Type 98). Sales were made worldwide, and Oerlikon aircraft and anti-aircraft guns were a common sight in many nations.

The Oerlikon was a gas-operated gun with the mechanism action assisted by the large coil springs around the barrel that were a recognition feature of the weapon. After 1935 the Oerlikon was produced in the United Kingdom for the Royal Navy so that by 1939 there were considerable numbers of this Gun, 20-mm, Oerlikon in use. This was just as well, for by 1940 they were being pressed into service on land mountings of all kinds. Some of these British mountings were simple in the extreme but others such as the Haszard semi-mobile mount were



were instead watching the tracer elements as they fired and correcting the aim onto the target by this means alone. While this was, and still is, a positive way to aim a gun, at calibres of 37 mm and above, it soon becomes an uneconomic practice on any scale, Accordingly a new Combination Mount M54 was developed that carried two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning heavy machine-guns, one on each side of the central 37-mm barrel. As the machine-guns were ballistically very similar to the main gun their tracer could be used as the aiming element and once on target the main gun could be fired. This worked out very well in practice. Most of these combination mounts were used on halftracks or on board US Navy vessels right through and after the war, but there was a drawback as far as the original designers were concerned: far from using the two machine-guns as the aiming elements of the combination, many enthusiastic gunners continued to use all three weapons to fire tracer all the time, thereby negating the original intention.

During the war large numbers of M1A2 guns and combination mounts were delivered to the USSR as part of Lend-Lease. Many of these weapons never found their way back to the United States and still appear in odd corners of the world where Soviet influ-

ence is paramount. Some remain in service with Warsaw Pact militia forces, long after they have passed from use in the West.

Specification MIA2 on Carriage M3A1 Calibre: 37 mm (1.457 in) Length of piece: 1.986 m (78.2 in) Weight:inaction2778 kg(6,124 lb) Elevation:-5° to+90° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 853 m (2,800 ft) per second Maximum ceiling: 5669 m (18,600 ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 120 rpm Projectile weight: 0.61 kg(1.341b)

First in troduced in its earliest form in 1914, the Oerlikon 20-mm saw its widest service as a naval weapon, as here, mounted on the escort carrier H MS Trumpeter on convoy duty in 1944.

much more formal. Later in the war a triple-gun mounting with the three guns one over the other was placed into production and some of these types of mounts were later used on trucks.



The Swiss 20-mm (0.79-in) Oerlikon cannon was manufactured in the United Kingdom andmany other countries, and was one of the most important weapons of its type in use during World War II. Although used mainly as a naval weapon, many were employed by land forces. This is the British HBMk 1 mounting. The Oerlikon normally used a 60round drum magazine for the feed system, but a 20-round box magazine was used on some versions, including those used by the Germans, who knew the Oerlikon as the 2-cm Flak 28 or Flak 29, and some of their guns were later passed to the Italians (Cannone-Mitragliera da 20 Oerlikon), Over the Atlantic the Americans were producing Oerlikons by 1940 as the 20-mm Automatic Gun Mk IV, originally for the Royal Navy but later for their own use, and the type was particularly useful in the Pacific against Japanese kamikaze attacks. Thus the Oerlikon gun was another of those weapons that started life in a neutral state but ended up being used by all sides, and some of the kamikaze aircraft that attacked US Navy shipping were shot down by Oerlikon guns carried on those same ships, firing back at aircraft that also carried Oerlikon guns. The same situation prevailed in Europe, for many Luftwaffe aircraft carried Oerlikon guns of one type or another.

guns of one type or another. Many of the guns used by the United Kingdom armed forces had their ori-



In many ways the Polsten gun may be regarded as a Polish rather than a British weapon, but it was produced only in the United Kingdom. It had its origins in the fact that although the Oerlikon gun was a highly successful weapon it was difficult to manufacture and required a large number of machining processes. The Poles decided to make production easier: they took the basic design but introduced changes to make the weapon simpler, They were just about to complete the project when the Germans invaded Poland in September 1939. Subsequently the members of the design team fled to the UK, taking their drawings and experience with them and some British designers and in time their results were placed in production in a weapon known as the Polsten ('Pol' after Poland and 'sten' after the British Sten Company, the same company that manufactured the cheap and cheerful Sten sub-machine gun). The Polsten was a remarkable piece

The Polsten was a remarkable piece of design and production engineering, The Oerlikon gun used 250 components, but the Polsten reduced this to 119; the costs were considerably reduced as a result, falling from a nominal £320 for the original to between £60 and £70 for the Polsten. Not surprisingly, as soon as the Polsten was ready it was rushed into production. That was in March 1944 and thereafter production of the Oerlikon ceased in favour of the cheaper weapon. Although the Polsten was cheaper

Although the Polsten was cheaper and easier to make it was every bit as effective as the Oerlikon original, The Polsten could fit into any mounting intended for the Oerlikon, so it was used in a diversity of roles ranging from aircraft gun to tank co-axial weapon. On ground mountings it was used as an anti-aircraft gun on a Universal Mounting that could accommodate either an gins in Royal Navy models, but these were fairly easy to adapt to a number of land mountings, At sea most Oerlikons were used on simple pedestal mountings whatever navy happened to be using them. Many are still in use today (but only rarely on land) for they continue to be a popular naval weapon, which cannot be bad for a design that can trace its origins to 1914. Since then it is probable that more Oerlikon Guns have been produced than any other weapon of their type.

Specification Automatic Gun Mk IV Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Length of piece: 2.21 m (87 in) Weight: (gun only) 66.68 kg (147 lb) Elevation: -10° to +75° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 831 m (2,725 ft)per second

Maximum effective ceiling: 1097m (3.600ft)

Rate of fire: (cyclic) 465-480rpm Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0,2625 lb)



Twin 20-mm Oerlikons are cleaned aboard the Indian Navy sloop HMIS Narabda la te in the war. Still in service at sea after more than 70

years, the Oerlikon is now rarely encountered in towed form, although modern guns by the samefirm are in wide use on land.

Oerlikon or a Polsten. The same mounting could also accommodate an American Hispano aircraft cannon, also in 20-mm (0.787-m) calibre.

One change that was introduced as standard on the Polsten was a new magazine. The old Oerlikon drum magazine proved to be unpopular in service as it was a bulky and awkward item and took some time to load properly. It was also very difficult to make and consumed a large number of machining operations. On the Polsten it was replaced by a vertical box magazine holding 30 rounds arranged in a 'double-stack' configuration that was not only easier to load but which was much easier to change on the gun. It was also far cheaper to make.



Above:Broken down into its operating position, a Polsten gun shows the sparse construction of this simplified, lightened version of the well-tried Oerlikon. The Polsten never replaced the Oerlikon gun in service, for although the Oerlikon was expensive it was very robustly made and could last for a very long time. Instead the Polsten and the Oerlikon soldiered on side by side with the British army until both were withdrawn some time during the 1950s. Even now Polstens continue to appear at odd spots around the world, and as the ammunition for them and the Oerlikon is still in widespread production there is no reason why they should not continue to work on for many years to come.

Specification Polsten (Universal Mounting) Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Length of piece: 2.178 m (85.75 in) Weight: (gun) 54,9 kg (121 lb) Elevation: -5° to +85° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 831 m (2,725 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: 2021 m (6,630 ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 450 rpm Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0.2625 lb)

Right:A20-mm (0.79-in) Polsten Mkl Gun on theMounting, UniversalMkl (the wheel outline is diagramatic). The Polsten was a simplified Oerlikon Gun designed by a team of Polish engineers who fled from Poland to the United Kingdom in 1939.



Type 98 20-mm Machine Cannon

The Army Type 98 20-mm Machine Cannon was a Japanese army weapon introduced into service in 1938, and was designed from the outset as a dualpurpose weapon capable of use against aircraft and armoured ground targets. Thus it had a rather oddlooking carriage that added to its somewhat archaic appearance. This appearance was deceptive, for the Type 98 was a thoroughly modern weapon with good overall performance.

JAPAN

The carnage was rather high and mounted on two spoked wooden wheels that, were used to move the weapon, either as a towed unit behind a light truck or animal team, or by manhandling. Once in position the trail legs opened to form the rear components of a tripod with another outrigger leg forward. Once the tripod had been deployed the wheels were lifted off the ground to permit 360° traverse with the gunner/aimer behind the gun on a small seat. If required the entire weapon could be broken down into separate loads for animal or man-pack transport. It was possible to fire the gun direct from the wheels but since the weapon had a rather high centre of gravity it soon became unstable; moreover, it took only about three minutes to get the gun into action on its tripod with a two- or three-man crew.

The Type 98 was a very hard-hitting weapon. This was due mainly to its 20-mm (0.787-in) ammunition, which was similar to that fired from the Type 97 anti-tank rifle, though the Type 98 ammunition used a slightly longer and



The Italian army had two standard 20mm (0.787-in) anti-aircraft weapons in service during World War II. One was the Breda and the other the Scotti, or to give it its full Italian designation, the Cannone-Mitragliera da 20/77 (Scotti). which was also known as the Mitragliera Isotta Fraschini from the production facility where it was manufactured; the name Scotti comes from Alfredo Scotti, the designer. The Scotti was first mooted in 1932, and the initial examples were produced in Switzerland at the Oerlikon works, which no doubt accounts for the use of a drum magazine very similar to that of the Oerlikon gun. This drum was later discarded in favour of 12-round trays. Compared with the Breda the Scotti

Compared with the Breda the Scotti was a far simpler weapon. It resembled the Oerlikon gun in some respects, but used a different mechanism. It was much easier to manufacture than the Breda, but despite the use of a longer barrel the Scotti's overall performance appears to have been inferior to that of its contemporary. The same ammunition type (together with its super-sensitive fuse) appears to have been used, but the maximum effective ceiling was lower than that of the Breda, which indicates a different propellant charge. To balance this, against targets at low altitudes the rate of fire was slightly higher and for the benefit of the gun crew the Scotti was lighter than the other weapon.

lighter than the other weapon. The Scotti appears to have been used in smaller numbers than the Breda, but it was also used by other nations. Before 1940 many Scottis were soldtovariousSouthAmericannations, wider cartridge case. This cartridge enabled the Type 98 projectiles to penetrate 30 mm (1.18 in) of armour at a range of 247 m (270 yards), so the effect of the same projectile against a low-flying aircraft can well be imagined. According to many accounts the Type 98 was used more in the antiaircraft than anti-tank role, despite the fact that its cyclic rate of fire was rather low (120 rounds per minute), decreased in service by the use of a box magazine holding 20 rounds in a vertical row.

A twin-barrelled version of the Type 98 was produced in small numbers, but this was not the only other 20-mm weapon used by the Japanese. By 1944 anti-aircraft guns were in great demand and all manner of odd weapons were impressed for the role. Surplus aircraft cannon were one source, and the Japanese navy often gave up precious weapons for extemporized mountings in the defence of strategic islands. Among these were 25-mm (0.98-in) cannon that were lifted direct with their original naval mountings into shore-located weapon pits in single-, double- and triple-barrelled mountings. These Navy Type 96 25-mm Machine Cannon weapons had a performance very similar to that of the Army Type 98 and were used by army personnel. To provide these navy weapons with mobility, some were mounted on simple sledges for towing across level ground.

Specification Type98

and it also appears that many made the long journey to China. After 1942 the ease of fabrication of the Scotti (compared with the more complicated Breda) led to an increase in Scotti production totals, but the type never seriously challenged the number of Bredas in service. Before 1943 many Scottis were used by German troops operating in North Africa as the 2-cm Scotti (i), and once the Italians had surrendered the Scotti became an established part of the German inventory for units based in Italy. It was certainly used by German units operating against the Yugoslav partisans and there seems to be enough evidence to state that after 1943 the guns were kept in production at the Isotta Fraschini facility in Turin for German use.

Two versions of the Scotti were produced. One was, a semi-mobile version that could be carried on trucks and dismounted for use; once off the truck it could be manhandled on a twinwheeled carriage, though in action the gun rested on a light flat tripod mounting. The other version was static, with the gun on a pedestal mounting. This latter version was used mostly on the Italian mainland, and after 1943 numbers of them were taken over by British troops for the local defence of coastal artillery positions. After 1945 the type was used for some years by the re-formed Italian army.

Specification Scotti Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Length of piece: 1.54 m (60.6 m)



This Type 98 is emplaced for the antiaircraft role and has the barrel at full elevation. The 20-roundbox magazine is fitted, and here the figure 11 points to a cockinghandle.

Calibre: 20 mm(0.787 in)Length of piece: 1.46 m(57.5 in)Weight: in action 268.77 kg(593 lb)Elevation: $-10^{\circ} \text{ to}+85^{\circ}$ Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 830 m(2,723 ft) per The seemingly high carriage is converted to a low and stable firing platform for the anti-aircraft role; note the combination of a muzzle brake with a rather short barrel.

second Maximum effective ceiling: about 3650 m (11,975 ft) Rate of fire: 120rpm Projectile weight: 0.136 kg(0.31b)



Weight: in action 227.5 kg (502 lb) Elevation:-10° to+85° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second Maximumeffectiveceiling:2135 m (7,005 ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 250 rpm Projectile weight: 0.125 kg (0.276 lb)

The Cannone-Mitragliera de 20/77 (Scotti) was used alongside the Breda as the standard Italian army light anti-aircraft cannon. It was longer than the Breda and could use a 60-round drum magazine, but 12round trays could also be used. Two types were produced, one static and the other for towing by light trucks.



20-mm Breda

One of the two standard Italian 20-mm (0.787-in) AA guns was the weapon known to the Italian army as the Can-none-Mitragliera da 20/65 modello 35 (Breda). It was first manufactured in 1934 by the Società Italiana Ernesto Breda of Brescia, a company that was no stranger to weapon production but no stranger to weapon production but whose staple activity was building locomotives and trucks. The Breda gun was designed as a dual-purpose weapon for use against ground and air-craft targets, and was taken into ser-vice by the Italian army in 1935. The 20-mm Breda gun was a very effective weapon, and was much used by the Italian army. Ithad arather com-plicated twin-wheeled carriage that could be towed into action behind a

ITALY

could be towed into action behind a truck, but it was light enough to be manhandled over considerable dis-tances and it could even be broken down into four pack loads for man car-riage or mule transport. In action the gun required a team of three men: the aimer sat on the gun and used a com-plex telescopic sight incorporating a predictor function. Ammunition was fed into the gun on 12-round trays, and the feed mechanism contained the odd Italian feature of placing the spent cartridge case back into the tray once it had been fired. Exactly what function this feature was supposed to impart is uncertain, but it appeared on several Italian automatic weapons and at least had the advantage of keeping the gun position tidy.

Against ground targets the gun fired armour-piercing rounds. Aircraft targets were engaged with a high ex-plosive projectile that incorporated a very sensitive percussion fuse to oper-ate against light aircraft structures. The projectile also had a self-destruct feaprojectile also had a self-destruct fea-ture if it did not hit a target. The tripod platform of the gun provided a steady base for firing, and against aircraft the gun proved to be very successful. Against tanks it was less effective, but any weapons captured by the Allies during the North African campaigns were usually mounted on the light armoured cars of the day to provide armoured cars of the day to provide them with more offensive capability than that provided by the usual machine-guns, The Germans also took over numbers of Breda guns for their



40-mm Bofors

The 40-mm Bofors gun has by now pas-sed virtually into legend as one of the most successful weapons of its type that has ever been produced, and it was used by nearly all protagonists during World War II, and a measure of its affectiveness can be seen by the

SWEDEN

its effectiveness can be seen by the fact that it is still in service to this day. The 40-mm (1.575-in) Bofors gun had its origins in a 1928 request from the Swedish navy for AB Bofors to design a light anti-aircraft gun. The first weapon was manufactured in 1930 and was subsequently produced in single- and twin-gun mountings for the navy, and on a mobile ground mounting for the army. It was this latter version that became the most famous, for it was soon seen to be the best gun of its type available. It had a high muzzle velocity (making it an ideal anti-aircraft weapon), it fired a good-sized projec-tile with a worthwhile payload that could bring down virtually any aircraft that it hit, and the mounting and car-

own use in North Africa under the designation 2-cm Breda (i), and the Italian surrender of 1943 meant that all guns on the Italian mainland immediately changed to German use. Much farther afield, some Breda guns were also used by various of the warring Chinese military factions,

Military factions, Apart from the modello 35 there was also a modello 39. This was a much more complex weapon: it used the same gun as before, but allied to a static pedestal-type mounting on which the gun itself was suspended below oursed arms that correct the below curved arms that carried the sighting system. This version was usually retained for the defence of the Italian mainland.

Specification Specification Breda modello 1935 Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Lengthofpiece: 1.30 m(51.2 in) Weight: in action 307.35 kg (678 lb) Elevation:-10° to+80° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 830-850 m (2,723-2790 fb/arcrosord 2,789 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: 2500 m (8,202ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic)200-220 rpm Projectile weight: 0.135 kg (0.298 lb)

One very prominent feature of the 20-mm (0.79-in)Breda gun was the long sight arm arrangement, which was meant to keep thegun sight in front of the aimer's face at all angles of elevation. It worked very well, but was not been and heavy and was rather complex and heavy, and elsewhere much simpler design solutions were usually found.



Members of the Slovak division operating with the Wehrmacht are manning a 20-mm Breda. Following the Italian surrender, Germany commandeered much of the equipment of the Italian army, often giving it to their dwin dling n umber of allies.



riage were relatively light and handy to use in action. Within a few years orders were flooding into the AB Bofors factory at Karlskroga but, more importantly at the time, a number of forming neuronmentance directed for k foreign governments negotiated for li-cence production of the gun and its ammunition. These nations included Hungary, Poland, Finland, Greece, Norway and many other countries as well. Thus by 1939 the Bofors gun was in production all over Europe for many armies in a bewildering arrangement of cross-deals, For instance the United Kingdom took out a licence, but was in such a hurry to re-arm with the Bofors gun that it also purchased quantities from Poland and Hungary. France wanted to set up a line but purchased guns from Poland,

Some nations, such as Poland, in-corporated their own modifications, contributing a lighter carriage (in the 40-mm armata przeciwlotnicza wz 36) which was later adopted by the British.

Progressive developments to the carriage and sights were gradually intro-duced, and there were many and va-rious models of naval mountings. Some of these variations are covered separately, though the gun itself changed but little. It used a robust clip-fed mechanism in which the sequence was automatic once the gunner had pressed the trigger. As he did so a round was rammed into the breech, the breech closed and the weapon fired, the spent case being ejected ready for another round to be fed, all in a sequence that continued as long as the trigger was pressed. If the barrel became overheated it could be rapid-

ly changed. After 1940 the main centres of Bofors production were the United Kingdom (Gun, AA, Mk 1) and the United States, where the original Swedish design was reproduced virtually unchanged as the 40-mm Gun M1. On the German side production was continued at the

Kongsberg Arsenal in Norway for use by the German army and the Luftwaffe as the 4-cm Flak 28 (Bofors). In the Far East weapons captured in the Dutch East Indies were used by the Japanese. The Soviet Union received Americans under Lend-Lease, so it can be seen that Bofors guns were in action on all fronts throughout the war.

Specification Bofors gun

Calibre:40 mm (1.575 in) Length of piece: 2.25 m (88.6 in) Weight: in action 2460 kg (5,423 lb) Elevation:-5° to+90° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 854 m (2,802 ft) per second Maximum ceiling: 7200 m (23,622 ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 120 rpm Shellweight: 0,89 kg (1.96 lb)



Between the world wars the French army retained great weapon stock-piles from World War I, and with them a military philosophy that dealt only in terms of World War I battles. Thus when it came to considerations of antiaircraft weapons it was decided that an updated 75' (the famous 75-mm/2,95 in Model 1897) was all that was required, and that a new 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun would suffice for lowlevel defences. The French arma-ments manufacturers, including Hotchkiss, thought otherwise and in 1932 brought out a new 25-mm (0.98-in) automatic weapon and presented it to the military authorities.

The response was negative. The staff planners saw no need for a weapon such as the 25-mm Hotchkiss and were unwilling to consider the type. They did agree to carry out trials with the new gun, but that was all and by the mid-1930s it appeared that the project was defunct. Then came the project was defunct. Then came the Spanish Civil War, and French military observers on the spot soon noted that there most definitely existed a renent for a weapon heavier than machine-guns to counter the activities of ground-attack aircraft. Thus there was a rushed order to Hotchkiss for large numbers of its 25-mm weapon. But this order was beset with uncertainties regarding rates of fire, type of carriage and so on. It was late 1938 before the order was finally sorted out and by then things had got a bit out of hand, for Hotchkiss had already started production of a model for Romania and the French order meant changes to the design and the produc-

tion line. But eventually the guns started to flow from the factory. There were two types of 25-mm Hotchkiss. One was the Mitrailleuse de 25mm sur affût universel Hotchkiss Modèle 1938, which was a light weapon transported on a single-axle carriage; the other was the Hotchkiss modèle 1939, which was a heavier weapon intended for static use but capable of being moved if required. Both were basically simple and adequate weapons with a high rate of fire and good ammunition that was also intended for use against ground targets if the opportunity arose. Thus an armourpiercing projectile was available. A version for use by the French navy was produced using a pedestal mounting, and just before the Germans invaded France in May 1940 Hotchkiss produced a twin-barrelled variant known as the Hotchkiss modèle 1940, which did not get past the initial trials stage. The main problem for the French army was that the Hotchkiss produc-



tion lines could not churn out the guns in sufficient numbers. Despite wartime urgency, the Hotchkiss works were beset by industrial troubles and other delays to the extent that when the Gerinvaded France only just over 1,000 Hotchkiss guns were in service, which was way below the numbers required. In the event those that were produced mainly fell into the hands of the Germans. Some were retained by the Vichy French armed forces and some used by the Free French in the Middle East, but the bulk that survived May 1940 were impressed into German use and issued to various units based in France; some were later in-corporated into the Atlantic Wall beach defences. The German de-signations were 2.5-cm Flak Hotchkiss 38 and 2.5-cm Flak Hotchkiss 39 There are two main models of the 25-mm (0.98-in)Hotchkiss cannon; this is the mie 38 ready for towing, but minus the usual muzzle flashhider. Other versions used differing barrel and sigh ting arrangemen ts.

Specification Specification Hotchkiss modèle 38 Calibre:25 mm(0.98in) Lengthofpiece: 1.50m(59in) Weight: in action 850 kg (1,874 lb) Elevation:-5° to+80° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: 3000 m (9,843ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 350 rpm Projectile weight: 0.29 kg (0.64 lb)



37-mm Schneider

The 37-mm Schneider gun was pro-duced initially during the early 1930s, and was at the time rejected by the French army which could then see no reason for obtaining such a weapon. A similar Hotchkiss proposal met with the same response. The Schneider concern decided to go ahead with development of the design under its own auspices, and in time these efforts were rewarded by a number of export orders from nations such as Romania, More were taken by the French navy, but the numbers involved were never large.

The Spanish Civil War changed French officiai thinking to a radical de-gree: it was now clear that the bulk of the anti-aircraft weapons used by the French armed forces were at best obsolescent or, in the case of low-level defence weapons, ineffective. Accordingly large production orders were placed for weapons initially to supple-ment and eventually to replace existing stocks. But in the case of the 37mm guns the French staff planners were in something of a quandary, for they had nothing in what they came to regard as the medium-calibre brack-et. At the bottom end 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and 25-mm (0.98-in) weapons were selected, and at the upper end of the weapon bracket the old '75s' (75-mm/ 2.95-in) weapons were being updated and new designs were in prospect: but there was nothing in the medium bracket and so a rushed procurement programme was established.

The Schneider 37-mm gun was an immediate candidate for selection, but at the same time it was appreciated that it was not a very satisfactory weapon. The gun itself had a rather short barrel (resulting in a lack of range and power) and the ammunition was also not particularly powerful, Moreover it was considered that the carriage was too heavy and awkward,



Only a few (some sources say 20) of the 37-mm (1.457-in) Schneider guns were produced for the French army, as the weapon was originallyproduced for export. It was passed over in favour of the Swedish Bofors Gun after 1938.

and took too long to get into action, Thus although the Schneider gun was ordered as the Mitrailleur de 37mm Schneider modèle 1930, it was only ordered in parallel with the Swedish 40-mm (1,575-m) Bofors from Poland. An order for 700 Schneider guns was An older for 700 semiclicit guils was placed, with deliveries hopefully ex-tending into 1941. In the event only some 20 had been produced by the time the Germans invaded; this handful was emplaced around Pans and thus never got a chance to take any part in the events of May and June 1940

This delay in delivery was caused mainly by production and other trou-bles at the Schneider factories. The

37-mm gun was not easy to manufacture, and it took time to establish the production facilities. In fact things got so far behind schedule that by early 1940 the French army planners actual-ly approached the United States and requested large numbers of Colt 37mm anti-aircraft guns. Nothing came of this venture before the Germans invaded.

Thus the Schneider 37-mm gun faded from the scene, The numbers taken over by the Germans were too small to be considered for the usual inclusion in the German inventory, and by the time 1945 came around they had all apparently vanished into the scrap furnaces. Thus the Schneider gun may

be regarded as one of World War IPs least successful weapons.

Specification Schneider modèle 1930 Calibre: 37 mm (1.45 in) Length of piece: not recorded Weight: in action 1340 kg (2,954 lb) Elevation:-0° to+80° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 800 m (2,625 ft) per

second Maximum effective ceiling: 3000 m (9.843ft)

Rate of fire: (cyclic) 175 rpm Projectile weight: about 0.55kg

(1.21 lb)



GERMANY 2-cm Flak 30

By the time the new German army was ready to re-arm during the early 1930s, the German armament manufacturers had built up a considerable degree of expertise in heavy automatic weapons. This was especially true of the giant Rheinmetall-Borsig concern, and accordingly it was given a contract to accordingly it was given a contract to produce a light anti-aircraft gun with a calibre of 20mm (0.787 in), and this was ready for service by 1935. Known as the 2-cm Flak 30, the term Flak standing for *Fliegerabwehrkanone* (anti-aircraft gun), this light weapon was of the type often known as a can-non, and was the first of a series of weapons that were to become dreaded by low-flying Allied aircraft crews,

The Flak 30 was for its light calibre a The Flak 30 was for its light calibre a rather complex weapon mounted on a carriage that could be towed on two wheels and in action rested on a ground platform. This platform pro-vided a stable firing base with 360° traverse, and had a seat behind the gun for the firer who used, in the Flak 30's original form, a rather complicated form of reflector ight. These sights he form of reflector sight. These sights became even more complicated when simple predictor systems were built into it, and at one point the small sight had reached a state when it had to be driven by clockwork. In fact they got so complicated that the whole idea was dropped and later versions re-

GERMANY

Thè 2-cm (0.79-in) Flak 30 was a Rheinmetall-Borsig design that entered German army service in 1935. Seenhere on its triangular firing platform, it was used on a n umber of self-propelled moun tings.

verted to simple 'cartwheel and bead' iron sights. The gun had a crew of five, but in action was frequently managed by less, especially when the guns were located in static positions, Generally the number was at least four, and usually one man held and operated a stereoscopic rangefinder, there here 10/4 this function used do though after 1944 this function was deleted as it was found to be operationally unnecessary. Ammunition was fed into the gun in

20-round magazines, but for some never-fully determined reason the Flak 30 was prone to ammunition jams, Also, although it was perfectly adequate when first introduced, it was later dis-covered that its rate of fire was too slow to cope adequately with the increased aircraft speeds that prevailed after 1940. Consequently it was replaced on the production line by the later Flak 38, but those already in service were not replaced until they became worn out or were lost to enemy action. In army light anti-aircraft

Abteilungen (battalions) there were usually three 2-cm batteries to one 3,7-cm (1.457-in) battery, but as the war continued there were many variations on this theme. The Flak 30 was used not only by the Germans. Before 1939 some were sold to the Netherlands and even to China, In Germany the Flak 30 was also used by the Luftwaffe for ground defences, and the German mountings. Some saw service for the defence of armoured trains, and the weapon was one of those mounted on several types of halftracks or trucks for the defence of mobile formations and convoys. The Flak 30 was frequently

used in the ground target role, and there was even a special armourpiercing round for use against tanks,

Specification Flak 30

Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Length of piece: 2.30 m (90.6 in) Weight: in action 450 kg (992 lb) Elevation:-12° to+90° Traverse: 360°

Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second

Maximum effective ceiling: 2200 m (7.218 ft)

Rate of fire: (cyclic) 280 rmp Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0.262 lb)



2-cm Flak 38 and Flakvierling 38

By 1940 it was already appreciated that the low rate of fire of the 2-cm (0.787-in) Flak 30 was too low for future target speeds, so it was decided to increase the rate of fire in order to increase the possible numbers of projectiles hitting the target. It was also decided to rede-sign the gun to get rid of the inherent jammingproblem. Rheinmetall-Borsig was not given the contract for this project. It went instead to Mauser, who came up with a new gun that was out-wardly similar to the Flak 30 but inter-nally much was changed to provide a cyclic rate of fire of 420 to 480 rounds per minute. The ammunition, feed system and most of the carriage remained much the same as before. So did the complicated sights which were later simplified, as on the Flak 30. The 2-cm Flak 38, as the Mauser,

design was known, entered service in late 1940 and eventually replaced the Flak 30 on the production lines. It served alongside the Flak 30 and was served alongside the Flak 30 and was also used by the Luftwaffe and the Ger-man navy. There was even a special version for use by the German army's mountain units that could be broken down into pack loads. This used the same gun as the Flak 38, but the car-inga was much smaller and lighter. riage was much smaller and lighter: it was known as the 2-cm Gebirgsflak 38 and was intended to be a dual-purpose weapon for use against ground targets

aweapon for use against ground the game as well as against aircraft. By 1940 it was appreciated that air-craft targets were not only getting fas-ter but also heavier and better protected against ground and air fire. Undertaken with typical German thoroughness, operational analysis re-vealed that although the high rate of fire of the Flak 38 was more likely to ensure a target hit, the low explosive payload of the projectile was unlikely to inflict enough damage to ensure a

The 2-cm (0.79-in) Flak 38 was a Mauser design introduced to overcome some of the drawbacks of theFlakSO, which included a slow rate offire and various stoppages. It had a higherrate offire but used the same carriage as the earlier weapon.



'kill'. The only easy and immediate way to remedy this was to increase the number of barrels firing from one mounting, and thus the 2-cm Flakvier-ling 38 was developed. This was simp-ly a single Flak 38 carriage modified to accommodate four barrels capable of firing at once. This combination befiring at once. This combination be-came a dreaded aircraft-killer that constantly drew a toll of low-flying Allied aircraft right until the end of the war. The first such equipments en-tered service in late 1940 and there were used by the German army, the Luftwaffe and the navy, and many self-propelled mountings were improvized or produced to make them more mobile, There was a special version for use on armoured trains and at one point there was even a radar-controlled version under develop-ment, The Flakvierling required a greater number of men to serve it in action (usually six or seven), but those



A British soldier examines a captured 2-cm (0.79-in) Flakvierling 38. The arrangement of the four barrels can be clearly seen, and the reflector sight is prominent. The

curved box magazines can be seen protruding from the guns, and it was these magazines with their 20-round capacity that limited the fire rate of the guns.

For the Germans there were never enough of them and throughout the Reichmany production facilities were devoted to manufacture of the guns, their carriages and ammunition. This last was produced in several forms including high explosive (HE), high explosive with tracer and various forms of armour-piercing.

Specification Flak 38

Flak 38 Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Length of piece: 2.2525 m (88,7 in) Weight: in action 420 kg (926 lb) Elevation:-20° to+90° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second Maximumeffectiveceiling: 2200m (7,218ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 420-480 rpm

Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0.262 lb)



3.7-cm Flak 18, 36 and 37

When the 3.7-cm Flak 18 entered service in 1935 it was regarded by the German army and Luftwaffe as a medium-calibre anti-aircraft weapon. It had been developed in Switzerland by Rheinmetall to avoid the stipulations of the 1919 Versailles Treaty, and for a time was known as the ST 10 or Solothurn S10-100. When it was first introduced the Flak 18 suffered from many teething troubles which were eventually ironed out, but even in its final form was not regarded as much of a success. In the weapon's original form, the gun and carriage were moved on a heavy and complex twinaxled arrangement, but getting in and out of action with this carriage was slow. Moreover, carriage traverse was slow and the gun mechanism was so prone to stoppages that crews had to be highly trained to cope with them. For all these drawbacks the 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak 18 was never replaced in service. Some examples were exported to China before 1939.

Manufacture of the original Flak 18 ceased in 1936, and in the same year production began of a new gun with the same calibre. This appeared to be the same design as before, but there were many changes, not the least of which was a new type of ammunition with only one driving band in place of the original two. The carriage was much altered to allow towing on a single axle only. Overall the new gun, known as the 3.7-cm Flak 36, retained the same performance as the earlier weapon but was much handier to use in action. There was one further variant, the 3.7-cm Flak 37, but this differed only in the type of sight fitted: this was a complex predictor-type sight powered by clockwork.

The Flak 36 and 37 were produced in large numbers, and by August 1944 the Luftwaffe alone had 4,211 in service. The German navy used various forms of the basic gun on special naval mountings, and there was a version for use on submarines. There were also several self-propelled types, some hastily mounted on trucks and converted tank chassis, and some on half-tracks. In action the usual number of men to each gun was seven, one of them operating a portable rangefinder, but after 1944 this crew member was withdrawn. Ammunition was fed

Specification Flakvierling 38 Calibre: 20 mm (0.787m) Length of piece: 2.2525 m (88,7 in) Weight: in action 1514 kg (3,338 lb) Elevation:-10° to+100° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per

second Maximum effective ceiling: 2200 m (7,218ft)

Rate of fire: (cyclic) 1,800 rpm Projectile weight: 0.119 kg(0.2621b)

A 2-cm (0.79-in) Flakvierling 38 is mounted on a SdKfz 7/1 halftrack with the crew ready for immediate action. This conversion was first produced during late 1941 and was widely used, not only against aircraft but also against tank targets. This vehicle had a crew of 10 men.

Below: The 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak series was used on numerous selfpropelled mountings, one of which was nicknamed the 'Möbelwagen' (furniture van). It used a PzKfpwlV chassis with the gun mounted centrally and with sides that folded down to form a firing platform for the crew of seven (including the driver).



Right: A 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak36is in position as part of the A tlan tic Wall coastal defences. This photograph was taken during 1940 or 1941, for the emplacement is still an earthwork (later it would be concrete) and the rangetaker is still included in the crew; later he would be removed to conserve manpower.

into the gun in linked six-round clips. After about 1940 the Flak 18, 36 and 37 became the standard defence weapons against low-flying aircraft and were usually organized into nineor 12-gun batteries. Many were statically emplaced on special flak towers that provided good all-round fire close to important target areas. Special flak trains that moved around the Reich to be in position wherever Allied air attacks were heaviest also carried numbers of Flak 36s or 37s. The type

tank weapon on occasion and one weapon developed for use on the Eastern Front was a muzzle-loaded stick bomb that was fired against tanks using a special blank cartridge. Production of the Flak 36 and 37 con-

was also used in the field as an anti-

tinued right up to the end of the war at three main centres (one in Czechoslovakia), but the Flak 36/37 was not an easy or cheap weapon to produce, a fact which led to the introduction of the Flak 43 guns. Specification Flak 36 and Flak 37 Calibre: 37 mm (1.457 in) Length of piece: 3.626 m (142.75 in) Weight: in action 1550 kg (3,417 lb) Elevation:-8° to+85° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 820 m (2,690 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: 4800 m (15T748ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 160 rpm Projectileweight:0.64kg(1.411b)



On the move, the 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak 36 was a compact loadanduseda two-wheeled carriage. TheFlak37 differed in using a more complex clockwork sighting system, but was otherwise identical to theFlak36.





GERMANY

3.7-cm Flak 43 and Flakzwilling 43

By 1942 the Allied air threat over all the various battlefields was reaching the point where there were never enough air defence weapons available. The 3.7-cm (1.457-in) guns were always in demand as they were the standard weapon against low-flying aircraft, and in 1942 Rheinmetall-Borsig was busy developing a gun to replace the ex-isting costly and slow-to-make Flak 367 37 series. As ever, Rheinmetall-Borsig came up with a novelty, not in the gun or carriage design, but in the manner of manufacture: it decided to adopt methods already in use for small-arms production.

Rheinmetall-Borsig was in competi-tion with Krupp for the new gun con-tract, and at one point the order was given to the Krupp gun, which used conventional production methods. But at the last moment the Krupp design developed weaknesses and Rheinme-tall Borsig not the award This tall-Borsig got the award. This im-mediately resulted in the internal party and factional wrangling that often beset the German wartime industrial dream, so by the time Rheinmetall-Borsig was actually able to go ahead on a new production line well over a year had passed, Rheinmetall-Borsig was partially able to make up the leeway by the fact that its gun, known as the 3.7-cm Flak 43, was produced with stampings, weldings and simply-fabricated components in the same way as sub-machine guns. The production time for a gun was cut by a factor of four, and the overall performance boosted by an increased rate of fire

It was early 1944 before the first of the new guns was ready, and thereaf-

GERMANY 33 5-cm Flak 41

In World War II air warfare terms there was an altitude band that exthere was an antiduce band that ex-tended from approximately 1500m (4,921ft) to 3000m (9,843ft) that ex-isting anti-aircraft guns could cover only with difficulty. Aircraft flying in this band were really too high or too low for small- or larger-calibre weapons. What was obviously re-quired was an interim-calibre weapon that could deal with this problem but, as artillery designers in both the Allied and German camps were to discover, it was not an easy problem to solve. The German solution to the interim-

altitude band situation was a gun known as the 5-cm Flak 41, and the best that can be said of it was that it was not a success. It was first produced in 1936, and was yet another Rheinmetall-Borsig design that was preferred over a Krupp submission. Development of the prototype was carried out with no sense of urgency, for it was 1940 before the production contract was awarded and in the event only 60 guns were completed, The first of them entered service in 1941 and the type's shortcomings soon became apparent. The mam problem was the ammunition: despite its 50-mm (1.97-in) calibre, this was rather underpowered and on firing produced a prodigious amount of muzzle blast and flash that distracted the aimer, even in broad daylight. The carriage proved rather bulky and awkward to handle in action, and de-spite the characteristics of the expected targets the traversing mechanism was also rather underpowered

The German 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flakzwilling 43 was an attempt to increase the firepower of the basic Flak 43 by adding an extra barrel, but rela lively few were produced as the weapon was rather high and awkward to emplace.

ter the type poured off the lines at Dür-kopp. In service the Flak 43 proved very successful, but in the initial rush to get the new gun into production it had been decided to retain the original Flak 36/37 ammunition and barrel designs. Thus the Flak 43 was at a disadvantage from the start, for the increased speeds of low-flying aircraft and their increased degree of protec-tion meant that a single strike from a Flak 43 did not always bring down the target aircraft. The only immediate answer to this was to multiply the number of barrels on a single carriage, and this led to the 3.7-cm Flakzwilling 43 with two barrels, one above the other, on a single mounting. This made a kill much more likely and the Flakzwilling

became preferred over the single-barrel version. In the event both were produced until the end of the war, and there were even plans for a four-barrel mounting at one stage. There was also a project on which the two barrels were mounted side-by-side.

The single- and twin-barrel Flak 43s were potent weapons, but the twin-barrelled version was something of an barrelied version was something of an unwieldy brute to get in and out of action because of its general top-heaviness. Fortunately for Allied air crews, the number of Flak 43s was never enough to meet demands, espe-cially regarding the Flakzwilling 43. By February 1945 there were 1,032 Flak 43s of both types in service, but of these only 280 were of the twin-

barrelled version. In action both types required six-man crews, and if a gun was to be maintained in action for any length of time more men were needed to supply ammunition to the gun.

Specification Flak 43

Calibre: 37 mm (1,457 in) Lengthofpiece: 3.30m(130in) Weight: in action 1392 kg (3,069 lb) Elevation: -7.5° to $+90^{\circ}$ Traverse:360° Muzzle velocity: 840 m (2,756 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: 4800 m (15.748ft) Rate of fire: (cyclic) 250 rpm

Projectile weight: 0.64kg(1.41lb)



The 5-cm (1.97-in) Flak 41 was one of the least successful of all the German anti-aircraft guns, for it had excessive recoil and flash and the carriage traversed too slowly. Despite their shortcomings, 50 were used until the war ended.

and too slow to track fast targets. Two versions of the Flak 41 were produced: a mobile one using two axles to carry the gun and carriage, and a static version for emplacing close to areas of high importance such as the Ruhr dams. Despite their overall lack of success the guns were kept in ser-vice until the war ended, but by then only 24 were left. During the war years some development work was carried out using the Flak 41s, not so much to improve the guns themselves but to determine the exact nature of the weapon that was to replace them. In time this turned out to be a design known as the Gerät 56 (Gerät was a cover name, meaning equipment) but it was not finalized before the war en-

ded, One Flak 41 development was the formation of one battery operating under a single remote control. In action the Flak 41 had a crew of

seven men. Loading the ammunition was no easy task for it was fed into the gun in five-round clips that were somewhat difficult to handle. Though de-signed for use against aircraft targets, the Flak 41 was also provided with special armour-piercing projectiles for use against tanks, but this AP round appears to have been little used as the Flak 41 was one of the few German

weapons that was not selected for mounting on a self-propelled carriage. If the Germans were unsuccessful in their attempt to defend the interim-altitude band, it has to be stated that

the Allies were no more successful. Typical of their efforts was the British twin 6-pdr, a 57-mm (2.244-in) weapon that never got past the trials stage be-cause of its indifferent performance.

Specification Flak41

Calibre: 50 mm (1.97 in) Length of piece: 4.686 m (184.5 in) Weight: in action 3100 kg (6,834 lb) Elevation:-10° to+90° Traverse:360° Muzzle velocity: 840 m (2,756 ft) per second Maximum effective ceiling: 3050 m (10.007 ft)

Rate of fire: (cyclic) 180 rpm Projectile weight: 2.2 kg(4.85 lb)

War Rockets

Used to attack strongpoints, lay smokescreens and simply to support the fire of conventional artillery, the rockets of World War II are most famous for their use in massive bombardments on the Eastern Front. Firing them in huge numbers would often more than compensate for their inaccuracy.

he war rocket is an old weapon that was resurrected during World War II to supplement existing attack and defence systems (artillery and anti-aircraft weapons). The rocket has much to offer the weapon designer as it is a relatively cheap and simple device that can be mass-produced with comparative ease, and when used en masse is capable of fearful devastation. But in the term en masse lies the main failing of the war rocket: it has to be used in great numbers to ensure that it will hit a precise target, for the rocket is inherently a projectile that will depart from a pre-selected trajectory with alarming ease and with little apparent reason. Set against this is the fact that it can carry a powerful payload for the costs involved, and so the arguments for and against such weapons continue.

The arguments for the rocket were in the ascendancy during World War II, nearly all the major protagonists making operational use of them to some degree. Mainly it was used to supplement existing weapons, but the Soviets discovered that the rocket could at times also be regarded as a weapon in its own right. Technologically, the Germans were the most advanced of all the World War II rocket users;



Mobile multiple-barrelled rocket launchers, such as this British 76.2mm (3in) anti-aircraft version, laid down huge blankets of fire; the most famous example of this weapon was the Soviet Katyusha.

however, they operated rockets as a supporting weapon to eke out artillery barrages, and only rarely attempted to deploy their rocket systems in the same offensive manner as the Red Army used its various Katyushas. The Katyushas were nearly always in the forefront of the offensive to oust the German invaders from the Soviet Union, and some of the Soviet rocket types used during those times are still in service all over the world. Indeed the Russian Army has maintained the multiple rocket-launcher as an important item in its military inventory, and has improved the performance of the relevant rockets to an extraordinary degree. Only now are the Western nations beginning to relearn the importance of this weapon as a counter to massed armoured and infantry attacks.

Nevertheless, during World War II the rocket made a considerable impact on many ground campaigns. For example, the German Nebelwerfer units often tipped the balance in their favour by their application of heavy barrage fire during several battles. British rocket batteries played their part in the defence of the nation. At lower tactical levels the various American M8 weapons were often used to devastating effect in the reduction of strongpoints and bunkers. Only the Japanese failed to use the rocket to its full effect. They did make some attempts in this direction, but for the Japanese the main problem was production, not tactics.



15-cm Wurfgranate 41

The 15-cm (5.9-in) German artillery rockets were the mainstay of the large number of German army Nebelwerfer (literally smoke-throwing) units, initially formed to produce smoke screens for various tactical uses but later difor various tactical uses but later diverted to use artillery rockets as well. The 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets were extensively tested by the Germans at Kummersdorf West during the late 1930s, and by 1941 the first were ready for issue to the troops. The 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets were of two main types: the 15-cm Wurfgranate 41 Spreng (high explosive) and 15-cm Wurfgranate 41 w Kh Nebel (smoke). In appearance both were similar and had an unusual layout, in that the rocket venturi that produced

GERMANY

that the rocket venturi that produced the spin stabilization were located some two-thirds of the way along the rocket body with the main payload be-hind them. This ensured that when the main explosive payload detonated the romain of the rocket matter added to remains of the rocket motor added to the overall destructive effects. In flight the rocket had a distinctive droning sound that gave rise to the Allied nickname 'Moaning Minnie'. Special versions were issued for arctic and tropic-

al use. The first launcher issued for use with these rockets was a single-rail device known as the 'Do-Gerät' (after the leader of the German rocket teams, General Dornberger). It was apparently in-tended for use by airborne units, but in the event was little used. Instead the main launcher for the 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets was the 15-cm Nebelwerfer 41. This fired six rockets from tubular launchers carried on a converted 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun carriage. The tubes were arranged in a rough circle and were fired electrically one at a time in a fixed sequence. The max-imum range of these rockets was vari-able, but usually about 6900m (7,545 yards), and they were normally fired en masse by batteries of 12 or more launchers. When so used the effects of such a bombardment could be devastating as the rockets could cover a considerable area of target terrain and the

blast of their payloads was powerful. On the move the Nebelwerfer 41s were usually towed by light halftracks that also carried extra ammunition and other equipment, but in 1942 a halftracked launcher was issued. This was the 15-cm Panzerwerfer 42 which con-tinued to use the 15-cm (5.9-in) rocket



Above: The 15-cm Panzerwerfer 42 was not only more mobile, but more survivable; rockets betrayed their position the moment they fired, so to avoid enemy artillery fire they needed to change position rapidly.

with the launcher tubes arranged in two horizontal rows of five on the top of an SdKfz 4/1 Maultier armoured half-track, These vehicles were used to supply supporting fire for armoured operations. Up to 10 rockets could be carried ready for use in the launcher and a further 10 weapons inside the armoured body. Later in the war similar launchers were used on armoured schwere Wehrmachtschlepper (SWS) halftracks that were also used to tow

halftracks that were also used to tow more Nebelwerfer 4Is, The SWS could carry up to 26 rockets inside its armoured hull. The 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets were also used with the launchers intended for the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets, with spe-cial rails for the smaller rockets fitted into the evisting 30-cm (11.8-in) launinto the existing 30-cm (11.8-in) launcher rails.

Specification 15-cm Wurfgranate 41 Spreng Dimensions: length979mm(38.55in); diameter 158mm(6.22in) Weights: overall 31.8kg(701b);

The 15-cm rockets were among the earliest in widespread use by the German army, following an extensive pre-war test programme.

propellale,35 kg(14 lb); filling2.5 kg 5.5lb)

Performance: initial velocity 342 m (1,120ft)persecond;range 7055 m (7,715 yards)

Specification 15-cmWurfgranate41wKhNebel

Originally fired from a 6-barrel mount converted from the Pak 35/36 gun carriage, by 1942 the 10-tube launcher had been developed.

Dimensions: length 1.02 m(40,16 in); diameter 158mm(6.22in) Weights: overall 35.9kg(791b); propellant 6.35 kg (14 lb); filling 3.86 kg (8.5 lb) Performance: initial velocity 342 m (1,120 ft) per second; range 6905 m (7,550 yards)



Following on from the success of their 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets, German designers decided to produce a larger rocket which by 1941 emerged as a 210-mm (8.27-in) design. At first sight this rocket, known as the 21-cm Wurf-granate 42 Spreng, looked exactly like a conventional artillery projectile, but closer examination snowed that the base had 22 angled venturi to impart the important spin stabilization. The long streamlined nose was also deceptive, for it was hollow and the warhead the proper was located some distance from the tip. This rocket contained no less than 10.17 kg (22.4 lb) of high ex-plosive, which on detonation pro-duced a powerful blast effect. The weapon was so successful in this des-tructive role that only high explosive uncertainty and the supervised explosive versions were produced.



The21-cm rocket superficially resembled a conventional artillery round, but its streamlined nose was hollow and its base had 22 angled venturi to produce spin stabilization.

The 21-cm (8.27-in) rocket was used with only one type of projector, the 21-cm Nebelwerfer 42. The first such equipment appeared in action in the Soviet Union during 1943 as it took some time to finalize the launcher design. Originally this was to have been a simple enlargement of the existing 15-cm (5.9-in) Nebelwerfer 41 complete with six launcher tubes, but the larger calibre gave rise to some imbalance problems when the launcher was being towed and fired, so the number of tubes was eventually reduced to five and that solved the problems. In all other respects the carriage was the same as the earlier design and was a modification of the 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun carriage. As with the 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets the firing of the 21-cm (8.27-in) weapon was by electrical means. Once the rockets had been loaded in their tubes the launcher crew withdrew to a safe distance (or even took cover), and on receipt of the firing order one of the crew operated a special switch-gear box and the full load of rockets were fired one at a time in a fixed sequence. The salvo firing of the rockets pro-duced a considerable amount of smoke and dust that revealed the launcher and battery position to the enemy, and during their trajectory the rockets produced their characteristic moaning noise that made them so dis-tinctive a weapon. This combination of

smoke, dust and noise meant that the Nebelwerfer troops had to be experts at getting in and out of action quickly, for any firing of the large salvoes necessary to cover a target quickly produced counterbattery artillery or rocket fire that could neutralize the launcher units.

The 21-cm (8.27-in) rockets made a considerable impression on all who had to endure their effects, and the Americans in particular considered the rocket and launcher design to be so far in advance of anything they could produce that they took some ex-amples back to the USA and copied them. The US version was the 210-mm (8.27-in) T36, which was used for a series of trials and research program-mes that did nothing to produce an operational weapon but which added considerably to the Americans' knowledge of artillery rocket technology.

Specification 21-cm Wurfgranate 42 Spreng Dimensions: length 1.25 m (49,21 in); body diameter 210 mm (8.27 in) Weights: overall 109.55 kg (241.5 lb); propellant 18,27 kg (40,25 lb); explosive 10.17 kg (22,4 lb) Performance: initial velocity 320m (1.050 ft) per second range about (1,050 ft) per second; range about 7850 m (8,585 yards)



Entering service in 1943, the 21-cm Wurfgranate 42 was to have used the same carriage as the 15-cm rocket, but the number of tubes had to be

reduced to five to compensate for the increased charge. The Americans were so impressed by the 21-cm weapon that they copied it.



28-cm and 32-cm Wurfkörper

The 28-cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-m) rockets preceded the 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets in service with the German army, the first of them being issued for use during 1940. The two rockets shared the same rocket motor, but differed in their payload. Both were awk-ward and- bulky rockets with a poor ballistic shape, but both had powerful payloads.

GERMANY

The smaller weapon was the 28-cm Wurfkörper Spreng, which used a heavy high explosive warhead, while the larger weapon was the 32-cm Wurfkörper M FI 50 with an incendiary warhead in heavy liquid form. Both had a range limitation of just over 2000 m (2,185 yards) and were highly inaccurate despite their spin stabilization, and were consequently used en masse whenever possible, Counterba-lancing these disadvantages was the fact that both were devastating in their effects if they hit a target, and the high explosive rocket was highly regarded for use in urban fighting where houses or other structures had to be demolished.

Both rockets were issued to the troops in wooden carrying crates, or Packkiste. These crates doubled as launching frames and were fitted with simple forward supporting legs for rudimentary aiming purposes. In this form both rockets could be used by assault pioneers to demolish bunkers or strongpomts, but more often the rockets were used in batches of four resting on simple launcher frames known as the schweres Wurfgerät 40 or schweres Wurfgerät 41, which differed from each other only in that the latter was tubular steel- rather than wooden-framed. Both could be used for pre-arranged barrages, as during the siege of Sevastopol in 1942. But this



The short-ranged but powerful 28-cm and 32-cm rockets were among the first to be fitted to vehicles, in this case the ubiquitous SdKfz 251. This conversion was known as the TootStuka' or 'Howling Cow'.

launching method was static, and to provide some form of mobility the 287 2-cm Nebelwerfer 41 was developed. This was a simple trailer with frames for six rockets in two superimposed rows of three, and after the 15-cm Nebelwerfer 41 this launcher was the most important early equipment of the Nebelwerfer units.

Another and still more mobile launcher for these rockets was the schwer-er Wurfrahmen 40, in which six launcher frames were mounted on the sides of an SdKfz 251/1 half-track. The rockets were mounted on the side frames still in their carrying crates. Aiming was achieved by simply pointing the vehicle towards the target, and the rockets were then fired one at a

time in a set sequence. This rocket/ vehicle combination had several names but was often known as the 'Stuka-zu-Fuss' or 'heulende Kuh' (Foot Stuka or Howling Cow) and was often used to support Panzer operations, especially in the early days of the inva-sion of the Soviet Union. Later in the war other vehicles, usually captured French or other impressed vehicles, were used to bulk out the numbers of mobile launchers available. All manner of light armoured vehicles were used in this role, some carrying only four launchers. Many of these improvised launcher vehicles were used during the fighting in Normandy in 1944.

Specification 28-cm Wurfkörper Spreng Dimensions: length 1.19m(46.85 in); body diameter 280mm(11 in) Weights:overall82.2 kg(181 lb); propellant 6.6 kg (14.56 lb); filling 49.9 kg(110 lb) Parformena: range about 2128 m Performance: range about 2138 m (2,337 yards)

Specification

32-cm WurfkörperMF150 Dimensions: length 1.289 m (50,75 in); bodydiameter 320 mm (12.6 in) Weights: overall 79 kg (174 lb); propellant 6.6 kg (14.56 lb); filling 39.8 kg (87.7 lb) Performance: range about 2028 m (2,217 yards)



30-cm Wurfkörper 42

Compared with 28-cm (li-in) and 32-cm (l2.6-in) rockets which preceded it, the 30-cm Wurfkörper 42 Spreng (also known as the Wurfkörper Spreng (4491) was a considerable improve-ment on the earlier designs when it appeared on the artillery scene during late 1942. Not only was it in aerodynamic terms a much smoother and clean-er design, but it had a much higher propellant/payload ratio than any other German artillery rocket. However, to the troops in the fields these technicalities were far less important than the fact that the more advanced type of propellant used with the new rocket produced far less smoke and exhaust trails than the other rockets, and was thus far less likely to give away the firing position. But for all this improve-ment the 30-cm (11.8-in) rocket did not have any marked range advantages over the existing rockets. It had a theoretical range of some 6000 m (6,560 yards), but practical ranges were of the order of 4550 m (4,975 yards).

GLHMANY

The first launcher used with the new 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets was the 30-cm Nebelwerfer 42, This was a simple conversion of the 28/32-cm Nebelwer-fer 41 with the simple rail launching frames altered to accommodate the new rocket shape and size. But this simple conversion did not last long, for almost as it was issued a new program-me of rationalization was drawn up and the special trailer of the Nebelwerfer 41 and 42 was eliminated. Instead a new trailer based on the carriage of the 5-cm (1.97-in) Pak 38 anti-tank gun was placed into production and the 30-cm (11.8-in) launcher frames were placed on this to produce the 30-cm



Above: The 30-cm Wurfkörper 42 rocket was an improvement over its immediate predecessors, being m uch cleaner aerodynamically and leaving much less telltale smoke in its wake. Despite these advantages it could not be produced in sufficien t quantity to supplant the earlier designs.

Raketenwerfer 56; to ensure that the new launcher could be used to the maximum each was provided with a set of launcher rail inserts to allow 15cm (5.9-in) rockets to be fired if re-quired. When not in use, these 15-cm (5.9-in) rails were stacked on top of the 30-cm (11.8-in) frames. Yet another rationalization was that the 30-cm (11.8in) rockets could also be fired from the schwerer Wurfrahmen launcher frames of the SdKfz 251/1 half-track, originally intended for use by the 28cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-in) rockets. When launched from these frames, the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets were fired from their carrying crates or Packkiste, and no doubt the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets were used by assault pioneers for direct firing from their crates in the same manner as the earlier 28-cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-in) weapons. Despite its relative improvements

over the earlier artillery rockets, the



Japanese rockets

The Japanese recognized the value of the artillery rocket to their underarmed forces and carried out considerable design and development work in order to provide a weapon that could make up for their lack of indust-rial capacity, Unfortunately for them their results were patchy and well be-hind the work carried out by the Allies. To add to the lack of Japanese success there were often development programmes carried out in opposition to each other, and typical of these were the projects to develop a 20-cm (7.87-in) rocket by both the army and the navy

The Army 20-cm Rocket may be regarded as the better of the two pro-jects. It was a spin-stabilized rocket using six base vents to impart prop-ulsion and spin, and had an overall resemblance to an artillery projectile. To fire this rocket the army provided what appeared to be an oversize mortar known as the Type 4 Rocket Launcher, The rocket was inserted into the 'barrel' by raising part of the upper section of the barrel and part of the tube base was open. This launcher was supposed to deliver the rocket relatively accurately, but few eguipments appear to have been issued and most of these were used for coastal defences

The Navy 20-cm Rocket resembled the army weapon in many respects, but was intended for launching from troughs made from simple wooden planks, or in some cases more sophisti-

cated metal troughs. At times the rockets were simply emplaced to be laun-ched directly from holes dug in the ground. A more conventional launcher used in small numbers only was a simple barrel on a light artillery-type car-

These 20-cm (7.87-m) rockets formed the bulk of the Japanese rocket programmes but there were others. One was the Type 10 Rocket Motor which was a simple propulsion unit de-signed to push aircraft bombs along ramps or troughs to launch them, At least two versions of the Type 10 existed but they were very inaccurate and had a maximum range of only 1830m (2,000 yards). The launchers used for these rocket motors were often improvised, and improvisation was also used in at least one case where the conventional fins of an air-craft 250-kg (551-lb) bomb were re-placed by a large rocket motor for launching from a simple wooden trough. Some intelligence reports from the period (1945) speak of these laun-chers mounted on trucks, but no confirmation of these has been found.

The largest of all the Japanese rockets had a diameter of 447 mm (17.6 in), and this 44.7-cm Rocket was a some-what crude spin-stabilized design that was used in action on Iwo Jima and Luzon. It had a range of 1958 m (2,140 yards) at best, and was launched from short wooden racks or frames. It was wildly inaccurate, but it did have a warhead weighing 180,7kg (398 lb).



A gunnerplaces some rather optimistic camouflage over a 30-cm rocket launcher. Initially fired from modified 28/32 cm launchers, the 30-cm rocket was soon provided with its own carriage, based on that of the 5-cm Pak 38 anti-tank gun.

30-cm (11.8-in) rocket was not used in very great numbers. The earlier rockets remained in service right until the end of the war despite a late attempt to replace all existing weapons, includ-ing the 30-cm (11.8-in) type, by an en-tirely new 12-cm (4.72-in) spin-stabilized design, This decision was

made too late in the war for anything actually to reach the troops, and it now appears doubtful if any 12-cm (4.72-in) rockets were ever made.

Specification 30-cm Wurfkörper 42 Dimensions: length 1,23 m (48.44 in); body diameter 300 mm (11,8 in) Weights: overall 125.7kg (2771b); propellant 15 kg (33,071b); explosive 44,66 kg (98,46 lb) Performance:initialvelocity230m (754 ft) per second; range about 4550 m (4,975 yards)



By the time these rockets were used Japanese industrial capacity was in such a state that the conventional high explosive warheads for these rockets often had to be replaced by simple picric acid.

Specification

Army20-cmRocket Dimensions: length 984 mm (38.75 in); diameter 202 mm (7.95 in) Weights: overall 92.6 kg (44.95 lb); propellant not known; filling 16.2 kg (35.7 lb) Performance: initial velocity not known; range not known

Japan undertook considerable development work on rockets, but lagged behind the other belligerent nations and produced few usable weapons. This 20-cm army rocket was one of the small number to see action.

Specification

Navy 20-cm Rocket Navy 20-cm Rocket Dimensions: length 1.041 m(41in); diameter 210 mm (8.27 in) Weights: overall 90.12 kg(198.51b); propellant 8.3 kg(18.31b); filling 17.52 kg(38.61b) Performance: initial velocity not known; range 1800 m (1,970 yards)



M-8 82-mm rocket

During the 1920s and 1930s the Soviet Union used a great deal of its research potential to determine exactly how propellants suitable for rockets could be mass produced, Even before 1918 the Russians had been great advocates of the war rocket, and after this the Soviets were determined to remain in the forefront of rocket technology despite the fact that they were hampered by a lack of industrial potential, which in turn led to their selection of the simpler and more easily produced finstabilized over the more accurate spin-stabilized rockets. One of their very first designs, produced during the late 1930s, was one of their most famous rockets, namely the 82-mm (3.23-in) M-8. The M-8 rocket was an off-shoot of

The M-8 rocket was an off-shoot of an aircraft rocket programme. The aircraft rocket was the RS-82, and such was the state of the Soviet rocket development programme that it actually entered service after the 132-mm (5.2in) rocket. The M-8 was a small rocket with a maximum range of 5900 m (6,455 yards) that carried a fragmentation warhead. It was carried on and fired from a series of rails carried on 6x6 trucks, and these rail launchers were just one type of the series of weapons known as Katyusha. One of the first of these multiple launchers was carried on a ZiS-6 6x6 truck. As this arrangement could carry and launch up to 36 M-8 rockets it was known as the BM-8-36, the BM denoting 'combat vehicle¹ as a cover name. It was not the only vehicle that fired the M-8 rocket, for when sufficient US-supplied Lend-Lease trucks became available these too were used as M-8 launcher vehicles: typical of these was the Studebaker 6x6, which was large enough to take rails for 48 rockets and which thus became the BM-8-48. But being wheeled, these launchers could not always traverse the rough terrain of the Soviet Union or keep up with the tank units they were meant to support. At one point experiments were made to fit single-rail launchers to the sides of tank turrets, but they came to nothing. Instead numbers of the T-60 light tank, which had proved to be of little combat value in its designed role, were converted to take rails for 24 M-8 rockets and the type thus became known as the BM-8-24.

There were other launchers for the M-8 rocket, including a special eightrocket frame intended for use by mountain troops. On all of the M-8 launchers the rockets were fired not in a massed salvo but in ripples under the control of an electrical rotary switch box.

The M-8 rockets had quite an effect on the recipient German troops who had to endure the high fragmentation warheads fired into them in large numbers. The Waffen SS was so impressed that it decided to copy the design direct (along with the launcher rails) as its own 'Himmlerorgel'. The M-8 rockets remained in service throughout the war, but following 1945 was gradually phased from use in favour of the heavier Soviet war rockets and in par-



Seen here mounted atop a T-70 light tank, the M-8 82-mm rocket had its origins in an aircraft rocket programme; itproved an enormous success and served throughout the war. The Waffen SS were so impressed that they copied it.

ticular the 132-mm (5.2-in) and 310-mm (12.2-in) rockets.

Specification M-8

Dimensions: length 660 mm (26 in); body diameter 82 mm (3.23 in) Weights: overall 8kg (17,61b); propellant 1.2 kg (2.6451b); explosive 0.5 kg (1.1 lb) Performance: initial velocity 315 m (1,033 ft) persecond; maximum range 5900 m (6,450 yards)



M-13 132-mm rocket

The most widely used of all the Soviet war rockets during World War II was the M-13 132-mm (5.2-in) weapon. It was designed during the late 1930s, and when the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 there were only a few production launchers and a small stock of rockets to hand. These were pressed into service as an emergency measure and first went into action on the Smolensk front in July 1941, when they caused near-panic among the hapless German troops, This is hardly surprising, for in a period of under 10 seconds a single M-13 battery could swamp a large area in high explosive to an extent hitherto unseen in warfare.

These firstM-13 batteries were very much special units. The launchers for the M-13 fin-stabilized rockets were carried by ZiS-6 6x6 trucks with rails for 16 rockets. The rails were known as 'Flute' launchers to the Soviet troops as a result of their perforated appearance, but they soon gained the name Katyusha, and at one time were known as 'Kostikov guns' after their supposed designer. For security purposes the launchers were usually shrouded in tarpaulins when not in use, and the crews were culled from Communist party members in order to maintain tight security. But it was not long before the M-13 launchers were in widespread use and their secrets became common knowledge.

common knowledge. The basic M-13 rocket had a range of about 8000 to 8500 m (8,750 to 9,295 yards). The usual warhead was of the HE fragmentation type, and as always with fin-stabilized rockets accuracy was not of a high order. But as the M-13s were usually used in massed



Shunted off the road and abandoned, this is the most famous of the war rockets: the truck-mounted Katyusha. Because of the distinctive moaning sound the missiles made in night, the Germans dubbed the weapon 'Stalin's organ'.

barrages this last mattered only little, Later versions of the M-13 used a form of efflux diversion to introduce more spin for increased accuracy, but this measure reduced the range slightly. As mentioned above, the first launcher type used 16 rails and was known as the BM-13-16, but when supplies of Lend-Lease trucks became available they too were used as Katyusha carriers. Several types of truck, including Studebakers, Fords, Chevrolets and Internationals were so used, along with STZ-5 artillery tractors and other vehi-cles. These BM-13-16 launchers had no traverse and only limited elevation, and were laid by pointing the carrier vehicle towards the target. Some car-rier vehicles used steel shutters to protect the cab and crew during the laun-

ching sequence. As the war progressed more types of M-13 warhead were introduced, including armour-piercing to break up tank formations, flare for night illumina-tion, incendiary and signal. One varia-tion was the M-13-DD, which used two

Themost widely used rocket of the war, the Russian M-13132-mm weapon came as a disagreeable surprise to German troops on the Smolensk front in July 1941. It continued to serve in the Red Army until 1980 and still equips several Russian allies today.

USSR

rocket motors burning together at launch to produce a possible range of 11800 m (12,905 yards), and this rocket was launched from the upper rails of the launcher only. The M-13-DD had the greatest range of all solid-propellant artillery rockets in World War II.

After 1945 the M-13 rocket batteries remained in Red Army use right up to 1980, when they were finally replaced by later models, The M-13 is still in service with many countries, although modern trucks are now used as carriers in place of the old war-time mod-els. In fact the development life of the basic M-13 is still not over, for the Chinese are now using the rocket as a form of minelet-laying device known as the Type 74.

Specification M-13

Dimensions: length 1.41m (55,9 in); Weights: overall 42.5 kg (93,7 lb); propellant7.2 kg (15.87 lb); explosive 4.9 kg (10.8 lb). Performance: initial velocity 355 m (1,165 ft) persecond; range 8500 m (9,295 yards)





M-30 and M-31 300-mm rockets

The M-30 300-mm (11,8-in) rocket was introduced during 1942 when it was appreciated that good as the M-8 and appreciated that good as the M-8 and M-13 rockets were, a heavier explo-sive warhead would be an advantage, The M-30 used a modified M-13 rocket motor allied to a bulbous warhead which contained 28.9 kg (63.7 lb) of ex-plosive, which more than met the re-univergent though the range were li quirement though the range was li-mited to no more than 2800 m (3,060 yards). The first M-30s were fired from their carrying crates with the aid of a frame known as Rama, which was a close copy of the German method of using the Packkiste for launching from the schwere Wurfgerät. These Ramas were cumbersome devices that were laborious to set up close to the front line, and were little liked by the Red Army troops. But they did like the M-30 Army troops. But they did like the M-30 rocket for its powerful effects, even going to the extent of using the M-30 for ambushes against tanks or for house-to-house fighting. When used in this role the M-30 was simply aimed at the target while still in its carrying crate and fired at very close range. By the end of 1942 a newer type of 300-mm (11 8 in) rocket was ready and

300-mm (11.8-in) rocket was ready and this was known as the M-31 to diffe-rentiate it from the earlier model. The M-31 had an improved rocket motor that gave a range of 4300 m (4,705 yards), This rocket could be fired from the Rama frames in the same manner as the M-30, but later Ramas could take six M-30, out later Kanas could take six M-31s or M-30s in place of the ori-ginal four. By March 1944 the first mobile launchers for the M-31 appeared. These could carry up to 12 M-31s (the short range of the M-30 ruled out their use with the mobile launchers), and the type was thus known as the BM-31-12. Early versions

Entering service in 1942, the M-30 300-mm rocket carried almost six times as much explosive as the M-13 but its heavy payload reduced its range to under 3 km (1.8 miles). The first mobile launchers were



of this launcher were carried by the ZIS-6 6x6 truck, but most wartime pro-duction examples were carried on Lend-Lease Studebaker US-6 6x6 trucks. These American trucks were fitted with steel shutters over the cab windows for protection against blast

when the rockets were fired. After 1945 the M-31 rockets did not as such often suffered from counterbat-tery fire. But the basic M-31 did undergo some developmenmt before it was dropped. There was an M-31-UK which used some of the efflux gases to impart a measure of spin for increased

stabilization and hence accuracy, Range was slightly reduced, but the M-13-UK could greatly decrease the area of ground covered by a battery and thus increase the amount of explo-sive falling upon a point target. The M-30 and M-31 rockets were fitted only with HE warheads. They were undoubtedly powerful projec-tiles, but they lacked range and for much of the war their mobility was vir-tually nonexistent as they had to be tually nonexistent as they had to be fired from the static Rama frames. It was not until the later stages of the war that they were provided with mobility in the form of the BM-31-12, a tardiness for which the German troops on the Eastern Front were no doubt grateful.

Specification M-30

Dimensions: length 1.20 m (47,24 in); body diameter 300 mm (11.8m) Weights: overall 72kg(158.7lb); propellant7.2 kg (15.87 lb); explosive 28.9kg(63.7lb) Performance: initial velocity not known

Specification M-31

Ni-Si Dimensions: length 1.76 m (69,3 in); body diameter 300 mm (11.8 in) Weights: overall 91.5 kg (201.7 lb); propellant 11,2 kg (24.7 kg); explosive 28.9 kg (63,7 lb) Performance: initial velocity 255 m (836 ft) per second



Rocket, HE, 4.5-in, M8

When the USA entered the war in 1941, the US forces had no rockets at all in service or in prospect, but with typical energy the Americans used their considerable industrial potential and technical knowledge to remedy this deficit with great speed. In what seemed like no time at all they had erected huge facilities for producing rocket prop-ellants of all kinds and were busy designing and producing rockets for all purposes. One of these rockets was a relatively straightforward finstabilized weapon known initially as the T12 but later standardized as the Rocket, HE, 4.5-in, M8. This nose-fused 114.3-mm (4.5-in) rocket was destined to be fabricated in larger numbers than any other World War II artillery rocket, no fewer than 2,537,000 being produced by the time the war ended. The M8A1 and M8A2 were slight variations of the M8 and were used in the same manner: the former had a strengthened motor body and the latter had a smaller warhead with thicker walls, The M8A3 was an M8A2 with modified fins.

Being only fin-stabilized, the M8 was inherently inaccurate and was accordingly used not for the engagement of point targets but for the saturation of large areas with fire. Thus it was used extensively for the mass bombardment of target areas before amphibious landings or as a supplement to massed artillery bombardments. Even at short ranges its accuracy was erratic, so nearly all the launchers used with the M8 were multiple types. Typical of these was the T27 Multiple Rocket Launcher which fired eight M8 rockets and was carried on the back of a CMC or Studebaker 2¹/2-ton truck, There were several variations of this launcher, one (the T27E2) with capacity for up to 24 rockets. The T34 or Calliope was a large launcher carried over the turret of an M4 Sherman medium tank. The Calliope had no fewer than 60 launching tubes and was constructed from plywood as it was a one-shot weapon for use against strongpomts. After firing or in an emergency the whole device could be jettisoned. The T44 was even larger than the Calliope as it had 120 launcher tubes, and was designed for installa-tion in the cargo area of a DUKW or LVT amphibious vehicle. This was a simple area-saturation launcher as there was no method of varying elevation or traverse. A similar device known as the Scorpion but mounting 144 launchers was used on DUKWs in the Pacific theatre. The T45 was a twin 14-barrel launcher that could be fitted to the sides of various vehicles, includ-ing light trucks, Yet another launcher that fired the M8 rocket was the M12 which was a single-shot 'bunker-buster' along the lines of the British LILO.

Despite the large-scale use of the M8, its inaccuracy was such that it was considered inadequate as an artillery rocket. Using knowledge gained from trials with captured German rockets, the Americans developed a 114,3-mm (4.5-in) spin-stabilized rocket known as the M16, along with a multiple launcher known as the T66 which could fire 24 rockets in two seconds. This combination arrived on the battlefronts somewhat late in the war and was used during only one engagement in Germany before the end of the war in Europe. It was rot used in the Pacific theatre, but was retained on the books for some years after 1945.

Right: An unusual mounting for the M8 - a captured German half-track carries the 60-tube launcher more commonly fitted to tanks. A finstabilized projectile, theMS was erratic in night and needed to be fired in quantity to guarantee a hit.



When America entered the war the USArmy had no rockets in service and none on the drawing board, but after a little experimentation theMS

4.5-in rocket was put into production and proved highly success fui, over 2*/2million being manufactured by 1945.





34 60-tube launcher known as Calliope. The tubes were made of plywood and could only be used a few times before disintegrating, but the launcher provided tank units with awesome close-range firepower.



Above:A gunner checks the sights of the simple T-27 eight-tube launcher, generally fitted to CMC or Studebaker 2²/2-ton trucks. TheMS was also fired from massive 120- or even 140-round launchers fitted to DUKW amphibious vehicles for beach assault.





During the late 1930s the need for improved defence of the United Kingdom against air attack was finally appreciated, but at the time it was thought that to produce enough antiaircraft guns to meet immediate needs would take too long . Thus the rocket was investigated to see if it could provide a cheap and easily-manufactured alternative to the gun, and among the first designs investigated was a type known as the 2-in Rocket. As things turned out the later 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket was to prove more promising, but at the time the smaller rocket seemed quite encouraging and work went ahead on the design with some momentum.

The 51-mm (2-in) rocket was a simple device that used a propellant known as solventless cordite or SCRK. The overall simplicity of the weapon could be seen in the fact that the earliest designs used a direct-action wind vane on the nose to arm the fuse after firing, with a self-destruct timer to destroy the weapon after it had been in flight for 4.5 seconds, by which time it would have reached a maximum height of about 1370 m (4,500 ft).

In the event the 51-mm (2-in) rocket was used mainly to arm light naval vessels and some merchant shipping. There were many and various simple naval mountings such as the basic vertical launchers that were mounted on each side of the bridge on many light vessels. These were supposed to launch their rockets as a low-flying aircraft attacked the ship. As the rockets rose they were designed to carry aloft a length of light wire that would enmesh itself in the aircraft's propellers and bring it down. The system never worked and neither did many other similar and somewhat optimistic devices. There was a high explosive version that could carry a 0,25-kg (0.56-lb) warhead, but by the time this was

ready it was appreciated that the larger 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket was much better for this role and relatively few 51-mm (2-in) rockets were produced.

51-mm (2-in) rockets were produced. One naval mounting that was used on land was the one known as the 2-in Rocket Mounting Mk II, Pillar Box mounting. This was used during the desperate days of 1940 and 1941 to provide at least a measure of coastal anti-aircraft defence, and could launch up to 20 rockets. The rockets were arranged in two vertical rows of five on each side of a central drum housing in which the aimer operated the simple controls. This drum housing gave the Pillar Box mounting its name. The aimer could fire all 20 of the rockets in one salvo or two salvoes of 10 rockets using electrical ignition.

Other forms of land-mounted 51 -mm (2-in) rocket launchers existed and were used but only in very small numbers as temporary defensive measures. The 51-mm (2-in) rocket was really too small and light to have any great destructive effect, but the lessons learned in the design and development of these early attempts at war rockets had a good effect on later designs,

The 2-in rocket was an ingenious, if over-optimistic, anti-aircraft weapon, being designed to destroy low-Hying aircraft by fouling their propellers with the long wire it left in its wake.

Specification 2-in Rocket

2-n Rocket Dimensions: length 914.4 mm (36 in); body diameter 57 mm (2.25 in) Weights: overall 4.88 kg (10.75 lb); warhead 0.25 kg (0.56 lb) Performance: initial velocity 457 m (1,500 ft) per second



Design work on British artillery rockets started as early as 1934, though only on a low-priority basis, and by 1937 had reached the position where a 3-in Rocket was proposed as an alternative to the anti-aircraft gun, Under strict security conditions, development of the new rocket went ahead with the cover name UP (unrotated projectile). Early firings were made at Aberporth in Wales, and by 1939 the final test firings were being made in Jamaica. These led to the establishment of the first operational battery near Cardiff in South Wales, where it was known as a "Z' battery.

Z'battery. This first Z battery used a single-rail launcher known as the Projector, 3-in, Mk 1. It was a very simple, even crude device and it was produced for both the army and the Royal Navy, although in the event most of the Royal Navy's allocation went to the merchant navy, The rocket was a simple fin-stabilized tube containing a motor and the same SCRK cordite used on the 51-mm (2-in) rocket, These early designs were somewhat erratic in performance, and accuracy was such that huge salvoes had to be fired from all the projectors in a Z battery in order to have some chance of hitting an aircraft target. They did have their successes, but they were few and not improved until Designed originally as an antiaircraft system, the 3-in rocket achieved modest success as a ground weapon. However, it is better known as an air-to-ground weapon, fired from Hawker Typhoons over Normandy.

the Projector, Rocket, 3-in, No. 2 Mk 1 came along. This used a two-rail launching system and was produced in some numbers, still firing the 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket but fitted with more sophisticated fusing systems including early attempts at proximity fusing and other electro-magnetic devices. Some of these No. 2 projectors saw action in North Africa, including port defence at Tobruk.

The next improvement in launching methods was the Projector, Rocket, 3in, No. 4 Mk 1 and Mk 2. This had no fewer than 36 launcher rails to fire nine rockets in a ripple sequence. This projector was mobile as it was carried on converted 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft platform trailers. Again some of these projectors were used in North Africa. The largest of all the British 76,2-mm (2 in) roket residence was the Bro

The largest of all the British 76,2-mm (3-in) rocket projectors was the Projector, Rocket, 3-in, No. 6 Mk 1, which could fire 20 rockets in four salvoes, This entered service in 1944 and was intended for use in static locations for





home defence. By the time they were ready many were manned by Home Guard units as they were considered simple enough for relatively untrained users, especially when they were fired en masse by battery at easily-visible targets. In the event they were little used.

One unexpected offshoot from the anti-aircraft rocket programme was



By 1944 the Allies were becoming accustomed to the Japanese tactic of using heavily-protected bunkers to delay Allied advances, not only on the Pacific Islands but also in the land war-fare raging in South East Asia. The only effective way to demolish these formidable defensive works was by the use of heavy artillery at close ranges, but the Japanese did not always build their bunkers where such heavy weapons could get at them. The rocket was obviously a relatively portable method of dealing with such obstacles, and thus there emerged a programme known by the cover name LILO. LILO was a very simple single-barrel launcher designed to fire a

rocket at short range against bunker-type targets. It fired a projectile po-wered by the Motor, Rocket, 3-in, No. 7 Mark 1 to which two types of warhead could be fitted. Both were HE types, one weighing 17.8 kg (39.25 lb) com-

that the 76.2-mm (3-m) rocket was taken up as an aircraft weapon. Fired from short launcher rails it proved to be a devastating ground attack missile, especially against tanks, and during 1944 proved to be one of the most powerful of all anti-tank weapons when used by 'cab-rank' Hawker Typhoons over the Normandy bat-tlefields. By the time the war ended the

using a light 3.4-volt battery. The LILO

soft a light 3,4-volt added by the LLD of a soft added by the LLD of a soft added by the light added by the

problem was hitting the target: despite the fact that a degree of spin was im-parted to the rocket as it was launched,

the inherent inaccuracy of the rocket was such that to ensure a 95 per cent

chance of hitting a point target distant

airborne 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket had reached a considerable state of design development and was even being used to sink U-boats.

Specification 3-inRocket

Dimensions: length 1.93 m (76in); body diameter 82.6 mm (3.25 in) Weights: overall 24.5 kg (541b);

only some 45 to 50 m (49 to 55 yards), five rockets had to be fired. This may sound uneconomic but the alternative plete and the other 35.5kg (78.25 lb) complete. The idea was that the LILO projector could be carried to its firing location by one man, with another carwas to bring up heavy artillery with all rying a rocket on a suitable backpack, The projector was then set up as close its attendant risks and labour. The Americans also used a short-range rocket for the same purpose as LILO. Their device was known as the to its intended target as possible and the rocket loaded into the launcher tube from the front. Open sights were used to aim the weapon, the back legs of the launcher being moved for changes in elevation. When all was ready the rocket was fired electrically, M12 Rocket Launcher which fired a 114.3-mm (4,5-in) rocket, and this re-sembled LILO in many ways apart from the fact that the first launcher tubes used were plastic and were dis-

carded after firing. Such a system proved to be too wasteful, even for the US war economy, so a later version was developed as the M12E1 which used a magnesium alloy tube that could be reloaded and reused. These projectors were used during the latter stages of the fighting on Okinawa when the Japanese defenders had to be blasted from their heavily-defended caves.

propellant 5.76 kg (12.7 lb); warhead 1.94 kg (4.28 lb) Performance: maximum velocity 457 m (1,500 ft) per second; service ceiling 6770 m(22,200 ft); horizontalrange 3720 m (4,070 yards)

Specification LILOrocket (9.53-kg/21-lb warhead) Dimensions: length I.238m(48.75in); body diameter 82,55 m (3.25 in) Weights: overall 17.8kg(39.251b); propellant 1.93kg(4.251b); explosive 1.8 kg (4 lb) Parformence: not stated Performance: not stated

Specification LILO rocket (27.2-kg/60-lb warhead) Dimensions: length 1.321 m (52 in); body diameter 152 mm (6 in) Weights: overall35.5 kg (78.25 lb); propellant 1.93 kg (4.25 lb); explosive 6.24 kg (13.75 lb) Performance: not stated

As the Allies drove the Japanese back towards their homeland, numerous expedients were tried to knockout the toughly-constructed bunkers that were the hallmark of Japanese positions. One such was LILO - a short-range single-shot 60-lb(27-kg) rocket.





Although early development of the war rocket in the United Kingdom was initially to produce an anti-aircraft weapon, some consideration was also given to producing an artillery rocket. One early attempt at this was a design for a 127-mm (5-in) rocket which was rejected by the army but adopted by the Royal Navy for use in modified landing craft for the saturation of landing beaches and approaches by mas-sed rocket fire. This eventually evolved as the 'Mattress', but range was limited, However, further trials revealed that the range could be improved by introducing, at launch, a deproved by introducing, at launch, a de-gree of spin which would also improve accuracy, and this was simply achieved by using an aircraft 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket motor attached to a naval 13-kg (29-lb) warhead. This increased range to a possible 7315m (8,000 yards), making the artillery rocket a viable proposition once more. Thus viable proposition once more. Thus 'Mattress' became 'Land Mattress'. The first army launchers for these

new Land Mattress rockets had 32 barrels, but a later version had 30 barrels. Demonstrations of this launcher greatly impressed Canadian army staff officers, who requested a 12-launcher battery which in the event was ready for action on 1 November 1944, This battery went into action during the crossing of the River Scheldt and was a great success, to the extent that more were requested and produced. The Land Mattress launcher was limited in its elevation capabilities to between 23 and 45° , and this not only limited the maximum range to 7225 m (7,900 yards) but also limited the minimum range to 6125 m (6,700 yards). To reduce the minimum range possible, a

system of rotary spoilers over the rock-et exhausts was formulated and put into use. The rotary spoiler disturbed the exhaust gases by closing off their efflux by varying amounts, and thus re-ducing the minimum range to 3565 m (3,900 yards).

For all the success of the Land Matin action before the war ended in Europe in May 1944. By that time many were only just emerging from the fac-tories ready to be sent off to South East Asia, but their use there was very limited, as a result mainly of the weight and bulk of the projectors in the area's jungle conditions. A special 16-barrel for towing by a Jeep, but the war was over sion by the time it was ready for service.

In action, a single Land Mattress projector salvo could result in 50 per cent of the rockets falling in an area 215 m (235 yards) long by 219 m (240 yards) wide. The rockets were fired in ripples at 0.25-second intervals so that the entire salvo could be fired in 7.25 the entire salvo could be fired in 7.25 seconds. During the crossing of the Scheldt the first Land Mattress battery fired 1,146 rounds over a six-hour period, As each warhead payload weighed 3.18 kg (7 lb), the effects can well be imagined.

'Specification

Land Mattress (rocket) Dimensions: length 1.77 m(69,7 in) Weights: overall 30.5 kg(67.251b); propellant 5 kg(111b); payload 3.18 kg (7 1b) Performance: maximum velocity 335 m (1,100 ft)persecond; maximum range 7225 m (7,900 yards)

Above:Loaded and ready to fire, the crews make their final checks before taking cover. Fired atarate offour a second, half the rockets from a 32-round projector would hit a target zone 215 m (235 yards) long and 219m (240 yards) wide.

Righ t: Land Mattress spent more time on the test range than in action. After much experimentation, minimum range was reduced and a light 16-round launcher was developed for jungle warfare.



an army weapon constructed from an aircraft rocket motor and a 5-in naval warhead. Early models were severely restricted in performance since elevation was restricted between 23 ° and 45 °.



Above: Loading 30.5-kg (67-lb) rockets into the 32-round launchers was an exhausting job, but tobe effective rockets had to be fired in

big volleys. The first Land Mattress battery fired over 1,000 rounds in six hours during the crossing of the Scheldt.



Anti-Tank Guns

The few years prior to and during World War II saw the anti-tank gun make a significant entry into the battlefield. Few realized that within a short time the recoilless rifle and guided missile would in turn come to the fore and the specialist anti-tank gun would virtually disappear.

'nrflie anti-tank gun was an impor-JL tant weapon during World War II, for it was the only one that could destroy a tank at ranges beyond those of the much smaller hand-held anti-tank weapons, such as the grenade or bazooka. When the war started the anti-tank gun was a small weapon, virtually a miniature version of larger field pieces. By 1945 the type had grown rapidly into large and heavy guns that sometimes dwarfed the field pieces. Their power had grown as well, for while the guns of 1939 were only able to punch their way through armour of about 25mm (lin) thickness, by 1945 they were required to have an effect on armour about 100mm (3.94in) in thickness, and to do so at ranges well in excess of those prevalent in 1939-At the beginning of the war 400m (440yd) was considered to be the top limit to an anti-tank gun's capabilities but by 1945 ranges of 2000m (2,190yd) or more were not uncommon.

Along with the increase in calibre, size, weight and performance went a corresponding escalation in projectile performance. The gun is really only the delivery system for the projectile, which is the anti-tank gunner's weapon, and the degree of development to which the antitank projectile was subjected to



Moving anti-tank guns around the battlefield was hard work. This 17-pounder belongs to the British Eighth Army in Italy and is being hauled into place to target enemy pillboxes.

between 1939 and 1945 can only be sketched in this section. Suffice it to say that the steel shot of 1939 had given way to the tungsten core of 1945, and even the very shape of the projectile had changed radically. The hollowor shaped-charge projectile was also introduced to bring chemical energy into the gun-versusarmour conflict.

The war waged by the antitank gun was a specialized one with a single objective: the destruction of tanks. The role of the anti-tank gunner was to serve the gun, to aim it and to fire it at the right moment, but with many of the guns mentioned here this was far from easy. All too often the target had to be allowed to approach very close, requiring of the gun crew nerve, courage and good training, so the role of the gunner must not be forgotten under the weights of fact and detail. It is impossible to define exactly which gun was best at any period, for even the finest gun has to have a man or crew to use it, and on many occasions a good man or crew could provide results far better than those of others using theoretically better weapons. Thus a British 6-pounder could often have more influence on a battle than a German '88', for it was the man that mattered in the end, not the weapon.



The 2-pdr anti-tank gun (or more for-mally the Ordnance, Q.F., 2 pdr) is one of those unfortunate weapons that has been given a bad reputation for no real reason other than it had to be used at a time when it was no longer a viable weapon. In its day it was as good as, if not better, than any contemporary de-sign, but the rapid increases in tank armour thicknesses during the late 1930s rendered it obsolete just at a time when it was being placed into widespread sorvice.

widespread service. The 2-pdr had its origins in a British staff requirement dated 1934. Much of the original development was carried the original development was carried out by Vickers-Armstrongs, and the first guns and carriages were pro-duced for commercial sales. Some went to Spain, but the main recipient was the British army which received its first examples during 1938. Further development was required until the full army specification could be met and it was not until 1939 that the most commonly encountered carriage (the

commonly encountered carriage (the Carriage, 2 pdr, Mk III) was issued. Compared with many other designs then in existence the 2-pdr was a com-plex piece of ordnance and it was almost twice as heavy as any other gun in its class. The main reason for this weight was the carriage which, in ac-tion, rested on a low tripod carriage that provided the gun with 360° traverse. A high shield was provided for the gun crew and there was provi-sion for an ammunition chest to be carried on the back of the gun shield. The philosophy behind the design differed from contemporary thought as well, Many European armies intended the anti-tank gun to be used in a mobile attacking role, but the 2-pdr was in-tended for use in static defensive positions. The type was also manned by specialist anti-tank personnel from the Royal Artillery. The events of 1940 showed the 2-pdr

to be at best obsolescent, and the BEF had to leave the bulk of its 2-pdr guns behind at Dunkirk. The gun lacked the power to punch through the thick armour of most of the German tanks, and the effective range was too short for tactical comfort; the projectiles were too light to cause damage at ranges outside the machine-gun range of the target tanks, and many gun crews were thus decimated before they could fire a useful shot. But in the United Kingdom the production facili-ties to produce any modern form of ties to produce any modern form of anti-tank gun for the army that was almost devoid of any form of defence against tanks was quite simply not available. Industry had therefore to carry on producing the 2-pdr at a time when it was realized that it was no longer an effective weapon. The re-sults of this had to be borne during the

Right: A drill-bookphotograph of a 2-pdr gun and crew in action with the gun about to be loaded. Note that the ammunition is being passed from a box to the rear as the box on the gun shield was for emergencies only.

North African campaigns of 1941 and 1942, when the 2-pdr proved to be almost useless against the Afrika Korps, to the extent that the 25-pdr field piece had to be used for anti-tank work in its place. All manner of re-medies to make the 2-pdr more suc-cessful were tried, one measure being the placement of the gun on the back of an open truck to provide a mobile plat-form, and another the development of the Littlejohn Adaptor, a squeeze-bore device attached to the muzzle and firing special skirted projectiles to improve projectile performance. Neither of these measures saw much use, and after 1942 the 2-pdr was withdrawn after 1942 the 2-pdr was withdrawn from use and passed to infantry units for their anti-tank defences. The type did not remain in use for long in that role, but in the Far East the 2-pdr re-mained in service until 1945, for there the target tanks were lighter and the gun could still cope with them.

Specification

Specification Ordnance, Q.F., 2pdr Calibre: 40mm(1,575 in) Length of piece: 2,0815 m (6 ft 9.9 in) Length of rifling: 1.6723 m (5 ft 5.84 in) Weight: complete 831.6 kg (1,848 lb) Traverse: 360° Elevation: 13° to 1.15° Elevation:-13° to+ 15° Muzzle velocity: AP 792 m (2,626 ft) per second

Maximum effective range: 548 m

(600 yards) Projectile weight: 1.08 kg (2.375 lb) Armourpenetration: 53 mm(2.08 in) at 455 m(500 yards) A 2-pdr ready tobe towed, usually by a small truck or Jeep. The 2-pdr was a rather complex little weapon with a tripod carriage and was too heavy for its tactical role when compared with other designs of the time. By 1941 it was rendered almost useless by increases in enemy tank armour, but was passed to infantry units for their anti-tank defences, and was used in theFarEast.

2-pdr gun crews undergo training during a chemical warfare exercise. The gun in the foreground shows the ammunition box carried on each

gun, but later marks were able to remove the road wheels to aid concealment.

😹 Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr

British weapon planners had foreseen the need for an anti-tank gun more powerful than the 2-pdr as early as April 1938, but it took time to develop and then to produce the new gun. Dur-ing late 1940 production was delayed as 2-pdr guns occupied the production lines, so that it was not until late 1941 that the new gun reached the troops. This new gun had a calibre of 57 mm (2.244 in) and fired a projectile weighing about 6 lb (2.72kg) so the

new gun was known as the 6-pdr. By the time the 6-pdr reached the troops it was sorely needed, and once in action it proved to be effective against the enemy tanks then in use. Compared with the 2-pdr the 6-pdr was much more conventional, and used a split-trail carriage that gave a useful 90° traverse. There were two main variants, the Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr Mk II and Ordnance, Q.F., Mk IV; the Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr Mk I was

used for training only, and the Mks III and V were tank guns. The main differ-ence between the Mks II and IV was barrel length, that of the Mk IV being slightly longer. Some slight carriage variations were produced but the most drastic was the Carriage, Q.F., 6 pdr, Mk III, which was developed for use by airborne units. This was narrower than the norm and the trail legs could be chorteneed for stowage in gliders: be shortened for stowage in gliders; numbers of these special conversions

were used at Arnhem, The 6-pdr provided some sterling service in North Africa, but once the Tiger tank appeared on the scene it was realized that the day of the 6-pdr was almost over, for the 2.85-kg (6.28-Ib) projectile was unable to penetrate the thick frontal armour of the Tiger and only a lucky chot to the side could and only a lucky shot to the side could be effective. So the 6-pdr was gradual-ly withdrawn from Royal Artillery use from 1943 onwards. They were issued


Although its operational career as a specialist anti-tank gun was relatively short (from 1941 to 1943 at the most), the 6-pdr went on to be a useful in/an try an ti-tank and support weapon. It was copied by the Americans as the 57-mm Antitank Gun Ml and was used by nearly all the Allied armies at some timeor another.

instead to infantry anti-tank companies and with the infantry the 6-pdr saw out the war, Many were supplied to the Red Army.

The Soviets were not the only reci-pients of the 6-pdr, for the type was adopted by the Americans also. When the Americans realized that they too would need a heavier anti-tank gun than their 37-mm (1.46-in) Ml they saw that the easiest way to produce some-thing was to copy the 6-pdr, and in early 1941 they obtained a set of draw-ings from the British and adapted them to suit their own production methods. The result was the 57-mm Antitank Gun Ml. Atfirst the American carriage had a handwheel traverse in place of the shoulder pad of the British original, but in time the Americans adopted the shoulder pad also and in this form the M1A2 was used until the war ended in

1945. But it was as a weapon mounted on a self-propelled carriage that the American gun was most important, Large numbers of MI guns were pro-duced for mounting on half-tracks and in this form the American guns were widely used by the British army and many other Allied forces as well as by the US army.

The 6-pdr may have been outclassed by heavy tanks such as the Tiger, but against nearly all other German tanks it proved to be effective enough. It was also a relatively light and handy weapon and served on with many armies for long after 1945.

Specification

Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr, Mk IV Calibre: 57mm(2.244in) Length of piece: 2.565 m (6 ft 8.95 in) Length of rifling: 2.392 m (7 ft 10,18 in)



Weight:complete1112kg(2,4711b) Traverse: 90° Elevation: -5° to $+15^{\circ}$ Muzzlevelocity: 900 m(2,700 ft) per second Projectile weight: 2,85 kg(6.28 lb) Armourpenetration: 68,6 mm(2.7 in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

31 May 1942, and a truck-mounted 6-pdr goes into action near Tobruk. Within a month Rommel would have taken the town, and at the same time forced the 8th Army back towards Mersa Matruh andElAlamein.



🜌 Ordnance, O.P., 17 pdr

By 1941 the rapid increase in the armour protection of tanks was being forecast to the extent where it was realized that not even the 6-pdr would be able to cope. To deal with the ex-pected armour increases it was decided to produce the next generation of anti-tank guns with a calibre of 3 in (76.2 mm) to fire a projectile weighing no less than 17 lb (7.65 kg). It was real-ized that the resultant gun would be a fair-sized piece of artillery but at the time there seemed to be no other option open, and the development of the gun proceeded with haste,

The first guns, soon known as the Ordnance, Q.F., 17 pdr or 17-pdr, were made as early as August 1942 but these guns were prototypes only and getting the gun into full production took more time. This was to have dramatic results for from North Africa came news that the first consignment of Tiger tanks was expected in the theatre in the very near future. At that time some guns were ready but they had no carriages. To get some form of heavy anti-tank weapon into the hands of the troops it was decided to fly 100 guns to North

=

Africa, where they were hastily fitted onto 25-pdr field gun carriages to pro-duce a hybrid known as the 17/25-pdr. The conversions were made just in time, for a few weeks later the first Tigers appeared and the 17/25-pdr was on hand to tackle them. These 177 25-pdr guns served until 'proper' 17-pdr guns were to hand during the early stages of the Italian campaign in 1943.

When the 17-pdr guns arrived they were indeed a fair-sized weapon but the overall design was low and not too cumbersome. The carriage had long and angled split trails and a large double-thickness armoured shield was fitted. The gun was proportionately long, and was fitted with a muzzle brake and a large and heavy vertical block breech mechanism. To handle the gun a detachment of at least 7 men was required, and more were needed if any man-handling was necessary. But in mitigation of this factor the gun proved capable of firing a projectile that could penetrate any enemy tank at long ranges and the rate of fire was such that 10 rounds per minute were not uncommon.

By 1945 the 17-pdr was the standard anti-tank gun of the Royal Artillery antitank batteries and many had been handed on to Allied armed forces. The 17-pdr proved to be the last of the Brit-ishArmy's conventional anti-tank guns (a 32-pdr with a calibre of 94 mm/3.7 in was proposed but a 120-mm/4.72-in recoilless gun was selected instead), and many served on until the 1950s with the British army, The gun appears in the inventories of many current armed forces. Various types of 17-pdr tank guns were produced as well.

Specification Ordnance, O.P., 17 pdr Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Length of piece: 4.4425m(14ft6.96in) Length of rifling: 3.562 m (11 ft 8.25 in) Weight: in action 2923 kg (6,444 lb) Traverse: 60° Elevation: -6° to $+ 16.5^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: 950 m (2,900 ft) per second Projectile weight: 7.65 kg(17 lb)



By September 1944 the 17-pdrhad proved an extremely effective weapon, and in the 8th Army's assault on the Gothic line was well to the fore to deal with German heavy armour

Armourpenetration: 130mm(5.12in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

First introduced into service in small numbers in late 1942, the l7-pdr went on to be one of the most

powerful o fall the Allied an ti-tank guns. Although rather heavy and awkward tomove, the 17-pdr had a calibre of 3 in (76.2 mm) and could penetrate up to 130 mm ofarmour at about 1000 m (1094yards). It was also used on occasion as a field gun firing high explosive shells.



czhchoslovakia Skoda 47-mm kanon P.U.V. vz 36 anti-tank gun

The Czech firm of Skoda, based at Pilsen, was one of the first European armaments manufacturers to turn its attention to the production of specialized anti-tank guns. All through the 1920s Skoda's technicians and designers carried out a long chain of experiments and design studies to formulate a viable anti-tank gun, and in 1934 the company produced a gun with a calibre of 37 mm (1.46 in). For various reasons this weapon was not widely adopted (it was generally felt that something heavier would be needed) and in 1936 there appeared the Skoda 47-mm kanon P.U.V. vz 36 (vz for vzor, or model), The vz 36 had a calibre of 47 mm (1.85 in) and was immediately ordered into production by the Czech army.

In its day the vz 36 was one of the most powerful and hardest-hitting of all the contemporary European anti-tank guns. Itfired arelatively heavy projectile, weighing 1,65 kg (3.6 lb), and this projectile could penetrate any tank then in service at ranges of up to 640 m (700 yards) at a time when most similar guns were confined to targets no more than 185 to 275m (200 to 300 yards) distant. Butdespite this powerthe vz.36 appeared a rather clumsy design, It had anachronistic spoked wheels and a very long trail which split to form two legs when in the firing position. The crew was protected by a shield that had over the wheels flaps that were folded out in action, but an oddity was that the upper rim of the shield was finished off as an asymmetric curved line to aid concealment, the wavy line breaking up the outline. The gun had a prominent recoil cylinder over the barrel and another recognition feature was the unusual single-baffle muzzle brake,

Production for the Czech army proceeded at a high priority, and a few guns were exported to Yugoslavia at one point. In service the vz 36 was issued to the specialist anti-tank comAn illustration from the Skoda brochure that advertised their 47mm (1.85 mm) Model 1936 anti-tank gun for possible sales outside Czechoslovakia. The gun is here being towed by the gun crew using drag ropes.

panies of the Czech army, but was found to be a bit of a handful for the infantry anti-tank units, for whom a developed version of the earlier 37-mm (1.46-in) gun was placed in production, This became the kanon P.U.V. vz 37, and although this gun followed the same general lines as the larger 47mm (1.85-in) model it was recognizable by the use of more modern steel wheels with rubber tyres. The vz 36 was destined never to fire

The vz 36 was destined never to fire a shot for its Czech masters, for the Munich Agreement of 1938 allowed the Germans to take over the Czech Sudetenland defences without a shot being fired. This allowed the Germans to impress large numbers of a very special version of the vz 36 that had been developed for use in static fortifications, but large numbers of the wheeled vz 36 fell into German hands during the following year when Germany took over control of the rest of Czechoslovakia. The vz 36 then became the 4.7-cm Pak 36(t) and was eagerly added to the German gun parks. The Czech gun became a virtual standard weapon with the German army and remained in use with some of the war in 1945. It was mounted on several types of tracked chassis to become the armament of several German Panzerjäger (tank hunters) and proved itself to be a very viable anti-armour weapon. The vz 37s did not remain in German service long after 1941.

Specification Kanon P.U.V.vz 36 Calibre: 47 mm (1.85 in) Length of barrel: 2.04 m (6ft 8 in)



Weight: travelling 605 kg(1,334 lb) and in action 590 kg (1,300 lb) Traverse: 50° Elevation:-8° to+26° Muzzle velocity: AP 775 m (2,543 ft) per second

Maximum range: 4000 m (4,375 yards)Projectile weight: AP 1.64 kg (3.6 lb) and HE 1,5 kg (3,3 lb) Armourpenetration: 51 mm (2 in) at 640 m (700 yards) German soldiers manhandle their 4.7-cm Pak36(t) during training prior to the invasion of France in 1940. The soldiers are wearing dragrope slings for towing the gun, anda fullgun crew would be at least four men. A version of this gun was produced for use in fortifications.

The Czech 47-mm Model 1936 looked archaic, mainly because of the small spoked wheels and the long trails, but the gun was one of the most powerful of its day. Many were taken over by the German army who used the type in large numbers, often mounted on special tank-destroyer self-propelled carriages.



germany 3.7-crn Pak 35/36

The origins of the gun that was to become the 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 (Pak for *Panzerabwehrkanone*, or anti-tank gun) can be traced back to 1925 when Rheinmetall began actively to design and develop an anti-tank gun for the German army. Production began in 1928, and as the German army was at that time still largely horse-oriented the gun was fitted with spoked wheels

forhorse traction. It was a very modern gun design for the period and used a well-sloped shield, tubular split-trail legs and a long slender barrel, At first production was relatively limited, but once the NSDAP came to power in 1933 production was greatly accelerated. In 1934 there appeared the first version with steel wheels and pneumatic tyres suitable for vehicle traction, and the designation 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 was assigned in 1936.

It was in 1936 that the Pak 35/36 first saw action, during the Spanish Civil War where the little gun proved eminently suited against the relatively light armoured vehicles used during the conflict. It also proved successful in 1939 against the lightly-armed Poles, but in 1940 the Pak gun crews encountered the more heavily armoured French and British tanks and had the unfortunateexperienceofseeingtheir carefully-aimed armour-piercing projectiles bouncing off the hulls of attacking tanks. The truth was that by 1940 the Pak 35/36 had had its day. It was no longer powerful enough to penetrate the armour of the more modern tanks, and larger calibre weapons had to take its place, But these latter could not be produced quickly enough to pre-vent the 37-mm (1.46-in) guns from having to be rushed to action during the German invasion of the Soviet Union (Operation Barbarossa') in 1941; against the T-34/76 tank they again proved to be of no use at all. Some attempts were made to prolong the service life of the gun by firing large stick bombs that fitted over the muzzle but these weapons, although effective, were essentially close-range missiles of dubious combat worth. Consequently the Pak 35/36 was passed to second-line and garrison units, and to some training schools, so the type was still in limited service in 1945. Many car-riages were later converted to take 75-mm (2.95-in) barrels to convert

them to infantry support guns. The Pak 35/36 was widely exported before 1939, and the design was copied in Japan as the Type 97. Other recipient nations were Italy (Cannone contracarro da 37/45), the Netherlands (37-mm Rheinmetall) and the Soviet Union, where the Pak 35/36 was known as the M30, was widely copied and formed the basis for a whole family of 37-mm (1.46-in) and 45-mm (1.77-in) anti-tank guns that served on for many years after 1945 (some were still in service in Soviet-influenced nations as late as the 1970s). The design was also copied in the United States to produce the Antitank Gun M3, although only the concept was copied as the M3 had many detail differences from the German original.

At one point the Germans produced a special version of the Pak 35/36 for paradropping.



It has been mentioned in the entry re-lating to the 3,7-cm Pak 35/36 that by 1940 the 37-mm anti-tank gun was of very limited value against the armour of tanks then in service. Fortunately for the German army this had been fore-seen as early as 1937, and by 1938 Rhemmetall-Borsig had developed and produced a new gun with a calibre of 50 mm (1.97 in). By 1939 the gun was ready for production, but it was not until mid-summer 1940 that the first ex-amples reached the troops. By then the new gun, designated the 5-cm Pak 38, was too late to take much part in any 58, was too late to take much part in any European campaign and it was not un-til 1941 that the new gun was able to see action during a major campaign. That campaign was the invasion of the Soviet Union, and by that time the new gun had been supplied with a



5111

motor traction. It was a light and low gun that was atfirst issued to all arms of the Wehrmacht. Right: The German 3.7-cm Pak 35/36,

seen here on exercises prior to 1939. The Pak35/36 was a Rheinmetall design produced during thel 920s; at the time it had a gréa t influence on anti-tankgun design elsewhere.

Specification Specification 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 Calibre: 37 mm (1.46 in) Length of gun: 1,665 m (5 ft 5.5 in) Length of rifling: 1.308 m (4 ft S. Sin) Weight: travelling 440 kg (970 lb) and in action 328 kg (723 lb) Traverse: 59° Elevation:-8° to+25° Muzzle velocity: AP760 m(2,495 ft) per



second Maximum range: 7000 m (7,655 yards) Projectile weight: AP 0.354 kg (0.78 lb)

or HE 0.625 kg (1.38 lb) Armour penetration: 38 mm (1.48 in) at $30^{\circ} \text{ at} 365 \text{ m} (400 \text{ yards})$



The 5-cm Pak38 was a light and low weapon that was easy to move and conceal. Formanhandling it had a dolly wheel under the trail spades that was removed in action. In 1941 it was the only German anti-tankgun that could knock out the Soviet T-34, but it had to use tungsten-cored ammunition. 11 was still in use in 1945.

Soviet troops examine a captured Pak 38 early in the war. With tungsten-cored shot, the 5-cm weapon was the only German anti-tankgun capable of knocking out the Soviet T-34 tank. The gun was easily handled due to extensive use of light alloys in the manufacture of the carriage.

183

enough to remain in use for the rest of the war, although it was largely replaced by heavier-calibre weapons,

The Pak 38 was a well-designed gun with a curved shield, steel wheels and a tubular split-trail carriage that locked out the torsion bar suspension when the trail legs were spread. Light alloys were used throughout the construction of the carriage, which made it easy to handle, and a small dolly wheel was mounted under the trail legs for manhandling. The long barrel was fitted with a muzzle brake.

with a muzzle brake. The Pak 38 was one of the German army's standard anti-tank guns and was further developed at one stage to take an automatic ammunition feed. This enabled it to be used as a heavy aircraft weapon, and at one point this was fitted to a variant of the Messerschmitt Me 262 jet fighter. Later this same weapon was further adapted to be used as a ground-mounted antiaircraft gun but that was late in the war and none appear actually to have been produced. There was also a tank gun egmvalent of the Pak 38 that was produced in a number of models, so many



in fact that large numbers ended up as beach defence guns in the Atlantic Wall. The Pak 38 was also mounted on a number of tracked Panzer]äger carriages. At one point so many had been captured by the British army that they were reconditioned and stockpiled against some future contingency that never arose.

Specification

Specific atom 5-cmPak38 Calibre: 50 mm (1.97 in) Length of piece: 3.187 m (10 ft 5.5 in) Length of rifling: 2.381 m (7ft 9,7 in) Weight: travelling 1062 kg (2,341 lb) and in action 1000 kg (2,205 lb) Traverse: 65° Elevation: -8° to+27° Muzzle velocity: AP 835 m (2,903 ft) per



second, AP40 1180 m (3,870 ft) per second and HE 550 m (1,805 ft) per second Maximum range: HE 2650 m (2,900 yards) Projectile weight: AP 2.06 kg (4.54 lb), AP40 0,925 kg (2.04 lb) and HE 1,82 kg (4 lb) Armourpenetration: AP40 101 mm (3.98 m) at 740 m (820 yards)



By 1939 intimations regarding the next generation of Soviet tanks were filtering back to the German war planner staffs in Berlin. Although the new 50mm (1.97-in) Pak 38 gun had yet to reach the troops, it was felt that something heavier was going to be needed to counter the armour belts of the new Soviet tanks, and consequently Rheinmetall-Borsig was asked to produce a new design. In basic terms what Rheinmetall did was to scale up the Pak 38 design to the larger calibre of 75 mm (2.95 in). The result was adopted in 1940 as the 7.5-cm Pak 40, but it was not until late in the following year that the first examples reached the hard-pressed troops on the Eastern Front,

In appearance the Pak 40 resembled its predecessor, but there were many differences apart from the scale. The basic layout of the 50-mm (1.97-in) gun was retained but this time the expected shortages of many raw materials and especially light alloys (which had been earmarked for the Luftwaffe production requirements) were becoming apparent, so the Pak 40 was constructed mainly from various forms of steel and was proportionately much heavier than the smaller gun. To simplify and speed production the shield was formed from flat instead of curved plates, and there were several other such alterations. The result was an excellent gun, well capable of tackling virtually any Allied tank and encountered on all fronts. The Pak 40 was destined to remain

in production until the end of the war in 1945. It had a tank gun equivalent that was progressively developed, but the Pak 40 itself remained in service virtually unchanged. A version intended for use as an aircraft weapon was developed, and the carriage was even adapted at one stage to allow short 75-mm (2.95-in) barrels to be fitted to produce a form of infantry/anti-tank gun for use by infantry formations. The gun itself was even placed on a 105mm (4,14-in) howitzer carriage to form a light field artillery piece, though another approach was to use the Pak 40 itself as a field gun, and by 1945 there were several artillery formations using this gun as the 7.5-cm FK 40 (FK for *Feldkanone*, or field gun),

But it was as an anti-tank gun that the Pak 40 was most important. Many German gunners rated it their best allround weapon, and many Allied tank crews had occasion to agree with them, The Pak 40 fired a wide range of ammunition, varying from the straightforward solid armour-piercing shot to the tungsten-cored AP40. Also available were high explosive shells that carried enough payload to make the type a useful field artillery piece, and various forms of hollow-charge projectile. A measure of the efficiency of this gun can be seen in the range/armour penetration figure that at 2000 m (2,190 yards) an AP40 projectile could penetrate no less than 98mm (3,86 in) of armour plate; and at combat range of the order of 500m (550 yards) this figure increased to 154 mm (6.06 in).

Specification 7.5 cm Pak 40 Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Lengthofriece: 3.7m (12ft1.7in) Lengthofrifling: 2.461 m(8ft) Weight: travelling 1500 kg(3,307 lb) Traverse: 45° Elevation: 5° to $+22^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: AP 750 m(2,460 ft) per second, AP40 930 m (3,050 ft) per second and HE 550 m (1,805 ft) per second Maximum range: HE 7680 m (8,400 yards) Projectile weight: AP 6.8 kg (15 lb), AP40 4.1 kg (9.04 lb) and HE 5.74 kg (12.65 lb) Armour penetration: 98 mm (3.86 in) at 2000 m (2,190 yards)

and in action 1425 kg (3,141.5 lb)







GERMANY

The German taper-bore guns were an odd off-shoot from the main avenue of anti-tank development that, although successful, foundered for the simple fact that the German war economy could not afford the raw materials re-quired to produce them. Three guns were produced and issued for service, and all relied on what is commonly known as the Gerlich principle. In sim-ple terms this involved the use of a small projectile core made from tungsten, a hard and very dense metal ideal for punching a way through armour plating. In order to provide this armour plating. In order to provide this tungsten core with the maximum punch the Gerlich system involved the use of guns with calibres that tapered downwards in size from the breech to the muzzle. The special projectiles in-volved used flanged or 'skirted' forms that allowed the flanges to fold back as the bore narrowed, This had the advantage of increasing the emergent velocity of the projectile, enabling it to travel farther and to hit the target hartravel farther and to hit the target harder. The principle was attractive to the German ordnance designers who adapted it for the anti-tank gun, but the principle had some disadvantages: to ensure the maximum power of the gun expensive and relatively rare tungsten had to be used for the projectile core, and the guns themselves were costly to produce.

to produce. The first of the taper-bore guns to enter service was the 2.8-cm schwere Panzerbüchse 41 (2.8-cm sPzB 41), which was really little more than a heavy anti-tank rifle, with a bore that tapered from 28 mm (1.1 in) at the breech to 20 mm (0.787 in) at the muzzle. It used a light carriage, but an even lighter version of the carriage was produced for the German airborne forma-tions. Both types were still in use at the end of the war.

Second of the taper-bore guns was the 4.2-cm leichte Panzerabwehr-kanone 41 (4.2-cm lePak 41, or light anti-tank gun 41). This used the car-nage of the 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 but the ordnance was tapered from 40.3 mm (1.586mm) at the start to 29.4mm (1.157 in) at the muzzle. These guns were issued to German airborne units.

Largest of the trio was the 7.5-cm Pak 41. This was a very powerful and advanced gun in which the bore de-creased from 75 mm (2,95 in) to 55 mm (2.16 in). At one time this gun showed so much promise that it almost took over from the 7.5-cm Pak 40 as the standard German anti-tank gun, but despite having a better armourpiercing performance it was passed over because of the German tungsten shortage. Tungsten was normally used for the machine tools to produce more weapons, but the raw materials had to be brought into Germany by blockade runners and when these were repeatedly intercepted on the high seas the supplies dwindled, It was a choice between anti-tank guns and machine tools, and the result had to be the machine tools. Thus production of the taper-bore guns ceased. Only 150 Pak 41s were made, and once their

The 7.5-cm Pak41 was the largest of the German taper-bore guns, but was prevented by the general tungsten shortage then prevalent in Germany from becoming the standard German army heavy antitank gun.

ammunition had been expended they passed from use. The same applied to the other two guns, though the sPzB 41 was still in use in 1945 as its small pro-jectiles made few demands on available stocks.

Specification

Specification 2.8-cm sPzB 41 Starting calibre: 28 mm (1.1 in) Emergent calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in) Length of barrel: 1.7 m (5 ft 7 in) Weight: in action 223 kg (492 lb) Traverse: 90° Elevation:-5° to+45° Muzzle velocity: AP 1400 m (4,593 ft) per second

per second

Projectile weight: AP 0.124 kg (0.27 lb) Armourpenetration: 56 mm (2.205 in) at 365 m (400 yards)

4.2-cm lePak 41

Starting calibre: 40.3 mm (1.586 in) Emergent calibre: 29.4 mm (1.157 in) Length of barrel: 2,25 m (7 ft 4.6 in) Weight: in action 560 kg(1,234.5 lb)Traverse: 60^e Elevation:-8° to+25°

Muzzle velocity: 1265 m(4,150 ft)per second

Projectile weight: AP 0.336 kg (0.74 lb) Armour penetration: 72 mm (2.835 in) at 455 m (500 yards)

7.5-cm Pak 41 Starting calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Emergent calibre: 55 mm (2.16 in) Length of barrel: 4.32 m (14 ft 2 in) Weight: in action 1390 kg (3,064 lb) Traverse: 60° Elevation: -10° to $+18^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: AP 1230 m (4,035 ft)

Projectile weight: AP2.5 kg (5.51 lb) Armourpenetration: 171 mm(6.73 in) at 455 m (500 yards)



Above: The 2.8-cm schwere Panzerbüchse 41 was the smallest of the German taper-bore guns, and was produced in two forms: one had large road wheels while a special airborne version, shown here, had small wheels and a light tubular alloy carriage. In this form it was used by Luftwaffe Fallschirmjäger units.

Below:A 2.8-cm schwere Panzerbüchse 41 is carried on a Kfz 15 light signals vehicle in order to providea useful boost in firepower to a normally lightly-armed unit. The gun is carried complete with its light wheeled carriage, and could be easily lifted from the vehicle formore orthodox employmen t.







47-mm Anti-tank Gun Type 1

As with so many other weapons, Japan was short of anti-tank guns and had only a limited capacity to produce the numbers required. In 1934 it had introduced the 37-mm Gun Type 94 for use by infantry units, but realized even then that this gun would have only a limited performance, and it was therefore supplemented by the licence production of the 37-mm Anti-tank Gun Type 97, the origin of which was the German 3.7 cm Pak 35/36. It was not until 1941 that a heavier

JAPAN

It was not until 1941 that a heavier gun was introduced in the form of the 47-mm Anti-tank Gun Type 1. In overall design terms the Type 1 was entirely orthodox and used a split-trail carriage and a well-sloped shield. Compared with designs then being produced in Europe the Type 1 was not very powerful, but the Japanese considered it adequate as it had the advantage of a semi-automatic sliding breech carried over from the 37-mm (1.46-in) German gun, giving it a relatively high rate of fire; this was a possible 15 rounds a minute, As with many other Japanese weapons ease of handling was given high priority, and the Type 1 proved to be easy to handle in action and it was relatively light. In combat this advantage was often squandered for as the Allies advanced these guns were often statically emplaced and were manned by crews who favoured death rather than capture.

Production of the Type 1 was never sufficient to meet the needs of hardpressed Japanese army units as the Allies advanced on all fronts, and consequently the Japanese were forced to use all manner of anti-tank methods, ranging from pressing into use naval and anti-aircraft guns to the extreme of suicide attackers armed with pole charges and explosive blocks. By 1945 the use of such measures was becoming commonplace,

Despite the fact that the Japanese learned early on in the conflict that their small tanks were likely to be of very limited use against their Allied equivalents, they still diverted a proportion of the Type 1 gun production towards producing a tank gun for their Type 97 tank. The Type 1 was regarded as the standard Japanese antitank gun, and most of them were issued to regimental and divisional anti-tank battalions.



Specification

47-mm Anti-Tank Gun Type 1 Calibre: 47 mm (1.46 in) Length of barrel: 2.527 m (8 ft 3.5 in) Weight: in action 747 kg (1,660 lb) Traverse: 60° Elevation:-11° to+19° Muzzle velocity: AP 824 m (2,700 ft) per second Projectile weight: APHE 1.528 kg (3.37 lb) and APHE 1.4 kg (3.08 lb) Armourpenetration: 51 mm (2in) at 915 m (1,000 yards) The Japanese Anti-tank Gun Type 1 was the only indigenous Japanese weapon produced solely for the antitank role, and although it was effective enough against most light Allied armour it was never produced in significant enough numbers to make any overall impression. A tank gun version was produced and the Type 1 remained in production from 1941 until the war ended in 1945.



Böhler 4.7-cm anti-tank gun

The little Bonier 4.7-cm (1.85-in) antitank gun was first produced in 1935, and is thus sometimes known as the Model 35. It was first produced in Austria but its use soon spread outside that nation and licences to produce the gun were taken up by Italy. In fact the Italian production run reached the point where the Böhler gun became regarded almost as an indigenous Italian weapon, the Cannone da 47/32 M35. The Böhler gun was a handy

AUSTRIA

The Böhler gun was a handy weapon that was soon diverted into other roles. It was widely issued as an infantry gun and as it could be rapidly broken down into a number of pack loads it was also employed as a mountain gun. But though as it turned out the Böhler was something of a multipurpose weapon, it was not entirely successful in any of these extra roles, It did prove to be a fairly effective antitank gun, however, and was widely used during the early war years by a number of nations. Italy was the main user, but others were employed by the Netherlands (Kanon van 4.7), and Romania, and the type also turned up in the Soviet Union (in relatively small quantities) as the M35B. Some also found their way into German army service when Austria came under German domination after 1938, receiving the designation 4.7-cm Pak.

There were several developments on the basic Böhler theme that issued from the company's Kapfenberg works. Although the basic gun remained unchanged, there were numerous variations on such things as types of carriage wheel, the width of the carriage axle and so on. Some models had muzzle brakes while others did not. All models had a feature whereby the wheels could be removed and the gun then rested on the trail legs and a small platform under the axle for firing. This gave the gun a lower silhouette for firing and concealment. The gun could fire both armour piercing and high explosive projectiles, the latter having a range of



Above: In action the Böhler anti-tank gun was often used with the wheels removed and with the forward part of the carriage resting on a firing pia tform. A periscope sight was used, even for anti-tank use, andno shield was usually fitted. The gun could be broken down into loads for pack transport on m ules.

Right: Although the 47-mm Böhler was originally an Austrian weapon, it was licence-produced in Italy to such an extent that it was regarded as an Italian weapon. It was also a multirole weapon that was used as an antitank gun, an infantry gun anda mountain gun.



7000 m (7,655 yards) to provide the gun with a useful infantry support role, As the armour thicknesses of tanks increased the Böhler increasingly assumed this infantry support role,

There was one odd side-line to the story of the Böhler that is still little known. In 1942 the Allied armies in North Africa were still relatively short of many weapons and the large numbers of captured Italian Böhler guns were auseful windfall. About 100 were refurbished at a Captured Weapons Depot in Alexandria and issued to va-rious units for second-line service. But perhaps the oddest item in this story was that 96 were actually converted by the British for use by airborne forces: the fire-control system of the original gun was altered so that one man (instead of the original two) could lay the gun, and the carriage was modified to allow dropping by parachute; a rifle telescope for aiming and a shoulder pad from a 6-pdr gun were also added. These were, according to the records, Very popular'. Unfortunately it has not yet been possible to trace the units involved, but these Böhler guns must To the Italian army the 47-mm Böhler was known as the Cannone da 47/32 M35, and this example is seen in the infantry support role (the barrel is elevated for increased range) in North Africa. The firing platform for use when the wheels were removed can be seen over the axle. Many of these guns were used by the Germans later in the war, and some captured guns were used by the British.

have been the very first guns adopted for the airborne role.

Specification Cannone da 47/32 M35 Calibre: 47 mm (1.85 in) Length of barrel: 1.68 m (5 ft 6 in) Length of borre: 1.525 m (5 ft) Length of rifling: 1.33 m (4 ft 4.3 in) Weight: travelling 315 kg (694.5 lb) and in action 277 kg (610.6 lb) Traverse: 62° Elevation: -15° to $+56^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: AP 630 m (2,067 ft) per second and HE 250 m (820 ft) per second



Maximum range: HE 7000 m (7,655 yards) Projectile weight: AP 1.44 kg (3.175 lb)

and HE 2.37 kg (5.225 lb) Armourpenetration: 43 mm (1.7 in) at 500 m (550 yards)



USSR

Soviet 45-mm anti-tank guns

The Soviet Union purchased a batch of 37-mm (1,46-in) Rheinmetall anti-tank guns as early as 1930, and standardized the type as the M30 well before the German army adopted the identical model as the 3,7-cm Pak 35/36. The Soviets decided to licence-produce the 37-mm (1.46-in) gun, but in 1932 produced their own variant with a calibre of 45 mm (1.77 in). This was the M1932, and this could be identified by the wire-spoked wheels that were fitted to the otherwise unchanged Rheinmetall-based carriage. By 1940 there were large numbers of these guns in service with the Red Army and some had even been used in action on the Republican side during the Spanish Civil War,

In 1937 the slightly revised Model 1937 appeared, and in the following year a tank gun variant, the M1938, was produced. These two guns first saw major action during the short but intense war with Finland during 1939 and 1940, but in many ways this war gave the Red Army the wrong impression of the effectiveness of their guns. The Finns had only small numbers of light armoured vehicles and the M1932 and M1937 proved quite effective against these. But when the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 the Red Army found out the hard way that its guns could not penetrate the armour of most German tanks, The only way the Red Army could stop the German attacks was to use massed artilleryfire against the German formaAlthough considered by many tobe too Ught for really effective an ti-tank use by 1945, the little 45-mm (1.77-in) Model 1942 was still in large-scale Red Army service in thatyearand remained so for many years thereafter. This picture shows a Model 1942 in action in early 1945 on the final approaches to Danzig as the Red Army advanced westwards during the last winter of the war.

tions, and although there was an obvious need for heavier anti-tank guns Soviet war industry was in no position to produce any such new weapons. The huge German advances had overrun many of the Soviet military industrial centres, and it took time to set up new facilities deep in the hinterland of the Soviet Union.

the Soviet Union. When a new gun did appear it was nothing more than a lengthened version of the existing gun. The original M32 had a barrel that was about 46 calibres long (L/46) while the new gun had a barrel that was 66 calibres long. This extension of barrel length increased the muzzle velocity and provided the projectile with more penetrating power. The new and longer gun was produced some time during 1942 and was thus named the M1942, but it took time for appreciable numbers to reach the front line. In the meantime the M1938 tank gun was called upon partially to fill the gaps. Numbers of these tank guns were placed on simple improvised carriages and rushed into



action. These conversions were of limited value as they had only a small traverse arc, but they did work and as such were better than nothing.

Such were better than nothing. When the M1942 did get into the front line it proved to be more effective than the earlier guns, but only marginally so, yet the Soviets continued to produce large numbers throughout the war. These M1942 guns had pressed steel wheels in place of the earlier wire-spoked units, and the trail legs were longer, but the Rheinmetall origins could still be seen. Although it would appear that the M1942 had only a limited performance against the later tanks the type is still in service with someofthesmallerSoviet-influenced armies around the world. Some were encountered during the Korean War and during some of the Middle East wars.

In 1941 the Soviet designers followed the increase in anti-tank calibres prevalent elsewhere. The first gun to be introduced had a calibre of 57mm (2.24 in) and is known as the M1941 andm 1944 a massive gun with a calibre of 100m (3.94 in) was introduced as the M1944,

 $\begin{array}{l} Specification \\ M1942 \\ Calibre: 45 mm (1,77 in) \\ Length of barrel: 2.967 m (9ft8.8 in) \\ Weight: in action 570 kg (1,257 lb) \\ Traverse: 60^{\circ} \\ Elevation: -8^{\circ} to + 25^{\circ} \\ Muzzle velocity: 820m (2,690 ft) per \\ second \\ Projectile weight: 1.43 kg (3.151 lb) \\ Armourpenetration: 95 mm (3,74 in) at \\ 300 m (330 yards) \end{array}$

Thè 45-mm (1.77-in) Model 1942 was a scaled-up version of the earlier 37mm (1.456-in) Model 1930. The Model 1930 was a licence-produced version of the German Pak35/36but the 45-mm Model 1942 had a proportionately much longer barrel and very often wire wheels in place of the original steel disc wheels.



USSR

Soviet 76.2-mm guns

One of the most widely used of the German heavy anti-tank guns was not originally a German weapon at all.but a Soviet design. This hybrid weapon was originally designed as a field gun and was known as the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1936. It was the latest in a line of gun designs that stretched back many years, and the first of them were issued to the Red Army during 1939. The M1936 was a rather heavy gun for the field role and it possessed a long slender barrel mounted on a heavy but strong carriage that was ideally suited to the harsh conditions of the Soviet terrain.

In 1941 the lack of a suitable antitank gun other than the 45-mm (1,77-in) M1932 led to the simple expedient of using field guns for defence against tanks. In this role the M1936 proved itself to be an excellent anti-armour gun and, even firing high explosive shells, was powerful enough to inflict damage on German tanks of all kinds, This fact was duly noted by the Germans when they came to contemplate a use for the huge stockpiles of M1936 guns that they captured during 1941 and 1942. Many were simply turned around against their former owners, but large numbers were returned to Germany where they were recomditioned and altered to accommodate German ammunition. A muzzle brake was added and the fire controls altered for the anti-tank role, the result being the 7.62-cm Pak 36(r), an excellent heavy anti-tank gun that was used on all fronts from North Africa to the SovietUnion.

Back in the Soviet Union, as early as 1939 a new field gun lighter than the M1936 was produced as the M1939. This was overall smaller than the M1936 with a shorter barrel. Again, many fell into German hands in 1941, and these were converted for German use, some as anti-tank guns. The Soviet designers produced other 76.2-mm (3in) field guns in 1941 and at one desperate point were even placing 76.2mm (3-in) tank guns on lash-up carriages in order to produce something to keep the advancing German forces at bay, but in 1942 came the first of what can be regarded as dual-purpose guns.

This was the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1942, or ZiZ-2, a handy and light field gun that could be readily used as an anti-tank gun if and when necessary. The M1942 had a light carriage that used split tubular trails, and the gun barrel was fitted with a muzzle brake. By the time it first appeared at the front, the Red Army was well versed in the art of using field artillery against attacking armoured vehicles and during many battles the Red Army relied on field



guns alone for defence. They simply turned their guns, of all calibres, against the target and started firing. The M1942 was ideal for this type of employment for it was well-balanced and handy. It was also very sturdy, and as it fired a shell weighing 6.21kg (13.69 lb), it could pack a useful punch when fired against tanks. The M1942 turned out to be one of the best artillery pieces ever produced in the Soviet Union, where the type was churned out in thousands, and the type still remains a front-line equipment with many armies around the world. Between 1943 and 1945 the German also found any captured examples very useful indeed. A Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1936 field gun in service with the Germans in North Africa converted for the antitankrole as the 7.62-cm Pak36 (r). In this form the Soviet gun made an excellent specialist anti-tank gun and was considered by many to be one ofthebest all-round anti-tank guns in use anywhere during World Warll.

Specification 7.62-cm Pak 36(r) Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Length of piece: 4.179 m (13 ft 8.5 in)Length of rifling: 2.93 m (9 ft 7.3 in)Weight: inaction 1730 kg (3,770 lb) Traverse: 60° Elevation: $-6^{\circ} \text{ to} + 25^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: AP40 990 m (3,250 ft) per second Maximum range: HE 13580 m (14,585 yards) Projectile weight: AP7.54 kg (16.79 lb) and AP40 4.05 kg (8.9 lb) Armour penetration: AP40 98 mm (3.86 in) at 500 m (545 yards)

The Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1942 ZiZ-3 field gun was not intended primarily tobe an anti-tankgun, but

on many occasions it was used as such and proved tobe very effective. Firing mainly high explosive shells it was able to knock out nearly all con temporary tanks or a t least inflict severe damage. The Model 1942 was used by the Germans as well as the Red Army.



37-mm Antitank Gun M3

When the US Army Ordnance Department decided to develop an anti-tank gun before 1939 it obtained an example of the German 3.7-mm Pak 35/36, and using this as a starting point proceeded to design a similar weapon, also in 37-mm (1.46-in) calibre. The result was outwardly different from the German original but was in fact closely influenced by it. The American gun was designated the 37-mm Antitank Gun M3, but only a few had been made before it was decided to fit the gun with a muzzle brake, the change making the M3 the M3A1. The muzzle brake was fitted in an

The muzzle brake was fitted in an attempt to reduce the recoil forces on the carriage, which was even lighter than the German original, but as it was soon discovered that the muzzle brake was unnecessary it was removed, though the guns were still produced with the fixtures on the muzzle for ease of production. The rest of the gun and carriage was quite unremarkable. The carriage used the usual split trails but the main carriage axle was rather wider than on other similar designs. A

small flat shield was provided for the gun crew and the breech mechanism was copied direct from the German gun and remained a vertical drop block,

By the time the M3A1 had been taken into service it was obsolete. By 1941 events elsewhere had demonstrated that something larger than 37 mm (1.46 in) would be required to penetrate the armoured hides of inservice enemy tanks and although the M3A1 was used in North Africa by the US Army the type was withdrawn there and replaced by heavier guns, But it was different in the Pacific theatre. There the expected enemy tanks were light (and in any event few and far between), so a place could be found for the M3A1 as an infantry support weapon. High explosive and canister rounds were developed for use during the various island-hopping campaigns and the armour-piercing projectiles were often called upon during 'bunker-busting' operations. The light weight and handmess of the gun proved to be highly effective dur-



Although the European war had shown it tobe obsolete, the 37-mm M3 was still in USArmy use at the Kasserine Pass in 1943, where its inadequacy against the veteran Afrika Korps armour was disastrous. It was soon to be withdrawn from the European theatre. ing these amphibious operations, *so* production continued specifically for the Pacific operations. By 1945 no fewer than 18,702 M3s had been produced. A tank gun version was also produced for use in American light tanks and armoured cars, After 1945 many MSAIs were hand-

ed out to nations friendly to the Americans, and many are still in use in some Central and South American states. Numbers were also converted to become saluting guns with blank cartridges

During World War II many attempts were made to turn the M3A1 into a self-propelled anti-tank weapon, but very few were ever used operationally for the simple reason that the gun lacked the power to tackle the tanks it was likely to encounter in the field. But as an infantry support gun it proved to be excellent.

Specification

-mm Antitank Gun M3A1 Calibre: 37 mm (1.45 in) Lengthofpiece: 1,979 m (6 ft 10.5 in) Weight: travelling 410.4 kg (912 lb) Traverse: 60°

Although it appeared to be a very different weapon, the little 37-mm (1.456-in)Antitank Gun M3A1 was closely influenced by the German Rheinmetall 3.7-cm Pak 35/36. Although soon overtaken by armour increases in Europe, many were used as infan try support weapons in the Pacific and there was a widely used tank gun version.

Elevation:-10° to+ 15° Muzzle velocity: AP 885 m (2,900 ft) per second Maximum effective range: 457 m (500 yards) Projectile weight: 0,86 kg (1.92 lb) Armour penetration: 25.4 mm (1 in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

USA 3-in Antitank Gun MS

When the US Army Ordnance Depart-ment decided during 1942 to produce a new heavy anti-tank gun it took a course of action that had already been taken elsewhere: it decided to com-bine existing weapon components to produce a new gun. The result was something of a 'dog's dinner'. The gun itself was taken from the 3-in (76.2-mm) Antiaircraft Gun M3 but the chamber Antiaircraft Gun M3 but the chamber had to be altered slightly to take diffe-rent ammunition. The new gun was modified to take the breech mechan-ism of the 105-mm (4,13-in) Howitzer M2A1, then in full-scale production, and the same howitzer was used to warphy the corrige and the reacil use supply.the carriage and the recoil system. The new carriage became the Gun Carnage Ml and in this form the original straight shield of the 105-mm (4,13-in) howitzer was retained but in time the shield was modified to have

sloping shield plates and this became the M6. The new gun became the 3-in Anti-tank Gun MS, and it turned out to be a remarkably workmanlike-looking weapon. It was rather large and heavy for its role, but in this respect was no worse than many of its contemporaries and in action soon proved capable of being able to penetrate up to 84 mm (3.3 in) of sloping armour at ranges of almost 2000 m (2,190 yards). Not sur-prisingly the M5 proved to be a popu-lar weapon with the anti-tank batteries of the US Army, and the type was used in all theatres of the war. Numerous types of armour-piercing ammunition were developed for the MS, but one of the more widely used was the capped armour-piercing (APC) projectile known as the M62. But the M5 did have a disadvantage and that was its weight.

Rapid movement of the M5 proved to be a major task, and a heavy 6x6 truck had to be used to tow the weapon although lighter tractors could be used on occasion.

The first M5s were issued for ser-vice in December 1941 but it took time for the weapon to be issued widely. The M5 was also in demand as the armamentfor a series of self-propelled tank destroyer projects, the most important of which turned out to be the M10A1, an open-topped M4 Sherman variant that mounted the M5 in a special turret. The importance of this demand can be seen as 2,500 M5s were completed for the anti-tank gun role completed for the anti-tank gun role but 6,824 guns for the M10A1

Despite its success, once the war ended the MS was gradually with-drawn from US Army service and pas-sed to reserve units. It was overtaken

by newer and more technologically advanced forms of anti-tank weapon and few remained in use after 1950.

Specification

Specification 3-in Antitank Gun M5 Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in) Length of piece: 4.023m (13ft2.4in) Weight: travelling 2632.5 kg (5,850 lb) Traverse:46° Elevation: -5,5° to+30° Muzzle velocity: AP 793 m (2,600 ft) per second, APC 853 m (2,800 ft) per second

Maximum effective range: 1830 m (2,000 yards)

Projectile weight: AP and APC 6.94 kg (15.43 lb)

Armour penetration: 84 mm (3,31 in) at 1830m(2,000yards)

The 3-in (76.2-mm) Antitank Gun MS was an improvised weapon using the barrel of an anti-aircraft gun, the breech and carriage of a 105-mm



25-mm anti-tank guns

The first of two French 25-mm (0.98-in) anti-tank guns (in many references the correct term should be cannon instead of guns as the calibre of 25 mm is generally considered too light to apply to a gun) was the Canon léger de 25 antichar SA-L mie 1934. Produced by Hotchkiss et Oie, this weapon was based on the design of a gun originally intended for use in World War I tanks but too late for that conflict as its development was not completed until

1920. In 1932 Hotchkiss conceived the idea of placing the design on a light wheeled carriage in response to a French army requirement. The design was adopted in 1934 (hence the me 1934 in the designation), and by 1939 there were well over 3,000 such equip-ments in service with the French army.

The other French 25-mm (0.98-in) gun was the Canon léger de 25 anti-char SA-L mie 1937. This was a later arrival, designed and developed by the Atelier de Puteaux (APX), and first offered for service in 1937. It was not adopted for service until 1937. It was not numbers produced for service never approached those of the mie 1934. In appearance the mie 1937 looked very similar to the mie 1934, but it was much lighter and had a slightly longer barrel. In fact the two guns were intended for different service roles: the mie 1934 was issued to nearly all French army armoured units and specialized anti-

tank units, while the mie 1937 was intended for use by the support companies of infantry battalions. The latter equipments were towed by horses, one horse pulling the gun towed be-hind a small limber vehicle, this carrying the ammunition and all the gun crew's kit and equipment. When the mie 1937 was towed in this fashion the cone-shaped muzzle brake was removed and stowed over the breech. The mie 1934 was a serviceable



enough weapon, but its calibre was too small for the gun to be of much use against the German armour that swept across France in 1940. By that time the mie 1934 was also in use with the British army. In a show of Allied cooperation it had been decided that the BEF would use the mie 1934 as its antitank gun but this did not turn out well in practice, The BEF was the only allmechanized formation in Europe at that time and when it tried to tow the mie 1934s behind its vehicles the guns very quickly proved to be too flimsy to withstand the hard knocks involved. Thus the BEF carried the guns on its vehicles and the mie 1934 became the first British portée guns. The mie 1937 fared even less well in service. It was even less stoutly built than the mie 1934 and ran into weakness problems even when confined to the horse-drawn The Canon léger de 25 antichar SA-L mie 1934 was a light and handy weapon that proved tobe virtually useless against even the lightest tank armourin 1940. This retouched picture is from a newspaper printed in late 1939.

role. But the main problem with both guns was that the round they fired was too small to make any sort of impact on attacking armour and their combat ranges were limited to something like 300 m (330 yards). Even in 1940 this was far too low for tactical comfort, but the French army had invested heavily in the 25-mm (0.98-in) guns, so all too often they were the only such weapons available.

In the 1940 campaign large numbers of these 25-mm (0.98-in) guns fell into



the hands of the Germans, who retained some for a while under the designations 2.5-cm Pak 112(f) and 2.5cm Pak 113(f) to provide their occupation divisions with some form of antitank weapon. They do not appear to have been used long after 1942.

Specification

Canon léger de 25 antichar SA-Lmie 1934 Calibre: 25 mm (0.98 in)Length of barrel: 1.8 m (5 ft 10.8 in)Weight: in action 496 kg (1,093.5 lb)

Weight: in action 496 kg (1,093.5 lb) Traverse: 60° Elevation: -5° to $+21^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: 918 m (3,012 ft) per second

Maximum range: 1800 m (1,968 yards) Projectile weight: (AP)0.32 kg (0.71b) Armour penetration: at 25° 40 mm (1.57 in)at 400 m (440 yards) The little French 25-mm Hotchkiss guns, once issued to the BEF, proved light enough to be carried on 15 cwt trucks, which wasjust as well as they proved too flimsy for normal towing behind the truck. As such they were the first of the British Army's portée anti-tank guns.

Canon léger de 25 antichar SA-L mie 1937 Calibre: 25 mm (0.98 in) Length of barrel: 1.925 m (6ft3,8in) Weight: in action 310 kg (683.5 lb) Traverse: 37° Elevation: -10° to $+26^{\circ}$ Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second Maximum range: 1800 m (1.968 yards) Projectile weight: (AP) 0.32 kg (0.7 lb) Armour penetration: at 25° 40 mm (1.57 in) at 400 m (440 yards)

Muzzle velocity: 855 m (2,805 ft) per second Maximum range: 6500 m (7,110 yards) Projectile weight: 1.725 kg(3.81b) Armour penetration: 80 mm (3.15 in) at 200m (220 yards)

The Puteaux mie 1939 was a more involved development of the mie 193747-mm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun, which had a conventional wheeled carriage. The mie 1939 used a complex all-round-traverse carriage, although some were produced with normal wheeled carriages.

Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1937

The best of the French anti-tank guns was the Canon de 46 antichar SA mie 1937, a design that originated with the Atelier de Puteaux. It was developed in a great hurry and introduced into service once the French army had been provided with indications of the armour thickness of the German PZKpfw IV tank, Considering the rush with which the mie 1937 was developed it was an excellent anti-tank weapon and one of the best in service anywhere in 1939. The main trouble for the French army was that there were not enough of them to hand during the events of May 1940.

The mie 1937 was introduced into limited service in 1938, but the main production run was in 1939. The type was issued to French artillery batteries operating in support of army divisions and brigades, and was operated in batteries, each battery having six guns. The equipments were usually towed into action behind Somua halftracks, and in action their low outlines made them easy to conceal. They were capable of penetrating the armour of any tank likely to be put into action against them. In appearance the mie 1937 looked powerful and low. The gun had a barrel nearly 2.5 m (8 ft 2 in) long, and the carriage used pressed steel wheels with solid rubber rims, On top of the shield there was the fashionable corrugated outline to break up the shape.

Along with production of the towed

anti-tank model of the mie 1937 went production of a very similar gun intended for use in the permanent fortifications of the Maginot Line. This version lacked the carriage of the towed version and instead was swung into its firing position (through specially constructed firing slits) suspended from overhead rails. In 1939 there appeared a slightly revised version of the mie 1937 known as the Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1937/39, but the detailed differences between these two guns were slight. In 1940 there appeared the Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1939 and this was a quite different weapon. It used the gun of the mie 1937, but mounted on a new tripod carriage so arranged that once it was emplaced the gun could be swung through 360° to fire against targets appearing from any point of the compass, To emplace the gun a forward leg of the tripod was swung down, the trail legs were spread and the wheels were then raised to positions on each side of the shield. This futuristic concept was doomed never to see service, for the events of May 1940 intervened before production could start.

May and June 1940 saw the bulk of the French mie 1937s pass into German hands, The Germans regarded the mie 1937 very highly, for many of their tanks had suffered from the striking power of the gun, and after 1940 they used the mie 1937 widely as the 4.7-cm Pak 141(f); the gun was still in service when the Allies landed in Normandy in June 1944. Before that the Germans had also used the mie 1937 to arm many of their early Panzerjäger (tank hunter) conversions produced by removing the turrets from captured French tanks and replacing them with anti-tank guns on open mountings.

Specification

Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1937 Calibre: 47 mm (1.85 in) Length of barrel: 2.49 m (8 ft2 in) Weight: travelling 1090 kg (2,403 lb) and in action 1050 kg (2,315 lb) Traverse: 68° Elevation: -13° to $+ 16.5^{\circ}$





In the mobile battlefields of World War II, infantry could not count on any artillery unit to be close enough to give support in case of trouble. One solution to the problem was to give the infantry their own artillery, but the most cost-effective method depended upon a weapon as old as gunpowder - the mortar.

hroughout World War II the standard infantry support weapon used in most armies was the mortar. Some armies tended to combine the light weight and plunging fire of the mortar with the more direct approach and heavier firepower of the infantry gun or howitzer, and there were even some infantry support weapons (such as the odd little Japanese Type 92 battalion gun) that could be said to combine the attributes of gun and mortar. One factor that will be seen to be important throughout this study is the number of really small calibre mortars that were used during World War II. These lightweight mortars were used right down to infantry squad level, enabling the squad to provide its own local fire support. This was a form of weapon that rose to prominence during World War II, for although the mortar was evolved during World War I it never reached the level of control that could extend right down to the individual squad. This concept has been maintained since 1945, and today provides the infantry with a powerful extension of its offensive and defensive range.

One weapon that rose to prominence during World War II but has faded out since is the infantry gun or howitzer. In the



These British SAS parachutists are firing their 3in (76.2mm) ML mortar in support of a partisan attack on German forces. The mortar remains an important and powerful infantry weapon today.

aftermath of World War I it seemed to be a good idea to many armies to provide their infantry units with integral artillery fire support. However, providing the infantry with special artillery weapons was rather extravagant in resources and manpower. The artillery piece has never been a true infantry weapon, for it is far too demanding in handling manpower, however small and light it is made. Even before the end of World War II the heavy mortar was replacing the infantry gun, and since 1945 the infantry gun has faded completely from the modern tactical scene. The mortar is now the dominant infantry support weapon, supplemented here and there by recoilless weapons and missiles, but infantry seem to prefer the mortar overall. The weapon was, and still is, portable, has range and firepower to a degree that the soldiers of World War I could not have imagined, and remains completely under the control of the infantry that it supports, just as it did during World War II.



Soviet light mortars

The Red Army used mortars of all kinds in great numbers throughout World War II. In general they were sound and reliable weapons that were usually much heavier than their counterparts elsewhere, but were correspondingly very robust. During the 1930s the Soviet arms de-

signers closely followed trends elsewhere, and thus developed several types of light infantry mortars with a calibre of 50 mm (1.97 in). After deal-ing for a short while with one design that could be used as a 37 mm (1.46 in) barrel for a weapon that could also be used as an entrenching tool, the main models settled down into a series that commenced with the 50-PM 38, designated 5-cm Granatwerfer 205/l(r) by the Germans. This was a conventional design that used gas vents at the base of the barrel to vary the range; the barrel was held in its bipod at either of two fixed angles. This model soon proved difficult to produce, so it was replaced by the 50-PM 39, or 5-cm Granatwerfer 205/2(r) to the Germans, which omitted the gas vent feature and used instead normal bipod elevation methods. While this model was effective enough, it was still thought to be too difficult to produce and was in its turn replaced by the 50-PM 40. This was designed for mass production on a grand scale and the bipod legs and baseplate were simply pressed steel components. The bipod had a simple and novel method of barrel leveling and in service it proved reliable and useful, even though the range was somewhat restricted. There was one further model in this calibre, the 50-PM 41, or 5-cm Granatwerfer 200(r) to the Germans, that dispensed with a bipod and used instead a barrel yoke attached to a large baseplate. A gas venting system was also used, but not

many were made as production concentrated on the 50-PM 40. Many of the 50-PM 40s produced fell into German hands and they too used them on a large scale under the designation 5cm Granatwerfer 205/3(r).

While the 50-mm mortars were used at company or squad level, the battalion mortars had a calibre of 82 mm (3.228 in), There were three models in this family, the 82-PM 36 which was a direct copy of the Brandt mie 27/31 and known to the Germans as the 8.2-cm Granatwerfer 27471(r), the 82-PM 37 which was a revised model with recoil springs to reduce firing loads on the bipod and designated 8.2-cm Granatwerfer 274/2(r) by the Germans, and the 82-PM 41. The last was a much revised model that made extensive use of stampings to ease production, and was called the 8.2-cm Granatwerfer 274/3(r) by the Germans. The short bipod was so arranged that wheels could be added to the ends for handtowing, and this feature was taken one step farther with the 82-PM 43, which used an even simpler bipod to ease towing, one that is still in use today. There remains one further 'light'

There remains one further 'light' mortar to mention. This was a specialized 107-mm (4.21-in) mountain warfare mortar known as the 107-PBHM 38, or 10.7-cm Gebirgsgranatwerfer 328(r) to the Germans. It was an enlarged version of the 82-PM 37, and was used with a light limber for horse traction. Alternatively the mortar could be broken down into loads for pack transport. Firing could be by the normal 'drop' method or by means of a trigger. This mountain version saw extensive use during World War II and it is still in use to this day, not only with the Soviet army but with many nations under Soviet influence,

Specification 50-PM 40

82-PM 41

Calibre: 50 mm (1.97 in) Lengths: barrel0.63 m (24.8 in); bore

0.533 m (20.98 in) Weight: in action 9.3 kg (20.5 lb) Elevation: 45° or 75° fixed Traverse: 9° or 16°

Calibre: 82 mm(3.228 in)

Maximumrange: 800 m(875 yards) Bomb weight: 0.85 kg (1.874 lb)

Lengths: barrel 1,32 m (51.97 in); bore 1.225 m (48.23 in) The Soviet 82-PM 37 had a calibre of 82 mm (3.228 in) and was a close design relative of the French Brandt mortars. The Soviets in troduced a circular baseplate and used recoil springs between the bipod and barrel to reduce recoil forces on the laying and sigh ting arrangements.

Weight: in action 45 kg (99.2 lb) Elevation: +45° to +85° Traverse: 5° to 10° variable Maximum range: 3100 m (3,390 yards) Bomb weight: 3.4 kg (7,5 lb)

107-PBHM 38 Calibre: 107 mm(4.21 in) Lengths: barrel 1.57 m(61.8 in); bore 1.4 m(55.12 in)Weight: in action 170,7 kg(3761 b)Elevation: $+45^{\circ}$ to $+80^{\circ}$ Traverse: 6° Maximumrange: 6314 m(6,905 yards)Bombweight: 8 kg(17.641 b)



120-HM 38

The Soviet 120-HM 38 is one of the success stories in mortar design, for it was first introduced into service in 1938 and it is still in widespread service to this day. It offered an excellent combination of bomb weight, mobility and range. When first introduced it was as a 120-mm (4.72.-in) regimental mortar for producing fire support in place of artillery, and as World War II continued it was issued down to battalion level.

In design terms there was nothing really remarkable regarding the 120-HM 38. One feature that proved to be very useful was the large circular baseplate that allowed rapid changes in traverse without the usual need to dig out the baseplate and align it to the new direction of fire. The weapon was towed with the baseplate still attached with the weapon lying on a wheeled frame. A lunette was fitted into the muzzle and this was attached to the same limber as the 107-PBHM 38. Usually this limber incorporated an ammunition box holding 20 rounds, and the combination was towed either by a light vehicle or a team of horses. Getting the 120-HM 38 in and out of action was relatively rapid and easy, so after fire had been opened it was usually a simple matter to move off again before retaliatory fire started,

As the Germans moved across the USSR in 1941 and 1942 they were much

impressed by the firepower of the 120-HM 38. Being on the receiving end of the weapon's efficiency on many occasions, they had good reason to note the power of the bomb's warhead and they decided to adopt the design for themselves. In the short term they simply used as many captured examples as they could, under the designation 12cm Granatwerfer 378(r), but they then went one better and copied the design exactly for production in Germany. This was known to them as the 12-cm Granatwerfer 42 (12-cm GrW 42) and it was widely issued, even taking the place of infantry guns with some infantry formations, Thus the same weapon was in use on both sides during the fighting on the Eastern Front.

The usual bomb fired by the 120-HM 38 on both sides was the HE round, but smoke and chemical rounds were produced (although thankfully the latter were never used). The rate of fire could be as high as 10 rounds per minute, so a battery of four of these mor-

AAedArmymortarbattery uses 120-HM 38s in action in the Caucasian hills during September 1942. The layer is using the mortar's simple sight to lay the mortar while the ammunition crew members stand by to load the heavy HE bombs.



tars could lay down considerable amounts of fire in a very short period. Over a period of action the baseplates did have a tendency to 'bed in', making relaying necessary, but this was par-tially eliminated by introduction of the 120-HM43 which used a spring-loaded shock absorber on the barrel-bipod mounting. It is this version, which was otherwise unchanged from the origin-al, that is most likely to be encountered today. Over the years some changes have been made to the ammunition, which now has a longer range than the wartime equivalent, and another change is that many modern versions are now carried on various types of self-propelled carriage,

Specification 120-HM 38 Calibre: 120 mm (4.72 in) Lengths: barrel 1.862 m(73.3 in); bore $\begin{array}{l} \text{Lis36 in (60,47 in)}\\ \text{Weight: in action 280.1 kg (617 lb)}\\ \text{Elevation: } +45^\circ \text{to} +80^\circ\\ \text{Traverse: } 6^\circ \end{array}$

Maximum range: 6000 m (6,562 yards) Bomb weight: HE 16kg (35.3 lb)

Left: The Soviet 120-HM 38 was one of the most successful mortar designs of World War II, and was even copied direct by the Germans for their own use. It combined heavy firepower and mobility and often replaced support artillery with some formations. It was simple and easy to use in action, and fired a heavy HE bomb.



Above: Thel 20-HM 38 is seen on its wheeled travelling carriage, from which the mortar could be rapidly and easily emplaced. The wheeled carriage was often coupled to a limber that carried some ammunition. So successful was this mortar design that it is still in production.

Ördnance, ML 2-inch Mortar

The first of the British 2-in (50.8-mm) mortars appeared in 1918, but it was not in service for long being rendered obsolete in 1919. It was not until the 1930s that the notion of reintroducing a light mortar for use at platoon or squad level was put forward, and as there was no 'history' of the development of such small mortars in the UK at that time it was decided to run a selection competition between the offerings from various armaments manufacturers. The result was a flood of models from a number of concerns, and after a series of trials one was selected.

The winner was a design from the Spanish manufacturer ECIA. In its original form this weapon was thought suitable for improvement, and the ex-tra further work was carried out in the tra further work was carried out in the UK, leading to full production during 1938. The first production version was the Ordnance, ML 2-inch Mortar Mk II (ML for muzzle loading), but this was only the first of a long string of marks and sub-marks. In basic terms there were two types of 2-inch Mortar, One was the uper inforter version which was the pure infantry version, which was a simple barrel with a small baseplate and a trigger mechanism to fire the bomb after loading, The second type was meant for use on Bren Gun or Universal Carriers and had a much larger baseplate and a more complicated aiming system. If reguired the carrier version could be dismounted for ground use and a handle was supplied for this purpose. However, between these two types there were at the least 14 different variants, with differences in barrel length, sighting arrange-ments and production variations. There were even special versions for use by the Indian Army and by air-hormodividing borne divisions. To go with this array of weapon

variations there was an equally daunting range of types of ammunition. The usual bomb fired by the 2-inch Mortar was HE, but smoke and flares were also fired, the latter being particularly useful for target illumination at night, Having a trigger firing mechanism the weapon could be used at angles close

to the horizontal, a factor that was particularly useful in house-to-house combat. The bombs were normally carried in tubes, each holding three, and arranged in handy packs of three tubes. The normal 2-inch Mortar team consisted of two men, one carrying the mortar and the other carrying the ammunition.

The 2-inch Mortar is still around. The British army uses it for firing flares and other pyrotechnics pending the service debut of the new Light Mortar, and many other nations keep the weapon 'on the books'. These days the only version likely to be encountered is the infantry model with its small baseplate, the carrier version having long since passed away.

Specification 2-inch Mortar Mk II*** Calibre: 2 in (50,8 mm) Lengths: barrel0.665 m (26.2 in); bore 0.5065 m (19.94 in) Weight: 4.1kg(9lb) Maximum range: 457 m(500 yards)Bomb weight: HE1.02kg(2,251b)



A drill book demonstration of the loading of a 2-inch Mortar. As the loader drops the bomb into the muzzle, he taps the firer on the back to order him to pull the trigger lever via a cord lanyard. The model is the Carrier version with the large baseplate.



A 2-inch Mortar team of the Royal Scots Fusiliers in action during late June 1944 during an attack on Norrey-en-Bessin. The small size of the mortar means that most of it is hidden behind the mortar gunner, demonstrating how easy the mortar was to conceal and use in action at close ranges.



Soldiers of the 1st Battalion, The Hampshire Regiment, in action in Sicilvin 1943, using a 2-inch Mortar. The mortar gunner is operating the trigger lever at the base of the barrel to actually fire the bomb while his partner observes the fall of the bomb.



The first 3-in (76,2-mm) mortar was the original Stokes Mortar that was first used in March 1917. This version remained in use for many years after World War I, and as funds for weapon development were sparse between the wars it remained in service virtually unchanged for some years, However there was some work carried out on the basic design to the point at which it was decided during the early 1930s that the Ordnance, ML Mortar, 3 inch Would be the standard infantry support weapon. This was the Mortar, 3 inch Mk II, the weapon that was used by the army when World War II broke out in September 1939. This Mk II had numerous changes from the original World War I Mk I, especially in the ammunition which used many of the features of the French Brandt design innovations.

It was not long after the start of the war when it was noticed that although the Mk II was a sturdy and reliable weapon, it lacked the range of many of its contemporaries. The early versions had a range of only some 1463 m (1,600 yards), which compared badly with the 2400 m (2,625 yards) of its German equivalent, the 8-cm GrW 34. A long series of experiments and trials using new propellants increased this range to 2515m (2,750 yards), which overcame many of the original drawbacks, but these new propellants took time to get into the hands of front-line troops, so at times many German and Italian mortars were used by British troops, especially during the North African campaigns.

Apart from the ammunition changes

other alterations were made to the basic design, Later marks were equipped with a new baseplate design and improved sighting arrangements, and there was even a special version (Mortar, 3 inch Mk V) developed for use in the Far East, but only 5,000 of them were made and some were used by the airborne divisions. The usual method of getting the weapon into action was pack carriage in three loads by men, but the mechanized battalions carried their weapons on speciallyequipped Universal Carriers. On these the mortar was carried on the back of the vehicle ready to be assembled for normal ground use; it was not fired from the Carrier. The Carrier also had stowage for the ammunition, When dropped by parachute the barrel and bipod were dropped in one container. Another container carried the baseplate while yet another container held the ammunition.

The ammunition for the family was largely confined to HE and smoke, although other payloads such as illuminants were developed. By juggling with the propelling charge increments and barrel elevation angles it was possible to drop a bomb as close as 114 m (125 yards) away, a useful feature in close-quarter combat. Somehow the weapon never

Somehow the weapon never achieved the respect that was given to its opponents, but once the original range shortcomings had been rectified it proved to be a sound enough weapon that remained in service with the British army until the 1960s, It is used by some of the smaller ex-Commonwealth armies.



Specification Mortar, 3-inchMkII Calibre: 3 in (76.2 mm) Lengths: overall 1.295 m(51 in); barrel 1.19 m (46.85 in) Weight: in action 57,2 kg (126 lb) Elevation: +45° to +80° Traverse: 11° Maximum range: 2515 m (2,750 yards) Bomb weight: HE 4.54 kg (10 lb)



Soldiers of the Black Watch are seen in action with their 3-inch Mortar near Herou villette in Normandy during June 1944. The mortar is carefully emplaced in a purpose-dug pit, with adequate space for the mortar and crew and with camouflage netting handy for concealment.



A British 3-inch Mortar team provides fire support against German positions across the River Maas during the bitter weather of January 1945. This team appears to have a lengthy fire mission, judging from the pile of mortar bomb containers stacked ready to hand.

Ordnance, SB 4.2-inch Mortar

By 1941 it was noted by British army staff planners that there was a need for a mortar that could fire projectiles producing large amounts of tactical smoke for screening and other purposes; no doubt they had been influenced by the 10-cm Nebelwerfer mortars of the German Nebeltruppen. Accordingly a new design of 4.2-in (106.7 mm) heavy mortar was developed, but almost as soon as the first examples were ready for issue to Royal Engineer smoke production units the requirement was changed to convert the new weapon into a heavy mortar firing conventional HE bombs for issue to Royal Artillery batteries. Thus the new mortar became the Ordnance, SB 4.2-inch Mortar (SB for smooth-bored).

The 4.2-inch Mortar was produced at a time when the British defence industry was fully stretched and production facilities of all kinds were in short supply. This was particularly noticeable in the production of the bombs, for the designers wanted them to have forged bodies to reduce weight and to produce a better ballistic shape. At that time the required forging facilities were not available, so the bomb bodies had to be cast. This resulted in amaximumrangeofonly 3018 m(3,300yards) as opposed to the required 4023m (4,400 yards). These bombs had to be used for they were all that could be made at the time pending the introduction of a new design with a streamlined body. Again, these had to be manufactured using cast iron but they did manage a range of 3658 m (4,000 yards). By that time HE bombs were the main projectile used, but the original smoke function had not been entirely forgotten and some smoke bombs were produced,

The 4.2-inch Mortar was fairly hefty tomove around, so the usual method of getting it into action was to tow it using a Jeep or other light vehicle, The baseplate and the barrel/bipod were so arranged that they could be easily lifted up onto a small wheeled mounting. Once on site they could be lowered from the mounting and the barrel and bipod quickly assembled. When carried on a Universal Carrier things were even simpler. The baseplate was simply dropped off the back, the barrel was inserted and the bipod shoved into place, and firing could start almost at once. Getting out of action was just as rapid. This led to the 4.2-inch Mortar being viewed with some suspicion by the troops that relied upon its firepower support. While they valued its supporting fire they knew that as soon as a 4.2-inch Mortar battery was brought into action nearby it would be off again before the incoming counterbattery fire from the enemy arrived. By that time the 4.2-inch Mortar battery would be some distance away, leaving the units close to their former position to receive the fire meant for the battery.

The 4.2-inch Mortars were widely used by the Royal Artillery, many field regiments having alternative gun or 4.2-inch Mortar complements. The 4.2inch Mortar was used wherever British troops served from late 1942 onwards, and the weapon was still in use during the Korean War, in which it was used to tackle targets situated on reverse slopes behind hills or in valleys.

Specification 4.2-inch Mortar Calibre: 106.7 mm (4.2 in) Lengths: barrel 1.73 m (68,1 in); bore 1.565 m (61.6 in) Weight: in action 599 kg (1,320 lb) Elevation: $+45^{\circ}$ to $+80^{\circ}$ Traverse: 10° Maximum range: 3749 m (4,100 yards) Bomb weight: 9.07 kg (20 lb)

A 4.2-inch Mortar fires on German positions in the foothills of Mount Etna in Sicily during'1943. The crew are protecting their ears against the considerable muzzle blast. The amount of dust stirred up could reveal a mortarposition in action.



95-mm Infantry Howitzer Mk II

At some point during 1942 a decision was made to produce a light howitzer for use by British infantry battalions but at that time the infantry themselves had not been consulted: perhaps the planners were influenced by the use of infantry artillery innations such as Germany and the USA. In order to conserve production facilities it was decided that the new weapon would incorporate features from a number of existing weapons. The barrel was to be machined from a 94-nm (3.7-m) anti-aircraft gun liner, the breech mechanism would come from the 25pdr field gun and the recoil system and cradle came from 6-pdr anti-tank gun components. To simplify matters the new weapon would fire the same ammunition as the old 3,7-inch Pack Howitzer and the close-support howitzers fitted in some tanks. The term 95mm Infantry Howitzer was applied to the project, 95 mm denoting the differences from other similar weapons. The 95-mm Infantry Howitzer was

The 95-mm Infantry Howitzer was not one of the success stories of World War II. The resultant amalgamation of components from various weapons allied to a new welded steel box carriage looked rather odd, and so it turned out to be once it was fired. The 6-pdr recoil system was simply not up to the task of absorbing the recoil loads and frequently broke. The wheel track also proved to be too narrow, leading to tow instability. Prolonged firing also showed that the overall construction of the weapon, designed for pack transport in 10 loads, was such that components could be shaken loose. No doubt more development could have eliminated many of these defects, but by the time they emerged the weapon was already in production.

It was at this point that the infantry were drawn into the programme. They quickly announced that they did not want the weapon. They had not been consulted at any stage and considered

The British 3.7-in (94-mm) howitzer was meant to be a mountain weapon when it was first introduced during World WarI, but was later used as a light pack howitzer in World War II. that they already had quite enough weapon types within their battalions, and there simply was not enough manpower to deal with a howitzer as well. This finally killed off the 95-mm Infantry Howitzer project altogether, and the majority of the numbers produced were never even issued. They were simply scrapped after the war and today only one remains

simply scrapped after the war and today only one remains. Only two projectiles were produced for use with this weapon, HE and smoke. There were plans for an antitank HEAT projectile, but that was an offshoot of the 95-mm tank howitzer programme, and mention can be found of a flare shell. These projectiles were to be fired using a three-charge system.

The entire 95-mm Infantry Howitzer project now seems almost like a textbook example of how not to go about weapon design, No doubt the weapon could have been developed to the point of serviceability, but the recoil system was such a source of troubles that it now seems doubtful if it would ever have worked properly. Perhaps the biggest mistake in the entire project was going ahead with design and development without even troubling to find out if the intended operator really wanted the final product. Specification 95-mm Infantry Howitzer Calibre: 94 mm (3.7 in) Lengths:barrel 1.88 m(74.05 in); bore 1.75 m(69 in) Weight: in action 954.8 kg (2,105 lb) Elevation:-5° to+30° Traverse: 8° Muzzle velocity: 330m(1,083 ft)per second Maximum range: 5486 m (6,000 yards) Projectile weight: 11.34kg(251b)



The experimental 95-mm (actually 3.7-in/94-mm) In fan try Howitzer undergoes troop trials in Italy in 1944. Formedfrom various parts of existing weapons, this infantry howitzer was not a great success and the infantry did not particularly want it, so the weapon was never accepted for full-scale service.



ITAI Y

45/5 modello 35 'Brixia'

To the little 45/5 modello 35 'Brixia' must go the prize for being the most overdesigned and overengmeered mortar of World War II. Quite why the designers of the modello 35 went to such lengths to introduce needless complexities to a light support mortar with a very limited performance and a relatively ineffective projectile is now difficult to fathom, but the result was issued to the Italian armed forces in large numbers.

In this weapon's designation the term 45/5 indicates the calibre of 45 mm (1.77 in) and the length of the barrel in calibres, i.e. 5x45 mm (actually it was marginally longer). Such a small calibre could encompass only a small bomb that weighed a mere 0.465 kg (1.025 lb), with a correspondingly small explosive payload. The barrel was breech-loaded: operating a lever opened the breech and closing it fed a propelling cartridge from a magazine holding 10 cartridges. A trigger was used to fire the bomb, and to vary the range a gas port was opened or closed to vent off some of the propellant gases. If this was not enough there were also complex

The Italian 45/5 modello 35 'Brixia' mortar was one of the most complica ted mortar designs ever produced. It used a lever-operated breech mechanism and fired tiny 0.465-kg (1.025-lb) bombs.

\$

elevation and traverse controls,

The barrel of the modello 35 was located in a folding frame arrangement that rested against a carrier's back using a cushion pad to ease the load against the body. In use this frame was unfolded in such a way that the firer could then sit astride the weapon if required. In action the modello 35 could manage a fire rate of up to about 10 rounds per minute, and in trained hands the weapon was quite accurate. But even when they landed right on target the small bombs were relatively ineffective, mainly as a result of the small payload that often resulted in

erratic and ineffective fragmentation, The modello 35 was widely used by the Italian armed forces, mainly at platoon level. All Italian soldiers were trained in its use, some of them while still in one or other of the Italian youth movements, which were issued with an equally complex but even less effective version of the modello 35, this time in 35-mm (1.38-in) calibre. These weapons were meant only for training, usually firing inert bombs.

The Italians were not the only users of the modello 35. There were times during the North African campaigns when the Afrika Korps found itself us-ing the things, usually for logistical reasons when serving alongside Ita-lian formations. There was even an in-struction manual written in German for this very purpose, the German de-signation of the weapon being 4.5-cm

Granatwerfer 176(i),

It seems almost certain that the Ita-lian soldiers found to their cost the limitations of the modello 35 and retained the weapon in service for the simple reason that there was little chance of Italian industry being able to produce anything better in the then foreseeable future. Having expended so much development time and production effort into getting the modello 35 into the hands of the troops, the limited ability of the Italian defence industries would have required too much time to design, develop and pro-duce yet another weapon. So the Italian soldiers simply had to make do with what they were given; no doubt many of them thought it was not much.

Specification 45/5 modello 35

Calibre: 45 mm (1.77 in) Lengths: barrel 0.26 m (10.2 in); bore 0.241 m (9.49 in)Weight: inaction 15.5 kg (34.17 lb) Elevation:+ 10° to+90° Traverse: 20° Maximum range: 536 m (586 yards) Bomb weight: 0.465 kg (1.025 lb)

GERMANY 5-cm leichte Granatwerfer 36

German weapon designers between the world wars were presented with virtually a clean slate on which to work as Germany gradually rearmed during the early 1930s. Thus when a require-ment was issued for a light infantry mortar for issue at squad level, the designers at Rheinmetall-Borsig AG decided not to follow the usual barrel/ baseplate/bipod form but instead evolved a design in which the barrel was permanently secured to the baseplate and the bipod was virtually eliminated in favour of a monopod device fixed to the baseplate, The result was a rather complex little weapon with a calibre of 50 mm (1.969 in) that was known as the 5-cm leichte Granatwer-fer 36 or leGrW 36 (light grenade-launcher model 1936) that was first issued for use during 1936. The leGrW 36 was in many ways a

prime example of the German's general love of gadgetry in weapons. It had all manner of them from the traverse controls built onto the baseplate to a very complicated but completely unnecessary telescopic sight, This sight was very much a designer's attempt to make the weapon as perfect as possi-ble and ensure accuracy, but the ranges at which the little leGrW 36 was used were such that a simple line painted on the barrel was all that was needed and the sight soon went out of production during 1938. The weapon could be carried by

one man using a handle on the base of the barrel. For all its small size the leGrW 36 was rather heavy, weighing 14 kg (30.8 lb). Thus in action one man had to carry it, with another carrying the ammunition in a steel box. In action the baseplate was placed on the ground and all barrel adjustments were made using coarse and fine con-

trol knobs. Firing was carried out using a trigger. Only HE bombs were fired. While the designers felt rather proud of their achievement in the leGrW 36, the soldiers were not so enthusiastic. They felt that the leGrW 36, quite apart from the weight problem, was simply too complicated and the bomb not worth all the trouble in-volved. The bomb weighed only 0.9 kg (1.98 lb) and the maximum range was a mere 520 m (569 yards). On top of this the weapon took time to produce, and was costly in raw material terms and other resources. Such a situation could not last once the war was under way, and by 1941 the leGrW 36 was out of production. Those that had been manufacured were gradually with-drawn from front-line service in favour of something better, being passed on to second-line and garrison units. Many were used by units manning the Atlantic Wall as part of the beach defences. Some were passed on to the Italian army

Overall the leGrW 36 was not one of the German weapon designers' best efforts. They allowed a small weapon to become far too complex and costly to justify the result, and the German army was astute enough to realize the fact and so went on to employ more useful weapons.

The 5-cm leGRW36 was one of the standard German army Ugh t mortars of the early war years, but it was too complex and expensive for wartime production and its performance was not outstanding. Here one is being loaded while the layer adjusts the complicated fire controls on the heavy base plate and yoke.

Specification leGrW36 Calibre: 50mm(1.969 in) Lengths: barrel0,465 m(18.3 in); bore 0.35 m (13,78 in)

Weight: in action 14 kg(30,8 lb) Elevation: +42° to +90 Traverse: 34° Maximumrange: 520 m (569 yards) Bomb weight: 0.9 kg (1.98 lb)





8-cm schwere Granatwerfer 34

The German army's 8-cm schwere Granatwerfer 34 or 8-cm sGrW 34 (heavy grenade-launcher model 1934) gained for itself an enviable reputation among Allied front-line soldiers for its accuracy and rate of fire. The weapon was encountered everywhere the German army was in action, for the sGrW 34 was one of the German army's standard weapons in use from 1939 right through to the last days of World War II. It was a Rheinmetall-Börsig AG product, but was in truth a Germanic revision of the Brandt mie 27/31 and even used the same calibre of 81.4 mm (3.2m).

Despite its reputation there was nothing remarkable regarding the design of the sGrW 34. Much of the respect it gained as a weapon should instead have gone to the thoroughtraining and efficiency of the men who used it, for throughout the war the German mortar crews seemed always to have an edge over their rivals. They became experts at getting their sGrW 34s in and out of action rapidly and by careful use of plotting boards and other fire-control aids, they were able to obtain maximum accuracy from their fire. The sGrW 34 was straightforward in

The sGrW 34 was straightforward in design and very well made. It was consequently very robust and could be broken down into three loads for manpack carrying; more men had to carry the ammunition. A special version existed for use from SdKfz 250/7 halftracks. Several centres were con-

cerned with production of the weapon, and even more were involved in making the ammunition for the range of bombs that could be fired from the sGrW 34 was wide. There were the usual HE and smoke bombs, but in-novations included illuminating and target-marking bombs for use in association with ground-attack aircraft, There was even a special 'bouncing bomb' known as the 8-cm Wurfgranate 39 that was pushed back up into the air after it had struck the ground, This was done using a tiny rocket motor, and at a predetermined height the bomb exploded to scatter its fragments over a much wider area than would be the case with a conventional grounddetonated bomb, Again, this was a typical German weapon innovation that was really too expensive and un-reliable for general use and the numbers produced were never large. One extra bonus for the sGrW 34 was that it could fire a wide range of captured ammunition, although usually with some loss in range performance. For airborne use a special shor-tened version of the sGrW 34 was de-valored in 1040. This use the lurger

For airborne use a special shortened version of the sGrW 34 was developed in 1940. This was the kurzer Granatwerfer 42, usually known as the Stummelwerfer. This was issued in quantity from about 1942 onwards, but saw little use by airborne forces and instead became a replacement for the little 5-cm leGrW 36. It fired the same ammunition variety as the sGrW 34 but the range was reduced by more than half. The German 8-cm sGrW34 was greatly respected by the Allies, who came to fear its accuracy and rapid rate offire, but it was not an outstanding design and much of the praise it earned was mainly due to the careful and thorough training of them ortar crews.

Specification sGrW34 Calibre: 81.4 mm (3.2 in) Lengths: barrel1.143 m (45 in); bore 1,033 m (40.67 in) Weight: in action 56.7 kg (125 lb)

Right: A German army 8-cm sGrW34 crew. The pear-shaped bomb is being in troduced in to the m uzzle to fall down onto the fixed firing pin to fire the propelling charge and propel the bomb to a maximurn range of 2400 m (2,625 yards). Elevation: +40° to +90° Traverse: 9° to 15° variable with elevation Maximum range: 2400 m (2,625 yards) Bomb weight: 3.5 kg (7.72 lb)







The shape of the 8-cm sGrW 34 bomb can be clearly seen here as it is held ready for loading into the muzzle. The bomb weighed 3.5 kg (7.72 lb) and usedmultiple tailfins to provide stability in night. The layer is making fine traverse adjustments using the simple sight mounted on the bipod.



The Bofors 75-mm Model 1934 was originally designed by AB Bofors as a mountain gun and was placed on the market in the 1920s. At that time the artillery markets around the world were awash with the surplus of World War I, but there was a small demand for specialized weapons and the Bofors 75-mm (2.95-in) gun fell into this category. As with all products from the Bofors plant at Karlskroga, the 75-mm gun was very well made from the finest materials, and used a sound and well considered design. And it was just what was required by one European nation, the Netherlands.

One would have thought that the last thing anation as well endowed with flat terrain as the Netherlands would have wanted was a mountain gun, but the Dutch needed the gun not for service at home but away on the other side of the world in the Dutch East Indies. At that time the Netherlands maintained a sizeable force of troops in the islands that now make up much of Indonesia, and as the terrain is either very overgrown or mountainous some form of pack artillery was required. The Bofors gun was apparently just what was needed and a batch was duly acquired. The Bofors gun could be broken down into eight loads, carried in special harnesses by mules, but for normal towing a four-horse team was used with a further six mules carrying ammunition and other bits and pieces; the gunners themselves had to walk. These guns were still in use when World War II reached the Pacific, and with the Japanese invasion the guns had a brief period of action before fall-



ing into Japanese hands. Their new masters used the guns for their own purposes until the ammunition stocks ran out, and by 1945 few were left, Some of these Bofors 75-mm guns

Some of these Bofors 75-mm guns were sold to Turkey in the years leading up to World War II, but the main customer was another unlikely client for a mountain gun, This time the recipient was Belgium, for which a special version was produced as the Canon de 75 modèle 1934. This time the gun was for use by the Belgian troops based along the borders in the Ardennes region, but as this area was reasonably well provided with roads and tracks, there was no need for the full pack transport facility. Instead the modèle 1934s were produced as 'one-piece' weapons with the only feature designed to save towing length being a section of the box trail that could be folded upwards on tow. Unlike the Dutch guns, the Belgian models were intended for towing by light tracked tractors and were delivered with rubber-tyred steel disc wheels.

The Belgian guns had little chance to shine, for when the Germans invaded in May 1940 they passed rapidly through the region where these guns were based. Thus the Bofors guns passed into German hands, but as the numbers involved were few the Germans made no use of this 7.5-cm Gebirgshaubitze 34 and the captured weapons were simply scrapped.

 $\begin{array}{l} Specification \\ Model 34 \\ Calibre: 75 mm (2,95 in) \\ Lengths: piece overall 1, 8m (70,87 in); \\ barrel 1.583 m (62.32 in) \\ Weight: in action 928 kg (2,046 lb) \\ Elevation: -10^{\circ} to + 50^{\circ} \\ Traverse: 8^{\circ} \\ Muzzle velocity: 455 m (1,493 ft) per \\ second \\ Maximum range: 9300 m (10,171 yards) \\ Projectile weight: 6.59 kg (14.53 lb) \end{array}$



The Netherlands army used their Bofors 75-mm Model 1934 howitzers in the Dutch East Indies, where they were carried into action in pack loads carried by mules. Note how brakes were applied to this carrier mule as it moved down a steep slope carrying the wheels and part of the carriage trails.



A Netherlands army Bofors 75-mm (2.95-in) Model 1934 howitzer is ready for action in theDutch East Indies during 1941. Thejapanese army overwhelmed this Dutch colony during early 1942 and took over many of these howitzers for their own local use until the war ended.



The US Army mortar teams have always referred to their charges as 'cannon' and during World War II they had a lot of cannon to hand. Smallest of these was not an American but a French design as it was the 60-mm Mortar M2, a direct licence-produced copy of a Brandt design. This became the standard US Army mortar for use down to company level, and for it American industry produced a wide range of ammunition including one odd projectile that was meant to illuminate low-flying enemy aircraft at night so that light anti-aircraft weapons could deal with them; the round had other uses as well. From the M2 the Americans de-

From the M2 the Americans developed their 60-mm Mortar M19, which can be regarded as the US equivalent of the British 2-inch Mortar, which it closely resembled. Not many M19s were produced, and of these most went to airborne formations.

The standard battalion mortar of the US Army was another Brandt licence-

builtproduct, yetone more variation of the mie 27/31 design. The Americans produced their version as the 81-mm Mortar M1, and with some slight alterations to suit local production methods it was manufactured throughout World War II. One odd American piece of equipment used with this weapon was a small hand cart onto which the mortar and its ammunition could be loaded. Two men were all that were required to tow this handy little carrier, known as the Hand Cart M6A1. Other carriers included mules, for which a special harness set was devised, but perhaps the most universally used was the M21 halftrack carrier from which the M1 mortar could be fired without the need to dismount the weapon as was the case on such vehicles as the British Universal Carrier. Throughout its service life the M1 remained virtually unchanged. A special barrel extension tube was devised to increase range but it was little used, and a special shortened version, known as the T27

'Universal' and of which much was expected, was not accepted for service on a large scale.

Perhaps the best known of all World War II American mortars was the 4.2-inch Chemical Mortar, the main reason for its fame probably being that it is still in service with the US Army. As with its British counterpart, it was devised to be a mortar firing smoke projectiles (hence the Chemical Mortar designation), but it was not long before it was realized that HE bombs would be very effective as well. It was a cumbersome and large weapon with a massive and heavy baseplate (that was later re-placed by much lighter designs), and the barrel was rifled to fire bombs that closely resembled conventional artillery projectiles. The rifling made the 4.2-inch Chemical Mortar very accu-rate, and the projectiles were much heavier than their smooth-bore equivalents. In action they were often used as infantry support weapons, but many were issued to smoke screen units. The one major drawback to the 4.2-mch Chemical Mortar was its weight and bulk. It was not an easy weapon to deploy and to overcome this various self-propelled carriages were devised for it.

Specification M2



75-mm Pack Howitzer MIAI

Ml

Traverse: 14°

Calibre: 60 mm (2.38 in) Length: barrel 0.726 m (28.6 in) Weight:inaction 19.05 kg(42 lb)

Calibre: 81.4 mm (3.2 in)Length: barrel 1.257 m (49.5 in)Weight: in action 61.7 kg (136 lb)Elevation: $+40^\circ \text{ to} +85^\circ$

Maximumrange: 1815 m (1,985 yards) Bomb weight: 1.36 kg (3 lb)

Maximumrange: 3008 m(3,290 yards) Bombweight: 3.12 kg(6.87 lb)

Elevation: +40° to +85° Traverse: 14°

In the aftermath of World War I the 1920 Westerve It Board recommended the design of a new 75-mm (2.95-in) light howitzer for use in mountain warfare and as a general-issue pack howitzer. This was one of the proposals that was actually pursued at the time, for by 1927 the 75-mm Pack Howitzer MI had been standardized; some later probeen standardized; some later pro-duction changes altered the designa-tion to the MIA1. The howitzer was mounted on a carriage of ingenious design that could be easily broken down into six loads, and the box trail was perforated to save weight. The howitzer itself could be broken down for pack transport, and was so arranged that the barrel was held in a trough and kept in place by a cover trough and kept in place by a cover along the top: this gave the weapon a distinctive appearance. Traverse was effected using a screw mechanism directly on the axle, so the cradle had

to carry only the elevation mechanism. The first MIAIs were mounted on the Carriage MI, which was intended for animal traction and so had woodenspoked wheels. The introduction of mechanized traction led to the adop-tion of the Carriage M8, which used rubber-tyred metal wheels. This little howitzer became one of the first Allied airborne artillery weapons, for it was issued to nearly every Allied airborne formation, including the British air-borne divisions. But it should not be thought that the Ml carriage went out of fashion: many were produced dur-ing World War II for issue to Allied armies such as the Chinese, who used the howitzer in some numbers. On either carriage the little MIAI

was a popular and very useful weapon. It was a thoroughly modern design, and in action it was easy to serve and could be used to provide fire support at ranges up to 8925m (9,760 yards). Despite its light weight some conver-

sions to the self-propelled role were sions to the self-propelled role were made (some being mounted on half-tracks) and it was just as successful in that role. One role for which the MIAI was not much used appears to be mountain warfare. There were few campaigns where mountain warfare use recording the Allice with the was necessary for the Allies, with the possible exception of that in Yugoslavia. There partisan troops were trained in the use of the MIAI by Britwere ish officers, and the partisans appear to have made good use of them during the latter stages of their war of selfliberation.

It was as one of the first Allied airborne artillery pieces that the MIAI will probably be best remembered. It was used at Arnhem when some were landedfromGeneralAircraftHamilcar gliders, but the howitzer could also be broken down into nine loads for paradropping. Not all MIAls had such an adventur-

ous life. Many were used simply as infantry support weapons or as pack artillery in the dense jungles of the Far East, The MIAI was light enough to take part in the initial stages of amphibious assaults such as that on Wal-cheren in 1944, when howitzers meant



Above/A 4.2-in Chemical Mortar is during the Solomons campaign. Note the stack of bombs for this mortar and how the shape resembles that of a conventional artillery projectile.

Chemical Mortar Calibre: 106.7 mm (4.2 in) Weight: in action 149.7 kg (3301b) Elevation: $\pm 45^{\circ}$ to $\pm 59^{\circ}$ Traverse: 7° Maximum range: 4023 m (4,400 yards) Bomb weight: 14.5 kg (321b) The American 60-mm Mortar M19 was a much simplified version of the 60-mm Mortar M2, and used a simple basepla te and no bipod. It was used mainly by American airborne forces and by a few infantry units, but its effective range was onlyabout 320 m (350 yards) and it was not very accurate, being hand-held.

The 75-mm (2.95-in) Pack Howitzer Ml Al on Carriage M8 was one of the Allies' most successful light weapons of the type. It was a pack howitzer that could be readily adapted for paradropping, and was used by both British and American airborne units in 1944 and 945. Some are still in use to this day.

for mountain warfare were instead used in the flooded flatlands of the Scheldt estuary.

Specification MIAI MIAI Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in) Lengths: piece 1.321 m(52 in); barrel 1.194 m(47 in)Weight: complete 587.9 kg(1,296 lb)Elevation:- 5° to+ 45° Traverse: 6° A USArmy light howitzer battery trains with a 75-mm (2.95-in) Pack Howitzer, attired in an odd uniform in tended for use by expeditionary forces in tropical climates. Dating from 1936, this howitzer has earlypattern M8 carriage spoked wheels.

Muzzle velocity: maximum 381 m (1,250 ft) per second Maximum range: 8925 m (9,760 yards) Projectile weight: 6.241 kg(13.761b)



There were two main types of 50-mm (1.97-in) mortar in service with the Japanese army during World War II. Both of them could be regarded more as grenade-launchers than real mortars as they used projectiles that were little more than finned hand grenades, and they were mainly used as squad weapons for purely local support.

The first version to enter service was the Type 10, which entered service in 1921. It was a simple smooth-bore weapon that fired its grenade by means of a trigger mechanism. An adjustable gas vent was provided to give variations in range. The Type 10 originally fired HE grenades, but with the introduction of the later model it was used more and more to fire pyrotechnic grenades for target illumination and similar purposes. The main drawback of the Type 10 was its limited range, which was only some 160m (175 yards), a factor that gave rise to development of the second weapon in this class, the Type 89. By 1941 the Type 89 had all but replaced the Type 10 in service and differed from it in several respects, one

By 1941 the Type 89 had all but replaced the Type 10 in service and differed from it in several respects, one being that the barrel was rifled instead of smooth-bored. The other main change was the elimination of the previous gas vent system in favour of a firing pin that could be moved up and down the barrel: the higher the firing pin was up the barrel the shorter the resultant range. The Type 89 mortar fired a new series of grenades to an effective range of 650m (711 yards), which was a substantial increase over that possible with the Type 10. Grenades developed for the Type 89 included the usual HE, smoke, signalling and flares. Development of this weapon reached the point where a special version for use by airborne troops was produced. Normally both the Type 10 and Type 89 could be dismantled for carrying in a special leather case.

The main version encountered by the Allies was the Type 89. Somehow, the word spread among the Allies that these little mortars were 'knee' mortars and the name stuck. Exactly how many fractured thighs this completely misleading nickname caused among untrained users is now impossible to determine, but attempting to fire either of these mortars with the baseplate rest-



The Japanese 50-mm (1.97-in) Grenade Discharger Type 10 was first produced in 1921 and later replaced by the improved Type 89. With a limited range (160 mil 75 yards), it remained a light and handy weapon that could fire a range of HE, smoke and flare grenades.

ing against a leg would result in immediate injury, The recoil of these little weapons was considerable and the baseplate had to be held against the ground or something really substantial. Aiming was rudimentary for there were no sights other than a line marked on the barrel, but in a short time almost any soldier could learn to use the weapon fairly effectively. The mortar was light and handy in action, but the grenade was somewhat on the light side. What really mattered was that any soldier could carry one slung



over a shoulder while still carrying a normal load and the resultant increase in squad firepower was appreciable, especially when using the longerrange Type 89.

Specification Type 89 Calibre: 50 mm (1.97 in) Lengths: overall0,61 m (24in); barrel 0.254 m (10 in) Weight: 4.65 kg (10.25 lb) How not to do it. For some reason the Americans decided that the small spade baseplate of the Japanese grenade dischargers enabled a soldier to fire them from the thigh or knee (hence'kneemortars'), but anyone attempting this inevitably ended up with a broken leg, for the recoil forces were considerable.

Maximumrange:650m(711yards) Grenadeweight:0,79kg(1.74lb)

70-mm Battalion Gun Type 92

The little 70-mm Battalion Gun Type 92 was one of the most successful infantry support weapons of World War II, despite its rather odd appearance. It was issued to every Japanese infantry battalion and could be used in several ways, as a battery weapon or, more frequently, as an individual weapon to produce harassing fire. Despite its odd appearance the Type 92 was a thoroughly modern design. Much of the unusual appearance crame from the use of a short barral on a

Despite its odd appearance the Type 92 was a thoroughly modern design. Much of the unusual appearance came from the use of a short barrel on a carriage travelling on large steel disc wheels. Normally the gun was towed by horses or mules, but in typical Japanese fashion there were various holes and brackets on the carriage through which long poles could be inserted to act as man-carrying handles for short moves. The shield could be removed to save weight when required, and the wheels were supported on cranked axles that could be turned through 180° to lower the silhouette of the gun when occasion demanded. Although it was a small weapon, the Type 92 required a crew of 10 men, most of these being used for manhandling or carrying the gun and acting as ammunition suppliers. In action the maximum number required was only five.

The Type 92 fired the usual HE projectiles along with smoke and shrapnel for close-range use against personnel in the open. There was also a rather

A team of Japanese Army gunners tows a Type 92 Battalion Gun over rough ground in the Aleutian Islands. The team are using special towing harnesses and are carrying wicker back-packs con taining amm unition and spares for the gun. In action the gun weighed only 212.47 kg (468 lb).



ineffective armour-piercing projectile, The maximum range was rather short, being only some 2745 m (3,002 yards), and the effective range was only about half that, but as the Type 92 had only very simple sights and was rarely used against targets other than those clearly visible, this mattered but little in action. The Type 92 was certainly used well forward. Its direct or plunging fire could be very effective, in both de-fence and attack, and some Allied re-ports speak of the Type 92 being used in the same manner as a mortar. One operational method that was de-veloped to a fine art by the Japanese for the Type 92 was harassing fire in jungle warfare. A small team would drag or carry the Type 92 forward, fire off a few rounds at a known target and then move hastily on to a new fire position or out of the area altogether. A single gun could keep large bodies of Allied soldiers awake and alert by such simple tactics.

Although labelled as a gun, the Type 89 used a variable propellant charge system and could be fired in the upper register (i.e. above an elevation angle of 45°) to drop projectiles onto targets as close as 100 m (109 yards) away. On target the HE projectiles were very destructive, and the shrapnel shell often proved to be very effective in breaking up massed infantry attacks such as those sometimes used by the



Chinese army. There was even a version of the Type 89 developed for use in some experimental tanks, but only a few of these (known as the Type 94) were actually produced. The Type 92 was a small artillery piece but it often had an effect on its

enemies that was quite out of propor-

tion to its size, range and projectile weight. Many are still prized as museum pieces.

Specification Type 92 Calibre: 70 mm (2,756 in) Length: barrel 0.622 m (24.5 in)

Weight: in action 212.47 kg (468,4 lb) Elevation: -10° to $+50^{\circ}$ Traverse: 90° Muzzlevelocity: 198 m(650 ft)per second Maximum range: about 2745 m (3,000 yards) Projectileweight: HE3.795kg(8.37lb)



FRANCE Mortier Brandt de 81 mm modèle 27/31

Even though the Stokes Mortar of World War I established the overall design shape and form of the modern mortar, it was still a very rudimentary weapon. The Stokes Mortar was little weapon. The Stokes Mortar was fittle more than a pipe supported on a sim-ple frame and sitting on a base plate to take the recoil forces. The French Brandt company changed all that in the years after World War I by a careful redesign and drastic improvement in the type of bomb fired. At first sight the Brandt-inspired modifications were difficult to detect for the overall form of the Stokes design remained, but the improvements were there neverthe-less. One of the first was that the new Brandt model, introduced as the Mor-tier Brandt de 81 mm modèle 27 in 1927 and updated again in 1931 as the modèle 27/31 to take advantage of ammuni-tion improvements, was in the overall handiness of the weapon.

Setting up the original Stokes Mortar often took time, but the redesign of the Brandt bipod was such that it could be Brandt bipod was such that it could be set up on any piece of ground: the levelling of the sights was easily car-ried out by the bipod leg design, on which only one leg needed to be ad-justed. The sights were clamped to a position close to the muzzle, one that was convenient for the layer to peer through without having to stand over the weapon, and slight changes of traverse were easily made using a screw mechanism on the sight bracket. But the main changes came with the ammunition. The early grenades of the ammunition. The early grenades of the Stokes Mortar were replaced by well-shaped bombs that not only carried more explosive payload but had a much greater range. In fact Brandt produced a wide range of mortar bombs for its mie 26/31, but they fell into three main brackets. First there was one with an UE payload and this was one with an HE payload, and this

was used as the standard bomb, Then there was a bomb that was twice the weight of the standard, but which had a shorter range. The third type of bomb used was smoke. Within these three categories came numerous marks and sub-marks; for instance various col-

oured smokes were available. The mie 27/31 greatly influenced mortar designs from the moment it was announced. Within a few years the mie 27/31 was being either licence-produced or simply plagiarized all over Europe and elsewhere, The mor-tar's calibre, 81.4 mm (3.2 in), became tar's calibre, 81.4 mm (3.2 in), became the virtual European calibre for infan-try mortars and nearly every infantry mortar in use during World War II had some feature or other derived from the mie 27/81, and many were direct copies. This influence was wide enough to encompass the standard mortars of Germany, the USA, the Netherlands. China and even the Netherlands, China and even the USSR. All of these nations made their own alterations and innovations, but the resultant weapons were all basically the mie 27/31 at heart even if the mie 26/31 was in its turn derived from the Stokes Mortar.

The Brandt influence survives to this day, although the weapons of the current generation of 81-mm mortars outrange the mie 27/61 by a factor of nearly six. But the mie 27/31 was more than good enough to be used in its many forms throughout World War II and for years after it.

Specification

Mortier Brandt de 81 mm mie 27/31 Calibre: 81.4 mm (3.2 in) Lengths:barrel 1.2675 m (49.9 in); bore 1.167 m (45.94 in) $\begin{array}{l} 1.107 \text{ In} (43.94 \text{ In}) \\ \text{Weights: inaction 59.7 kg} (131.6 \text{ lb}); \\ \text{barrel20,7 kg} (45.6 \text{ lb}); \\ \text{bipod 18.5 kg} \\ (40.8 \text{ lb}); \\ \text{base plate 20.5 kg} (45.2 \text{ lb}) \end{array}$



Traverse: 8° to 12° variable with elevation Maximum range: standard bomb

1900 m (2,078 yards); heavy bomb 1000 m (1,094 yards) Bomb weight: standard 3.25 kg (7.165 lb); heavy 6.9 kg (15.21 lb)

Infantry Anti-TankWeapons

Modem infantry are equipped with man-portable anti-tank weapons capable of dealing with any armour at a respectable range. But in World War II anti-tank guns were bulky and scarce, and hand-held weapons were in their infancy. Nevertheless, these primitive short-range weapons began to evolve into a genuine defence against tanks.

espite the success of the anti-Despite the same series world War II, many tanks were destroyed in action by a diversity of other weapon types, often having little in common with each other except the fact that they had been developed to destroy enemy tanks. The range of these weapons was wide during World War II, varying from the bizarre Soviet dog mines to many variations of the hollow-charge warhead. As will be related, these varied in delivery method from being handthrown to rocket-propelled.

Nearly all the weapons described in this section were relatively short-range weapons. They therefore came into the domain of the foot soldier rather than the gunner, and it was the infantry which was the genre's main operator. This included the array of anti-tank rifles that were in service when World War II started, though few were still in use when 1945 came around. The anti-tank rifles were perhaps a minor class of their own, but it would be difficult to define weapons such as the Panzerfaust and Panzerwufmine in any category other than that of anti-tank weapon. In their day both were fearfully effective weapons, but today they have virtually passed from use (although relations of



British troops, including a two-man PIAT (Projector, Infantry Anti-Tank) team, taking cover in a roadside ditch in the Netherlands in early 1945, during the advance towards Germany.

the Panzerfaust can still be encountered). The anti-tank grenade has also virtually disappeared, and so too has the suicide tank killer made infamous by the Japanese in 1944 and 1945. But modern relatives of the bazooka and the Raketenpanzerbuchse can still be found in widespread use, and the Molotov cocktail is still a weapon favoured by many irregular armed forces, to say nothing of terrorists of every shade.

Being mostly close-range weapons, the anti-tank methods

mentioned in this assessment became very personal weapons. None of them would have been of any use whatsoever without the application and determination of their users, and as always this is an important factor to be borne in mind when reading about them. It still evokes a considerable degree of admiration to consider how the British Home Guard trained to tackle the expected invading German tanks and even more to consider how the Soviet partisans risked their lives to repel the German invaders. Consideration of the various forms of Japanese suicide methods may produce differing reactions, but the fact remains that the men who went out to destroy tanks and die in the process were essentially brave men, even if their psychology is still difficult to understand. All the weapons in these pages were essentially very personal ones, and perhaps even the Red Army soldiers grew attached to the dogs who carried their mines.



The American bazooka was one of the more original weapons of World War II and was founded on basic rocket research that had been conducted at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, since 1933. The active service development of the weapon began in earnest in early 1942 and the first of them went into action in North Africa in November 1942, although it was the following year before any were used against Axis armour. The full designation of the first model was 2.36-in Rocket Launcher, MI. The rocket fired was the M6A3 and the practice rocket was known as the M7A3.

The bazooka was a very simple weapon, being nothing more than a steel tube (open at both ends) through which the rocket was launched. A shoulder rest or wooden stock was provided along with two grips for aiming; the rear grip included the trigger group. The rocket was fired electrically and in low temperatures not all the propellant was consumed before the rocket left the launcher, allowing unburnt powder to be blasted into the firer's face. To prevent this it was possible to fit a small circular wire mesh screen just behind the muzzle, In practice the bazooka could be used at point targets up to 274 m (300 yards) away, but for most purposes range was confined to about 91 m (100 yards). Soon after the MI bazooka entered

Soon after the MI bazooka entered service it was replaced by the essentially similar MIAI. It was a popular weapon that could knock out any enemy tank and was normally served by a two-man team, one aiming and the other loading the rockets and connecting their electrical firing circuits. The bazooka soon found a great number of battlefield tasks other than use against tanks: it was very good at knocking out pillboxes of all kinds, and could even blast holes through barbed-wire obstacles; it could be used at area targets such as vehicle parks at ranges up to 594 m (650 yards), and at times was also used to clear combat lanes through minefields; and there are records of the bazooka being used against artillery pieces at close ranges.

But it was against tanks that the bazooka made its main mark, and it was seized upon by the Germans as the design basis for their Raketenpan-

USSR





The original M1 bazooka is shown on the left and the M9 on the right. TheMB could be broken down in to two halves, which greatly assisted carrying and stowage inside vehicles. By the time the war ended a version of the M9 was being produced in aluminium for lightness; this was the M18.

zerbüchse series after examples of the MI had been captured in Tunisia in early 1943. Althoughthe Germancounterparts were much larger in calibre, the Americans stuck to their 60-mm (2.36-in) calibre until after 1945, By then they had introduced a new model, the M9 which differed from the MI in being able to be broken down into two halves for ease of carrying. Smoke and incendiary rockets were developed and used before 1945, although much of their use was confined to the Pacific theatre. As the war ended the all-aluminium M18 launchers were being introduced into service.

By the time the war ended no less than 476,628 bazookas of all types had been produced, along with 15,603,000 rockets of all kinds.

Above: The American 2.36 in (60 mm) Rocket Launcher MI was the first of the bazookas and was used by the Germans as the original for their RP series. The MI used a 'one-piece' barrel that could not fold and early versions (shown here) used a wire mesh shield around themuzzle to protect against rocket blast.



Above: The rocket fired from the American bazookas was finstabilized and weighed 1.53 kg (3.4 lb). It had a maximum range of 640 m (700yards), but was accurate only to ranges much shorter than that.

Specification MIAI

Calibre: 60 mm (2.36 in) Length: 1.384m(4ft6.5in) Weights: launcher 6.01 kg (13.25 lb); rocket 1.54 kg (3.4 lb) Range: maximum 594 m (650 yards) Muzzle velocity: 82,3m(270ft)per second Armour penetration: 119,4 mm (4,7 in) atO°

Soviet anti-tank rifles

The Red Army used two types of antitank rifle during World War II, both of them very distinctive for they were long and powerful rifles that both fired the same 14.5-mm (0.57-in) ammunition. For some reason the Soviet armed forces had neglected the anti-tank rifle when other nations were just adopting the weapon, and only introduced the type into use at a time when other nations were busy discarding theirs, Set against this it must be said that the Soviet rifles were much more viable weapons than most in use at the time.

The more numerous of the Soviet anti-tank rifles was the PTRD 1941, a weapon produced by the Degtyarov design burea and introduced in mid-1941, just in time for the German invasion of the Soviet Union. The PTRD-41 (as it was often known) was a very long weapon that was nearly all barrel and equipped with a semi-automatic breech mechanism, It could penetrate up to 25 mm (0.98 in) of armour at 500 m (547 yards) and fired either steel or tungsten-cored projectiles. A large muzzle brake was fitted and a bipod under the barrel steadied the rifle in use.

The second anti-tank rifle was the PTRS 1941 or PTRS-41, a product of the Simonov design bureau. Compared

was not as widely used as the simpler

PTRD 1941.

with the PTRD-41 it was a heavier and more complex weapon, but it fired the same ammunition and had an identical performance. The main change with the PTRS-41 was the use of a gasoperated mechanism and the addition of a five-round magazine. At the time these combined to make the PTRS-41 a more trouble-prone weapon than the simpler and lighter PTRD-41. Further complexity was added by a feature that allowed the barrel to be removed from the weapon for ease in carrying.

Despite the fact that these two antitank rifles arrived in Red Army service at a time when their anti-armour capabilities were being reduced by a rapid increase in German tank armour thick-



The Soviet PTRD1941 fired the same steel-cored ammunition as the more complex PTRS194 LIt fired single shots only, but used a semi-automatic breech. It was widely used by the RedArmy and partisans, and even the Germans used captured examples to arm garrison units. It was used for many years after 1945.

nesses, they remained in service until well after 1945; indeed, some were encountered in use by the Communist Chinese during the Korean War. For several reasons the Red Army found the PTRD-41 and PTRS-41 to be very useful all-round weapons: they were still useful against soft-skin targets such as trucks, and in house-to-house fighting they were unhandy but very powerful weapons; despite their length and weight they were very use-ful partisan weapons that could be easily carried by two men; and when opportunity arose the Red Army even used these rifles against low-flying aircraft, Some light armoured cars carried these rifles as their main arma-ment and Lend-Lease vehicles such as Universal Carriers often mounted one.

The Red Army was not the only World War II operator of these anti-tank rifles, for the Germans pressed into their own use any that they could capture during the early stages of their campaign against the Soviet Union: they knew the PTRD-41 as the 14.5-mm Panzerabwehrbüchse 783(r) and the PTRS-41 as the 14.5-mm Panzerabwehrbüchse 784(r). Few of them remained in German front-line use after 1943

Specification PTRD-41 Calibre: 14.5 mm (0,57 in)

USSR

Soviet dog mines

In common with many other European armies, the Red Army maintained a number of 'war dogs' for various military purposes such as sniffing out ex-plosives or even delivering messages and medical supplies in front-line areas, but there can have been few roles more bizarre for dogs to play than the Soviet dog mines that were used for a short period during World War II. Exactly how the idea of using dogs as mobile anti-tank mines came about has yet to be determined, but the idea was simple and seemed to offer great things for the hard-pressed Soviet forces during 1942. The basic idea of the dog mine was

that the dogs were trained to dive under enemy tanks whenever they appeared. Each dog carried on its back a wooden box (or packets secured to its body by a harness) and from the top of the box (or packets) protruded a vertical wooden post. When this post was pushed backwards as the dog moved under the tank it detonated the explosives contained in the box (or packets) to the detriment of the tank and the unfortunate dog. Some accounts talk of wire sensors in place of the wooden post,

For all its simplicity the idea of the dog mines did not last very long, The Red Army soon discovered that there were two main disadvantages to the idea. One was that in order to train the dogs to dive under tanks they were always given food under a tank. This was all very well, but to most dogs the familiar smells and sights under a Soviettank were very different to those under German tanks. Thus in a bat-tlefield situation once they were released with the explosives attached the dogs often tended to make for the familiar smells and sounds of Soviet tanks rather than the intended German tanks, with obvious results. The second snag was that the Germans soon learned of the Soviet *Hundminen* and spread the word through the efficient German military media machinery that all Soviet dogs likely to be encountered were rabid and were to be shot as soon as they were spotted. This alone caused the virtual disappearance of dogs all along the Eastern Front within a matter of days, making the further use of dog mines that much more unlikely. One other factor now seems obvious was that on any battlefield the noise and general chaos in progress would unhinge any normal dogs' behaviour, making them run amok in any direction other than to-

Lengths: overall 2.02 m(6ft7,5in); barrel 1,35 m (4 ft 5.1 in) Weight: 17,3 kg (38.14 lb) Muzzle velocity: 1010 m (3,314 ft) per

Armourpenetration: 25 mm (0.98 in) at

second

The Soviet dog mines seemed like a good idea when first mooted, butin use the dogs were easily distracted to friendly tanks, where their rod-operated explosive back-packs proved to be just as destructive as they would have been against German tanks.

wards tanks of any kind, and so hazar-dous to anyone in their vicinity.

The Soviet dog mines did have a few successes, but their period of 'action' was short once their two-edged nature became apparent. The idea was not used after 1942, but there were some Weight: 20.9kg(46.1ib) Muzzle velocity: 1010 m (3,314 ft) per second Armour penetration: 25 mm (0.98 in) at 500 m (547 yards)

reports of the Viet Minh attempting to

use dog mines during the fighting in Indo-China during the late 1940s, Some reports on the Red Army after 1945 still contained references to the dog mines, no doubt just in case they were used again.





TheSoviet 14.5-mm (0.57-in) PTRD 1941 anti-tank rifle has had a round loaded into thebreech with the loader's left hand; a subsequent tap on the firer's helmet would then indicate that the rifle is ready to fire.

Lengths: overall2.108 m(6ft 11 in);

500 m (547 yards)

Calibre: 14,5 mm (0.57 in)

barrel 1,216 m (3 ft 11.9 in)

PTRS-41



Raketenpanzerbüchse

In 1943 numbers of American 60-mm (2.36-m) Ml bazookas were captured in Tunisia and were rapidly examined by German technicians, who quickly appreciated that the simple and cheap construction of the rocket-launcher could be used to good advantage by the Germans themselves, and before very long the first German equivalents appeared. This German launcher fired arocket very similar to that used on the Püppchen but was modified for electrical firing. This first German launcher was known as the 8.8-cm Raktenpanzerbüchse 43 (RPzB) and was little more than a simple tube open at both ends, from which the rocket could be launched. The firer rested the 'pip' on his shoulder and operated a lever to power a small electrical generator. Releasing a trigger allowed the power so produced to be passed via wires to the rocket motor for firing. The weapon was completed by a simple sighting system.

The RPzB was an immediate success as an anti-tank weapon. Firing a larger rocket than the bazooka it had a better anti-armour capability, but the rocket was limited in range to about 150 m (164 yards). There was another disadvantage in that the rocket motor was still burning as it left the muzzle, so the user had to wear protective clothing and a gas mask to avoid being burnt. The rocket exhaust was danger ous for a distance up to 4 m (13.1 ft) to the rear of the tube on firing, and this exhaust could also kick up clouds of dust and debris to betray the firing position. This latter factor did little to endear the RPzB 43 to some users.

Further development produced the RPzB 54, which had a shield to protect the firer so that the protective clothing was no longer necessary, and the later RPzB 54/1 fired a more developed rocket that required a shorter launching tube but which had a slightly increased range of 180 m (197 yards). The RPzB 54 and RPzB 54/1 replaced the earlier RPzB 43 in production, and the early models were passed to second-line and reserve formations.

These weapons soon became very widely distributed and used, to the extent that they were encountered on every front in large numbers. The later rockets could penetrate up to 160 mm (6.3 in) of tank armour, but they were essentially close-range weapons that required careful handling in action; special care had to be taken regarding the dangerous effects of the backblast





British troops examine a captured 8.8-cm (3.46-in) RP 54 in Normandy, July 1944. The shield can be seen, ascan the main lever for the electrical generator used for firing; this looks like a large trigger under the tube. TheRP 54/1 was essentially similar but used a shorter launching tube.

on firing. The usual crew for these weapons was two men, one aiming and the other loading the rockets and connecting the ignition wires to the launcher contacts, Tank targets often had to be 'stalked' for the crews to get within effective range, but if a hit was registered that tank was usually 'dead'. The only counter to the RPzB series was extra protection such as sandbags, track links or stand-off armour, along with the introduction of special tank-protection infantry squads that

travelled with the tanks.

The RPzB series had several nicknames, including Ofenrohr (oven chimney) and Panzerschrek (tank terror),

Specification RPzB 43

Calibre: 88 mm (3.46 in) Weights:launcher9.2kg(20.31b); rocket3,27kg(7.211b);warhead 0.65 kg (1.43 lb) Length: 1.638m(5ft4.5in) An RP 54 about to be fired. The small shield was fitted to protect the firer from the back-blast of the rocket motor afterfiring; note that the loader behind is hiding his face for the same reason. The simple fixed foresight is just visible. Note also the webbing sling used for carrying.

Range:maximum150m(164 yards)

RPzB 54 Calibre: 88 mm (3.46 in) Weights: with shield 11 kg (24.25 lb); rocket 3.25 kg (7.165 lb) Length: 1.638m(5ft4.5in) Range: maximum 150 m (164 yards) Rateoffire:4-5rpm



Anti-tank grenades

The German army did not have any specialized hand-delivered anti-tank grenade other than the Panzerwurfmine, which came into a special category. Instead, when armour targets were contemplated it was a common practice to remove the throwing handles from a number of Stielgranate stick grenades and secure them with wire around the head of another Stielgranate complete with its handle. This combination of grenade warheads was known as a geballte Ladung, and could be used for a variety of purposes from demolishing strongpoints to disabling a tank.

For anti-tank use the Germans relied mainly on rifle grenades. The chief type was fired from the standard Kar 98k service rifle muzzle using a device known as a Schiessbecker, which was a cup that could be secured to the muzzle using a lever locking device. This cup was grooved internally to correspond with grooves on the rifle grenade body so that on firing the grenade was given a twist to assist inflight stabilization. There were several antitank grenades that could be fired from this device, and these differed in size and the amount of explosive payload carried but all had a maximum range of about 200m (219 yards). They were relatively ineffective against most tank armour after 1940 but were retained in service simply because they could not

be replaced immediately with anything better, and because they also had a secondary use against personnel targets. For maximum accuracy a complex little bubble sight on the rifle had to be used for aiming, and this sight alone was somewhat costly.

However, in complexity and cost this rifle grenade system paled when compared with what was almost certainly one of the most useless of the many gadgets delivered to front-line soldiers. This was the 27-mm (1.063-m) Kampfpistole, a device developed from a standard signal pistol to fire tiny grenades. Continued development had turned the signal pistol into a rifled weapon equipped with a complex bubble sight and a folding butt together with a range of grenades that varied from the ordinary high explosive to grenades that produced whistling sounds to warn of gas attack. Among them was a hollow-charge grenade for use against armour, but this was only just over 51 mm (2 in) long and contained a miniscule charge of TNT. This grenade could be launched to a maximum range of 90 m (98 yards), but even if it hit a target it could produce little if any damage on even the lightest armoured target. But this weapon system, known as the Sturmpistole in its fully developed state, was issued to front-line troops.



Puppchen

GERMANY

Once the Germans had appreciated that the artillery projectile was not the most efficient manner of delivering hollow-charge warheads to an armoured target (it moved too fast for the hollow-charge to have full effect), they moved towards the rocket as a delivery system. They produced a small rocket with a calibre of 8.8 cm (3.46 in) with a hollow-charge warhead that was quite sufficient to penetrate any known armour on any Allied tank, and then set about producing a launching system.

At that stage of rocket development the German designers appear to have had little experience of what a rocketlauncher should be like, and in the end they developed what was to all intents and purposes a small artillery piece to 'fire' the rocket. This device was known as the Puppchen (dolly), or more formally as the 8.8-cm Raketenwerfer 43, and it had all the appearances of a small gun. There was a shield and the launcher was moved on wheels, Once in position the wheels could be removed to lower the silhouette and the weapon then rested on rockers. The rocket was even loaded using a conventional breech mechanism. Where the Puppchen differed from artillery pieces was that there was no recoil mechanism. The recoil forces produced by firing the rocket were absorbed by the mass of the carriage alone, and the aimer could point the launcher tube by using a twin-handled grip and looking along the barrel.

The Puppchen was introduced into, service in 1943, and in use had a maximum range of about 700 m (766 yards), though for anti-tank use the maximum effective range was about 230 m (252 yards) as the sighting system was rather rudimentary and the time of flight of the rocket could be measured in seconds. It was possible to fire up to 10 rockets per minute. Other design features of the Puppchen were that it could be broken down into seven loads for pack transport, and that skis could be used for movement over snow. There were even instructions printed on the inside of the shield for untrained personnel to use it on a battlefield.

The Puppchen did not last very long in production. Almost as soon at the first items had been issued American bazookas were captured in Tunisia and examined by German technical personnel, who soon realized that the simple pipe was all that was needed to launch their 8.8-cm rocket and that the complexity of the Puppchen was unnecessary. Thus production ceased almost as soon as it started, and was then concentrated instead on the simple RPzB series. But those Puppchen equipments that had been made and issued were not wasted. They were retained in use until the war ended, especially in Italy where a sizeable number were captured by the Allies and were subjected to close investigation by intelligence and technical staffs,

There were apparently intentions to mount modified Puppchen equipments on light armoured vehicles but none of these plans came to anything,

Specification

Puppchen Calibre: 88 mm (3.46 in)Lengths: overall 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); barrel 1.60 m (5 ft 3 in) Weights: travelling 146 kg (322 lb); in action 100 kg (220 lb); rocket 2.66 kg (5.86 lb) Elevation: -18° to+ 15° Traverse: 60° Ranges: maximum 700 m (766 yards); anti-tank 230 m (252 yards)



A British soldier demonstrates a Puppchen captured in Tunisia in 1943, clearly showing its low silhouette. This rocket launcher had no recoil mechanism and used a simple breech, but compared with theRP 43 series it was much more complex and expensive to produce. The wheels could be removed if required.



The 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Raketenwerfer 43 or 'Puppchen' was a form of anti-tank rocket la uncher that was superseded almost as soon as it entered service in 1943 by the RP 43 series firing a very similar rocket. The RP 43 could be produced far more cheaply and quickly than the Püppchen, here being examined by Americans.



Panzerwurfmine (L)

The Panzerwurfmine (L) was developed by the German army for use by special tank-killer infantry sguads to provide them with a powerful standoff weapon that could be carried and used by one man. It was a specialized form of anti-tank grenade that used a hollow-charge warhead to defeat the target tank armour. To ensure that the warhead was actually facing the target armour when it struck the tank, the grenade was fitted with a finned tail for stabilization and guidance.

The Panzerwurfmine was thrown at its target in a special manner. The grenade warhead had behind it a steel body attached to a wooden handle. The user gripped this handle and held it behind his back with the warhead pointing vertically upwards. When ready the user swung his arm forward and released the handle, As soon as the grenade was in flight four canvas fins unfolded from the handle for guidance and stabilization, and the drogue effect of these fins maintained the warhead in its correct forward position ready to have maximum effect as it struck. This sounds simple enough, but in practice the Panzerwurfmine was not an easy weapon to use effectively. For a start the maximum possible range was limited by the strength and ability of the thrower, and was usually no more than 30 m (32,8 yards) at best, and was frequently less. Accuracy could only be ensured by practice with special inert training versions.

But despite these disadvantages some of the special German anti-tank personnel greatly favoured the Panzerwurfmine. Compared with other close-in anti-tank weapons used by the Germans the Panzerwurfmine was relatively small, light and handy. It was also potent, for the warhead was made up of RDX and TNT in equal measures and weighed 0.52 kg (1.146 lb). Combined with the hollow-charge principle, this usually ensured penetration of even the thickest armour of nearly all Allied tanks. It also had the advantage of not requiring the user to approach the tank to place the grenade on the



Two examples of the German Panzerwurfmine (L) are shown as they would have been issued, with their stabilizer tails wrapped in cord around the throwing handle. These grenades were not a general issue as they required some skill to use properly, and they were mainly issued to specialist close-in tank killer squads.

target, with all the attendant risks of such a tactic. Further safety was pro-vided by the fact that the warhead was not fully fused until the grenade was in flight, for the act of throwing also armed the fuse, Despite its success in German hands, the Panzerwurfmine was not copied closely by any of the Allice

copied closely by any of the Allies. Captured examples were used when they fell into Allied hands, especially by the Red Army, but the Americans often misused them for they at first thought that they were meant to be thrown in the same manner as an oversized dart; once the mistake had been discovered special intelligence bulletins were soon issued to correct this practice, After 1945 the principle was used for a while by various Warsaw Pact nations, and in recent years the Egyptians have seen fit to copy the Panzerwurfmine almost exactly as part armaments industry. They have dis-covered that this type of anti-tank weapon is exactly suited to their infantry anti-tank tactics, and their version is reported to be quite capable of 'killing' the most modern tanks.

Specification

Panzerwurfmine (L) Bodydiameter: 114.3 mm(4.5 in) Lengths: overall 533 mm (21 in); body 228.6 mm (9 in); fins 279.4 mm (11 in)



GERMANY Panzerfaust

When it first appeared in late 1942, the weapon that was soon known as Panzerfaust (tank devil) was unique. It was developed by HASAG (Hugo Schneider AG) at Leipzig to provide soldiers with a personal anti-tank weapon, and emerged as a form of recoilless gun that also incorporated some rocket principles. The Panzerfaust was meant to be cheap and simple, and was little more than a launching tube that pro-jected a hollow-charge grenade. Sim-ple firing and sighting facilities were provided, and that was it. The bulk of the propellant was contained in the launching tube, and inflightfour spring steel fins sprang out from the projectile body to provide inflight stabilization. The first Panzerfausts entered large-

scale service in 1943, and this initial version was later known as the Panzer-faust 30 (klein), the 30 referring to the 30-m (32.8-yard) range of the device. The suffix klein (small) was appended as it was not long before a largerdiameter projectile was introduced to provide an increased anti-armour penetration capability: this was then the Panzerfaust 30. The short range of these early models was often a great these early models was often a great tactical disadvantage for the firer, who thus had to get dangerously close to the target tank. But the Panzerfaust worked and proved lethal to any tank likely to be hit, Aiming was a bit dif-ficult as it relied on using a flip-up leaf sight that had to be aligned with a pip on the projectile body, and at the same time the launcher tube had to be care-fully tucked under the arm to prevent fully tucked under the arm to prevent the propellant exhaust from injuring the firer.

After the Panzerfaust 30 came the Panzerfaust 60 and Panzerfaust 100, both with more range provided by more propellant, although the projectile remained the same. There were



The German Panzerwurfmine (L) was much favoured by tank killer squads, for although it was a close-range weapon it had a 114.3-mm (4.5-in) warhead that could knock out even the heaviest Allied tanks. It was thrown in such a way that the hollow charge warhead was always in front to strike the tank armour first.

Weights: overall 1,35 kg(2.98 lb); warhead 0.52 kg(1.146 lb)

The n'rst model of Panzerfa ust to enter service was the Panzerfaust 30, the number referring to the effective range in metres. By increasing the amount of propellant the range could be extended.



tage. The Panzerfaust projectile could penetrate up to 200m (7.87m) of armour set at an angle of 30°, while the smaller Panzerfaust 30 (klein) could penetrate 140mm (5.51 in). Therefore any Allied tank was vulnerable to the Panzerfaust, and tank crews took to adding extra protection to their vehiadding extra protection to their vehi-cles, this ranging from stand-off plates along the sides to piles of sandbags around the hulls and even lengths of spare track at all likely places. The Panzerfausts were produced in their tens of thousands right up until May 1945, They could be used only once, which was something of a liability for German raw material resources, so it was planned that the projected Pan-zerfaust 150 and Panzerfaust 250 would be reloadable to conserve metal be reloadable to conserve metal

stocks, The Panzerfaust exactly suited the German defensive tactics of 1943-5, and Allied tank crews came to fear the weapon. Being available in huge numbers, at least one Panzerfaust was car-ried by almost every German vehicle, Right: A drill book example of how to aim and fire a Panzerfa ust 30 or 60. The weapon tube had to be held under the arm or over the shoulder to allow the propellant exhaust to vent to the rear safely, so the weapon was not meant tobe used in enclosed areas.

and many of the hapless Volkssturm went into action with nothing else. If the Panzerfaust was aimed properly and used at the correct range, every German soldier could have at least one Allied tank destroyed to his credit, but the introduction of stand-off armour and infantry squads accompanying Allied tanks offset some of the worst the German infantry could do.

Specification

Specification Panzerfaust 30 (klein) Range: 30 m (32.8 yards) Weights: total 1.475 kg (3.25 lb); projectile0.68 kg (1.5 lb) Projectile diameter: 100 mm (3.94 in) Muzzle velocity: 30 m (98 ft) per second

Armour penetration: 140 mm (5,51 in) Panzerfaust 30

Range: 30 m (32.8 yards)



AUDINING FUUN

Weights: total 5.22 kg (11.5 lb); projectile 3 kg (6.6 lb) Projectile diameter: 150 mm (5.91 in) Muzzle velocity: 30 m (98 ft) per second Armourpenetration: 200 mm(7.87 in)

Panzerfaust 60 Range: 60m(65.6yards) Weights: total6.8kg(15lb); projectile 3 kg (6.6 lb) Projectile diameter: 150 mm (5.91 in) Muzzlevelocity:45m(148ft)per second Armour penetration: 200 mm (7.87 in)



The German army used two mam types of anti-tank rifle but, as will be related, they attempted to develop many more models. The first in-service weapon was the 7.92-mm Pan-zerbüchse 38, an 0.312-m rifle pro-duced by Rhemmetall-Borsig, This was a weapon that was both complex and expensive, for it resembled a miniature artillery piece so far as the breech mechanism was concerned. This featured a small sliding breech block and an automatic ejector for the spent cartridge case. About 1,600 of these anti-tank rifles were procured by the German army, but the type was not accepted as a full service-standard weapon although those that were produced were retained in service and were used during the early war years. The standard German anti-tank rifle

was the 7.92-mm Panzerbüchse 39. This was a much simpler weapon than the Panzerbüchse 38 and was pro-duced by the Gustloff-Werke of Suhl. This weapon still had a sliding breech block for the powerful cartridge, but the block was operated by pulling down the pistol grip. Like the earlier rifle it was a single-shot weapon and the stock could be folded to make car-riage more handy. Extra ammunition could be carried on the weapon in small boxes secured on each side of the breech mechanism.

These two anti-tank rifles fired the same ammunition, which originally used a hard steel core, In 1939 numbers of Polish Marosczek anti-tank rifles were captured, and on examination it was found that the bullets fired by this very effective weapon had a tungsten core that gave much better armour penetration. The Germans seized upon this principle and adopted it to lengthen the service life of their own anti-tank rifles, which would otherwise have been rendered obsolete by increases in enemy tank armour.

The Germans developed a surpris-ing number of follow-on designs in an effort to replace the Panzerbüchse 39. Various manufacturers produced a series of prototypes, all of them in 7.92-mm calibre, but none of them got past the prototype stage. There was even a programme to develop an anti-tank machine-gun known as the MG 141, but again that did not proceed far

The German Granatbüchse 39 was a converted PzB 39 anti-tank rifle fitted with a

'Schiessbecker' grenade la uncher cup on the muzzle. The grenades fired included small hollow-charge anti-tank grenades (see cross section), that were effective only against the very lightest armour at ranges up to 125 m (136 yards).



An Africa Korps soldier is seen with a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) Panzerbüchse 39. This was a single-shot tifle that n'red tungsten-cored projectiles. The projectile could penetrate 25 mm (0.5-in) of armour at 300 m (328 yards), making it unable to tackle any but the lightest tanks after 1940.

along its development path. There was one further anti-tank rifle used by the Germans, but this was a Swissproductknownasthe 7.92-mmM SS 41. This was produced by Waffen-tabilt Solethum in Switzend to Cenfabrik Solothurn in Switzerland to German specifications, but not many

appear to have been made or delivered though some were used in North Africa. Solothurn was also responsible for the design and manufacture of a weapon more accurately described as an anti-tank cannon, for it was the 2-cm Panzerabwehrbüchse 785(s). This was

a fairly bulky weapon that was towed on its own two-wheeled mounting, and again only a limited number were pro-cured by the Germans. Others went to Italy, where the type was known as the Fucile anticarro. It was an automatic weapon that used five- or 10-round magazines, and was sometimes known as the s18-1100; some were used by the Netherlands during 1939 and 1940 as the Geweer tp 18-1110.

Specification PzB 38

Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Lengths: overall with stock extended 1,615 m (63.58 in); barrel 1.085 m (42.72 in) Weight: 16.2kg(35.7lib) Muzzle velocity: 1210 m (3,970 ft) per second Armourpenetration:25mm(0.98in)at 300m(328yards)

PzB 39

Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Lengths: overall with stock extended 1.62 m(63,78 in); barrel 1.085 m (42.72 in) Weight: 12.6 kg (27,78 lb)Muzzle velocity: 1265 m (4,150 ft) persecond Armourpenetration:25mm(0.98in)at 300m(328yards)





JAPAN

Suicide anti-tank methods

The users of Japanese lunge mines were often killed at the moment of explosion, but the lunge mine was not really a 'suicide weapon', for in theory the user had a good chance of surviving. The Japanese suicide anti-tank weapons were rather more extreme, for by 1944 it had become an accepted method of warfare that Japanese sol-diers, sailors and airmen were called upon to commit suicide as a measure to destroy Allied equipment and personnel in an attempt to keep the Allies away from the Japanese home islands, together with their spiritual and material resources, The best known of these suicide measures was the *l*cami*kaze* flying bomb, but there were others that are now less well known, including various forms of selfdestruction involved in eliminating Allied tanks.

Perhaps the most extreme of these

were the backpack human mines. This weapon was very simple to devise and devastating in use, for it consisted of little more than a canvas backpack loaded with about 9 kg (19.8 lb) of ex-plosive to form a satchel charge, The user wore this charge and concealed himself until an Allied tank approached. He then ran forward to the tank and dived underneath it, at the same time pulling a length of cord that initiated a short delay to ensure the tank would be right over the charge before it exploded, destroying both tank and user. This tactic was hard to counter, for very often the user waited until the tank was really close before making his suicide rush, so protecting infantry had to be very quick to react if they were to prevent the attack, It was also very unnerving for Allied tank

crews. A variant on the satchel charge was a Type 93 anti-tank mine on a pole which was simply shoved under a track with dire results for both the track and the user.

A further modification on the suicide theme was encountered in some parts of Burma in 1945, Here there was no deliberate death rush, for the hapless anti-tank troops were concealed in foxholes either in the centre of roads or Allied tanks were expected to use. There they remained until a tank approached, and once one was overhead or very close the idea was that it would be destroyed by the man in the foxhole setting off a charge: this might be a simple explosive device, or a form of mine, or sometimes even a small aircraft bomb. The charges were set off manually and deliberately by

the suicide candidate, who acted as little more than a human fuse. In practice this ploy did not work too well for the personnel in their foxholes were easily spotted by infantry and were killed before they could use their charges. Accounts exist of Allied per-sonnel surrounding foxholes and their suicidal occupants without the Japanese making any attempts to injure the attackers with their charges: the philosophy appears to have been that such attackers were not tanks and •the explosives had to be saved to use against tanks, not infantry. As these suicide anti-tank miners had no weapons other than their explosives they were killed in their foxholes to no benefit for the Japanese war effort.



Anti-tank Rifle Type 97

When it came to anti-tank rifles, the Japanese general staff decided to go one better than most contemporary designs and produce a rifle firing a powerful 20-mm (0.79-in) cartridge, This emerged as the Anti-tank Rifle Type 97, and while it was certainly a powerful weapon by the standards of weighing no less than 67.5 kg (148.8 lb) when being carried and 51.75kg (114 lb) once emplaced. Much of this weight resulted from the adoption of a gas-operated mechanism which was locked by a tilting breech block, Ammunition was fed from an overhead seven-round box magazine. Once emplaced the Type 97 used a bind memory of the

JAPAN

bipod mounted just forward of the body and a monopod under the butt, Despite the ferocious recoil the weapon was intended to be directed and fired from the shoulder, which cannot have endeared the weapon to its users. Normally the Type 97 was carried on two special poles by two men, but more often four men were used. It was possible to fit a small shield for added protection and to this shield could be added a carrying bar that resembled bicycle handlebars, though this component was often omit-ted to reduce the weight of the weapon. Another one of these carrying bars could be added under the butt. In

action the Type 97 was often difficult to spot as it was a long, low weapon. During the early months of the Pacific campaign the Type 97 proved itself to be a useful weapon against the light truke it was called uport tackla light tanks it was called upon to tackle but once larger and heavier tanks (such as the American M4 Sherman) appeared on the scene the Type 97 was no longer of much value. At best it could penetrate 30mm (1.18 in) of case-hardened armour at 250m (273



The Japanese Type 97 anti-tank rifle was a heavy weapon that weighed 51.75 kg in action, mainly due to the gas-operated semi-automatic mechanism. It had a calibre of 20 mm (0.787 in) and required a crew of two men to fire and four to carry it, using a system of frames.

yards), and against anything heavier it was of little use. But the Japanese did not phase out the Type 97 as they were far too short of modern weapons to let any be discarded. The Type 97 was retained, but no longer primarily for anti-armouruse: instead many of those available were emplaced as antiinvasion weapons on the Pacific islands, where they were sometimes able to cause damage to landing craft and light amphibious landing vehicles. Some measure of anti-armour capability was retained by the fitting of special grenade launcher cups to some Type 97s. These launcher cups could be secured to the muzzle by means of a locking bar once the circular muzzle brake had been unscrewed. The idea was a copy of the German Schiessbecker grenade-launchers, and used very similar grenades. But the principle, although of some effectiveness, was more suited to orthodox service rifles than to the large and complex Type 97 so it was not used extensively.

Overall, the Type 97 was not used by the Japanese in any great numbers. The complexity of the weapon made it rather difficult and thus costly to produce, and after 1942 the operational



The 20-mm (0.787-in) Type 97 anti-tank ritte used a gas-operated mechanism, but the heavy recoil involved meant that a fully-automatic mode could be little used. Four men were needed to carry this rifle using special frames, and a shield was an optional extra. The box magazine held seven rounds.

requirement for it was limited, The ammunition fired by the Type 97 was produced in several forms. Apart from the usual armour piercing round (with tracer) there was a high explosive projectile (with tracer and with an optional self-destruct), a high explosive incendiary and a practice

round. The armour-piercing projectile had a solid steel body, and there was also an incendiary projectile complete with a tracer element,

Specification Anti-tank Rifle Type 97 Calibre: 20mm(0.79in) Lengths: overall 2.095 m (6 ft 10.5 in); barrel 1,063 m (3 ft 5.9 in) Weights: travelling 67.5 kg (148.8 lb); in action 51.75 kg (114. lib) Muzzle velocity: 793 m (2,602 ft) per second

The Japanese Type 2 anti-tank grenade launchers could be fitted to them uzzle of all Japanese service rifles. They were direct copies of the German Gewehr Panzergranate and the grenades had calibres of 30 or 40 mm (1.18 or 1.57 in).





PIAT stood for Projector, Infantry, Anti-Tank Mk 1, and denoted a British anti-tank weapon that somehow bypassed the usual stringent weaponselection procedures used by the War Office as it was a product of the unusual department known colloquially as 'Winston Churchill's Toy Shop'. It was designed to make use of the hollowcharge anti-armour effect and fired a useful grenade that could penetrate almost any contemporary tank's protection, and thus it came into the same general category as the American bazooka or the German Panzerfaust. However, the PIAT relied not upon chemical energy to deliver its grenade but coiled spring energy, for the weapon worked on the spigot mortar principle. Using this method the PIAT grenade was projected from an open trough and was supported for the initial part of its travel by a central spigot, Pulling the trigger released a powerful main spring and this spring enabled the spigot to strike the grenade's propelling charge to fire it from the trough. The propelling charge also recocked the main spring ready for another grenade to be loaded. The PIAT was intended primarily as

The PIAT was intended primarily as an anti-tank weapon, but it could also fire high explosive and smoke grenades, which made it much more versatile than many of its contemporaries. It was a very useful weapon in houseto-house and urban combat, for the forward monopod was capable of being extended to provide a fair degree of elevation for use in confined spaces,

The PIAT replaced the Boys antitank rifle as the infantry's standard antitank weapon, and it was issued widely throughout the British and some Commonwealth armies. However, it cannot be said to have been very popular for it was rather bulky and heavy and required a two-man team to handle it. The main point of unpopularity was the powerful mainspring, This generally required the efforts of two men to cock it. If a grenade failed to fire the weapon was all but uselesss, for recocking the PIAT when the enemy was nearby was a very risky business. But if it fired all was well and the grenade could knock out virtually any enemy tank. In fact the use of the PIAT spread outside the ranks of the infantry, for it was often the While others went for rocketpropelled hollow charge anti-tank bombs, the British used the Projector Infantry Anti-tank- thePIAT. It was a form of spigot mortar that used a powerful central spring to fire its projectile from a front-mounted 'trough'. It was nota popular weapon, but it could kill tanks.

main armament of light armoured vehicles such as the various Universal Carriers and some light armoured cars. There was also some limited use of the weapon on various carriers, which mounted up to 14 PIATs on a multiple mounting for use as a mobile mortar battery.

The PIAT served on for some years with the British army after World War II, but was replaced as soon as possible. Although it was an effective tankkiller it used a principle that was not adopted by any other designers. It did have the advantage that it could be produced in quantity and at a relatively low cost at a time when anti-tank weapons of any type were in great demand.

Specification PIAT

Hall Length: overall0.99 m(3 ft0in) Weights: launcher 14.51 kg(32lb); grenade 1,36 kg(3lb) Muzzle velocity: 76-137 m (250-450 ft) per second Ranges: combat 101 m (110 yards); maximum 338 m (370 yards)



Above: The PIAT was the British Army's standard squad an ti-tank weapon after 1941 and was carried and used by most combat arms and services. It was a rather hefty load to carry, but it could knock out most enemy tanks at close ranges and couldfire HE and smoke bombs as well. Below: Here the crew of a knockedout British tank are covering their position armed with a PIA Tuntil a recovery vehicle can arrive to retrieve the damaged vehicle. The men are from the 13/18th Hussars, and the location is near Mount Pinçon, northern France, July 1944. Note the No. 4 ritte near the PIAT.





In the aftermath of Dunkirk the British army was left with virtually no anti-tank weapons available in any quantity other than grenades and a few 2-pdr anti-tank guns, With invasion imminent there was a need for an easilyproduced weapon that could be used to arm not only the army but the newlyformed Local Defence Volunteers, later to become the Home Guard. One of the weapons that was rushed into production was the Northover mortar, also known as the bottle mortar but later designated the Northover Projector. The Northover was typical of the British 'pipe guns' of the period, and was produced very quickly and cheaply indeed.

The Northover Projector was little more than a steel pipe with a rudimentary breech mechanism at one end. The ammunition consisted of orthodox hand and rifle grenades that were propelled from the muzzle by a small black-powder charge. Later the glass bottle No. 76 phosphorus grenade was fired, and this gave rise to the name bottle mortar, There was no recoil mechanism since all recoil forces were absorbed by the projector's four-legged mounting: the legs were simple tubes and were easily produced, The sights were very basic but were accurate enough up to about 91m (100 yards), and the maximum range was about 274 m (300 yards), The Northover Projector was a very

The Northover Projector was a very rudimentary weapon and thankfully it never had to be used in action. During 1940 and for some time afterwards it was a standard Home Guard weapon, and it was also issued to many army units for a while to provide them with at least some form of anti-tank weapon. In practice the Northover was only as good as the projectiles it fired, and as these were orthodox hand or rifle grenades their efficiency against most tanks was doubtful. The use of the white phosphorus bottle grenades would no doubt have been more successful, but this was not a popular weapon with the projector crews for the simple reason that the glass bottles often broke inside the barrels as they were fired, with obvious and highly unfortunate results. The usual crew for a projector was two men, with possibly another in charge of the weapon and for designating targets. Many Home Guard units introduced their own local modifications to enable the Northover to be moved around more easily. These included such measures as carrying the projector on simple handcarts to mounting the projector barrels on motorcycle sidecars. There were even some mounted on simple artillery-type carriages. In order to make the normal four-legged carriage easier to handle, a lightened Northover Projector Mk 2 version was introduced during 1941, but by that time the urgent need for pipe guns such as the Northover had begun to wane and relatively few of these carriages were produced.

very few Northover Projectors appear to have survived the war years but from time to time the glass phosphorus grenades still emerge from their war-time hiding places.

Specification Northover Projector Calibre: 63.5 mm (2.5 in) Weights: projector 27.2 kg (60 lb); mounting 33.6 kg (74 lb) Range: effective 91 m (100 yards); maximum 274 m (300 yards)



The Northover Projector was a 1940s weapon produced to equip the Home Guard. It was supposed to be used as an anti-tank weapon to fire the No. 76 bottle grenade filled with phosphorus. There was no recoil mechanism as the frame carriage was supposed to absorb the recoil, and the propelling charge was blackpowder.



An enterprising Home Guard unit from Sussex mounted their Northover Projector on this small hand cart, and are seen optimistically using it as an anti-aircraft weapon, a role for which it was entirely unsuited. The range was far too short and the muzzle velocity of the glass grenade much too slow.

Boys anti-tank rifle

The Riue, Anti-tank, 0.55-in, Boys, Mk 1 was originally known as the Stanchion Gun, but the name was later changed to honour the name of its principle designer after he died just before the weapon entered service. It was designed to be the standard infantry antitank weapon of the British army, but it was soon overtaken by events and had only a short active career, The first of the type entered service during the late 1930s and by 1942 the weapon was obsolete, overtaken by rapid increases in enemy tank armour that the Boys rifle could no longer tackle.

obsolete, overtaken by rapid increases in enemy tank armour that the Boys rifle could no longer tackle. The Boys anti-tank rifle had a calibre of 13.97mm (0.55 in) and fired a powerful cartridge that could penetrate 21mm (0.827 in) at 302m (330 yards). The cartridge produced an equally powerful recoil, and this did little to endear the weapon to its firer, To reduce this recoil somewhat the long slender barrel was fitted with a muzzle brake. Ammunition was fed into the bolt-action firing mechanism from an overhead five-round box magazine, Overall the Boys was rather long and heavy, which made it an awkward load to carry, so it was often mounted as the main weapon on board

A French officer is about to receive the hefty recoil from a Boys anti-tank rifle. The French army used a number of these rifles in 1940, provided by the British in exchange for a number of 25-mm (0.98-in) Hotchkiss anti-tank cannon. This example is the original Mk 1 with the monopod supporting leg.



Bren Gun or Universal Carriers. More were used as the main armament of some light armoured cars.

The first production Boys anti-tank rifles used a forward-mounted monopod combined with a handgrip under the butt plate. After Dunkirk various modifications were made to speed production, and among the measures taken was replacement of the forward monopod by a Bren Gun bipod and of the circular muzzle brake attachment by a new Solothurn muzzle brake with holes drilled along the sides; this latter was easier to produce than the origin-al. In this form the Boys saw out its short service life, as by late 1940 it was regarded as being of only limited use as garded as being of only limited use as an anti-armour weapon. Eventually it was replaced by the PIAT, but before itfinallydeparted it had a briefflurry of popularity during the Entrean and Cyrenaica campaigns of 1940 and 1941. It was found to be a very effective ortic parameter these anti-personnel weapon during these campaigns as it could be fired at rocks over or near a concealed enemy, the resultant rock splinters acting as effec-tive anti-personnel fragments, The tive anti-personnel fragments. The Boys also found its way into US Marine Corps hands during the Philippines campaign of early 1942, when some were used very sparingly against dug-in Japanese infantry positions. How these Boys rifles got to the Far East is not recorded. Some captured Boys anti-tank rifles were also used by the Germans for a short while after Dunk-Germans for a short while after Dunkirk, but only in limited numbers; the type was known as the 13.9-mm Pan-zerabwehrbüchse 782(e).

In 1940 there were plans to produce a Mk 2 version of the Boys. This would have been a shortened and lightened version for use by airborne forces but it did not get very far before the project was terminated, no doubt because the shortened barrel would have produced an even more violent recoil.

Specification Boys Mk 1 Calibre: 13,97 mm (0.55 in) Lengths: overall 1.625 m (5 ft 4 in); barrel0.914m(36ft0in) Weight: 16.33 kg (36 lb) Muzzle velocity: 991 m (3,250 ft) per second Armour penetration: 21 mm (0,827 in) at 302 m (330 yards)

INTERNATIONAL MOIOTOV cocktail

The Molotov cocktail appears to have had its operational debut during the Spanish Civil War of 1936-9, when it was first used against Nationalist tanks by the Republican forces. From these beginnings the Molotov cocktail soon became used by most nations as it was an easy weapon to produce and use, by forces both regular and irregular. The basic weapon known as the Molotov cocktail is simply a glass bottle containing petrol (or some other inflammable substance) with an oil-

The Molotov cocktail was an international weapon, andshown here from the left are examples from the Soviet Union, (the second an 'official'Red Army version), Britain (using a milk bottle), Japan and Finland. All use the same basic simple form, with petrol soaked rags to act as fuses for ignition.





An armourer services a Boys anti-tank rifle Mkl, easily recognizable from its monopod and circular muzzle brake These nfles were little used after 1941 as they could penetrate only the lightest enemy armour yet they were awkward to carry and when fired had a recoil that was best described as fearful



soaked rag or something similar around the neck. This rag is ignited immediately before the weapon is thrown at a target, the breaking of the bottle as it hits its target allowing the contents to be ignited. It is all very simple, easily understood and easily used. The snag was that it was not very efficient. If the bottle smashes against the side armour or turret of a tank the results can be spectacular but not very harmful to the vehicle or its occupants. The only way to ensure damage is to detonate the bottle bomb over or near the engine louvres or perhaps the vision devices. It was also discovered early on that petrol alone was not a very efficient anti-armour weapon as it simply runs off the sides of a tank even as it was burning. In order to make the flame-producing mixture 'stick', the petrol had to be mixed with a thickening agent such as diesel or oil or in some cases various forms of latex. These niceties tended to move the Molotov cocktails out of the realms of the street fighter and into the domain of the regular soldier, and after 1939 the bottle grenade or bomb was used by many regular forces. The Finns were British troops train with Molotov cocktails during 1940. The British Army referred to these weapons as 'bottle bombs' and even established production lines for them, often using milk bottles filled with petrol and phosphorus.

early exponents in their battles against the Soviet invaders of 1939-40, and after Dunkirk the petrol bomb was a weapon much used by British army units defending the United Kingdom. Later on, Soviet partisans made the Molotov cocktail their own particular weapon, but it was also used by regular Red Army forces. Many underground militias found the weapon easy to make and use,

An offshoot of the glass petrol bomb was the phosphorus grenade, used by several nations. This was designed as a smoke grenade, but the burning white phosphorus, which started to burn as soon as it was exposed to the air, also made it a very useful antipersonnel and anti-armour weapon. There were several of these types of grenade but typical was the British Grenade, Self-Igniting, Phosphorus,

Anti-tank grenades

UK/USA/USSR



No. 76, This was a glass milk bottle with a pressed-on cap (containing a mixture of phosphorus, water and benzine) and was intended primarily for the anti-tank role, It could be thrown at its target or launched from the Northover Projector, and contained a piece of smoked rubber that gradually dissolved in the mixture to make it stick' better to its target. Each No. 76 grenade weighed about 0.535 kg (1.18 lb). It cannot be said that these phosphorus grenades were weapons popular with either the users or the recipients. They were the cause of frequent accidents in transit or use, and in the United Kingdom many were buried or hidden away when their fillings became unstable. Many are still discovered in their wartime caches to this day.

The British army used three types of anti-armour hand grenade. The first was the Grenade, Hand, Anti-tank, No. 73, known as the Thermos' bomb from its shape and size. It was a pure blast weapon which often had little effect on armour, so it was mainly used for demolition work, More common during the early war years was the infamous Grenade, Hand, Anti-tank, No. 74 (ST), the 'Sticky bomb' which was coated in a gooey adhesive to make it stick to the side of a tank after landing. Normally the sticky surface was contained within two shell halves which were removed just before throwing, The No. 74 was a most unpopular weapon as the sticky substance tended to make it stick to anything, even before throwing, and the type was used as little as possible.

The best of the British anti-tank grenades was the Grenade, Hand, Antitank, No. 75 otherwise known as the Hawkins Grenade, It was intended to be either thrown or laid as a mine to blow off a tank's tracks. It used a crush igniter fuse and about half of its weight of 1.02 kg (2.25 lb) was made up of the bursting charge. The type was often used in clusters for better effect, and the Germans captured so many of them before Dunkirk that they were later used as part of the minefields designation Panzerabwehrmine 429/1 (e),

The Grenade, Rifle, Anti-tank, No. 68 was a rifle grenade fired from a muzzle cup fitted to the No. 1 Mk III rifle. It was withdrawn after 1941 as it was not much use against anything other than very light armour. It weighed 0.79 kg (1,75 lb) and could also be fired from the Northover Projector.

The American equivalent of me No. 68 was the Antitank Rifle Grenade M9A1, a much more successful grenade that could be fired from an M7 launcher fitted to the MI Garand rifle or an M8 launcher fitted to an MI Carbine. The M9A1 grenade weighed 0.59kg (1,31 lb) and had an 0.113-kg (0.25-lb) warhead behind a thin steel The American Anti-tank Rifle Grenade M9A1 could be fired from a muzzle attachment fitted to theMl Carand rifle to a range of about 100 m (109 yards). Its hollow charge warhead could penetrate up to 101 mm (4 in) of armour. It could also be fired from theMl carbine using the M8 launcher.

metal nose fitted with an impact fuse. Its capability against tanks was somewhat limited, but it was retained in service for some time as it was a very useful weapon against targets such as pillboxes. A ring tail was used for inflight stabilization, As with the anti-tank rifle, the Soviets

As with the anti-tank rifle, the Soviets tended to neglect the anti-tank grenade and had to rush something into service in rather a hurry in 1940. Their first attempt was the RPG 1940, which resembled a short stick grenade and relied mainly on blast for its effect; it was not a great success and was gradually replaced, The contemporary VPGS 1940 was a rifle grenade which featured a long rod that fitted into the rifle barrel before firing. It too was no great success. The best of the wartime Soviet anti-tank grenades was the RPG introduced in 1943. This was a hand-thrown grenade which in some ways followed the example of the German Panzerwurfmine, but had a tail unit that trailed on two canvas strips to keep the warhead with its hollow charge pointed towards the target. The RPG weighed 1.247kg (2.75 lb), and was thus quite a weight to throw, but it had a heavy explosive content and could be very effective. The RPG was retained in service for some years after 1945, and is still used by some Soviet-influenced armed forces.

Above: The RPG 1943 was the Soviet equivalent of the German Wurfmine, but it used a fabric strip stabilizer tail to keep the hollow charge warhead pointing towards the target tank when in night. The tail was ejected from the throwing handle after the grenade had been thrown and after the arming pin had been removed.

Below: The SovietRPG-6 was a latewar version oftheRPG1943. It useda revised warhead shape and four fabric tails to stabilize the warhead in night. The revised warhead also had a good fragmentation effect, so it could be used as an anti-personnel weapon. It was used for many years ofter 1045



Allied and Axis Rifles

World War II saw the decline in importance of the infantryman as marksman and the first appearance of his replacement, the infantryman as firepower component After an uncharacteristically tentative start, it was Germany that, as so often, led the way.

n any army the new soldier is always trained in the use of one basic form of service rifle, whatever his eventual trade may be. During World War II this was as true as it is now, but the rifle with which the individual soldier might be trained varied a great deal. Depending on the particular nation, the soldier might have been issued with a venerable antique while in others he might have received a shiny new model embodying all the latest technology, for the rifles vised in World War II varied greatly.

At one end of the scale there were the old bolt-action rifles that had been in use since long before World War I; and at the other were the new self-loading or automatic rifles that eventually led to the first of what are now known as assault rifles. There were none of the latter in service when the war started in 1939, but as the war progressed the first operational models of such weapons appeared in service. These gave the infantryman a greatly increased firepower potential, but it was not until the true assault rifles (with their lower-powered cartridges) arrived from about 1943 onwards that the full quantum jump from the slow and steady single shots of the bolt-action rifle to the full



A US Marine dashes for cover carrying his .30in M1 Garand rifle, the standard infantry weapon for American soldiers during World War II. More than five million were made in total.

automatic fire of the assault rifle was fully appreciated. The boltaction rifles were usually sound and reliable weapons, but they lacked the shock effect of an assault rifle fired in the fully automatic mode.

Thus World War II was a war of transition for the basic infantryman. When the war started, usually all he had to hand was a boltaction rifle of a well-tried but frequently elderly pattern. By the time the war was over every soldier had at least a foretaste of what the future had in store in the form of the assault rifle. There were some odd digressions along the way, such as the underpowered US Carbine MI and the ingenious but complex German FG 42. Some nations, such as the United Kingdom, did not make the transition and relied upon the Lee-Enfield bolt-action rifles throughout, but the move towards the self-loading or assault rifle was still there.

This section does not contain all the rifles used during World War II, but the weapons discussed are typical of the period. Millions of soldiers used them under all manner of conditions, and the survivors will remember them until their final days.



Gewehr 98 and Karabiner 98k

The 7.92-mm(0.312-in) Gewehr 98 was the rifle with which the German army fought through World War I. It was a Mauser rifle first produced in 1898, but was based on a design dating back to 1888. In service the Mauser action proved sturdy and reliable, but in the years following 1918 the German army carried out a great deal of operational analysis that demonstrated that the Gewehr 98 was really too long and bulky for front-line use, As an immediate result the surviving Gewehr 98s underwent a modification programme that changed their designation to Karabiner 98b. *Karabiner* is the German for carbine, but there was nothing of the carbine in the Karabiner 98b, whose length was unchanged from that of the original Gewehr 98. The only changes were to the bolt handle, the sling swivels and the ability to use improved ammunition. To confuse matters further the original Gewehr 98 markings

GERMANY

The Karabiner 98b was still in service with the German army in 1939 (and remained so throughout the war), but by then the standard rifle was a slightly shorter version of the basic Mauser known as the Karabiner 98k. This was slightly shorter than the original Gewehr 98 but was still long for a carbine, despite the letter suffix 'k' standing for *kurz*, or short. This rifle was based on a commercial Mauser model known as the Standard and widely produced throughout the interwar years in countries such as Czechoslovakia, Belgium and even China. The German version was placed in production in 1935 and thereafter made in very large num-bers. Atfirst the standard of production was excellent, but once World War II had started the overall finish and standards fell to the extent that by the end of the war the wooden furniture was often laminated or of an inferior material, and such items as bayonet lugs were omitted. All manner of extras were evolved by the gadget-minded Germans for the Karabiner 98k, including grenade-launching devices, peris-copic sights and folding butts for weapons used by airborne troops. There were also variations for sniper use, some with small telescopic sights mounted half way along the forestock and others with larger telescopes mounted over the bolt action.

Despite all the innovations by the Germans during World War II, the Karabiner98k was still in production as the war ended, looking not all that different overall from the original Gewehr 98, other than in the rough finish resulting from wartime shortages of labour and materials. By that time the Germans had to hand a whole array of Mauser rifles drawn from nearly all the armies of Europe, and most of them were used to equip one arm or another of the services by 1945. Some of these Mausers, most of which were very similar to the Gewehr 98 or Karabiner 98k, were kept in production on Czech and Belgian lines for German use after 1939-40, Away to the east the Chinese armies were mainly equipped with the Mauser Standard rifles that were virtually identical to the Karabiner 98k.

There will always be arguments as to whether or not the Mauser rifles were better service rifles than the Lee-Enfield, M1903 Springfield or the MI Garand, but although the Mausers lacked some of the overall appeal of the Allied rifles they provided the German forces with long and reliable service. Few remain in use, but many are still prized as collector's pieces and many are retained for match rifle use.

Specification

Gewehr 98 Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Length: 1.25 m (49.2 in) Length of barrel: 740 mm (29.1 in) Weight: 4.2 kg (9,26 lb) Muzzle velocity: 640 m (2,100 ft) per second Magazine: 5-round box

Specification Karabiner 98k Calibre: 7.92mm(0.312in) Length of barrel: 600 mm (23,6 in) Weight: 3.9 kg (8,6 lb) Muzzle velocity: 755 m (2,477 ft) per second Magazine: 5-round box The Karabiner 98k was a slightly shortened version of the Gewehr 98 which served Germany in World War *I*, and although supposedly a carbine the weapon was as long as many rifles of the period.



Wehrmacht soldiers train for combat, armed with Karabiner 98k rifles. The photograph wasprobably



taken between the wars, as indicated by the old and new pattern helmets being worn at the same time.

Left: Digging in during the early stages of the war. The length of the Mauser-designed 98kis obvious, making it difficult to handle in confined spaces. Given the short combat ranges typical of World War II, the long-range performance of the 98k was largely superfluous.

Gewehr 41(W) and Gewehr 43

The German army maintained an overall 'quality control' section that constantly sought ways in which the German forces could increase their efficiency, and by 1940 this section had discovered a need for some form of self-loading rifle to improve combat efficiency. A specification was duly issued to industry, and Walther and Mauser each put forward designs that proved to be remarkably similar, Both used a method of operation known as the 'Bang' system (after its Danish designer), in which gases trapped around the muzzle are used to drive back a piston to carry out the reloading cycle. Troop trials soon proved that the Mauser design was unsuitable for service use and it was withdrawn, leaving the field free for the Walther design which became the 7,92-mm (0.312-in) Gewehr 41(W).

Unfortunately for the Germans, once the Gewehr 41 (W) reached front-line service, mainly on the Eastern Front, it proved to be somewhat less than a success. The Bang system proved to be too complex for reliable operation under service conditions and it was really too heavy for comfortable use, making the weapon generally unhandy. The Gewehr 41 (W) also proved to be difficult to manufacture and, as if all this was not enough, in action the weapon proved to be difficult and time-consuming to load. Butfor a while it was the only self-loading rifle the Germans had and it was kept in production to the extent of tens of thousands

Most of the Gewehr 41(W)s were used on the Eastern Front, and it was there that the Germans encountered the Soviet Tokarev automatic rifles. These used a gas-operated system that tapped off gases from the barrel to operate the mechanism, and once this system was investigated the Germans realized that they could adapt it to suit the Gewehr 41 (W), The result was the Gewehr 43, which used the Tokarev system virtually unchanged. Once the Gewehr 43 was in production, manufacture of the Gewehr 41 (W) promptly ceased. The Gewehr 43 was much easier to make and it was soon being churned out in large numbers. Frontline troops greatly appreciated the ease with which it could be loaded compared with the earlier rifle and it was a popular weapon, All manner of production short-cuts were introduced into the design, including the use of wood laminates and even plastics for the furniture, and in 1944 an even simpler design known as the Karabiner 43 was introduced, the Karabiner designation being adopted although the
overall length was reduced by onr some 50 mm (2 in).

Both the Gewehr 41(W) and the late Gewehr 43 used the standard Germa 7.92-mm(0.312-in) cartridge, and wer in no way related to the assault rifl programme that involved the 7.92-mr kurz cartridge, The retention of thi rifle cartridge enabled the Gewehr 4; to be used as a very effective snipe rifle, and all examples had a telescopic sight mount fitted as standard. The Gewehr 43 was so good in the snipei role that many were retained in Czech army service for many years after the war.

Specification Gewehr 41(W) Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Length: 1.124 m (44.25 in) Length of barrel: 546 mm (21.5 in) Weight: 5.03 kg (11.09 lb) Muzzle velocity: 776 m (2,546 ft) per second

GERMANY



Magazine: 10-roundbox

Specification Gewehr 43 Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Length: 1.117 m (44 in) Length of barrel: 549 mm (21.61 in) Weight: 4.4 kg (9.7 lb) Muzzle velocity: 776 m (2,546 ft) per second Magazine: 10-roundbox Developed from the Gewehr 41(W) and influenced by the Tokarev, the Gewehr 43 was fitted with telescopic sights as standard, and was an excellent sniper's rifle.



Fallschirmjägergewehr 42

In the strange world of Nazi Germany internal strife and rivalry flourished (was even fostered), and in no sphere was this internal feuding more rife than between the German army and the Luftwaffe. By 1942 the Luftwaffe were encroaching on the preserves of the army to an alarming extent for no other reason than petty wrangling, and when the army decided to adopt a selfloading rifle the Luftwaffe decided that it too had to have such a weapon. Insteadoffollowing the path followed by the army with its adoption of the *kurz* round, the Lufwaffe decided instead to retain the standard 7.92-mm (0.312-in) rifle cartridge and asked Rheinmetall to design a weapon to arm the Luftwaffe parachute troops, the *Fallschirmjäger*.

Rheinmetall accordingly designed and produced one of the more remarkable small-arms designs of World War II. This wasthe 7.92-mm(0.312-in)Fallschirmjägergewehr 42 or FG 42, a weapon that somehow managed to compress the action required to produce automatic fire into a volume little larger than that of a conventional bolt action. The FG 42 was certainly an eye-catching weapon, for the first examples had a sloping pistol grip, an oddly-shaped plastic butt and a prominent bipod on the forestock, To cap it all there was a large muzzle attachment and provision for mounting a spike bayonet. The ammunition feed was from a side-mounted box magaTheFG42, an early model of which is seen here, was an attempt to arm the German parachute forces with a rifle capable of providing full-power MG performance.

zine on the left, and the mechanism was gas-operated. All in all the FG 42 was a complex weapon, but was not innovative as it was an amalgam of several existing systems.

several existing systems. Needless to say the Luftwaffe took to the FG 42 avidly and asked for more. They did not get them, for it soon transpired that the novelties of the FG 42 had to be paid for in a very complex manufacturing process that consumed an inordinate amount of time and production facilities. Thus supply was slow and erratic, and in an attempt to speed production some simplifications were added. A simpler wooden but was introduced and the pistol grip was replaced by a more orthodox component. The bipod was moved forward to the muzzle and other short-cuts were introduced. It was to no avail, for by the time the war ended only about 7,000 had been made. But it was after the war that the FG 42 made its biggest mark, for many of its design features were incorporated into later designs. Perhaps the most important of these was the gas-operated mechanism which could fire from a closed bolt position for single-shot fire and from an open bolt for automatic fire, all compressed into a relatively small space.



Above/A drill book photograph of the FG42 being fired in the prone position wth bipod folded. The FG42 was a precursor of the modernconcept assault rifle.

One thing that was not copied was the side-mounted magazine. This proved to be less than a success in action for not only did it snag on clothing or other items but it tended to unbalance the weapon when fired.

weapon when fired. The FG 42 was a highly advanced design for its day and it incorporated many of the features now used on many modern assault rifles. Typical of these was the use of a 'straight line' layout from butt to muzzle and the gasoperated mechanism already mentioned. But for all this the FG 42 was too difficult to produce, and even by 1945 there were still some bugs that remained to be ironed out before the weapon was really-problem-free, But for all that it was a truly remarkable design achievement.

Specification FG42

Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Length: 940 mm (37 in) Length of barrel: 502 mm (19,76 in) Weight: 4.53 kg (9.991b) Muzzle velocity: 761 m (2,500 ft) per second Magazine: 20-round box Cyclicrate of fire: 750-800 rpm

First opera tional use of the FG 42 was in Skorzeny's daring commando raid to free Mussolini. Special camouflage smocks were worn for the raid, and the usual Fallschirmjäger helmets were worn.





Despite the orders of Hitler, the Ger-man army was so determined to de-velop and use the assault rifle that had been developed by Louis Schmeisser to fire the new Polte *kurz* (short) 7.92-mm (0.312-in) cartridge that it hid the experimental work under a new name. Originally the new rifle/cartridge combination had been known as the Mas-chinenkarabiner 42(H) (the H was for Haenel), but to distract attention once Hitler'had issued his ill-advised order it was changed to Maschinenpistole 43, or MP 43, With the weapon in this



form, the army went ahead from the development to the production stage, and the first examples were rushed to the Eastern Front where they soon

The full development story of the MP 43 is provided elsewhere, but it must be stressed that the MP 43 was the first of what are today termed assault rifles. It could fire single shots for selective fire in defence, and yet was capable of producing automatic fire for shock effect in the attack or for close-quarter combat. It was able to do this by firing a relatively low-powered round that was adequate for most com-bat ranges but which could still be handled comfortably when the weapon was producing automatic fire. Tactically this had a tremendous effect on the way the infantry could fight, as they were no longer dependent on supporting fire from machine-guns, being able to take their own personal support fire with them. This enabled the German infantry to become a far more powerful force because of the quantum increase in firepower that units could produce compared with those equipped with conventional bolt-action rifles.

Oncetheimportanceof this firepow-er increase had been fully realized, the MP 43 became a priority weapon and urgent requests for more and more were made by the front-line troops. Initial supplies went mainly to elite units, but most went to the Eastern Front where they were most needed. Unusually for wartime Germany, prior-

The SS were among the first units to acquire the MP 43, and many were used in the battle of the Ardennes. First combat use was probably on the Eastern Front, however, where the weapon was an immediate success.



ity was given to production rather than development, and the only major change to the design was the MP 43/1 which had fittings for a grenade-launching cup on the muzzle. In 1944 Hitler rescinded his opposition to the MP 43 and bestowed the more accurate designation of Sturmgewehr 44 (StG 44) upon the weapon, but there were few if any production alterations to the basic design.

Some accessories were produced for the MP 43 series. One was an infrared night sight known as Vampir, but one of the oddest items ever to be produced for any weapon was the *Krummlauf* curved barrel that could direct bullets around corners. Apparently this device was developed to clear tank-killing infantry squads from armoured vehicles, but it was a bizarre device that never worked properly and yet managed to absorb a great deal of development potential at a time when that potential could have been directed towards more reward-ing things. The curved barrels were intended to direct fire at angles of between 30° and 45° , and special perisco-pic mirror sights were devised to aim Developed to fire the lower-powered Kurz(short) 7.92-mm round, the MP 43 was the first of the modern assault rifles. The lower-powered round followed German combat analyses which found that battles were usually foughť at ranges which did not require high-power bullets.

their fire, Few were actually produced and even fewer were used operationally

After the war large numbers of MP 43s were used by several nations such as Czechoslovakia, and were also used during some of the early Arab-Israeli conflicts. A few still turn up in the hands of 'freedom fighters' in Africa and elsewhere.

Specification StG 44 Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) Canotic 7.92 min (0.512 m)Length of barrel: 419 mm (16.5 in) Weight: 5.22kg(11.51b) Muzzle velocity: 650 m (2,132 ft) per second Magazine: 30- round box Cyclic rate of fire: 500 rpm

Tokarev rifles

The Soviets have developed over the years a considerable talent for small arms innovations, and accordingly they were early in the move towards self-loading rifles. The first of these was the Avtomaticheskaia Vintovka Simonova introduced in 1936 (and thus browne along on the AVICC) and do Simonova introduced in 1956 (and thus known also as the AVS36) and de-signed by one S, G. Simonov, Although many were made and issued for ser-vice, the AVS was not a great success for it produced a prodigious muzzle blast and recoil, and it was all too easy for duct and dirt to get into the rather for dust and dirt to get into the rather complex mechanism, The AVS thus had but a short service life before it

was replaced. The SVT38 (Samozariadnyia Vintov-Allow the second state of operated weapon, like the AVS, but in order to keep the rifle as light as possible the mechanism was far too light for the stresses and strains of prolonged use. While the combination of a gasoperated system and a locking block cammed downwards into a recess in the receiver base proved basically sound, it gave rise to frequent troubles mainly because parts broke. Thus the SVT38 was removed from production during 1940 to be replaced by the



Above: The SVT40 was an early Soviet self-loading rifle, usually issued toNCOs or marksmen. A most influential weapon, it was to lend features to the German MP 43, and was the start of a chain leading to the modern AK range.

Right: Marines of the Soviet Northern Fleet in defensive positions, probably on exercise near Murmansk. The nearest marine has a PPSh 41 sub-machine gun, the remainder being armed with SVT40 Tokarevs.



SVT40 in which the same basic mechanism was retained, but everything was made much more robust and the result was a much better weapon. However, the SVT40 suffered from the same problems as the AVS and the SVT38 in that the weapon had a fierce recoil and considerable muzzle blast. To off-set these effects at least partial-ly, the SVT40 was fitted with a muzzle brake, initially with six ports but eventually with two. These muzzle brakes were of doubtful efficiency. In order to get the best from the

SVT40 the weapon was usually issued only to NCOs or carefully trained sol-diers who could use their rapid fire potential to good effect. Some were fitted with telescopic sights for sniper use, A few weapons were converted to produce fully-automatic fire as the AVT40, but this conversion was not a great success and few were made. According to some accounts there was also a carbine version but this probably suffered excessively from the heavy recoil problem and again only a few were made.

When the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 they soon encoun-tered the SVT38 and the SVT40. Any they could capture they promptly used



incorporated into the Gewehr 43. Soviet production of the SVT40 con-tinued almost until the end of the war. Although there were never enough produced to meet demands, the SVT40 had a considerable influence on future Soviet small-arms develop-ment for it initiated a series of automatic rifles that eventually culminated in the AK-47 series. It also made a considerable impact on Soviet infantry tactics for the SVT40 demonstrated the importance of increased firepower for the infantry, a factor later emphasized by the introduction of the German MP 43 on the Eastern Front.

Specification SVT40 Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length: 1.222 m(48.1in) Length of barrel 625 mm (24.6 in) Weight: 3.89kg(8.58lb) Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second Magazine: 10-roundbox



Soviet Marines of the Baltic Fleet prepare for one of their many amphibious assaults on German

positions along the Baltic coast. Armament includes PPSh 41s, SVT4ÛS anda DegtyayrovLMC.



Mosin-Nagant rifles



When the Russian army decided to adopt a magazine rifle to replace its Ber dan rifles during the late 1880s, it opted for a weapon combining the best features of two designs, one by the Belgian Nagant brothers and the other a Russian design from a Captain Maxim The measurement the Maxim Mosin. The result was the Mosin-Nagant Model 1891 with which the Tsarist army fought its last battles up to 1917. The Model 1891 was then adopted by the new Red Army following the 1918 upsets, and it remained in use for many years thereafter. The Model 1891 fired a 7.62-mm

(0.3-in) cartridge and it was a sound but generally unremarkable design. The bolt action was rather complicated and the ammunition feed used a holding device that offered only one round under spring tension to the bolt for reloading. But for all this it was a sound enough weapon, although rather long. This was mainly to in-crease the reach of the rifle when fitted with the long socket bayonet, which was almost a permanent fixture once the user was in action, The bayonet had a cruciform point that was used to dismantle the weapon. The original Model 1891s had their

sights marked in arshins, an archaic Russian measurement equivalent to

A RedArmyprivate at about the time of the Winter War with Finland in the winter of 1940. He is armed with the 1930 model of the Mosin-Nagant, a dragoon-length version of the ritte.

0.71m (27.95 in), but after 1918 these sights were metricated. In 1930 there began a programme to modernize the old rifles, and all new rifles were produced in a new form. This new form was the Model 1891/30, which was slightly shorter than the original and had several design points introduced to ease production. It was the Model 1891/30 that was the mam Red Army service rifle of World War II and the one used with telescopic sights as a sniper's rifle. Other 'extras' included a grenade-launching cup and a silencer.

grenade-launching cup and a silencer. The Mosin-Nagant weapons were also produced in carbine form for cavalry and other uses. The first of these was the Model 1910, followed much later by the Model 1938 (the Model 1891/30 equivalent). In 1944 the Model 1944 was introduced, but this was only a Model 1938 with a per-manently fixed folding bayonet along. manently fixed folding bayonet along-

side the forestock. The Mosin-Nagant rifles were also used by the Finns (m/27 shortened Model 1891, m/28/30 with altered sights, and re-stocked m/39), the Poles (karabin wz 91/98/25) and also by the Germans. The Germans captured piles of ex-Soviet rifles during 1941 and 1942, and many were issued by the Germans to their own second-line garrison and militia units. Most of these were Model 1891/30s redesignated Gewehr 254(r), but by 1945 even Model 1891s were being issued to the hapless Volkssturm units under the de-signation Gewehr 252(r). Many units A Model 1938Mosin-Nagant carbine. This model, like the 1930, was simplified for ease of manufacture, and was issued to the cavalry. A gréa t many were captured by Germany in the early waryears.

along the Atlantic Wall were issued with the Model 1891/30,

With the introduction of the automatic rifle in the post-war years the old Mosin-Nagant rifles were swiftly re-moved from Red Army use. Some were sold on the open market but most appear to have been stockpiled. Only the short and handy carbines now remain in service today, many in China and the Far East. Many still turn up in the hands of 'freedom fighters'.

Specification

Model 1891/30 Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length: 1.232m (48.5 in) Length of barrel: 729 mm (28.T in) Weight: 4 kg(8.81 b)Muzzle velocity: 811 m(2,660 ft) per second Magazine: 5-round box

Specification Model 1938 carbine Calibre: 7.62 mm (0,3 in) Length : 1.016 m (40 in) Length of barrel: 508 mm (20 in) Weight: 3.47 kg (7.6 lb) Muzzle velocity: 766m(2,514ft)per second Magazine: 5-round box



By 1943 the British and Commonwealth armies were heavily involved in jungle warfare in Burma and other areas of the Far East, and for the conditions the existing No. 1 and No. 4 Lee-Enfield rifles proved to be too long and awk-ward in use. Some form of shortened No. 4 was requested, and by September 1944 approval was given for such a rifle to be introduced as the Rifle No. 5 Mark I. This was virtually a normal No. 4 Mark I with a much shortened barrel. The forestock was modified to accommodate the new shortened barrel, and the sights were modified to reflect the decreased range perform-ance of the new barrel. There were two other modifications introduced as well, both of them associated with the short barrel: these were a conical muzzle attachment that was meant to act as a flash hider, and a rubber pad on the butt. Both had to be introduced as the shortening of the barrel gave rise to two unwanted side effects: the prodi-gious muzzle flash produced by firing a normal rifle cartridge m a short barrel, and the ferocious recoil produced by the same source.

In a normal long rifle barrel most of the flash produced on firing is contained within the barrel and so are some of the recoil forces. In a shortened barrel a good proportion of the propellant gases are still 'unused' as the bullet leaves the muzzle, hence the added recoil. The soldiers did not like it one bit but they had to admit that in jungle warfare the No. 5 Mark I was a much handier weapon to carry and use. They also welcomed the reintroduction of a blade-type bayonet that fitted onto a lug under the muzzle attachment. In fact, following on from the first production order for 100,000 rifles made in 1944 it was thought that the No. 5 Mark I would become the standard service rifle of the post-war years, despite all the recoil and flash problems. But this did not happen.

problems. But this did not happen. The No. 5 Mark I had one built-in problem, quite apart from the flash and recoil, and that problem was never eradicated. For a reason that was never discovered the weapon was inaccurate. Even after a long period of 'zeroing' the accuracy would gradually 'wander' and be lost. All manner of modifications to the stocking of the weapon was tried, but the inaccuracy was never eliminated and the true cause was never discovered, Thus the No. 5 was not accepted as the standard service rifle, the No. 4 Mark I being retained until the Belgian FN was adopted in the 1950s. Most of the No. 5s were retained for use by specialist units such as those operating in the Far East and Africa, and many are still in use in those areas by various armies.

Specification Rifle No. 5 Mark I Calibre: 0.303 in (7.7 mm) Length: 1.003 m (39.5 in) Length of barrel: 476 mm (18.75 in) Weight: 3.25 kg(7.15 lb) Muzzle velocity: about 730 m (2,400 ft) per second Magazine: 10-roundbox

Developed specifically for jungle operations, the No. 5 ritte was not an unqualified success, having a vicious recoil. It saw action in Kenya and Malaya (shown here), as well as at the end of World War II.





The De Lisle carbine was one of the moreunusual weapons of World WarII but very little has ever been written on the subject. The weapon was designed by one William Godfray De Lisle who was, in 1943, an engineer in the Ministry of Aircraft Production, During that period he patented a silencer for a 5.59-mm (0.22-in) rifle, and this attracted the attention of persons interested in producing silent weapons for use during the commando raids which were then being conducted around the coasts of occupied Europe.

Further development of the basic De Lisle silencer resulted in a drastic modification of a Lee-Enfield No. 1 Mark III rifle to accommodate the firing of an 0.45-in (11.43-mm) pistol cartridge. The basic bolt action was retained, but in place of the large box magazine a small magazine casing was substituted. Forward of the bolt action was the silencer itself, and this comprised a series of discs held within a tubular housing that allowed the gases produced on firing to 'swirl' around before they were discharged from ports around the muzzle. Firing the subsonic 0.45-in (11,43-mm) pistol cartridge with this silencer system produced very little noise at all, and even this sounded quite unlike a firearm being discharged. There was also no flash.

The first De Lisle carbines were produced in one of the tool rooms of the Ford works at Dagenham. From



there the early prototypes were taken for field testing in commando raids along the north French coast. They proved themselves remarkably successful, and even these early weapons were used in what was to be their main operational role, a form of silent sniping to pick off sentries or other personnel during the early stages of a raid. As the De Lisle carbine fired a pistol cartridge its maximum effective range was limited to 250 m (275 yards), but this was usually more than enough for raids carried out on dark nights.

raids carried out on dark nights. With trials successfully completed, a production order for 500 carbines was placed and this was later increased to 600. The 'production' programme of modifying the Lee-Enfield rifles was carried out by the Sterling Armament Some folding stocked De Lisles (top) were used in the FarEast, having been designed for paratroops. The early model (below) has been cut away to show the silencer mechanism.

Works, also in Dagenham, but when the programme got under way things had changed,

By the time the De Lisle carbines

were being produced it was mid-1944 and the invasion of Europe had taken place. With the Allies safely ashore there was far less need for **a** silenced commando weapon and the order **was** cancelled. By then about 130 had been completed and issued, but **as** they lacked **a** role in Europe most were sent to the Far East, where they were used

I Riue Type 38

The Rifle Type 38 was adopted for Imperial Japanese service in 1905 and was a development of two earlier rifles selected by a commission headed by one Colonel Arisaka, who gave his name to a whole family of Japanese service rifles, The Type 38 used a mixture of design points and principles taken from contemporary Mauser and Mannlicher designs, mixed with a few Japanese innovations. The result was a sound enough rifle that had a calibre of 6.5 mm (0.256 in). This relatively small calibre, coupled with a rather low-powered cartridge, produced a rifle with a small recoil that exactly suited the slight Japanese stature.

This fact was further aided by the Type 38 being **a** rather long rifle. When the rifle was used with **a** bayonet, **as** it usually was in action, this gave the Japanese soldier **a** considerable reach advantage for close-in warfare, but it also made the Type **38 a** rather awkward rifle to handle. As well **as** being used by all the Japanese armed forces, the Type 38 was exported to such nations **as** Thailand, and was also used by several of the warring factions then prevalent in China. At one point during World War I the Type **38** was even purchased **as a** training weapon by the British army.

A shorter version, the Carbine Type 38 was widely used, and there was **a** version with **a** folding butt for use by airborne troops. There was also **a** version of the Type **38** known as the Sniper's Rifle Type **97** which, apart from provision for **a** telescopic sight, had **a** revised bolt handle.

During the 1930s the Japanese gradually adopted **a** new service cartridge of 7.7-mm (0.303-in) calibre, and the Type **38** was revised as the Rifle Type **99**. The Type **99** had several new features, including **a** sight that was supposed to **be** effective for firing at aircraft, and **a** folding monopod to assist accuracy. A special paratroop model that could be broken down into two halves was devised but proved to be unreliable so it was replaced by **a** 'taken down' version known **as** the Parachutist's **Rifle** Type **2**. Not many of these were made. Once the Pacific war was under way

Once the Pacific war was under way in 1942 the production standards of Japanese rifles and carbines deteriorated rapidly; any items that could be left off were so, and simplifications were introduced onto the lines. But overall standards went down to the point where some of the late production examples were virtually lethal to the user, many of them being constructed from very low-quality raw materials, both wood and metal, for the simple reason that the Allied blockade and air raids prevented the use of anything better. By the end the arsenals were reduced to producing very simple single-shot weapons firing 8-mm (0.315-in) pistol cartridges, or even by specialist units in Burma and elsewhere. Many were retained in the area after the war to see action once more during the Malayan Emergency. Some of these weapons did not have the solid butt of the earlier weapons, having instead **a** metal butt that folded under the weapon, This version had originally been produced for parachute troops, but only **a** small number was ever made.

Very few De Lisle carbines now exist, even in the most comprehensive small-arms collections. Most of them appear to have been destroyed during the post-war years, probably as the result of their potential as assassination weapons. Specification De Lisle carbine Calibre: 11.43 **mm** (0.45 in) Length: 895 mm(35.25 in) Length of barrel: 184 mm (7.25 in) Weight: 3.74 kg (8.25 lb) Muzzle velocity: 253 m (830 ft) per second Magazine: 7-round box



black-powder weapons. There was even **a** proposal to use long bows and crossbows firing explosive arrows. It was all **a** long way from the days when the Type **38** was one of the most widely used service rifles in the Orient. Specification RifleType 38 Calibre: 6.5mm(0.256in) Length of barrel: 797.5mm(31,4in) Weight: 4.2kg(9.251b) Muzzle velocity: 731 m (2,400 ft) per second Magazine: 5-round box The Type 99 was a m'onopod fitted version of the Type 38 employing the new 7.7-mm (0.303-in) calibre cartridge. The Japanese design utilized contemporary Mauser and Mannlicher features, and first appeared in 1905.



Japanese infantry assault the Yenanyaung oilfields in Burma. The great length of the Arisaka type rifle, especially with bayonet attached, is obvious. This made the weapon awkward to handle but gave the generally short-statured Japanese soldier an effective reach in close combat.

Lebel and Berthier rifles





In the period following World War I the French army decided to adopt a new standard service cartridge with a calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in). The new cartridge was adopted in 1924, butfollowing some low-priority and therefore lengthy trials, it was found that the new cartridge was unsafe under certain circumstances and thus had to be modified in 1929. In that year the French decided to adopt a new rifle to fire the new round, but it was not until 1932 that a prototype was ready. Then followed a series of further trials that went on at a slow pace until 1936, when the new rifle was accepted for service.

the new rifle was accepted for service. The new rifle was the Fusil MAS36 (MAS for Manufacture d'Armes de Saint-Etienne). This used a muchmodified Mauser action which was so arranged that the bolt handle had to be angled forward quite sharply. The box magazine held only five rounds. The MAS36 had the odd distinction of being the last bolt-action service rifle to be adopted for military service anywhere (all later new weapons using some form of self-loading action) and in some other ways the MAS36 featured other anachronisms. In typical French style the weapon had no safety catch, and the overall appearance of the design belied its year of introducIn 1939 the French army was equipped with an almost bewildering array of rifles, for the French appear to have adopted a policy of never throwing anything away. Some of them could trace their origins back to the mie 1866 Chassepot rifle. One of them, the Fusil Gras mie 1874, was still only a singleshot weapon, but was still in use with some French second-line and colonial units in 1940 when the Germans invaded.

The original Lebel rifle, the Fusil d'infanterie mie 1886, was updated in 1893 to produce the mie 1886/93. It was with this Lebel rifle that the French army fought World War I, but another weapon also in use at that time was a Berthier carbine, the Mousqueton mie 1890 (and similar mie 1892), a version of the original mie 1886 allied to a new Mannlicher magazine system. On the Berthier the magazine was of the orthodox box type loaded from a clip, but the Lebel system used a tubular maga-zine holding more rounds loaded one at a time. The first Berthier rifle was the Fusil mie 1907, butin 1915 this colonialtroop weapon was largely replaced by the Fusil d'infanterie mie 07/15, With the introduction of the mie 07/15 the older Lebel rifles gradually faded in importance as production concen-trated on the Berthiers, but the Lebels were never replaced in service. They just soldiered on, and were still available in 1939.

A Muslim Spani of the 1st Moroccan Spahi regiment of the Vichy colonial army is armed with the old Lebel rifle. Note the long bayonet in his belt The original Berthier magazine system held only three rounds, but it was soon realized that this was not enough and the Fusil d'infanterie mie 1916 had a 5-round magazine. To complicate matters further there were carbine or other short versions of all the models mentioned above, and to complicate matters still further the French sold or gave away masses of all of these weapons in the inter-war years to many nations who promptly applied their own designations, Thus Lebel and Berthier rifles turned up not only in all the French colonies but in nations such as Greece, Yugoslavia, Romania and other Balkan states.

In 1934 the French decided to attempt to make some sense out of their varied rifle and carbine arsenal by adopting a new calibre, Up till then the normal French calibre had been 8 mm (0.315 in), but in 1934 they adopted a smaller calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in). That same year they started to modify the old Berthier rifles to the new calibre and at the same time fitted a new magazine (still holding only five rounds) and several other changes along with the new barrel. This 'new¹ version was the Fusil d'infanterie mie 07/15 M34, but the change-over programme went so slowly that in 1939 only a small proportion of the available stocks had been converted, ensuring that all the other models were still in use.

After the events of May and June 1940 the Germans found masses of all the various French rifles on their hands. Some they could use as they were, and many were issued to garrison and second-line formations. But Some of the rifles in French reserve use in 1939 were obsolete 1886 models, unaltered from their introduction to service. They were outmoded within 10years.

many others were stockpiled, to be dragged out in 1945 to arm Volkssturm and other such units. No doubt the Germans found that the array and variety of French rifle and carbine types were too much, even for their assorted stocks, but as they never had enough rifles to arm their ever-growing forces the French weapons were no doubt handy.

Few of the old French rifles are to be encountered today other than in the hands of museums and collectors. Most of them were museum pieces even in 1939 and only the general unpreparedness of France for war ensured that the relics were retained.

Specification mie 1886/93

Calibre: 8 mm (0.315 in) Length: 1.303 m(51.3 in) Length of barrel: 798 mm (31.4 in) Weight: 4.245 kg (9.35 lb) Muzzle velocity: 725 m(2,380 ft)per second Magazine capacity: 8-round rube

o .c. .:

Specification mie 1907/15M34 Calibre; 7.5 mm(0.295 in) Length: 1.084m(42.7 in) Length of barrel: 579 mm (22.8 in) Weight: 3.56 kg (7.85 lb) Muzzle velocity: 823 m (2,700 ft)per second Magazine capacity: 5-round box



tion, for it looked a much older design than it was.

Production of the new rifle was so slow that a modification programme to convert some of the old rifles for the new cartridge had to be undertaken. This lack of urgency was typical of the period for the nation seemed to suffer from an internal lethargy that could be traced back to the nation's exertions of World War I. Thus by 1939 only a relatively few French army units were equipped with the MAS36, and these were mainly front-line troops. The MAS36 could have had little effect on the events of May and June 1940, but many of the troops who left France at that time took their MAS36s with them and for a while it remained the favoured weapon of the Free French forces in exile. The Germans also took over numbers of MAS36s and used them under the designation Gewehr 242(f) for their own garrison units based in occupied France.

One odd variation of the basic MAS36 was a version known as the MAS36 CR39. This was a shortbarrelled version intended for paratroop use, and had an aluminium butt that could swivel forward alongside the butt to save stowage space. Only a relative few were ever made, and even fewer appear to have been issued for service use,

When the war ended the new French army once more took the MAS36 into use and retained it for many years, using it in action in North Africa and Indo-China, Many are still The MAS 36 was the last bolt action riffe adopted by a major army anywhere. It was a fair weapon, but few saw service before 1939.

retained for use as ceremonial parade weapons and the type is still used by the forces and police authorities of many colonial or ex-colonial states.

Specification

MAS36 Calibre: 7.5 mm (0.295 in) Length: 1.019 m (40,13 in) Length of barrel: 574 mm (22.6 in) Weight: 3.67 kg (8.09 lb) Muzzle velocity: 823 m (2,700 ft) per second Magazine: 5-roundbox



Riüe, Caliber .30, Model 1903

In 1903 the US Army decided to re-place its existing Krag-Jorgensen rifles and adopted a rifle based on the Mauser system. This rifle, officially known as the US Magazine Rifle, Caliber .30, Model of 1903 (or M1903) was first pro-duced at the famous Springfield Arsenal and has thus become almost exclusively known as the Springfield. It was produced to be a form of universal weapon that could be used by both infantry and cavalry, and was thus much shorter than most contemporary rifles, but it was a well-balanced and attractive rifle that soon proved itself to be a fine service weapon with an accuracy that makes it a prized target rifle.

Almost as soon as the M1903 was placed in production the original blunt-nosed ammunition was replaced by newer pointed' ammunition was replaced now generally known as the .30-06 (thirty-ought six) as it was an 0.3-in (7.62-mm) round introduced in 1906. This remained the standard US service cartridge for many years and is still widely produced. The original M1903 served throughout World War I in US Army hands, and in 1929 the design was modified slightly by the introduc-tion of a form of pistol grip to assist aiming, and this became the M1903A1. The M1903A2 was produced as a sub-calibre weapon for inserting into the barrels of coastal guns and was used

for low-cost training on these guns. When the USA entered World War II in 1941 the new MI Garand rifle was not available in the numbers required, so the M1903 was placed back into large-scale production, this time as the M1903A3. This version was modified to suit modern mass-production methods, but it was still a well-made rifle. Some parts were formed by using stampings instead of machined parts, but the main change was to the sights which were moved back from over the barrel to a position over the bolt action.

USA



Above: A Mauser patterned rifle, the Ml 903 Springfield proved a fine weapon, serving into the Korean war. The sniper version had a Weaver telescopic sight, and the conventional 'iron'sights have been removed en tirely.

Right: The accuracy of the M1903 made it a popular weapon with sharpshooters, and in positions where a single well-aimed shot can be decisive the small box magazine of five rounds was no handicap

The only other version of the M1903 (apart from some special match rifle models) was the M1903A4. This was a special sniper's version fitted with a Weaver talescore eaver telescopic sight, and was specialized to the point where no conven-tional 'iron' sights were fitted. Numbers of the M1903A4 were still in service during the Korean War of the 1950s.

The M1903 was used by several Allied armies during World War II. Many of the US troops who landed in Normandy on D-Day in June 1944 were still equipped with the Springfields, but by then many had been passed on



to various resistance units in France and elsewhere, and the M1903 was a virtual standard issue to the various 'island watchers' among the Pacific is-lands. In 1940 some were sent to the UK to equip Home Guard units, and the type was even placed back into pro-duction to a British order, only for the order to be taken over for the use of US forces

The M1903 and its variants may still be encountered today with a few small armed forces around the world. But many are also retained as target or hunting rifles, for the M1903 Spring-

field is still regarded as one of the cla sic rifles of all time. It is still a rifle that a delight to handle and fire, and man are now owned by weapon collector for those reasons alone.

Specification M1903A1 Calibre: 7,62 mm(0.3 in) Length: 1.105 m(43.5 in) Length of barrel: 610 mm (24 in) Weight: 4.1 kg (91b) Muzzle velocity: 855 m(2,805 ft) per second Magazine: 5-round box

Riue, Caliber .30, Ml (the Garand)

One of the main distinctions of the Rifle, Caliber .30, M1, almost universally known as the Garand, is that it was the first self-loading rifle to be accepted for military service. That acceptance happened during 1932, but there followed a distinct gap be-fore the rifle entered service as it took some time to tool up for the complex production processes demanded by the design. The rifle was created by John C. Garand, who spent a great deal of time developing the design to the point that once in production it required very few alterations. Thus the last MI looked very much like the first.

As already mentioned, the MI was a complicated and expensive weapon to manufacture, and required a large number of machining operations on many of the components. But it was a strong design and proved to be sturdy in action, although this was balanced in part by the weapon being rather heavier than comparable bolt-action designs. The MI was a gas-operated

weapon. When the USA entered World War II at the end of 1941, most of her regular forces were equipped with the MI, but the rapid increase of numbers of men in uniform meant that the old M1903 Springfield rifle had to be placed back



into production as a quick increase in the flow of Mis from the lines was virthe flow of Mis from the lines was vir-tually impossible, as a result largely of the tooling problems already men-tioned. But gradually MI production built up, and some 5,500,000 had been churned out by the end of the war; production was resumed during the Korean War of the early 1950s. For the American forces the MI Garand was a war,winner, whose

Garand was a war-winner, whose strong construction earned the gratitude of many. But it did have one operational fault, namely its ammunition feed. Ammunition was fed into the rifle in eight-round clips, and the loading system was so arranged that it was

possible to load only the full eight possible to load only the full eight rounds or nothing, There was a further operational problem encountered when the last of the eight rounds was fired, for the empty clip was ejected from the receiver with a definite and pronounced sound that advertized to now nearthy anomy that the further rifle any nearby enemy that the firer's rifle was empty, sometimes with unfortun-ate results to the Ml user. This problem was not eliminated from the MI until 1957, when the US Army introduced the M14 rifle which was virtually a reworked MI Garand with an in-

creased ammunition capacity. Many sub-variants of the Ml were produced but few actually saw service

The Carand was the first self-loading rife accepted as a standard military weapon. Strong and sturdy, thegas-operatedMl was rather heavier than the bolt action Ml 903 Springfield.

as the basic MI proved to be more than adequate for most purposes. There were two special sniper ver-sions, the MIC and the MID, both pro-duced during 1944 but never in any great numbers, Each had such extras as a muzzle flash cone and butt plates. The MI attracted the attention of the

USA's enemies to the extent that the Germans used as many as they could capture, with the designation Selbstladegewehr 251(a), and the Japanese produced their own copy, the 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Rifle Type 5, but only prototypes had been completed by the time the war ended.

Post-war the MI went on for many years as the standard US service rifle, and some are still issued to National Guard and other such units, Several nations continue to use the MI, and many designers have used the basic action as the basis for their own products: many of the modern Italian Beretta rifles use the Garand system as does the American 5.56-mm (0.22-in) Ruger Mini-14. Specification Rifle Ml Calibre: 7.62mm(0.3in) Length: 1.107 m (43.6 in) Length of barrel: 609 mm (24 in) Weight: 4.313 kg (9.51b) Muzzle velocity: 855m (2,805 ft) per second Magazine: 8-round box

Garand-armed infantrymen of the US 4th Armored Division are seen in action in the Ardennes during the drive to relieve Bastogne and the trapped 101st Airborne Division.



Carbine, Caliber .30, M1, MIAI, M2 and M3

The traditional weapon for second-line troops and such specialists as machine-gunners has generally been the pistol, but when the US Army considered the equipment of such soldiers during 1940 they made a request for some form of carbine that could be easily stowed and handled, The result was a competition in which several manufacturers submitted their proposals, and the winner was a Winchester design that was adopted for service as the Carbine, Caliber .30, MI. The MI used an unusual gas-operated system and was designed for use with a special cartridge that was intermediate between a pistol cartridge and a rifle cartridge in power. From the start the Carbine MI was

From the start the Carbine MI was an immediate success. It was light and easy to handle, to the extent that its use soon spread from the second-echelon troops who were supposed to be issued with the weapon to front-line troops such as officers and weapon teams. In order to speed its introduction into service the MI was a singleshot weapon only, but there was a special variant with a folding stock known as the MIAI, This was produced for use by airborne units. When time allowed later during the war the automatic fire feature was added. This version was known as the M2, and had a cyclic rate of fire of about 750 to 775 rounds per minute; the weapon used a curved box magazine holding 30 rounds that could also be used on the M1. The M3 was a special nightfighting version with a large infra-red night sight, but only about 2,100 of these were made. The M3 proved to be the one version of the Carbine M1 series that was not produced in quantity, for by the time the war ended the production total hadreached 6,332,000 of all versions, making the weapon the most prolific personal weapon of World War II,

For all its handiness, the Carbine MI series had one major drawback, and that was the cartridge used, Being an intermediate-power cartridge it generally lacked power, even at close ranges. Being a carbine the MI also lacked range, and was effective only to 100m (110 yards) or so. But these drawbacks were more than countered by the overall handiness of the weapon. It was easy to stow in vehicles or aircraft, and the MIAI with its folding butt was even smaller. It handled



well in action and was deemed good enough for German Army use as the Selbstladekarabiner 455a after enough had been captured during the latter stages of the war in Europe,

But for all its mass production and war-time success, the MI is now little used by armed forces anywhere. Many police forces retain the type, mainly because of the low-power cartridge fired which is safer in police situations than more powerful rounds. Typical of these is the Royal Ulster Constabulary, which uses the Carbine MI as a counter to the far more powerful Armalites of the IRA, Another part of the MI story is the current lack of adoption of the Mi's intermediatepower cartridge. During the war years these cartridges were churned out in millions but now the cartridge is little used and has not been adopted for any other major weapon system. Originally produced by Winchester, the ligh tweigh t Ml carbine was eventually manufactured by more than 10 companies in numbers exceeding six million.

Specification Carbine MI Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length: 904 mm (35.6 in) Length of barrel: 457 mm (18 in) Weight: 2.36 kg (5.2 lb) Muzzle velocity: 600 m (1,970 ft) per second Magazine: 15-or30-roundbox

A Marine, member of a machine-gun crew, clutches his Ml carbine and the ammo for a Browning machinegun while waiting for his partner to hurl a grenade.





Alliedand Axis Pistols

Pistols are very close to the heart of fighting soldiers, and in World War II one of the most prized trophies on the Allied side was a captured German or Italian pistol. Yet as a weapon of war the hand gun seems of very little value, so what is the explanation for the retention of the sidearm in the armies of the 20th century?

The pistol, be it a revolver or L an automatic, has long had an attraction for the soldier. Quite apart from the intrinsic attraction of this weapon, the hand gun is very often a highly personal possession and one in which the soldier usually takes great pride. Even after a short time in service the soldier learns to appreciate the value of a hand gun to his well-being and his chances of survival, especially when he is carrying out an operational role where no other weapon is available.

This attraction is difficult for the layperson to appreciate, for even a limited firing of any service pistol will reveal that it is inaccurate, difficult to use effectively and possesses only a very limited range. It is somewhat tricky to reconcile these two completely accurate conclusions, but the plain fact is that the pistol was used on a scale during World War II that overshadowed its employment in any previous conflict. On all fronts demands for pistols, more pistols and still more pistols were made throughout the conflict, and as a result the range of models and types was immense, those mentioned in this section being only a general indication of some of the more important types.



A soldier of the Italian Alpini takes aim with a hand gun - the standard Italian service pistol, the 9mm Beretta modello 1934. Of excellent manufacture, it became a sought-after trophy of war.

Despite the many advances in pistol design and development made in the 20th century, it should be noted that the revolver was still in use between 1939 and 1945; and this remains true even to this day, for the automatic pistol has not been able fully to oust the strong and reliable revolver. But the automatic pistol was in widespread use during World War II all the same, employing a large number of operating systems and an equally diverse range of calibres, despite the fact that the 9mm Parabellum cartridge had emerged as the clear all-round leader. And quite apart from any other factors, an investigation of the pistol itself is rewarding for its revelation of the great degree of ingenuity that designers have been able to bring to pistol design. World War II brought with it innovations and oddities, but what may be regarded as antiques were still being used in the field during World War II. Examples of some of these will be found in this section; however, the reader is asked to use these entries only as a general guide.



During World War I the standard British service revolver was one variant or other of the Webley 0,455-in (11.56mm) pistol, These were very effective pistols, but their weight and bulk made them very difficult to handle correctly without a great deal of training and constant practice, two commodities that were in short supply at the time. After 1919 the British army decided that a smaller pistol firing a heavy 0.38in (9.65-mm) bullet would be just as effective as the larger-calibre weapon but would be easier to handle and would require less training. So Webley and Scott, which up to that time had been pistol manufacturers of a virtually official status for the British armed forces, took its 0.455-in (11.56-mm) revolver, scaled it down and offered the result to the military.

result to the military. To the chagrin of Webley and Scott, the military simply took the design, made a few minor alterations and then placed the result in production as an 'official' government design to be produced at the Royal Small Arms Factory at Enfield Lock in Middlesex, This procedure took time, for Webley and Scott offered its design in 1923 and Enfield Lock took over the design in 1926. Webley and Scott was somewhat nonplussed at the course of events but proceeded to make its 0.38-in (9.65mm) revolver, known as the Webley Mk 4, all over the world with limited success.

The Enfield Lock product became the Pistol, Revolver, No. 2 Mk 1 and was duly issued for service. Once in service it proved sound and effective enough, but mechanical progress meant that large numbers of these pistols were issued to tank crews and other mechanized personnel, who made the unfortunate discovery that the long hammer spur had a tendency to catch onto the many internal fittings of tanks and other vehicles with what could be nasty results. This led to a redesign in which the Enfield pistol had the hammer spur removed altogether and the trigger mechanism lightened to enable the weapon to be fired double-action only. This revolver became the No. 2 Mk 1*, and existing Mk Is were modified to the new standard. The double action made the pistol very difficult to use accurately at all except minimal range, but that did not seem to matter too much at the time.

seem to matter too much at the time. Webley and Scott re-entered the scene during World War II, when supplies of the Enfield pistols were too slow to meet the ever-expanding demand. Thus the Webley Mk 4 was ordered to eke out supplies, and Webley and Scott went on to supply thousands of its design to the British army after all. Unfortunately, although the two pistols were virtually identical in appearance there were enough minor differences between them to prevent interchangeability of parts.

prevent interchange ability of parts. Both pistols saw extensive use between 1939 and 1945, and although the Enfield revolvers (there was a No. 2

The Webley Mk 4 revolver was used as the basis for the Enfield No. 2Mkl but was passed over in favour of the governmen t-sponsored development. In time the call for more revolvers was so great that the Mk 4 was placed in production for the British armed forces and used alongside the Enfield pistols. Mk 1** which embodied wartime production expedients) were the official standard pistols, the Webley Mk 4 was just as widely used among British and Commonwealth armed forces. Both remained in service until the 1960s and both are still to be encountered as service pistols in various parts of the world.

Specification Revolver No. 2 Mk1* Cartridge: 0.380SAA ball (9.65 mm) Length overall: 260 mm (10.25 in) Length of barrel: 127 mm (5 in) Weight: 0.767 (1.71b) Muzzle velocity: 183 m (600 ft) per second Chamber capacity: 6 rounds

Specification Webley Mk 4 Cartridge: 0.380 SAA ball (9.65 mm) Lengthoverall: 267 mm (10,5 in) Lengthof barrel: 127 mm (5 in) Weight: 0.767 (1.7 lb) Muzzle velocity: 183 m (600 ft) per second Chamber capacity: 6 rounds Above: The Enfield No. 2 Mkl* revolver was the most widely used of all the British and Commonwealth armedforces. Firing a 0.38-in (9.65mm) ball cartridge, it was an efficien t combat pistol but lacked any finesse orfrills; yet it was able to withstand the many knocks of service life. Below: An airborne soldier stands guard on a house in Holland during Operation 'Market Garden'. The pistolis an Enfield No. 2Mk 1 * with the hammer removed to prevent snagging on clothing or within the close confines of vehicles or aircraft. They were issued to airborne soldiers such as glider pilots.









TokarevTT-33

The first Tokarev automatic pistol to see extensive service was the TT-30, but not many of these pistols had been produced before a modified design known as the TT-33 was introduced in 1933. This pistol was then adopted as the standard pistol of the Red Army to replace the Nagant revolvers that had served so well for many years. In the event the TT-33 never did replace the Nagant entirely until well after 1945, mainly because the revolver proved so reliable and sturdy under the rough active service conditions of the various fronts.

The TT-33 was basically a Soviet version of the Colt-Browning pistols, and used the swinging-link system of operation employed on the American M1911. However, the ever practical Soviet designers made several slight alterations that made the mechanism easier to produce and easier to maintain under field conditions, and production even went to the length of machining the vulnerable magazine feed lips into the main receiver to prevent damage and subsequent misfeeds. The result was a practical and sturdy weapon that was well able to absorb a surprising amount of hard use.

By 1945 the TT-33 had virtually replaced the Nagant revolver in service and as Soviet influence spread over Europe and elsewhere so did TT-33 production. Thus the TT-33 may be found in a variety of basically similar forms, one of which is the Chinese Type 51. The Poles also produced the TT-33 for their own use and for export to East Germany and to Czechoslovakia, The Yugoslavs still have the TT-33 in production and are still actively marketing the design as the M65, North Korea has its own variant in the form of the M68. The most drastic producer of the TT-33 is Hungary, which rejigged the design in several respects and recalibred it for the 9-mm Parabellum cartridge. The result was known as the Tikagypt and was exported to Egypt, where it is still used by the local police forces.

The Tokarev TT-33 was a sturdy and hard-wearing pistol that was used throughout World War II, but it never en tirely replaced theNagan t.



Above: The Soviet Tokarev TT-33 in action in a well-posed propaganda photograph dating from about 1944. The officer is leading a section of assault infantry and has his pistol on the end of the usual lanyard. Snipers on all sides came to recognize these 'pistol wavers'as prime targets.

The TT-33 is now no longer used by the Soviet armed forces, who use the Markarov automatic pistol, but the TT-33 will be around for a long while yet, Despite the introduction of the Makarov many second-line and militia units within the Warsaw Pact are still issued with the TT-33 and as the type's overall standard of design and construction was sound there seems to be no reason why they should be replaced for many vears. Right: A Red Army military policeman, for whom the Tokarev TT-33 would have been the primary weapon. Militarypolicemen ofall nations still carry the pistol as the nature of their duties often precludes the use of any type of larger weapon, and they have no actual combat role.

Specification TT-33 Cartridge: 7,62 mm TypeP(M30) Length overall: 196mm (7.68 in) Lengthofbarrel: 116mm (4.57 in) Weight: 0.830 kg (1,83 lb) Muzzle velocity: 420 m (1,380 ft) per second Magazine: 8-round box





Pistole P08 (Luger)

The pistol that is now generally, but misleadingly, known as the Luger had its design origins in a pistol design first produced in 1893 by one Hugo Borchardt. A George Luger further developed this design and produced the weapon that bears his name to this day. The first Lugers were manufactured in 7.65-mm (0.301-in) calibre and were adopted by the Swiss army in 1900, Thereafter the basic design was adopted by many nations and the type is still to be encountered, for by now well over two million have been produced by various manufacturers and at least 35 main variants are known to exist, together with a host of subvariants.

variants. The Pistole P 08 was one of the main variants. It was taken into German army service in 1908 (hence the 08) and remained the standard German service pistol until the Walther P 38 was introduced in 1938. The mam calibre encountered on the P 08 was 9 mm, and the 9-mm (0.354-in) Parabellum cartridge was developed for this pistol. However, 7.65-mm (0.301-in) versions were made as well. The P 08 is and probably always will be one of the 'classic' pistols, for it has an appearance and aura all of its own. It handles well, is easy to 'point' and is usually very well made. It has to be well made for itrelies on a rather com-plicated action using an upwards-hingemg toggle locking device that will not operate correctly if the associated machined grooves are out of tolerance. In fact it is arguable that this action is undesirable in a service pistol for as it operates it allows the ingress of dust and debris to clog the mechanism. In practice this was often not the case for the pistol proved to be remarkably robust. It was only replaced in service and production for the sim-ple fact that it was too demanding in production resources, took too long to production resources, took too long to produce and required too many matched spare parts, It was late 1942 before the last 'German' examples came off the production lines and it was never replaced by the P 38 in German service. Since 1945 it has reannoared commercially from time to reappeared commercially from time to time and will not doubt continue to be

time and will not doubt continue to be manufactured for years to come. The standard P 08 had a barrel 103mm (4.055m) long, and earlier variants such as the P 17 Artillerie model with a 203 mm (8 in) or longer barrel and a snail-shaped magazine holding 32 rounds were no longer service weapons between 1939 and 1945, Lugers were among the most prized of all World War I and II trophies, and many still survive as collector's pieces. Above: The Pistole P 08, commonly known as the Luger, was one of the classic pistol designs of all time. It still has a definite aesthetic appeal in the slope of the butt and the general appearance, and is a pleasant pistol to fire. However, it was expensive to produce and was destined to be replaced.

Right: The P 08 in service with a section of house-clearing infantry during the early stages of the advance into Russia during 1941. The soldier with the pistol is armed with Stielgrana te 35 grenades and is festooned with ammunition belts for the section MG 34 machine-gun.

The type continues to attract the eye and attention of all pistol buffs throughout the world, and the P 08 was and still is a classic.

Specification

Pistole P08 Cartridge: 9 mm Parabellum Length overall: 222 mm (8.75 in) Length of barrel: 103 mm (4.055 in) Weight: 0.877 kg (1.92 lb) Muzzle velocity: 381 m (1,250 ft) per second Magazine: 8-round box

Below: A Stug 111 with a short 75-mm (2.95-in) gun supports advancing infantry during an attackon the Voronezfrontduring January 1943. Although the pistol being carried by the soldier on the right is blurred, it appears to be a P 08.









The Walther PP was first produced in 1929 and was marketed as a police weapon (PP standing for Polizei Pis-tole), and during the 1930s it was adopted by uniformed police forces throughout Europe and elsewhere. It was a light and handy design with few frills and a clean outline but was in-tended for holster carriage Plain frills and a clean outline but was in-tended for holster carriage. Plain clothes police were catered for by another model, the Walter PPK (K standing for *kurz*, or short). This was basically the PP reduced in overall size to enable it to be carried conveniently in a pocket or under a jacket.

Although intended as civilian police weapons, both the PP and the PPK were adopted as military police weapons and after 1939 both were kept in production for service use. Each model was widely used by the Luftwaffe, and was often carried by the many German police organizations. Both were also widely used by staff officers as personal weapons. Both types could also be encountered in a range of calibres, the two main calibres being 9 mm short and in 5.56 mm (0.22 LR) and 6.35 mm, All these variants operated on a straight-forward blowback principle, and more than adequate safety arrangements were incorporated. One of these safe-ties was later widely copied, and in-volved placing a block in the way of the firing pin when it moved forward, this block only being removed when the trigger was given a definite pull. Another innovation was the provision of a signal pin above the hammer which protruded when a round was

GERMANY 8 Walther P38

The Walther P 38 was developed pri-marily to replace the P 08, which was an excellent weapon but expensive to produce. After the National Socialists came to power in Germany in 1933 they decided upon a deliberate programme of military expansion into which the old P 08 could not fit. What which the old P 08 could not fit. What was wanted was a pistol that could be quickly and easily produced but one that embodied all the many and va-rious design features such as a hand-cocked trigger and improved safeties that were then becoming more com-mon Welther eventually reactived the mon. Walther eventually received the contract for this new pistol in 1938, but only after a long programme of development.

Walther Waffenfabrik produced its first original automatic pistol design back in 1908 and there followed a string of designs that culminated in the PP of 1929. The PP had many novel features but it was intended to be a features but it was intended to be a police weapon and not a service pistol. Walther consequently developed a new weapon known as the Armee Pis-tole (or AP) which did not have the protruding hammer of the PP but was calibred for the 9-mm (0.354-in) Paracalibred for the 9-mm (0.354-in) Para-bellum cartridge. From this came the Heeres Pistole (or HP) which had the overall appearance of the pistol that would become the P 38. But the Ger-man Army requested some small changes to facilitate rapid production. Walther obliged and the P 38 was taken into German service use, the HP being kept in production in its original form for commercial sales. In the event Walther was never able to meet de-



One small item of interest regarding the PP centres on the fact that it is now a little-known and rarely seen pistol used by the British armed forces as the XL47E1. The weapon is used for undercover operations where civilian clothing has to be worn and it is often issued to soldiers of the Ulster Defence Regiment for personal protection when off duty.

Specification Walther PP Waither PP Cartridge: 9 mm short (0.38 ACP), 7.65 mm (0.32 ACP), 6.35 mm (0.25 ACP), 0,22 LR Length overall: 173 mm (6.8 in) Length of barrel: 99 mm (3,9 in) Weight: 0.682 kg (1.5 lb) Muzzle velocity: 290 m (950 ft) per second second Magazine: 8-round box

The Walther PP pistol was, and still is, one of the best small pistol designs ever produced. In German service it was used by various police organizations and by Luftwaffe aircrew.

Specification Walther PPK

WaitherPPK Cartridge: 9 mm short (0.38 ACP), 7.65 mm (0.32 ACP), 6.35 mm (0.25 ACP), 0.22 LR Length overall: 155 mm (6.1 in) Length of barrel: 86 mm (3,39 in) Weight: 0.568 kg (1.251b) Muzzle velocity: 280 m (920 ft) per second second Magazine: 7-round box

mand for the P 38 and the bulk of the HP production also went to the German armed forces.

The P 38 was (and still is) an excel-The P 38 was (and still is) an excel-lent service pistol which was robust, accurate and hard wearing. Walther production versions, which were later supplemented by P 38s produced by Mauser and Spreewerke, were always very well finished with shiny black plastic grips and an overall matt black plating. The weapon could be stripped easily and was well equipped with safety devices, including the hammer safety carried over from the PP along

with the 'chamber loaded' indicator pin. It was a well-liked pistol and be-came a war trophy only slightly less prized than the Luger P 08. In 1957 the P 38 was put back into production for the Bundeswehr, this time as the Pistole 1 (or PI) with a durai slide in place of the original steel com-ponent. It is still in production and has ponent. It is still in production and has been adopted by many nations.

Specification Walter P 38 Cartridge: 9 mm Parabellum Even today one of the best service pistols available, the Walther P 38 was developed to replace the P 08 Luger but by 1945 had only supplemented it. It had many advanced fea tures including a double-action trigger mechanism.

Lengthoverall: 219mm(8.58in) Length of barrel: 124 mm (4.88 in) Weight: 0.960 kg (2.12 lb) Muzzle velocity: 350m (1,150ft)per second Magazine: 8-round box

The Pistole Automatique Browning modèle 1910 is something of an oddity among pistol designs, for although it has remained in production virtually nonstop since 1910, it has never been officially adopted as a service weapon. Despite this it has been used widely by many armed forces at one time or another and the basic design has been widely copied and/or plagiarized by other designers.

As the name implies, this automatic pistol was yet another product of the fertile mind of John Moses Browning. Nearly all the model 1910s have been produced at the Fabrique Nationale d'Armes de Guerre (commonly known simply as FN) at Liège in Belgium. The type is still in production in Belgium for commercial sales. The reason why this particular pistol should have achieved such longevity is now not easy to deter-mine, but the overall design is clean enough, with the forward part of the receiver slide around the barrel hav-ing a tubular appearance. This results from the fact that the recoil spring is wrapped around the barrel itself inwrapped around the barrel itself in-stead of being situated under or over the barrel as in most other designs. This spring is held in place by a bayonet lug around the muzzle, pro-viding the model 1910 with another recognition point. Grip and applied safeties are provided. The model 1910 may be encoun-tered in one of two calibres, either 7.65 mm or 9 mm short. Externally the

two variants are identical, and each uses a detachable seven-round box magazine, As with all other FN pro-ducts the standard of manufacture and finish is excellent but copies made in such places as Spain lack this finish. The excellent finish was continued with one of the few large-scale produc-tion runs for the model 1910, This occurred after 1940 when the German forces occupying Belgium required large numbers of pistols. The model 1910 was kept in production to meet this demand, the bulk of the output

being issued to Luftwaffe aircrew who knew the type as the Pistole P 621(b). Before that the model 1910 had been issued in small numbers to the Belgian armed forces, and many other nations obtained the type for small-scale use for their own military or police service. The numbers of model 1910s pro-duced must by now be running into the hundreds of thousands.

Specification Browning modèle 1910 Cartridge: 7.64 mm (0.32 ACP) or 9 mm

The Browningmodèle 1910 was never officially adopted as a service pistol, but was nonetheless widely used and many of its design features were la ter incorpora ted in other pistol designs.

short (0.380 ACP) Lengthoverall: 152 mm(6in) Length of barrel: 89 mm (3.5 in) Weight: 0,562 kg (1.24 lb) Muzzle velocity: 299 m (980 ft) per second Magazine: 7-round box

BELGIUM Pistole Automatique Browning, modèle à Grande Puissance (Browning HP)

The Browning HP may be regarded as one of the most successful pistol de-signs ever produced. Not only is it still in widespread service, in numbers that must surely exceed those of all other types combined, but it has also been produced at many locations in many countries. It was one of the last was not design and by John many countries. It was one of the last weapon designs produced by John Browning before he died in 1925, but it was not until 1935 that the HP was placed in production by FN at Liège. From this derives the name which is generally given as the HP (High Pow-er) or Pitcle Automatione Browning generally given as the HP (High Pow-er) or Pistole Automatique Browning GP 35 (Grand Puissance modèle 1935). Numerous versions may be encoun-tered, but they all fire the standard 9-mm Parabellum cartridge. Versions exist with box fixed and adjustable rear sights, and some models were produced with a lug on the butt to en-able a stock (usually the wooden hol-ster) to be fitted, allowing the pistol to be fired as a form of carbine. Other be fired as a form of carbine. Other versions exist with light alloy receiver

slides to reduce weight. One factor that is common to all the numerous Browning HP variants is strength and reliability. Another desir-able feature that has often proved in-valuable is the large-capacity box magazine in the butt, which can hold a useful 13 rounds. Despite this width the grip is not too much of a handful, although training and general famifirer to get the best out of the weapon, The weapon uses a recoil-operated mechanism powered by the blowback forces produced on firing and has an external hammer. In many ways the action can be regarded as the same as

that on the Colt M1911 (also a Browning design), but it was adapted to suit production and to take advantage of the

experience gained in the design. Within a few years of the start of production the Browning HP had been adopted as the service pistol of several nations including Belgium, Denmark, Lithuania and Romania. After 1940 pro-duction continued, but this time it was for the Germans who adopted the type as the standard pistol of the Waffen SS, although other arms of the German Germans the Browning HP was known as the Pistole P620(b). However, the Germans did not have the Browning

HP all to themselves, for a new produc-FIP all to themselves, for a new produc-tion line was opened in Canada and from there the Browning HP was distri-buted to nearly all the Allied nations as the Pistol, Browning, FN, 9-mm HP No. 1, large numbers being sent to China to equip the nationalist forces. After 1945 the true was put hock in production in the type was put back in production in the type was put back in production in Liège, and many nations now use the weapon as their standard pistol, Various commercial models have been developed, and the type has even been adapted to produce a target-shooting model. The British army still uses the Browning HP as the Pistol Automatic 19A1 Pistol, Automatic L9A1.

The Browning GP35 has been adopted by so many nations since its first appearance in 1935 that it must now be the most widely used of all pistols. It is remarkably robust, hardhitting and reliable in use.

Specification Browning GP 35 Cartridge: 9-mm Parabellum Length of barrel: 112 mm (4.41 in) Weight: 1.01kg (2.23 lb) Muzzle velocity: 354 m (1,160 ft) per second Magazine: 13-roundbox

Liberator M1942

This very odd little pistol had its origins in the committee rooms of the US Army Joint Psychological Committee, who sold to the Office of Strategic Service the idea of a simple assassination weapon that could be used by anyone in occupied territory without the need for training or familiarization. The OSS took up the idea and the US Army Ordnance Department then set to and produced drawings. The Guide Lamp Division of the General Motors Corporation was given the task of producing the weapons, and the division took the credit for churning out no less than one million between June and August 1942.

The 11.43-mm (0.45-in) weapon was provided with the Governarne Flare Pistol M1942, but it was also known as the Liberator or the OSS pistol. It was a very simple, even crude device that could fire only a single shot. It was constructed almost entirely of metal stampings and the barrel was smoothbored. The action was just as simple as the rest of the design: a cocking piece was grasped and pulled to the rear; once back a turn locked it in place as a single M1911 automatic cartridge was loaded, and the cocking piece was then swung back for release as the trigger was pulled, To clear the spent cartridge the cocking piece was once more moved out of the way and the case was pushed out from the chamber by poking something suitable down the barrel from the muzzle.

Each pistol was packed into a clear

plastic bag together with 10 rounds, and a set of instructions in comic strip form provided, without words, enough information for any person finding the package to use the pistol. There was space in the butt to carry five of the rounds provided but the pistol was virtually a one-shot weapon and had to be used at a minimal range to be effective. Exactly how effective it was is now difficult to say, for there seems to be no record of how these numerous pistols were ever employed or where they were distributed. It is known that some were parachuted into occupied Europe, but many more were used in the Far East and in China. The concept was certainly deemed good enough to be revived in 1964 when a muchmodernized equivalent to the Liberator, known as the 'Deer Gun', was pro-duced for possible use in Vietnam. In the event several thousands were made but were never issued, maybe because assassination weapons have a nasty tendency to be double-edged. Each Liberator pistol cost the Amer-ican government just \$2.40,

Specification Liberator M1942 Cartridge: .45 ball M1911 Length overall: 140 mm (5.55 in) Length of barrel: 102 mm (4 in) Weight: 0.454 kg (1ib) Muzzle velocity: 336m (1,100 ft) per second Magazine: none, but space for five rounds in butt

ColtM1911 and M1911A1

The Colt M1911 vies with the Browning HP as being one of the most successful pistol designs ever produced, for it has been manufactured in millions and is in widespread service all over the world some 70 years after it was first accepted for service in 1911. The design had its origins well before then, however, for the weapon was based on a Colt Browning Model 1900 design. This weapon was taken as the basis for a new service pistol required by the US Army to fire a new 11.43-mm (0.45-in) cartridge deemed necessary, as the then-standard calibre of 9.65mm (0.38 in) was considered by many to be too light to stop a charging enemy. The result was a series of trials in 1907, and in 1911 the Pistol, Automatic, Caliber 45, M1911 was accepted, Production was at first slow, but by 1917 was well enough under way to equip in part the rapid expansion of the US Army for its new role in France.

As the result of that battle experience it was decided to make some production changes to the basic design and from these came the M1911A1. The changes were not extensive, and were confined to such items as the grip safety configuration, the hammer spur outline and the mainspring housing. Overall the design and operation changed only little. The basic method of operation remained the same, and this mechanism is one of the strongest ever made. Whereas many contemporary pistol designs employed a receiver stop to arrest the backwards progress of the receiver slide the M1911 had a locking system that also produced a more positive stop. The barrel had lugs machined into its outer surface that

fitted into corresponding lugs on the slide. When the pistol was fired the barrel and slide moved backwards a short distance with these lugs still engaged. At the end of this distance the barrel progress was arrested by a swinging link which swung round to pull the barrel lugs out of the receiver slide, which was then free to move farther and so eject the spent case and restart the loading cycle. This robust system, allied with a positive applied safety and a grip safety, make the M1911 and M1911A1 very safe weapons under service conditions. But the pistol is a bit of a handful to handle and fire correctly, and a good deal of training is required to use it to full effect.

The M1911 and M1911A1 have both been manufactured by numerous companies other than Colt Firearms and have been widely copied direct in many parts of the world, not always to very high levels of manufacture.

Specification ColtM1911Al Cartridge:. 45 ball M1911 Length overall: 219 mm (8.6 in) ThispistolistheM1911(theM1911Al had several detail changes) and it is still the standard US Army service pistol after over 70 years in service. Firing a 0.45-in ball cartridge, it is still a powerful man-stopper, but is a bit of a handful to fire and requires training to use to its full potential.

Lengthofbarrel: 128 mm (5.03 in) Weight: 1,36kg(3lb) Muzzlevelocity: 252m(825ft)per second Magazine: 7-round box

The little Libera tor Ml 942 was an assassination weapon pure and simple, and was produced as cheaply and easily as possible. The barrels were unrifled, there was no

spen t case ejector and the mechanism was crude to a degree. But they worked, and were used mainly in the Far East and in China.

Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 Revolver

In 1940 the British army was in a desperate plight, with few men trained and even fewer weapons with which to arm them, Fortunately the United States, although not yet actually in the war, were at least sympathetic to the point where that nation would produce weapons for the British and to British designs. The British planned huge increases in armed manpower levels and had to obtain weapons to match, and among these weapons were pistols. Smith & Wesson was willing to produce revolvers to a British spécification, and the result was the pistol known either as the Revolver .387 200 or the Revolver No. 2 Cal.380. Whatever its designation, the pistol was a strictly orthodox design that was

Whatever its designation, the pistol was a strictly orthodox design that was conventional in every respect. It was straightforward in design and operation, and embodied not only Smith & Wesson craftsmanship but British requirements, the resulting weapon being robust to an extreme. It was just as well, for the British pistol production lines were never able to catch up with demand and the British/American design more than filled the gap. These pistols were issued to all arms of the British forces, went to many Commonwealth forces as well, and were even handed outto various European resist-

Below.A New Zealand officer armed with a Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 revolver during one of the campaigns in the desert. The revolver is being worn with the lanyard in the 'correct' position around the neck, but many preferred to wear it around the waist to prevent strangulation by an enemy in close-quarter combat. ance movements. Between 1940 and the time production ended in 1946 over 890,000 had been produced and issued. Many are still in service to this day, and it was well into the 1960s before some British units replaced them with the Browning HP.

fore some British units replaced them with the Browning HP. The Revolver .38/200 fired a 200-gram bullet and used the classic Smith Wesson chamber release to the left. Once the weapon was open, fired cartridge cases could be cleared with a sprung plunger rod. The trigger action could be either single- or double-action. The finish of the pistols was plain, and at times was neglected in order that the numbers required could be churned out. But the standard of manufacture never wavered: it was always good, and only the finest materials were used. Normally the pistol was carried in a closed leather or webbing holster which masked the hammer, so the snagging problem encoun-tered with the Enfield revolver was not so acute, but a typical British touch was that the revolver was usually fitted to a waist or neck lanyard to prevent an enemy taking the pistol away from the firer at close quarters. The weapon appears never to have gone wrong, even when subjected to the worst possible treatment.

Specification 0.38/200 Revolver Cartridge: 0.380 SAA ball (9.65 mm) Length overall: 257 mm (10.125 in) Length of barrel: 127 mm(5in) Weight: 0.880 kg (1.94 lb) Muzzle velocity: 198 m (650 ft) per second

Chambercapacity: 6 rounds

Above: A Canadian sergeant loads a Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 revolver. Empty cartridge cases were ejected by moving out the cylinder to the left and pressing a plunger normally under the barrel. All six spent cases were ejected together to allow each chamber to be reloaded one at a time, as seen here.

Below: The Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 revolver was an alliance of American workmanship and British combat experience that produced a robust and reliable pistol with no frills. Made from the very finest materials the finish was sometimes neglected to speed production, but manufacturing standards were never lowered.

During World War I the United Kingdom placed sizable orders in the United States for weapons of all types, and among these was one placed with Smith & Wessonof Springfield, Illinois, for the supply of military revolvers with a calibre of 11.56mm (0.455 in), the then-standard British pistol cartridge. Large numbers of these were supplied, but after the USA had entered the war in 1917 it was realized that large numbers of pistols would be needed to arm the enlarged US Army, and that the output from the Colt M1911 production line would be insufficient to meet the requirements. As a direct result the Smith & Wesson contract was taken over for the American forces, only for a new problem to crop up once the pistol production had been adapted to the American 11.43-mm (0.45-in) calibre.

(0.45-in) calibre. Nearly all pistol ammunition produced in 1917 was for the M1911 automatic pistol and was thus rimless, Using rimless ammunition in a revolver chamber posed several problems as revolver cartridges are normally rimmed. Hence a compromise solution was adopted in the form of three M1911 cartridges being held in 'halfmoon' clips to keep the cartridges from slipping too far into the revolver chambers when loaded. After firing the spent cartridges could be ejected in the normal way together with their clips and the clips would be reused if necessary. This solution was taken into US Army service and the pistols subsequently saw service in France and elsewhere,

The Revolver, Caliber .45, Smith & Wesson Hand Ejector, M1917, as the pistol was subsequently designated,

was a large robust weapon that was completely orthodox in design, operation and construction, apart from the use of the three-round clips, The revolving chambers swung out to the left for loading and case ejection, and the action was either single or double. Like many other pistols of its type, the M1917 was extremely robust and had already been well accepted by the British army before the US Army took over the type. The British were to use it again in 1940 when large numbers were sent over for Home Guard and Royal Navy use.

Colt Firearms also produced a very similar revolver to the Smith & Wesson weapon, as the Revolver, Caliber .45, Colt New Service, M1917. Total production of both was over 300,000 and Brazil purchased a further 25,000 in 1938. Many US military police units were still using the type as late as 1945,

Specification Smith &WessonM1917 Cartridge:. 45 ball M1911 Length overall: 274 mm (10.8 in) When the United States entered the war in 1917 there were not enough pistols to arm the gathering throngs ofrecruits. The Smith & Wesson MI 917 was rushed into production after being adapted to fire the standard 0.45-in cartridge and was produced in large numbers.

Length of barrel: 140 mm (5.5 in) Weight: 1.02 kg (2.25 lb) Muzzle velocity: 253 m (830 ft) per second

Chamber capacity: 6 rounds

Pistolet Radom wz.35

By the early 1930s the Polish army had a large number of pistol types in service, and wished to standardize on one particular type. Consequently an all-Polish design emerged and was put into production at the Fabryka Radom. This weapon became the standard Polish service pistol as the 9-mm Pistolet Radom wz.35 (wz. stands for wzor, or model).

The Radom wz.35 (wz.3 statistics to wz.67, or model). The Radom wz.35 was a combination of Browning and Colt design features with a few local Polish touches. In operation and use it was entirely conventional, but it lacked an applied safety and used only a grip safety, what appeared to be the applied safety catch on the left-hand side of the receive being only a catch used when stripping the pistol. The ammunition used was the 9-mm Parabellum, but firing this rather powerful round from the Radom was no great problem as the bulk and weight of the pistol was such that the firing stresses were absorbed to a remarkable degree. This weight and bulk made the Radom a better-than-average service pistol as it was able to cope with all manner of hard use, a fact improved by the high standards of manufacture, materials and finish employed until 1939,

In 1939 the Germans overran Poland and took over the Radom arsenal complete with the pistol production line. Finding the Radom wz.35 a thoroughly serviceable weapon the Germans adopted the design as a service pistol and kept it in production for their own use under the designation Pistole P 35(p). However, the Germans' requirement for pistols was so great that to speed production they eliminated some small features and reduced the overall standard of finish to the extent that 'German' Radoms can be easily identified from the earlier 'Polish' versions by their appearance alone. The Germans kept the Radom in full-scale production until 1944 when the advancing Red Army destroyed the factory.

When the new Polish army was reestablished after 1945 it adopted the Soviet TT33 as its new standard pistol and the Radom passed into history, Many are still around as collector's items, for the bulk of the German production went to the Waffen SS and was marked appropriately. Thus these pistols have an added collection value for many pistol buffs. Quite apart from this, the Radom wz.35 was one of the better service pistols of the war years and would continue to make a very serviceable sidearm to this day.

Specification Radom wz.35 Cartridge: 9-mmParabellum Length of barrel: 197 mm (7.76 in) Length of barrel: 121 mm (4.76 in) Weight: 1,022 kg (2.25 lb) Muzzle velocity: 351 m (1,150 ft) per second Magazine: 8-round box

The Radom wz.35 was a sound and reliable pistol of en tirely conventional design that was first produced in Poland in 1935. After 1939itwasproduced in some numbers for the German forces and thus many now seen carry German markings. Featuring some ofthebest Colt and Browning features plus a fewPolish touches, theRadom was an excellent service pistol.

czechoslovakia Automaticky Pistole vz.38 (CZ 38)

By the time that the German army marched into Czechoslovakia in 1938 and 1939 the Czech nations had evolved into one of the most industrious and innovative armaments manufacturers in all Europe. Pistols were one of the many weapon types produced, mainly at the Ceska Zbrojovka (CZ) in Prague, and from there emanated a string of excellent designs that included the vz.22, 24, 27, and 30 (vz. stands for vzor, or model), These pistols all fired the 9-mm (0.354-in) short cartridge and had many features in common with the Walther pistols of the period, but in 1938 came a pistol that bore no relation to anything that had been produced before.

The new pistol was the CZ 38 (otherwise known as the Automaticky Pistole vz.38), and by all accounts this was not one of the better service pistols of the time. It was a large automatic weapon using a simple blowback mechanism, but it fired the 9-mm (0,354-mm) short cartridge even though its size and weight could have accommodated a more powerful round. One feature that was unusual and outdated even at that time was that the trigger mechanism was double-action only (it could be fired only by using the trigger to cock and release the hammer) while most

JAPAN

once the slide was clear. Not many of these pistols were produced for the Czech army before the Germans moved in, but the type was kept in production for some time. To the Germans the CZ 38 was known as the 9 mm Pistole P 39(t), but most of the production went to police forces and some second-line units. Few survived after 1945. It is one of the few pistol designs that has not contributed some points to later designs.

Specification CZ 38

Cartridge: 9 mm short (0.380 ACP) Length overall: 198 mm (7.8 in) Length of barrel: 119 mm (4.69 in) Weight: 0.909 kg (21b) Muzzle velocity: 296m (970 ft) per second Magazine: 8-round box

Generally regarded as a less than successful design, the Czech CZ 38 was a large and cumbersome 9-mm pistol. It could be stripped very easily but the stiff and slow doubleaction made accurate shooting difficult.

94ShikiKenju

In the 1930s the Japanese armed forces had in service a sound design of automatic pistol known to most Westerners as the 'Nambu' (8-mm Pistol Type 14), but following the large-scale Japanese incursions into China in the mid-1930s the demand for more pistols for the expanding Japanese forces could not be met. An easy solution appeared on the scene in the shape of an 8-mm (0.315-in) automatic pistol that had been commercially produced in 1934, but sales of this pistol had been few, as a result mainly of the odd and clumsy appearance of the weapon. The armed forces were then able to purchase existing stocks of these pistols and took over the production of more. The resultant weapons were initially issued to tank and air force personnel, but by the time production ended in 1945 (after more than over 70,000 had been made) its use had spread to other arms.

By all accounts this pistol, known as the 94 Shiki Kenju (or Pistol type 94), was one of the worst service pistols ever produced: for a start the basic design was unsound in several respects, and then the overall appearance was wrong and the weapon handled badly, but allied to this was the fact that it was often unsafe. One reason for this last factor was that part of the trigger mechanism protruded from the left side of the frame, and if this was pushed when a round was in the chamber the pistol would fire. Another bad feature was the device to

The 94 Shiki Kenju was one of the worst pistol designs ever produced, for it was cumbersome, awkward to use and basically unsafe as the firing sear projected from the side and could be easily knocked to fire the pistol inadvertently. But it was all the Japanese had and it was kept in production until 1945. ensure that only single shots would be fired each time the trigger was pulled, for this was so arranged that a cartridge could be fired before it was fully in the chamber. When these faults were allied to poor manufacture and poor quality materials the result was a weapon that was unsafe to an alarming degree. The problem for the Japanese personnel who had to use the gun was that production was often so rushed that the product was badly made, and troops had to use the Type 94 simply because Japanese industry could produce nothing better at that time, Examples have been found that still bear file or other machine tool marks on the outside, and the degree of 'slop' in the mechanisms of some should signify that the Type 94 is a pistol that should not be carried or fired: it is a collector's piece only.

Despite the fact that this Japanese captain is a tank officer, he is armed with a traditional sword as well as a Type 94 pistol. The sword must have been rather unwieldy in the confines of a tank turret.

Specification Pistol Type 94 Cartridge: 8 mm Taisho 14 Length overall: 183 mm (7.2 in) Length ofbarrel: 96 mm (3.78 in) Weight: 0.688 kg (1,521b) Muzzle velocity: 305 mm (1,000 ft)per second Magazine: 6-round box

Pistola Automatica Glisenti modello 1910

The pistol that is now generally known as the Pistola Automatica Glisenti modello 1910 was originally known as the Brizia, but the production and other patents were taken over by the Società Siderugica Glisenti in the first decade of the 20th century. In 1910 this pistol was adopted by the Italian army as its standard service pistol, but for many years it managed only to supplement the earlier 10.35-mm modello 1889 revolver, and in fact this ancient pistol remained in production until the 1930s.

The Glisenti had several unusual features, and its mechanism was of a type little encountered in other designs. It used an operating system loosely described as a delayed blowback, in which the barrel and the receiver recoiled to the rear on firing, As it recoiled the action caused a rotary bolt to start to turn, and this rotation continued once the barrel had stopped moving after a distance of about 7 mm (0.276 in). The barrel was held in place by a rising wedge which was freed as the receiver moved forward again to chamber a fresh cartridge. All this movement had several effects: one was that while everything was moving the action was open and thus exposed to the ingress of debris such as sand (as in the North African deserts), and another was that the trigger pull was long and 'creepy', which made accurate fire that much more difficult. The action itself was made no more reliable by being constructed in such a way that the entire left side had no supporting frame and was held in

place by a screwed-on cover plate, In prolonged use this plate could come separated from the pistol, causing it to jam. Even when in place the action was generally 'sloppy' and the moving parts displayed an unpleasant amount of internal movement.

To overcome the worst of this action the Italians introduced a special cartridge for this pistol known as the 9-mm Glisenti. In appearance and dimensions it resembled the standard 9-mm Parabellum, but the propellant load

ITALY

was reduced to produce less recoil and thus less internal stress. This cartridge was unique to the Glisenti, and if normal 9-mm ammunition was inadvertently loaded and fired the results could be disastrous to pistol and firer.

The Glisenti remained in production until the late 1920s but it was still in use in the Italian army until 1945. It is now a collector's piece only. The Glisentimodello 1910 was an odd mixture of design innovations allied with a weakframe design.

Specification Glisenti modello 1910 Cartridge: 9-mm Glisenti Length overall: 210 mm (8.27 in) Length of barrel: 102 mm (4.02 in) Weight: 0.909kg (21b) Muzzle velocity: 320 m (1,050 ft) per second Magazine: 7-round box

Pistola Automatica Beretta modello 1934

The little Pistola Automatica Beretta modello 1934 is one of the joys of the pistol collector's world, for it is one of those pistols that has its own built-in attraction. It was adopted as the standard Italian army service pistol in 1934, but it was then only the latest step in a long series of automatic pistols that could be traced back as far as 1915. In that year numbers of a new pistol design were produced to meet the requirements of the expanding Italian army, and although the Pistola Automatica Beretta modello 1915 was widely used it was never officially accepted as a service model, These original Beretta had a calibre of 7.65mm, although a few were made in 9 mm short, the cartridge that was to be the ammunition for the later modello 1934. After 1919 other Beretta pistols appeared, all of them following the

After 1919 other Beretta pistols appeared, all of them following the basic Beretta design. By the time the modello 1934 appeared the 'classic' appearance had been well established with the snub outline and the front of the cutaway receiver wrapped around the forward part of the barrel to carry the fixed foresight. The short pistol grip held only seven rounds and thus to ensure a better grip the characteristic 'spur' was carried over from a design introduced back in 1919. The operation used by the mechanisms

Beretta automatics (right) were amongst the most sought after of war trophies. Although of excellent design, they were really too light to be effective service pistols, but as personal weapons to officers such as the colonel depicted (left), they were highly prized. was a conventional blowback without frills or anything unusual, but although the receiver was held open once the magazine was empty it moved forward again as soon as the magazine was removed for reloading (most pistols of this type keep the receiver slide open until the magazine has been replaced). The modello 1934 did have an exposed hammer which was not affected by the safety once applied, so although the trigger was locked when the safety was applied the hammer could be cocked either by hand or by accident, an unfortunate feature in an otherwise sound design.

The modello 1934 was almost always produced to an excellent standard of manufacture and finish, and the type became a sought-after trophy of war. Virtually the entire production run was taken for use by the Italian army, but there was a modello 1935 in 7.65 mm which was issued to the Italian air force and navy. Apart from its calibre this variant was identical to the modello 1934, The Germans used the type as the Pistole P671(i). Despite its overall success the modello 1934 was technically underpowered, but it is still one of the most famous of all pistols used during World War II.

Specification

Beretta modello 1934 Cartridge: 9-mm short (0.380 AGP) Length overall: 152 mm (6in) Length of barrel: 90 mm (3.4 in) Weight: 0.568 kg (1.25 lb) Muzzle velocity: 290m (950 ft) per second Magazine: 7-round box

Allied and Axis Machine-Guns

The machine-gun stood out from the weapons introduced during World War I. In fixed positions, in support of massed infantry, it largely dictated infantry tactics. Towards the end of the conflict, however, a new, more mobile kind of warfare emerged, and any future battles were to be very different.

British tank corps troops receiving instruction in how to handle their machine-gun; such 'grim reapers' could lay down devastating fields of fire.

During World War II the machine-gun never quite succeeded in regaining the influence over the battlefield that it managed to acquire during World War I. Generally speaking, tactics were more fluid and mobility was the key concept, but this did not mean that the machine-gun had no influence on tactics: it remained a dreadful killer, it could still command ground, and it had been developed to still higher levels of technical perfection than its predecessors of World War I.

The machine-guns of 1939 to 1945 were still similar to those of World War I, but among the remaining relics of the earlier conflict there were many new designs.There was even a new type of machine-gun that had grown out of the analysed results of World War I. In that conflict there had been two machine-gun types, the light machine-gun and the heavy machine-gun: the light machine-gun could be carried by one man, was located on a small bipod for aiming and firing, and usually carried the ammunition in some form of magazine; the heavy machine-gun was a team or squad weapon capable of high and prolonged fire rates and was usually mounted on a heavy tripod; it was so heavy it was virtually static. From these two types of weapon the inter-war designers produced the generalpurpose machine-gun, a machinegun light enough to be carried by one man and used as an assault weapon but still capable of being mounted on a tripod and used to produce the fire power of a heavy machine-gun. The first design to combine these two possibly opposing requirements successfully was the German MG 34, but this was only the forerunner of many designs to come.

Apart from the MG 34 there were many other superb designs of machine-gun in use (and some very poor ones to balance them, the Breda modello 1930 perhaps being one of the worst); it remains as ever a paradox that the peak of human ingenuity should be devoted to the mechanized destruction of fellow humans. Thus the years 1939 to 1945 suffered from the excellence of the Bren Gun, the American M1919 series and the power of the 12.7mm (0.5in) Browning. But, from the design viewpoint perhaps the best design of all was the MG 34's successor, the magnificent MG 42.

When Czechoslovakia was estab-lished as a state after 1919 it contained within its borders a wide range of skills and talents, and among them was small arms expertise. In the early 1920s a company was established at Brno under the name of Ceskoslovenska Zbrojovka for the design and production of all types of small arms. An early product was a machine-gun known as the Lehky Kulomet ZB vz.24 using abox magazine feed, but it remained a prototype only for an even better design was on the stocks. Using some details from the vz.24 the new design was de-signated the Lehky Kulomet ZB vz.26.

This light machine-gun was an im-mediate success and has remained one of the most inspirational of all such weapons ever since. The vz.26 was a gas-operated weapon with a long gas piston under the barrel and fed from an adjustable gas vent about half-way down the finned barrel. Gas operating on the piston pushed it to the rear and a simple arrangement of a hinged breech block on a ramp formed the locking and firing basis. Ammunition was fed downwards from a simple incline box magazine, and the overall design emphasized the virtues of easy stripping, maintenance and use in ac-tion. Barrel cooling was assisted by the use of prominent fins all along the barrel but a simple and rapid barrel change method was incorporated.

The vz.26 was adopted by the Czech The v2.26 was adopted by the Czech army and soon became a great export success, being used by a whole string of nations that included China, Yugos-lavia and Spain. The v2.26 was fol-lowed in production by a slightly im-proved model, the Lehky Kulomet ZB v2.30, but to the layman the two models were identical, the v2.30 differing only in the way it was manufactured and in in the way it was manufactured and in some of the internal details. Like the vz.26, the vz.30 was also an export suc-cess, being sold to such countries as Iran and Romania, Many nations set up their own production lines under li-cence from ZB, and by 1939 the two designs were among the most numerous light machine-gun types in the world, When Germany started to take over most of Europe, starting with Czechoslovakia, the vz.26 and vz.30 became German weapons (MG 26(t) and MG 30(t)) and even remained in production at Brno for a while to satisfy the demands of the German forces. They were used all over the world and were even issued as standard German civil and military police machine-guns. Of all the nations involved in World War II none took to the type more avid-ly than China where production facilities were established. Perhaps the

Above: The Czech ZB vz.26, one of the most influential designs of its day and the forerunner of the British Bren gun; this example has its straigh 120-or 30-round box magazine missing.

Right: Chinese Nationalist troops fighting under General Chian Kai Shek training with a ZB vz.26 light machine-gun.

most lasting influence the vz.26 and vz. 30 had was on other designs; the Japanese copied them and so did the Spanish who produced a machine-gun known as the FAO. As is related else-where the vz.26 was the starting point for the British Bren, and the Yugoslavs produced their own variants,

If the Czech light machine-guns had any faults it was not in performance or handling but in production, for they were very expensive to make as many of the subassemblies had virtually to be machined and milled from solid metal. But this merely made them more robust and less prone to damage. They were, and still are, excellent light machine-guns.

Specification ZB vz.26 Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.31 in) Length: 1161 mm (45.71 in) Lengthofbarrel: 672 mm (26.46 in) Weight: 9.65 kg(21.3 lb) Muzzle velocity: 762 m (2,500 ft) per second

Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm Feed: 20- or 30-round box

Specification ZBvz.30

Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.31 in) Length: 1161mm (45.71 in)

Breda machine-guns

During World War I the standard Italian machine-gun was the water-cooled Fiat modello 1914 and post-war this was modernized as the air-cooled Mitriaglice Fiat modello 1914/35. But it was still a heavy weapon, even in its new air-cooled form, and a newer design of light machine-gun was initiated. The new design was produced by Breda who used the experience gained by the production of earlier models in 1924, 1928 and 1929 to produce the Fucile Mitriagliatori Breda modello 30. This became the standard Italian army light machine-gun of World War II.

The modello 30 was one of those machine-gun designs that could at best be deemed unsatisfactory. In appearance it looked to be all odd shapes and projections and this was no doubt a hindrance to anyone who had to carry it, for these*brojections snagged on clothing and other equipment. But this was not all, for the Breda desig-ners had tried to introduce a novel feed system using 20-round chargers which were rather flimsy and gave frequent trouble. These chargers were fed into a folding magazine that had a delicate hinge, and if this magazine or

the fitting was damaged the gun could not be used. To compound this problem, the extraction of the used car-tridge cases was the weakest part of the whole gas-operated mechanism, and to make the gun work an internal oil pump was used to lubricate the used cases and thus assist extra ex-traction, While this system worked in theory the added oil soon picked up dust and other debris to clog the mechanism, and in North Africa sand was an ever-present threat. And as if this were not enough, the barrelchange method, although operable,

Length of barrel: 672 mm (26.46in) Weight: 10.04 kg (22.13 lb) Muzzle velocity: 762 m (2,500 ft) per

Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm

Feed: 30-round box

second

Waffen SS troops firing a Czech ZB vz.30, a development of the ZB vz.26; the Germans knew this gun as the MG 30(t) and used it widely.

was rendered awkward by the fact that there was no barrel handle (and thus no carrying handle), so the oper-ator had to use gloves. With no other type in production the modello 30 had to be tolerated, and there was even a later modello 38 version in 7.35-mm (0.29-in) calibre.

The other two Breda machine-guns were at least better than the modello 30. One was the Mitrigliera Breda RM modello 31, produced for mounting on the light tanks operated by the Italian army. This had a calibre of 12.7mm (0.5-in) and used a large curved vertic-

al box magazine that must have res-tricted the weapon's use in AFV interiors.

As a heavy machine-gun the company produced the Mitragliace Breda modello 37, and while this was overall a satisfactory weapon it did have an unusual feed feature: a flat 20-round feed tray which worked its way through the receiver to accept the spent cartridge cases, Exactly why this complex and guite unnecessary sys-tem was adopted is now impossible to ascertain, for the spent cases had to be removed from the tray before it could be reloaded with fresh rounds, The oil-pump extraction method was also used, rendering the modello 37 prone to the same debris clogging as the lighter modello 30. Thus the modello 37 was no more than adequate, even though the type became the standard Italian heavy machine-gun.

A version of the modello 37 for mounting in tanks was produced under the designation Mitriaglice Breda modello 38.

Specification modello 30 Calibre: 6.5 mm (0.256 in) Length: 1232 mm (48.5 in) Lengthofbarrel: 520mm (20.47 in) Weight: 10.32 kg (22.75 lb) Muzzle velocity: 629 m (2,065 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-500 rpm

Specification modello 37 Calibre: 8 mm (0.315 in)

Feed: 20-round charger

Length: 1270 mm(50.0 in) Length of barrel: 740 mm (29.13 in) Weight: 19.3 kg (42.8 lb) Weightof tripod: 18.7 kg (41.2 lb) Muzzle velocity: 790 m (2,590 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-500 rpm Feed: 20-round tray

A Bredamodello 306.5-mm (0.256in) light machine-gun, one of the least successful machine-guns ever designed. For all its faults it served the Italians throughout the war.

and the second

Type 11 and Type 96 light machine-guns

The Japanese heavy machine-guns used between 1941 and 1945 were both derivations of the French Hotchkiss machine-gun with only a few local changes, When it came to the lighter machine-guns the Japanese designed their own, the first of which was based on the same operating principles as the Hotchkiss but with the usual local variations.

The first of these was the 6.5-mm (0.256-in) Light Machine-Gun Type 11, which entered service in 1922 and remained in service until 1945. Its Hotchkiss origins were readily apparent in the heavily ribbed barrel and less obviously in the internal mechanisms. obviously in the internal mechanisms. The design was credited to one General Kijiro Nambu and it was by the name of 'Nambu' that the type was known to the Allies. It was in its ammunition feed system that the Type 11 was unique, for it used a hopper system employed by no other machine-gun, The idea was that a small hopper on the left of the receiver could be kept filled with the rounds could be kept filled with the rounds

fired by the rest of the Japanese infan-try squad. The rounds could be fed into the hopper still in their five-round clips, thus rendering special maga-zines or ammunition belts unneces-sary. But in practice this advantage was negated by the fact that the internal mechanism was so delicate and complex that firing the standard rifle round caused endless troubles. Thus special low-powered rounds had to be used and things were made no better by having to use a cartridgelubrication system that attracted the usual dust and other debris to clog the works. The Type 11 was capable of automatic fire only, and when the weaponwasfired the ammunition hopper tended to make the whole system per tended to make the whole system unbalanced and awkward to fire. A special version, the Tank Machine-Gun Type 91, was produced for use in tanks, with a 50-round hopper. The bad points of the Type 11 be-came very apparent after early com-bat experience in China during the 1930s, and in 1936 the first examples of

Above; The/apanese 6.5-mm (0.256-in) Type 96 light machine-gun was one of the few machine-guns ever equipped with a bayonet, and was a combination of Czech and Everyth dociments and French designs.

Right/Much of the fighting of the Pacific island war was between the Marines of the combatants. This Japanese Leading Seaman is a marine, and is carrying a Type 96 light machine-gun.

a new design known as Light Machine-Gun Type 96 started to reach the troops. While the Type 96 was a de-finite improvement on the Type 11, it did not replace the earlier model in service, mainly as a result of the fact that Japanese industry could never produce enough weapons of any type to meet the demands of the armed forces. Overall the Type 96 used a mixture of the old Hotchkiss principles and some of the features of the Czech ZB vz.26 that the Japanese had encoun-tered in China. One of these ex-Czech features was the overhead box magazine that replaced the hopper of the Type 11, but internally the cartridgeoiling system had to be retained along with the attendant clogging, But the Type 96 did have a quick barrelchange system and there was a choice of drum or telescopic rear sights. Once in production the telescopic real signs. Once soon became the exception, but a handy magazine-filling device was re-tained. One accessory that was unique to the Type 96 among all other machine-gun designs was that it had a muzzle attachment to take a bayonet.

Specification Light Machine-Gun Type 11 Calibre: 6.5 mm(0.256 in) Length of barrel: 483 mm (19.0 in) Weight: 10.2kg(22.51b) Muzzle velocity: 700m(2,295 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm Feed: 30-round hopper

Specification Light Machine-Gun Type 96 Calibre: 6.5 mm(0.256 in) Length of barrel: 552 mm(21.75 m) Weight: 9.07 kg (20 lb) Muzzle velocity: 730 m (2,395 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 550 rpm Feed: 30-round box

The Japanese Type 99 light machinegun was a development of the earlier Type 96 calibredfora 7.7-mm (0.303in) cartridge, but it retained a bayonet lug under the bipod hinge.

Browning Automatic Rifle

The Browning Automatic Rifle M1918A2. The last production variant of this light machine-gun/ automatic assault rifle used a 20round box magazine and lacked the rapid barrel change of other more modern light machine-guns. It is shown below carried by a US soldier in 1944.

The Browning Automatic Rifle, or BAR as it is usually known, is one of those odd weapons that falls into no precise category. It may be regarded as a rather light machine-gun or as a rather heavy assault rifle, but in practice it was used as a form of light machinegun.

As its name implies, the BAR was a product of John M. Browning's inventive mind, and Browning produced the first prototypes m 1917. Whendemons-

trated they were immediately adopted for US Army service and were thus taken to France for active use during 1918. But the numbers involved at that time were not large, and the few used were employed as heavy rifles. This was not surprising as the first models, the BAR M1918, had no bipod and could only be fired from the hip or shoulder. A bipod was not introduced until 1937 with the BAR M1918A1 and the full and final production version, the BAR M1918A2, had a revised bipod and the facility for a stock rest to be added for added stability. It was the M1918A1 and M1918A2 that were to become the main American operational models, and they were issued to bolster squad fire power rather than as a squad support weapon.

a squad support weapon. The original M1918 did have a role to play in World War II, for it was sent over to the United Kingdom in 1940 to provide some form of weapon for the British Home Guard and some found their way into other second-line use. The later models were produced in thousands in the USA and once in service in large numbers they became the sort of weapon upon which soldiers came to rely. This is not to say that the BAR did not have faults, for the box magazine had a capacity of only 20 rounds, which was far too few for most infantry operations, Being something of an interim weapon type it had few tactical adherents in the theoretical field but the soldier swore by the BAR and always wanted more that could be produced. After 1945 the BAR was used again in Korea and was not finally replaced until 1957 by the US Army. Even today new versions intended to arm police forces are still available under the name Monitor. One little-known facet of BAR production is the pre-1939 output of a variant designated modèle 30 from the Fabrique Nationale (FN) plant at Liège in Belgium. From this factory emerged a string of BAR models in various calibres for the armies of Belgium itself, Sweden, some Baltic states and some Central and South American states, including Honduras, Many found their way to the Chinese army. Poland set up a national assembly line for the BAR but their calibre was 7.92 mm (0.31 in), whereas the bulk of the FN output was in 7.65 mm (0.301 in) to suit the domestic preferred calibre, Many of these Polish BARs ended up in Soviet army hands after 1939, and even the German army used the BAR after capture from a variety of sources. The Poles thought very highly of the BAR and went to the extent of mounting the weapon on specially produced and very complex and heavy tripods; there was also a special anti-aircraft version.

Specification BARM1918A2 Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length of barrel: 610 mm (24.0 in) Weight: 8.8 kg (19.41b) Muzzle velocity: 808 m (2,650 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 500-600 rpm (fast rate) or 300-450 rpm (slowrate) Feed: 20-roundbox

Browning M1919 machine-guns

The Browning M1919 series differed from the earlier M1917 series in that the original water-cooled barrel was replaced by an air-cooled barrel. This air-cooled model was originally in-tended for use in the many tanks the United States was going to produce United States was going to produce, but the end of World War I led to the but the end of world war 1 led to the tank contracts being cancelled along with those for the original M1919. But the air-cooled Browning was de-veloped into the M1919A1, the M1919A2 (for use by the US Cavalry) and then the M1919A3. The production totals for these early models were new totals for these early models were nev-er very high, but with the M1919A4 the totals soared. By 1945 the production total stood at 438,971 and more have

been produced since then. The M1919A4 was produced mainly for infantry use and it proved to be a first-class heavy machine-gun capable of pouring out masses of fire and absorbing all manner of abuse and absorbing all manner of abuse and punishment. As a partner for this infan-try version, a special model for use on tanks was produced as the M1919A5. There was also a special US Air Force model, the M2, for use on both fixed wing and flexible installations, and the US Navy had its own range based on the M1919A4 and known as the AN-M2.

Among all these types and in such a long production run there were numerous minor and major modifications and production alterations, but the basic M1919 design was retained throughout. The basic M1919 used a fabric or metal-link belt feed. The normal mount was a tripod, and of these there were many designs ranging from normal infantry tripods to large and complex anti-aircraft mountings. There were ring- and gallows-type mountings for use on all sorts of trucks from jeeps to fuel tankers, and there were numerous special mountings for all manner of small craft.

Perhaps the strangest of the M1919 variants was the M1919A6. This was produced as a form of light machinegun to bolster infantry squad power, which until the introduction of the M1919A6 had to depend on the fire-power of the BAR and the rifle. The M1919A6 was a 1943 innovation: it was basically the M1919A4 fitted with an awkward-looking shoulder stock, a bipod, a carrying handle and a lighter

Above: A Browning M1919A4 machine-gun on its normal tripod and clearly showing the perfora ted barrel cooling jacket and the square receiver; it was produced in huge numbers and the type is still in use all over the world.

A Long Range Desert Group Jeep armed with Vickers-Berthier C.O. machine-guns and with a Browning M1919A4 mounted at the front; this gun has every appearance of being adapted from an aircraft mounting.

barrel. The result was a rather heavy light machine-gun that at least had the advantage that it could be produced quickly on existing production lines. Disadvantages were the general awkwardness of the weapon and the need to wear a mitten to change the barrel when it got hot, For all that the M1919A6 was churned out in large numbers (43,479 by the time production ended), and the troops had to put up with it, for it was better in its role than the BAR.

If there was one overall asset that was enjoyed by all the versions of the M1919 series of machine-guns it was reliability, for the types would carry on working even in conditions in which other designs (other than perhaps the Vickers) would have given up. They all used the same basic recoil method: muzzle gases push back the entire barrel and breech mechanism until a bolt accelerator continues the rearward movement to a point at which springs

return the whole mechanism to restart

the process. The M1919 series (including the un-lovely M1919A6) is still in widespread use, although the M1919A6 is now used by only a few South American states.

Specification M1919A4 Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length of barrel: 610 mm (24.0 in) Length of barrel: 610 mm (24.0 in) Weight: 14,06kg(31ib) Muzzlevelocity: 854m(2,800ft)per

second Rate of fire, cyclic: 400-500 rpm Feed: 250-round belt

Specification Specification M1919A6 Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length: 1346 mm (53.0 in) Length of barrel: 610 mm (24.0 in) Weight: 14.74kg (32.51b) Muzzle velocity: 854 m (2,800 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 400-500 rpm Feed: 250-round belt

Browning 12.7-mm(0.5-in) heavy machine-guns

Ever since the first Browning 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun was pro-duced in 1921 the type has been one of the most fearsome anti-personnel weapons likely to be encountered. The projectile fired by the type is a prodigious man-stopper, and the machine-gun can also be used as an armour-defeating weapon, especially when firing armour-piercing rounds. The round is really the heart of the gun, and early attempts by Browning to produce a heavy machine-gun all foundered on the lack of a suitable cartridge.

The classic Browning machin e-gun on its usual tripod. It was first placed inproduction in 1921 and remains so, as it is one of the best antipersonnel weapons ever developed; it also has a very useful an ti-armour capability.

It was not until the examination of a captured German 13-mm (0.51-in) round (used in the Mauser T-Gewehr anti-tank rifle) that the solution was found, and thereafter all was well. The basic cartridge has remained essentially unchanged, although there have been numerous alternative propellants and types of projectile.

From the original Browning M1921 heavy machine-gun evolved a whole string of variants based on what was to become known as the M2. On all these variants the gun mechanism remained the same, being very similar to that used on the smaller M1917 machinegun. Where the variants differed from each other was in the type of barrel fitted and the fixtures used for mounting the gun.

One of the most numerous of the M2s has been the M2 HB, the suffix denoting the use of a Heavy Barrel. The HB version can be used in all manner of installations and in the past has been employed as an infantry gun, as an anti-aircraft gun and even as a fixed or trainable aircraft gun. For infantry use the M2 HB is usually mounted on a heavy tripod, but it can also be used mounted on vehicle pintles, ring mountings and pivots. Other M2 types include versions with water-cooled barrels, which were usually employed

FRANCE

as anti-aircraft weapons, especially on US Navy vessels where during World War II they were often fixed in multiple mountings for use against low-flying attack aircraft. Single water-cooled mountings were often used to provide anti-aircraft defence for shore installations. The main change between ground-based and aircraft versions was that the aircraft model had a barrel 914 mm (36 in) long whereas the ground version had a barrel 1143 mm (45 in) long. Apart from the barrel and some mounting fixtures, any part of the M1921 and M2 machine-guns can be interchanged. More 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning

More 12.7-mm (0.5-m) Browning machine-guns have been produced in the United States than any other design. To date the figures run into millions and the production run is still not complete, for during the late 1970s two American companies found it worthwhile to put the type back into production, and the same applied to the Belgian FN concern. Many more companies throughout the world find it profitable to provide spares and other such backing for the M2 series, and almostevery year another ammunition producer introduces yet another type of cartridge for use with the weapon, Many dealers find it profitable just to sell or purchase such weapons alone,

so there is no sign yet that demand for the gun is weakening in any way.

The M2 will be around for decades to come, and there is no sign of any replacement. It must rank as one of the most successful machine-gun designs ever produced!

Specification M2HB Calibre: 12.7 mm (0.5 in) Length: 1654 mm (65.1 in) This anti-aircraft mounting, known as the M45Maxson Mount, used four heavy barrelled Browning M2s.

Length of barrel: 1143 mm (45.0 in) Weight: 38.1kg (84 lb) Weight of tripod: 19.96 kg (44 lb) for MStype Muzzle velocity: 884 m (2,900 ft) per seond Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-575 rpm Feed: 110-round metal-link belt

Fusil Mitrailleur modèles 1924/29 and Mitrailleuse 1931 machine-guns

The French army was not slow to realize the impact of the light machine-gun on tactics during World War I, and soon after the Armistice spent considerable time and effort developing a national design suitable for extensive French deployment. Despite all the effort, the eventual result was a weapon with a mechanism based on that of the American Browning Automatic Rifle but altered in several ways to suit a new cartridge with *a* calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in). The first production model was the Fusil Mitrailleur modèle 1924 (Automatic Rifle M1924), which was manufactured at the large arsenal at Saint-Etienne. The design was clean and modern-looking, and used an overhead box magazine holding 25 or 26 rounds. A dual-trigger system was provided for single shots or full automatic fire.

Unfortunately neither the gun nor the cartridge was fully developed before the type was introduced into service, resulting in a series of internal barrel explosions and other shortcomings. The solution was to redesign the cartridge to make it slightly less powerful (becoming shorter in the process) and to beef up some of the weapon's parts. The result was the Fusil Mitrailleur modèle 1924/29, and it was this type that eventually became the standard French light machinegun for much of the army in 1939. A range of mountings was devised for the weapon, and there was even a small monopod for mounting under the but to make prolonged fire more accurate, A special variant of the mie 1924/29

A special variant of the mie 1924/29 was produced, initially for use in the Maginot Line defences but eventually also for tanks and other AFVs. This was the Mitrailleuse modèle 1931, and at first sight it had little in common with the earlier model. The mie 1931 had a peculiarly-shaped butt and a prominent side-mounted drum magazine

holding no less than 150 rounds. Despite appearances the internal arrangements were the same as those of the mie 1924/29, even if the overall length and barrel length were increased. In static defences the increased weight was no handicap and the mie 1931 was produced in large numbers. In fact so many were produced that ultimately the model was used outside the concrete defences as an anti-aircraft weapon, often arranged in pairs on special or even improvised anti-aircraft mountings.

With the events of 1940 the mie 1924/ 29 and mie 1931 passed into German hands as the Leichte MG 116(f) and Kpfw MG 331(f) respectively. Only a relatively few remained in French hands in the Middle East and North Africa, and there they were used until 1945. After 1945 the type was once more put into production and it remained in service for many years, some examples ending up in the hands of the Viet Cong in the Far East. Postwar production involved only the mie 1924/29.

The German booty of 1940 meant that many mie 1924/29s and mie 1931 machine-guns were later incorporated into the defences of the Atlantic Wall, and the mie 1931 was especially favoured by the Germans as an antiaircraft weapon. But for all this widespread use the mie 1924/29 and mie 1931 were neverentirely trouble-free, and the cartridge they fired was generally deemed underpowered and lacking in range: maximum useful range was only 500 to 550 m (550 to 600 yards) instead of the 600+ m (655+ yards) of many contemporary designs.

Specification

Fusil Mitrailleur mie 1924/29 Calibre: 7.5 mm (0.295 m) Length: 1007 mm (39.65 in) A Chatelleraultmodèle 1924/29 light machine-gun, the standard French Ught machine-gun of 1940; it had a calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in) and used two triggers, one for automatic fire and the other for single shots.

Length of barrel: 500 mm (19.69 in) Weight: 8.93 kg (19.7 lb) Muzzle velocity: 820 m (2,690 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-600 rpm Feed: 25-round box

Feed: 25-round box Specification

Mitrailleuse mie 1931 Calibre: 7.5 mm (0.295 in) Length: 1030 mm (40.55 in) Length of barrel: 600 mm (23.62 in) Weight: 11.8 kg (26.01b) Muzzle velocity: 850 m (2,790 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 750 rpm Feed: ISO-round drum

How machine-guns work

The very first machine-guns operated on what was basically a recoil principle. The very simplest operated on the blow-back principle, in which the recoil forces attendant on firing a rifle-power cartridge impinge directly on a breech block and force it back, only the mass of the block and perhaps some springs preventing the block from moving back while the internal pressures in the barrel remain at a dangerously high level. The simplicity of this system is overcome by the weights and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain 'locked' during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case until a point is reached where compressed springs push the whole arrangement back to the start position, loading a new round on the way ready for the next firing cycle. On many designs once the barrel and breech were unlocked a mechanical device known as an accelerator ensured that the breech block (or bolt) moved to the rear at an increased rate. The recoil system could even be divided into short or long recoil systems. The gas-operated system is generally much lighter than the recoil system as the individual parts are not so highly stressed as those on the recoil system and can thus be made smaller and simpler. Thus the gas-operated system was more usually found in World War II light machine-guns. The principle uses propellant gases tapped off from a point along the barrel through which they are diverted even while the projectile is still in the barrel. This is safe as the gases have to overcome the inertia of a gas piston, which eventually moves to the rear taking the breech block away from the fixed barrel. Again, accelerators may be used but the gas pressure usually provides all the propulsion required until a point is reached where the breech is far enough to the rear for the gases to be vented through open ports in the receiver. Springs then return the piston and the breech block to the start position, loading a new round on the way. Needless to say there are several variations on this principle but they all operate along the same general lines.

The locking devices involved in both recoil and gas-operated mechanisms were many and varied. Most relied on some form of ramp or roller to form an obstacle with sufficient mechanical advantage (at the precise point when the round was fired and pressures were at their highest) to prevent the breech from moving. Some, usually involving rollers and lugs, actually used the rearwardforcing gas pressures of the cartridge case to form the 'lock' at the instant of firing, while others used a simple wedge system. Some were very complex and some were simple to the point of absurdity, but generally speaking the simpler the system the more reliable it was in operation.

Quick release lever

The barrel was easy to Gas tube and piston change, by releasing the lever and drawing offby the carrying^ The gas piston was made from high quality metals, as it had to bear the handle brunt of the gas operating system. As the firing gases came through the regulator they impinged directly onto the piston face, driving it to the Gas regulator rear. When the piston had been The gas regulator has four positions, pushed back to the correct distance which allow the firing gases to the gases were allowed to escape through vents in the side of the piston escape through holes offour varying sizes into the gas piston cylinder. wall. Flash hider At the end of the barrel the flash hider minimizes the amount of flame issuing from the weapon. 373 Propellant gases are drawn off through the regulator to act on a piston. This forces back the working parts, against the pressure of the return springin the hutt 352 The hammer and bolt assembly, while withdrawing, extracts the empty cartridge case from the breech. Once clear it is ejected.

A fresh cartridge is fed from the magazine. The working parts are then moved back into position by the return springin the butt.

WINNIN

The Bren gun is seen here in its originalproduction form with a drum rearsight and adjustable bipod legs - la ter versions had these removed and replaced by simpler components.

Magazine The magazine was curved to accommodate the rimmed 0.303-in cartridge and could hold up to 20 rounds.

Hammer The hammer actuator was a fixed post which struck the rear of the firing pin to allowit to strike the cartridge primer. The pin could not strike the primer until the round was properly chambered.

Sights

Early models used drum sights with the rearsight on an arm, but later models used the simpler leafsight.

Recoil spring

The main recoil spring was contained within the butt and was connected to the main movingparts by a steel rod which protruded in to the receiver, so normally the recoil spring was neither touched nor seen when stripping. The rod was flexibly mounted to allow the piston group to be withdrawn for stripping.

Trigger A nre selector lever close to the trigger had three positions; single shot, safe and automatic.

Bren light machine-gun

The Bren Gun was a development of the original Czech ZB vz,26 light machine-gun, but the development path was one that involved as much British as Czech expertise. During the 1920s the British army sought far and wide for a new type of light machinegun to replace the generally unsatisfactory Lewis Gun, trying all manner of designs, most of which were found wanting in some way or other. By 1930 a series of trials commenced involving several designs, among them the vz.26 in the form of a slightly revised model, the ZB vz.27, The ZB vz.27 emerged as a clear winner from these trials but it was made in 7,92-mm (0.31-in) calibre only, and the British army wanted to retain its 7.7mm (0.303-in) cartridge with its outdated cordite propellant and its awkward rimmed case.

Thus started a series of development models that involved the vz.27, the later vz.30 and eventually an interim model, the ZB vz.32. Then came the vz.33 and it was from this that the Royal Small Arms Factory at Enfield Lock evolved the prototype of what became the Bren Gun (Bren from the

BR of Brno, the place of origin, and EN from Enfield Lock). Tooling up at Enfield Lock resulted in the first production Bren Gun Mk 1 being turned out in 1937, and thereafter the type remained in production at Enfield and elsewhere until well after 1945. By 1940 well over 30,000 Bren Guns had been produced and the type was well established in service, but the result of Dunkirk not only supplied the Germans with a useful stock of Bren Guns (Leichte MG 138(e)) and ammunition but also led to a great demand to reequip the British army.

The original design was thus much modified to speed up production, and new lines were established. The original gas-operated mechanism of the ZB design was retained and so was the breech locking system and the general appearance, but out went the rather complicated drum sights and extras such as the under-butt handle in the Bren Gun Mk 2. The bipod became much simpler but the curved box magazine of the 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Bren was carried over. In time more simplifications were made (Bren Gun Mk 3 with a shorter barrel and Bren Gun Mk 3 with a modified butt assembly), and there was even a reversion to the 7.92-mm (0.31-in) calibre when Brens were manufactured in Canada for the Chinese army. The Bren Gun turned out to be a

The Bren Gun turned out to be a superb light machine-gun. It was robust, reliable, easy to handle and to maintain, and it was nottoo heavy forits role. It was also very accurate. In time a whole range of mountings and accessories was introduced, including some rather complex anti-aircraft mountings that included the Motley and the Gallows mountings. A 200-round drum was developed but little used, and various vehicle mountings were designed and introduced. The Bren Gun outlived all these accessories, for after 1945 the type remained in service and the wartime 'extras' were phased out.

The Bren Gun on its basic bipod did linger on, however, and is still in British Army service as the Bren Gun L4A2. It now boasts a calibre of the standard NATO 7,62 mm (0.3 in) and the barrel is chrome-plated to reduce wear and the need to change barrels during prolonged fire using the simple barrelchange device. Today the type is still in army service with second-line and support arms and also with the Royal Navy, and there seems to be no replacement for the type in sight. It was and still is an excellent light machinegun.

Specification

Bren Light Machine-Gun Mk 1 Calibre: 7.7 mm (0.303 in) Length 07barrel: 635 mm (25.0 in) Weight: 10.03 kg (22.12 lb) Muzzle velocity: 744 m (2,440 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm Feed: 20-round box magazine

The Vickers machine-gun range had its origins in the Maxim'gun of the late 19th century, and was little changed from the original other than that the Maxim locking toggle design was in-verted in the Vickers product, The Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 1 had performed well in World War I, outperforming in many ways nearly all of its contemporaries. Consequently after 1918 the Vickers remained the stan-dard heavy machine-gun of both the Britisharmy and many of the Common-wealth forces as well. Many were exported all over the world but many of these were ex-stock weapons as pro-duction was kept at a very low ebb at Vickers' main production plant at Crayford in Kent.

However, some innovations were in-troduced before 1939; the introduction of the tank in all its various forms had led to the design of Vickers machineguns to arm the new fighting machines, guns to arm the new fighting machines, and by 1939 Vickers had in production two types of special tank machine-gun. These were in two calibres: the Vick-ers Machine-Gun Mks 4B, 6, 6* and 7 being of 7.7-mm (0.303-in) calibre and the Vickers Machine-Gun Mks 4 and 5 of the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) type firing a special cartridge. Both were pro-duced for all types of tank initially, but the introduction of the air-cooled Besa machine-guns for the bulk of the heavier tanks meant that most of the heavier tanks meant that most of the neavier tanks meant that most of the Vickers tank machine-guns ended up either in the light tank series or in the infantry tank types, the Matilda 1 and 2. The 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns were also produced in a variety of forms for the Royal Navy as the Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 3 with all manner of mountings for anti-aircraft defence of mountings for anti-aircraft defence of ships and shore installations. The ship installations included quadruple mountings, but the cartridge produced for the weapon was not a success and proved underpowered. Nevertheless, in the absence of an alternative the weapon was produced in some num-bers, only later being replaced by 20-

mm cannon and other such weapons. Thus 1939 found the Vickers machine-gun in service still and in some numbers. By 1940 all manner of ancient models from stock were being used in all roles including emergency anti-aircraftmountingstobolsterhome defences and production was soon under way again in large quantities. Demand was so heavy (most of the Brit-ish army's machine-gun stocks were lost before and during the Dunkirk episode) that production short-cuts were introduced, the most noticeable of which was the replacement of the corrugated barrel water jacket by a

simple smooth jacket. Later a new muzzle booster design was introduced and by 1943 the new Mark 8Z boat-tailed bullet was in widespread use to provide a useful effective range of no, less than 4100 m (4,500 yards). This en-abled the Vickers machine-gun to be used for the indirect fire role and a mortar sight was adapted for the role. After the war the Vickers served on

(and still does) with armies such as

Men of the Cheshire Regiment using their Vickers machine-guns on a range, in about 1940;note the water cans to retain evaporated steam from the barreljacket.

those of India and Pakistan. The British army ceased to use the type in 1968 but the Royal Marines continued to use theirs until well into the 1970s.

Specification Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 1 Calibre: 7.7 mm(0.303 in) Length: 1156 mm (45.5 in)Length of barrel: 721 mm (28.4 in)Weight of gun: 18.1 kg (40 lb) with water

Weight of tripod: 22 kg (48.5 lb) Muzzle velocity: 744 m (2,440 ft) per second Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-500 rpm Feed: 250-round belt

A Vickers machine-gun in its late production form with no corrugations on the barreljacket, the final form of the muzzle attachment and the indirect-fire sight in position.

The machine-gun seen here is not the usual 7.7-mm (0.303-in) version but a heavier 12.7-mm (0.5-in) version which was originally produced for use on light tanks; it is seen here in use on a Chevrolet truck belonging to the Long Range Desert Group.

Above: The Vickers-Berthier Mk3B produced for the Indian Army, showing the overall clean lines and general resemblance to theBren gun; the 30-round box magazine is not fitted.

Right: A patrol of the newly-formed SAS in North Africa in 1943; their Jeeps are liberally armed with Vickers-Berthier G.O. guns and they have 96-round drum magazines.

The Vickers-Berthier series of light machine-guns originally evolved from a French design produced just before World War I. Despite some promising features the design was not adopted in useful numbers by any nation, but in 1925 the British Vickers company purchased licence rights on the type, mainly to keep its Crayford production lines in being with a new model to replace the Vickers machine-gun. Af-ter a series of British army trials the ter a series of British army trials the type was adopted by the Indian army as its standard light machine-gun and eventully a production line for this Vickers-Berthier Light Machine-Gun Mk 3 was established at Ishapore. In general appearance and design the Vickers-Berthier light machine-

gun was similar to the Bren Gun, but internally and in detail there were many differences, Thus at times the Vickers-Berthier was often referred to by observers as the Bren. Apart from the large Indian Army contract the only other sales were to a few Baltic and South American states, and today the Vickers-Berthier is one of the least known of all World War II machine known of all world war II machine guns. This is not because there was anything wrong with the type (it was a sound and reliable design) but be-cause it had poor 'press' coverage and numerically was well outnumbered by the Bren Gun. But even today it re-mains in reserve use in India mains in reserve use in India. There was one Vickers-Berthier

gun derivative that did, however, obtain a much better showing. This was a much-modified version of the basic design with a large drum maga-zine mounted above the receiver and a

GERMANY

spade grip fixed to the rear where the butt would normally have been. This was a special design intended for open cockpit aircraft, and was intended for use on a Scarff ring by the observer. Large numbers of this de-sign were produced for the Royal Air Force, by whom it was known as the Vickers G.O (G.O. for gas operated) or Vickers K gun, but almost as soon as the type was introduced into service the open cockpit era came to a sudden close with the introduction of higher speed aircraft, The Vickers G.O. proved difficult to use in the close confines of aircraft turrets and impossible to use in wing installations, so it was placed almost immediately into store; some were used by the Fleet Air Arm on such aircraft as the Swordfish and thus remained in use until 1945 but their numbers were relatively few.

In 1940 many Vickers G.O. guns were taken out of store and widely used on various emergency mountings for anti-aircrft defences on airfields and other such installations, In North Africa the Vickers G.O. was avidly seized upon by the various irregular forces that sprang up for behind-the-lines operations, and the Vickers G.O. was thus used by such units as 'Popski's Private Army' on their heavily armed jeeps and trucks. The weapon proved ideal in the role and gave a good in-dication of how the original Vickers-Berthier machine-guns would have stood up under such conditions if they had been given the chance. The Vickers G.O. guns were used right until the end of the war in Italy and a few other theatres and they then passed right out of use, once more outnumbered by the more generally available Bren Guns.

This sepoy, carrying a Vickers-Berthier Mk3, is dressed in standard issue khaki drill, with two large pouches for spare magazines. The Indian Army was the major user of the Vickers-Berthier gun.

Specification Vickers-Berthier Light Machine-Gun Mk3 MK5 Calibre: 7.7 mm (0.303 in) Length: 1156 mm (45,5 in) Length of barrel: 600 mm (23.6 in) Weight: 11.1kg (24.4 lb) Muzzle velocity: 745 m (2,450 ft)per second

Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-600 rpm Feed: 30-round box

Specification Vickers G.O. Gun Calibre: 7,7 mm (0.303 in) Length: 1016 mm (40.0 in) Length of barrel: 529 mm (20.83 in) Weight: 9.5 kg (21 ib) Muzzle velocity: 745 m (2,450 ft) per second second Rate of fire, cyclic: 1,000 rpm Feed: 96-round drum

Maschinengewehr 34 general-purpose machine-gun \$

The terms of the Versailles Treaty of 1919 specifically prohibited (by means of a special clause) the development of any form of sustained-fire weapon by Germany, but this provision was cir-cumvented by the arms concern

Rheinmetall-Borsig by the simple expedient of setting up a 'shadow' concern under its control over the border at Solothurn in Switzerland during the early 1920s, Research carried out into air-cooled machine-gun designs re-

sulted in a weapon that evolved into the Solothurn Modell 1930, an advanced design that introduced many of the features that were incorporated in later weapons. A few production orders were received, but it was felt by the Germans that something better was required and thus the Modell 1929 had only a short production run before being used as the starting point for an aircraft machine-gun, the Rheinmetall MG 15. This long remained in production for the Luftwaffe.

From the Rheinmetall designs came what is still considered as one of the finest machine-gun designs ever pro-duced, the Maschinengewehr 34 or MG 34. Mauser designers at the Oben-dorff plant used the Modell 1929 and the MG 15 as starting points for what was to be a new breed of machine-gun, the general-purpose machine-gun. This new type could be carried by an infantry squad and fired from a bipod or mounted on a heavier tripod bipod or mounted on a heavier tripod for sustained fire over long periods. The mechanism was of the all-in-line type and the barrel had a quick-change facility for cooling, The feed was of two types, using either the sad-dle-drum magazine holding 75 rounds inherited from the MG 15 or a belt feed. To add to all this technical in-novation the MG 34 had a high rate of fire and could thus be effective against fire and could thus be effective against

low-flying aircraft. The MG 34 was an immediate success and went straight into production for all the various arms and auxiliaries of the German armed forces (and even the police). Demand for the MG 34 remained high right until 1945, and consistently outstripped supply. The supply situation was not aided by the number of mounts and gadgets that were introduced to go with the weapon. These varied from heavy tri-pods and twin mountings to expensive and complex fortress and tank mountings. There was even a periscopio gadget to enable the weapon to fire from trenches. These accessories con-sumed a great deal of production potential to the detriment of gun pro-duction proper, but production of the MG 34 was in any case not aided by one fact and that was that the design was really too good for military use. It took too long to manufacture and in-volved too manu complex and expen-sive machining processes, The result was a superb weapon, but actually us-ing it was rather like using a Rolls-Royce car for ploughing a field - it was too good for the task. Thus the German forces found themselves using a weapon they could not afford in terms of production potential, while demands meant they had to keep pro-duction going until the end. Variants of the basic model were the

MG 34m with a heavier barrel jacket for use in AFVs, and the shorter MG

An MC 34moun ted for the heavy machine-gun role on a Lafette 34 tripod and complete with the indirect-fire sight; the pads on the front leg rested against the carrier's back when folded for transport.

34s and MG 34/41 intended for use in the AA role and capable of automatic fire only. The overall length and barrel length of the two latter were about 1170 mm (46 in) and 560 mm (22 in) respectively.

Specification MG34 Calibre: 7.92 mm (0,31 in) Length: 1219mm(48.0in) Length of barrel: 627 mm (24.69 in) Weight: 11.5 kg (25.41b) with bipod Muzzle velocity: 755 m (2,475 ft) per second second Rate of fire, cyclic: 800-900 rpm Feed: 50 round belt (five belt lengths), or 75-round saddle drum

An MG 34 advancing through the USSR with the man on the right carry ing the folded Lafette 34, the man in the centre the MG34 and the

one on the right with a spare barrel slung across his back; the other man by the MG 34 carrier has an ammunition case.

Two Afrika Korps soldiers with an MG 34 in the heavy machine-gun role on a Lafette 34. By removing the

indirect fire sights and trigger, the MG 34 quickly converts to an LMG.

Despite the overall excellence of the MG 34 it was really too good for its task in terms of cost and production requirements, so despite the establish-ment of a full production facility and constant demand, by 1940 the Mauser designers were looking for something simpler. With the production example ofthe9-mmMP40sub-machinegunas an example in production simplicity and low cost, they decided to adopt new production methods using as few expensive machining processes as possible allied with new operating mechanisms. The new mechanisms came from a wide range of sources. Experience with the MG 34 had indi-cated how the feed could be revised, and designs captured when Poland was overrun appeared to promise a new and radical breech locking system. Other ideas came from Czecho-slovakia, and the Mauser team also introduced its own ideas. From this wealth of innovation came a new design, the MG 39/41, and from a series of trials carried out with this design came the Maschinengewehr 42 or MG 42, a design that must rank among the finest of its kind.

The MG 42 introduced massgun on a large scale. Earlier designs had used some simple sheet metal stampings and production short-cuts (one example being the little-known French Darne light machine-gun), but the harsh environment that the machine-gun has to endure meant that few had any success. On the MG 42 that success was immediate. Sheet metal stampings were extensively used for the receiver and for the barrel housing which incorporated an ingenious barrel-change system. The latter was very necessary for the MG 42 had a prodigious rate of fire that sounded like tearing linoleum. This was pro-duced by the locking mechanism employed, a mechanism that was de-veloped from several sources and was both simple and reliable. The system involved the use of two locking rollers runningup and down an internal ramp: in the forward position they locked the advantage and then allowed the ramp to release the locking. On the ammuni-tion feed an arm on the bolt was used to pull the ammunition belts across into the receiver in a simple and very effective fashion. Only the 50-round belt was used with the MG 42, These design details merged to

form a very effective general-purpose machine-gun and as is related elsewhere the type was attached to a wide range of mounts and other accessories. The MG 42's operational debut came in 1942, when it appeared in both the USSR and North Africa. Thereafter it was used on every front and in gener-al, issue was made to front-line troops only, for though the MG 42 was in-tended to supplant the MG 34 it in fact only supplemented the earlier type.

Not content with producing one of the finest machine-gun designs ever produced, the Mauser design team tried to go one better and came up with the MG 45 with an even higher rate of fire. The end of the war put paid to that design for the time being, but the MG 42 lives on with many armies.

An MG 42 for use in the Ught machine-gun role, with a bipod.

Above: An MG42 mounted on the Lafette 42 for the heavy machine-gun role; this heavy tripod could be quickly adapted for the anti-aircraft fire role.

Right: MG 42 awaiting invasion as part of a fortified position on the Atlantic Wall, complete with ammunition belt loaded and spare barrel at the ready by the ammunition case.

Specification MG42 Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.31 in) Cantore: 7.92 min(0.51 m) Length of barrel: 533 mm(20.98 in) Weight: 11.5 kg (25.4 lb) with bipod Muzzle velocity: 755 m (2,475 ft) per second

Rate of fire, cyclic: up to 1,550 rpm Feed:50-roundbelt

Specification DSnK1938

second

second

beltsjoined) Specification SG43

Calibre: 12.7 mm (0.5 in)

Length: 1602mm(63.1in)

Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in) Length: 1120 mm (44.1 in)

Use the second state is t

Feed: 50-round metal-link belt (five

Length of barrel: 719 mm (28,3 in) Weight: 13,8 kg (30.4 lb) Muzzlevelocity: 863 m(2,830 ft) per

Rate of fire, cyclic: 500-640 rpm

Feed: 50-round metal-link belt

Rate of fire, cyclic: 550-600 rpm

If there has ever been one factor differentiating Russian and Soviet machine-gun designs from those of other nations it was the simple factor of weight. For many years these machine-guns were built to such a standard of robustness that weight alone was used as a means of incorporating strength, the ultimate example being the old M1910 Maxim guns that almost resembled small artillery pieces with their wheeled and shielded carriages. Eventually this avoidable trait was recognized by the Red Army when mobility made its way into long-term planning and by the mid-1930s, when a new heavy machine-gun was required, emphasis being placed more on design than sheer mass for strength,

being placed more on design than sheer mass for strength, The new heavy machine-gun was intended to be in the same class as the 12.7-mm (0.5-m) Browning, but the Soviet equivalent turned out to be slightly lighter. It used a 12.7-mm (0.5in) cartridge and was intended for a variety of roles. To the credit of the new design, the DShK 1938 (in full the *Krasnoi Pulemet Degtyereva-Shpagma obrazets 1938g*), has proved to be almost as successful as the Browning, for it is still in production, albeit in a post-war modified form as the DShK 1938/46, and is still in widespread service.

If the DShK 1938 was lighter as a gun than the Browning the same could not be said of the mount, for as an infantry gun the DshK1938 retained the old wheeled carriage of the M1910, but a special anti-aircraft tripod was introduced and is still in use, The type became a virtual fixture on most Soviet tanks from the JS-2 heavy tanks onwards, and the Czechs have produced a quadruple mounting with DShK 1938s for anti-aircraft use. There was even a special version for use on armoured trains.

trains. The smaller SG43 was introduced during 1943 to replace earlier 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, including the venerable M1910. During the initial phases of the German invasion of the USSR the Soviet forces lost huge amounts of material, including machine-guns, and if their new production facilities were to replace these losses they might as well be modern designs. Thus the *Stankovu Pulemet Goryunova obrazets 1943g* came into being. It was a gas-operated and aircooled design that combined several operating principles (including the well-established Browning principles), but overall the design was original and soon proved to be sound. As the SG43 the design was issued in very large numbers and even today the basic weapon is still in widespread use, albeit in a much modified and upgraded form as the SGM. Both the SG43 and the larger DShK 1938 have the same basic oper-

Both the SG43 and the larger DShK 1938 have the same basic operational: simplicity. Working parts have been kept to a minimum and very little routine maintenance apart from simple cleaning is required. Both designs can operate under extremes of temperature and they are most forgiving of dirt and dust in the works. In other words both suit exactly the type of environment in which they will be used.

Right: Similar in performance to the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning, the DShK 38/46 is still in production and service.

The SC 43 was designed by P.M. Goryunovin 1942 toprovide a wartime replacement for the elderly

Maxim Model 1910, and even used the old Maxim 's wheeled carriage.

Above: The DegtyerevDP Model 1928 was a major Soviet light machine-gun during World War II. Simple and robust, the DP could

stand rough treatment and extremes ofweather. II can still be found in the hands of guerrilla groups all over the world.

Allied and Axis Sub-Machine Guns

The sub-machine gun was bom out of the trenches of World War L In the confined close-quarter fighting troops began to feel the need for some form of compact automatic weapon that would be less awkward to handle than a bayonetted rifle. Faced with this demand, manufacturers came up with the sub-machine gun.

'nrflie Italians were the first to A introduce what might be termed a sub-machine gun. This was the Villar-Perosa which, while often though to be the first submachine gun, was in many ways a blind alley, for the Villar-Perosa was used only as a light machine-gun. The first true example of what was to be termed the machine-pistol or sub-machine gun was in fact the German MPI8. This appeared in front-line service in 1918 and still remains the best example of all the attributes of the sub-machine gun.

The MPI8 used a pistol cartridge (a small, relatively lowpowered charge firing a small but heavy bullet). If a hand-held weapon was to be used to fire fully automatically the round fired had to be light and the pistol cartridge was the obvious choice. The MPI8 fired the 9mm Parabellum cartridge and in the years that followed this became an almost universal choice for most designs. Using a pistol cartridge also allowed the employment of an operating principle that had long been used on automatic pistols, the blow-back principle.

The blow-back principle is very simple. On the MPI8 the magazine was fitted and the gun cocked by using a side-mounted lever in a slot. When the trigger was pulled it released the breech block to move

British troops wielding the American-designed .45in Thompson M1928 machine-gun, popularly known as the Tommy Gun'. These drum magazines carried 50 or 100 rounds but proved too troublesome in use so various box types replaced them.

forward under the energy from a large spring. As it moved forward the breech block picked up a cartridge from the feed, pushed it into the barrel chamber and once the round and breech block were in position the firing pin fired the cartridge. The recoil forces produced by the cartridge were initially overcome by the forward energy produced by the mass of the breech block and the spring, but the block remained in place long enough to lock' the system until the recoil forces were able to push back the breech block and

its spring to their original condition. If the trigger was still pulled, the cycle began again and went on until the trigger was released.

If this simple operating principle was ever abandoned, the result was usually less than satisfactory, for the mechanism would be overcomplex and would have more pieces to break or jam. But if the operating system could be kept basic and light, and the MPI8 was light enough to be carried and used by one man, the overall concept could be kept simple. At first this was not always realized as

gunsmiths lavished their considerable skills on many of the early sub-machine gun types. With the changing requirements of World War II it did not take long for the frills to be ditched in the rush to produce serviceable weapons. The resultant sub-machine guns were horrible to look at, the obvious examples being the British Sten and the American M3. But these types lent themselves to rapid and simple mass production. Welding took the place of machining from solid metal, pins took the place of time-consuming jointing methods, rivets took the place of screws and so on. At first the front-line soldiers looked askance at such products but they soon learned that they worked. Those crude weapons could produce as lethal a stream of lead as many of the more refined designs, they were easy to use, easy to maintain and their ammunition was usually easy to procure, often from the enemy.

The sub-machine gun is still with us now, in many refined forms; but close examination will usually reveal the shadow of the basic MPI8 lurking in its interior. Designs such as the Sten, the M3, the German MP38 and the Soviet PPSh-41 all had their part to play during World War II, and their impact will be with us for years to come.

It took some time and some fairly desperate measures before Lieutenant Evelyn Owen was able to persuade the Australian military authorities to adopt his design of sub-machine gun in 1940. At the time the Australian army had little or no interest in the weapon and by the time they realized the importance of the weapon they expected to receive all the Sten guns they required from the United Kingdom. It took some time before they appreciated the fact that they were going to receive no Sten guns as the British army wanted all that could be produced. So they decided after much procrastination to adopt the Owen Gun, but even then they were not sure in what calibre. Consequently the first trials batches were produced in four calibres before the universal 9-mm war adopted.

The Owen Gun can be easily recog-nized by the magazine, which points vertically upwards over the tubular gun body. This odd-seeming arrangement was apparently chosen for no other reason than that it worked, and it must be said that it worked very well to the extent that once the Australian soldiers got their hands on the type they preferred it to all others, and the Owen Gun was kept in service until well into the 1960s and its successor, the X-3, still retains the overhead magazine. The rest of the gun was fairly conven-tional and very robust to the point where it seemed to be able to take all manner of punishment and withstood being dropped in mud, dust, water and just about anything else. As production increased various changes were intro-duced to the design. The early fins around the barrel were removed and some changes were made to the butt, which could be found in versions with just a wire skeleton, an all-wood de-sign, and one version that was half-outline and half wood. One feature of the Owen that was unique to it, apart from the overhead magazine, was that the barrel could be quickly removed for changing. Exactly why this feature was incorporated is uncertain, for it would have taken a long period of firing for the barrel to become unusably hot, but the feature was retained through the design life of the weapon. Another odd point regarding the Owen was that once in service they were often painted in camouflage

Above: The Ovven sub-machine gun was a sturdy and reliable weapon that soon gained itself a high reputation. The example has a camouflage paint scheme.

Right: The Australian Owen submachine gun 's most prominent recognition feature was the vertically-moun ted box magazine. The example shown here is one of the early production models.

schemes to suit the local terrain. For the Australian army (and the Owen was used by no other forces) that meant the hot and sweaty jungles of New Guinea, where the Australian soldiers found the Owen ideal for the close-quarter combat that the jungles enforced, It was true that the Owen was rather heavier than most comparable models butthe forward-mounted grip and the pistol grip made it easy to handle.

The top-mounted magazine had one slight disadvantage for the firer as the magazine position meant that the sights had to be off-set to the right side of the body, an awkward arrangement but one that mattered little once the weapon was used in action for, like most sub-machine guns, the Owen was almost always fired from the hip,

Production of the Owen ceased in 1945 but in 1952 many were virtually rebuilt and provision was made for a long bayonet to be fitted to the muzzle; some versions made in 1943 used a much shorter bayonet that fitted over the muzzle with an almost unique tubular mount but they were not widely issued. Specification: Calibre: 9 mm Length: 813mm(32in) Length of barrel: 250 mm (9.84 in) Weight loaded: 4.815 kg (10.61b) Magazine: 33-round vertical box Rate of fire, cyclic: 700 rpm Muzzle velocity: 420m (1,380ft) per second

1948. First designed during the early 1930s, the ZK 383 went into production

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

The Czech ZK 383 was very well made from machined parts and had such luxuries as a bipod and a variable rate offire. There was even a quick-change barrel. The bulk of these weapons was later produced for the German Waffen SS, who found it a heavy but reliable weapon.

at the famous Czech Brno arms plant, knownforthelaterintroductionof what was to be the Bren gun. The ZK 383 was a relatively large and heavy weapon for the sub-machine gun class, a feature emphasized by uncommon application of a bipod under the barrel on some models. This bipod was the result of the Czech army's tactical philosophy, for it regarded the weapon as a form of light machine-gun, in direct contradiction of the usually accepted role of a close-quarter combat weapon. This odd approach was further emphasized by the use of what was one of the ZK 383's oddest features in the form of a capability for two rates of fire. The ZK 383's could fire at the rate of 500 or 700 rpm, the fire rate being altered by the addition or subtraction of a small 0.17-kg (0.37-lb) weight to the breech block - with the weight removed the breech block could

Suomim/1931

The Suomi **m/1931 is** now little known but in its day it was one of the most sought-after and admired submachine guns produced anywhere. The design of this weapon went back to the early 1920s and was almost certainly influenced by some German weapon designers who used Finland **as a** means of escaping the turmoil and uproar of post-war Germany. Using the influence and advice of such Germans the Finns gradually produced **a** series of very sound and effective submachine guns that resulted in the m/1931.

As sub-machine gun designs go there is little remarkable with the m/1931, for it used **a** conventional blow-back action and an orthodox layout. Where it did score over many existing designs was that it was extremely well made, almost to the point of lavishness in the guality of material used and the excellence of the machining, and the other point was the feed systems employed. These feed feed systems employed. These feed systems used **a** number of magazines that were so effective that they were extensively copied later, even by the Soviets who normally preferred their home-produced designs. There were two main versions, one \mathbf{a} 50-round ver-tical box magazine and the other \mathbf{a} 71round drum magazine. In the box magazine the normal lengthy bulk of 50 rounds of ammunition was overcome by having the magazine split into two vertical columns. Rounds were fed from one column and then the other. In action this feed system was much favoured as it enabled a soldier to carry into action far more ready rounds than would be possible with a conventional magazine (despite this there was a normal 30-round box magazine for the Suomi).

The m/1931 was produced for the Finnish army in some numbers and it proved itself in action during the 1940 Russian invasion of Finland. There were several export models of the m/1931, some of them with small bipods under the barrel or body, and these were purchased by Sweden and Switzerland, who both set up their own production lines, as did **a** company in Denmark. The type was adopted by the Polish police before 1939, and examples popped up during the Spanish Civil War on both sides. Since then the

move faster and thus the rate of fire could be increased. The slower rate of fire was used when the ZK 383 was used with its bipod as a light machinegun, and the faster fire rate when the ZK was carried as an assault weapon.

But that was only the Czech army's point of view, and the feature does not appear to have been used much by the other customers for the weapon. The Bulgarian army adopted the type as their standard sub-machine gun (it used the ZK 383 until at least the early 1960s), but by far the largest number of ZK 383s were produced after 1939 for the German army. When they took over Czechoslovakia in 1939 the Germans found the ZK 383 production line still intact, and it was a sensible move as far as they were concerned to keep it intact for their own uses. The Brno factory was taken over for SS weapon production and thus the ZK 383 output was diverted to the Waffen SS, who used the weapon only on the Eastern Front. The Waffen SS examples were all known **as** the **vz 9** (vz for vzor, the Czech for model) and the Waffen SS found it effective enough for it to become one of their standard weapons. Numbers were kept in Czechoslovakia for use by the Czech civil police who had their own version, the **ZK 383P** which was produced without the bipod.

The only nations other than Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria and Germany that purchased the ZK 383 were Brazil and Venezuela, and even then the numbers involved were not large, Apart from the use in Eastern Europe the ZK 383 had few points to attract attention and in many ways it was too complicated for the role it was called upon to play. The Czech army's predilection for the design **as a** light machine-gun led to all manner of detail extras that the weapon did not need. The dual rate of fire feature has already been mentioned, as has the bipod, but the sub-machine gun does not really need **a** complex barrelchange mechanism, an all-machined mechanism made from the finest steels available or an angled breech block return spring angled into the butt. The **ZK 383** had all these, making it **a** very reliable sound weapon but one that was really too complex for its role,

Specification:

Calibre: 9 mm Length: 875 mm (34.45 in) Length of barrel: 325 mm (12.8 in) Weight loaded: 4,83 kg (10.65 lb) Magazine: 30-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 or 700 rpm Muzzle velocity: 365m (1,200ft) per second

Above: The Suomim/1931 was one of the most well-manufactured submachine guns ever made, for practically every part was machined from solid metal.

Right: The Suomim/1931 in action, fitted with the 71-roundmagazine. Unlike many other sub-machine guns the mil 931 had a long barrel that was accurate enough for aimed fire at most comba t ranges.

m/1931 has kept appearing up all over the place whenever conflicts arise. It is still in limited service in Scandinavia to this day and this longevity can be explained by two simple factors. One is that the m/1931 is so well made that it just will not wear out. The same sound manufacture also explain the reliabil-ity, for the m/1931 is one of those weapons that will work under any conditions without ever seeming to go wrong, and as mentioned above the feed system for the ammunition is almost legendary in its reliability. These two factors alone explain the high regard shown to the m/1931 in the past, but there was another factor. When the m/1931 was produced no pains were spared on detail machining and such care was taken on this that the whole of the gun, the body and bolt included, were machined from the solid metal, Consequently the gun was,

and still is, very accurate for its type. Most sub-machine gun types are accurate only to a few yards and most are almost useless at range over 50 m (55 yards). The m/1931 can be used accurately at ranges up to 300 m (330 yards), In relative numbers few were used during World War II but the influence of the design can be detected in many war-time models. The design was licence-produced in Switzerland for the Swiss army during 1943. Specification: Calibre: 9 mm Length (butt extended): 870 mm (34.25 in) Length ofbarrel: 314 mm (12.36 in) Weight loaded (drum magazine): 7.04 kg (15.52 lb) Magazine: 30- or 50-roundbox, or 71round drum Rate of fire, cyclic: 900 rpm Muzzle velocity: 400 m (1,310 ft) per second

In 1940, with the Dunkirk evacuation completed, the Royal Air Force de-cided to adopt some form of sub-machine gun for airfield defence. With no time to spare for the development of no time to spare for the development of a new weapon it decided to adopt a direct copy of the German MP28, ex-amples of which were to hand for the necessary copying. The period was so desperate that the Admiralty decided to join the RAF in adopting the new weapon; by a series of convoluted happenings the Admiralty alone actually

took the resultant design into service. The British MP28 copy was given the general designation Lanchester af-ter one George Lanchester, who was charged with producing the weapon at the Sterling Armament Company at Dagenham, the same company that later went on to produce the Sterling sub-machine gun that is now the general standard weapon for so many armed forces. The Lanchester armed forces. The Lanchester emerged as a sound, sturdy weapon that in many ways was ideal for the type of operations required of it by boarding and raiding parties. It was a very solid weapon, in many ways the complete opposite of its direct con-temporary the Sten, for the Lanchester was a soundly engineered piece of weaponry with all the trimmings of a former era. Nothing was left off from the gunsmsith's art. The Lanchester had a well-machined wooden butt and stock, the blow-back mechanism was very well made of the finest materials, the breech block well machined, and, to cap it all, the magazine housing was made from solid brass. A few typical British design details were added, such as a mounting on the muzzle for a long-bladed British bayonet (very useful in boarding party situations) and the rifling differed from the German original in details to accommodate the differenttypesofammunitionthe Lan-

chester had to use, The magazine for the Lanchester was straight and carried a useful load of 50 rounds. Stripping was aided by a catch on top of the receiver and the very first models could fire either single-shot or automatic. That model was the Lanchester Mk I but on the Lan-

Above; Obviously based on the German MP 28, the Lanchester was ideally suited to the rough-andtumble of shipboard life. 11 had a ne piece wooden stock based on the outline of the Lee-Enfield No. 1 Mk 3 rifle and there was a bayonet lug under the muzzle. The brass magazine housing can be seen.

Right: Lanchesters in a typical naval environment as captured U-boat personnel are escorted ashore in a Canadian port -the blindfolds were a normal procedure. The Lanchesters are carried using Lee-En field rifle slings.

ehester Mk I* this was changed to full automatic fire only, and many Mk Is were converted to Mk I* standard at RN workshops. The Lanchester was an unashamed

copy of a German design but it gave good service to the Royal Navy throughout the war and for many years after. Many old sailors still speak of the Lanchester with respect; not with affection, for it was a heavy weapon and it had one rather off-putting feature: if the butt was given a hard knock or jar while the gun was cocked and loaded it would fire. The last example left Royal Navy use during the 1960s and the type is now a collector's item,

Specification: Calibre: 9 mm Length: 851mm (33.50 in) Length of barrel: 203 mm (8.00 in) Weight empty: 4.34 kg (9.57 lb)

Magazine: 50-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm Muzzle velocity: about 380 m (1,245 ft) per second

MAS Model 1938

Often quoted as the MAS 38, this French sub-machine gun was first pro-duced at St Etienne in 1938, hence the model number. The MAS 38 was the outcome of a long period of develop-ment, and was the follow-on from a model produced in 1935. But it must be tated that the development period stated that the development period was well spent, for the MAS 38 proved to be a sound enough weapon well in advance of its period. There were some rather odd features about the MAS 38, however. One was that it was rather complicated and another that it fired a cartridge produced only in France. Both these features can be explained by the period when it was designed. At that time there appeared to be no reason to make the weapon as simple as possible for existing produc-tion methods seemed adequate to churn out the numbers required, and at the time such numbers required, and very high. The calibre can be explained by the fact that it was available at the time and so the MAS 38 had a calibre of 7.65mm and used a car-

tridge available only in France, the 7.65-mm Long. While this cartridge was accurate it was not very powerful, and had the disadvantage that no-one else was likely to adopt it once the 9-mm calibre had been universally

adopted. The MAS 38 has a complex mechanism with a long bolt travel that was partially off-set by having the gun body sloping down into the solid wooden butt. The cocking handle was separate The MAS Model 1938 was a sound, advanced weapon. Unfortunately for its future prospects, it fired an underpowered cartridge available only in France, and was complicated to manufacture.
from the bolt once firing started, a good feature but one which introduced complexity into the design and manufacture. Another good point was a flap over the magazine housing that closed as the magazine was withdrawn. While this kept out dust and dirt very few other designs had this feature and most of them managed to work perfectly well without it.

In fact the MAS 38 turned out to be rather too good for the customer, who at first decided that it did not want a sub-machine gun after all. The French army turned down the weapon when it was first offered, and the first production examples went to some of the more para-military members of one of the French police forces. When hostili-ties did start in 1939, the French army soon changed its mind and ordered large quantities, but the complex machining that went into the MAS 38 resulted in a slow rate of introduction into service, and the French army was driven to ordering numbers of Thompson sub-machine guns from the USA. These arrived too late to make any difference to the events of 1940 and the



French army capitulated. When the French forces rearmed under the Vichy regime the MAS 38 was kept in production, and in fact the weapon was kept in production until 1949, and it was used in the Indo-China War. The MAS 38 never got the recogni-

tion it deserved. It was rather too complicated, fired an odd cartridge and it was never possible to produce it in quantity when it was required. Consequently it is now little known outside France and few, if any, modern weapon designs owe anything to its influence. The only armies to use the MAS 38, other than some of the ex-French colonies, were the Germans who captured enough in 1940 to issue them to their garrison force stationed in France.

compact weapon the manufacturing difficulties were compounded, and as can be seen the spring had to be 'angled' to enable the user to aim the weapon.

Specification: Calibre: 7.65 mm Length: 623 mm (24.53 in) Length of barrel: 224 mm (8.82 in) Weight loaded: 3.356 kg (7.40 lb) Magazine: 32-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm Muzzle velocity: 350m (1,150ft) per second Length: 623 mm (24.53 in)

SWITZERI AND Steyr-SolothurnS1-100

Although the Steyr-Solothurn is de-scribed as a Swiss weapon, for it was mainly produced in Switzerland, it was originally an Austrian design pro-duced by Steyr who took over the Swiss Solothurn concern to produce weapon designs at a time when they weapon designs at a time when they were forbidden to do so by the terms of the 1919 Versailles Treaty. Even then (actually a Rheinmetall product) but had been switched to Austria for full development during the 1920s.

In its full production form this sub-In its full production form this sub-machine gun was known as the Steyr-Solothurn S1-100 and by 1930 the de-sign was being produced mainly for export purposes. As with so many other designs of the period, it was based on the general outlines and principles of the German MPI8 but by principles of the German MPI8 but by the time the Swiss manufacturers had finished with their development the design had reached a high point of refinement and detail manufacture. The SI-100 was an excellent product that was robust, reliable and adaptable, for the export market meant that the model had to be produced in a whole host of calibres and with a seemingly endless string of accessories and extras.

The SI-100 was produced in no less than three separate variations of the 9-mm calibre. Apart from the usual 9mm Parabellum, the weapon was pro-duced in 9-mm Mauser and 9-mm Steyr, the latter specially produced for the S1-100. Exports to China, Japan and South America were produced in 7.63-mm Mauser calibre, and the Portu-guese purchased a large batch cham-bered for the 7.65-mm Parabellum cartridge. The extras were many and varied, with perhaps the most outlandish being a tripod to convert the weapon into what must have been a rather ineffective light machine-gun, though some of these were sold to Chi-na during the mid-1930s, There were also various forms of bayonet-securing devices and several barrel lengths were produced, some of them very long indeed for what were only pistol



cartridges. Another Steyr-Solothurn selling ploy was to present the SI-100 to a customer packed in individuallyfitted chests containing not only the weapon but all manner of special magazines, special cleaning tools,

spare parts, etc. By the mid-1930s the SI-100 was the standard sub-machine gun of the Au-strian army and police force, and when the Germans took over the state in 1938 they also took over the Austrian army armoury, Thus the SI-100 became the German MP34(o), which must have caused some confusion with the pre-viously mentioned Bergmann MP 34. After a short period of front-line Ger-man service the confusion of no less than three types of 9-mm ammunition to be supplied for the type was too much even for the adaptable German army supply network and the MP34(o) was relegated to German military police use, it was also retained by what was left of the Austrian police forces, Today the SI-100 is still used in odd

corners of the world, but only in very small numbers. Perhaps the most combat seen by the type was in China where at one point the SI-100 was in use by both the Chinese and Japanese armies. The latter even produced their Above: The Steyr-Solothurn Sl-100 Was an Austrian version of the German MPI 8 produced during the 1920s andi 930s mainly for commercial sale on the export market. The type was well made and could be supplied with a range of accessories including tripods, bayonets and oversize magazines.

Right: The Steyr-Solothurn Sl-100 is seen herein a drill-book position, mainlybecause the picture has been taken from a German manual produced for the type after the Germans had taken over Austria and its arsenal during 1938.

own copy at one point and used some of the design's features as the basis for their own 8-mm Type 100.

Specification: SI-100 (9-mm Parabellum version) Calibre: 9 mm Calibre: 9 mm Length: 850 mm (33.46 in) Length of barrel: 200 mm (7.87 in) Weight loaded: 4.48 kg (9.88 kg) Magazine: 32-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm Muzzle velocity: 418m (1,370ft) per second second





This Japanese private first class is armed with the Type 100 submachine gun. He is equipped for jungle figh ting, typical of 1942.



In accounts of the American submachine gun scene between 1939 and 1945 one weapon is often not mentioned at all, and that is the submachine gun known under a numbe of names but usually called the UI M'42. This weapon was designed in th days just prior to World War II as commercial venture in 9-mm calibre, was ordered under rather odd cii cumstances by an organization know as the United Defense Supply Coi poration, a US government body th? ordered all manner of items for us overseas, but the main point of its exis enee was that it was an American sec ret service front for all forms of undei ground activities.

Exactly why the United Defens-(hence UD) concern ordered the de

The UDM'42 was not accepted as an official US service weapon, but numbers were purchased for issue to some odd undercover and spedai mission units. It was a very wellmadt and finished weapon and was popular with its users.



The Japanese were surprisingly late on the sub-machine gun design scene, a fact made all the more remarkable considering their experience gained in the protracted campaigns in China before 1941 and the number of different overseas designs imported for service use or examination. It was not until 1942 that the first example of what had been several years of low-priority development left the Nambu production lines in the form of the Type 100, a sound but unremarkable design that was to be the only sub-machine gun the Japanese produced and used in any numbers.

The Type 100 was moderately well made but had several rather odd features. One was the use of a complex ammunition feed device that ensured that a round was fully chambered before the firing pin would operate. The exact purpose of this feature is rather uncertain (other than the safety aspect for the firer) for the cartridge used by all the Type 100 variants was the underpowered 8-mm Japanese pistol round, a rather weak and ineffective choice that was not aided by its being a bottle-shaped round that must have added its own feed complexities. The Type 100's barrel was chrome-plated to aid cleaning and reduce wear, and to add to such niceties the design had complex sights and a curved magazine. Other oddities were the use of a complicated muzzle brake on some models and the use of a large bayonetmounting lug under the barrel. Some versions also had a bipod.

There were three different versions of the Type 100. The first is described above. The second had a folding butt stock for use by paratroops: the stock was hinged just behind the gun body to fold along the side of the weapon. While this no doubt made the weapon handy for carrying and paradropping, it also weakened the weapon in combat situations and relatively few were made, The third version of the Type 100 appeared in 1944 at a time when demands for sub-machine guns were coming from all fronts. In order to speed up manufacture, the basic Type 100 was greatly simplified and in the result the design was lengthened slightly. The wooden stock was often left roughly finished and the rate of fire was increased from the early 450 rpm to 800 rpm. The sights were reduced to little more than aiming posts and the large muzzle lug for a bayonet was replaced by a simpler fitting. At the muzzle, the barrel protruded more from the perforated jacket and had a simple muzzle brake formed by two ports drilled in the barrel. Welding, The Type 100 was not designed for ease of production and despite some production 'short cuts'such as spot welding and stampings there were never enough to meet demands.

often rough, was used wherever possible. The result was a much cruder weapon compared with the earlier version, but one that was sound enough for its purpose. The main problem for the Japanese

The main problem for the Japanese by 1944 lay not so much in the fact that the Type 100 was not goodenough, but that the Japanese lacked the industrial capacity to turn out the huge numbers demanded. Consequently the Japanese troops had to fight their lastditch defensive campaigns at a permanent disadvantage against the betterarmed Allied troops.

Specification: Type 100 (1944 version) Calibre: 8 mm Length: 900 mm (35,43 in) Length of barrel: 230 mm (9.06 in) Weight loaded: 4.4 kg (9.70 lb) Magazine: 30-round curved box Rate of fire, cyclic: 800 rpm Muzzle velocity: 335m (1,100ft) per second

sign that was produced by the Marlin Firearms Company is now not known, but the name 'Mariin¹ was subsequently often given to the weapon that became the UD M'42. The general impression given at the time was that the

weapons were to be shipped to Europe for use by some underground organizations working for the US in-



terest, but events in Europe overtook the scheme. Some UD M'42s were certainly sent to the Dutch East Indies before the Japanese invasion of the area, but they vanished without trace. Most of the UD M'42s did find their

Most of the UD M42s did find their way to Europe but in some very odd hands. Most were handed out to some of the numerous resistance and partisan groups that sprang up around and in the German- and Italian-occupied areas of the Mediterranean Sea. There



By the beginning of 1941, although the United States was not yet directly involved in World War II, the American military authorities had acknowledged that the sub-machine gun had a definite role to perform on the modern battlefield. They already had to hand numbers of Thompson guns and more were on their way, but the appearance of the German MP38 and the British Sten indicated the production methods that could be employed in future massproduced designs. Using an imported Sten, the US Army Ordnance Board initiated a design study to produce an American Sten-type weapon, The study was handed over to a team of specialists who included the same George Hyde who had developed the Hyde M2 and to executives from General Motors, to whom the massproduction aspects were entrusted. In a very short time they had designed a weapon and development models



they took part in some very odd actions, the most famous of which was when British agents kidnapped a German general on Crete. Other actions were just as dramatic but often took place so far from the public gaze that today these actions and the part the UD M'42 took in them are virtually forgotten.

This is perhaps a pity for many weapon authorities now regard the UD M'42 as one of the finest sub-machine gun types used in World War II. Being made on a commercial and not a military basis it was well machined and very strong. The action was smooth and the gun very accurate, and by all accounts it was a joy to handle. It could withstand all manner of ill-treatment (including immersion in mud and water) and still work.

After all these years it now seems very unlikely that the full service record of the UD M'42 will ever be told, but at least the very existence of the weapon should be better known.

Specification: Calibre: **9** mm Length: 807mm (31.75 in) **Length** of barrel: 279 **mm** (11.00 in) Weight loaded: 4,54 kg (10,00 lb) Magazine: 20-round box Rate of fire: 700 rpm Muzzle velocity: 400m (1,310ft) per second



The first of these models was handed over for trials just before Pearl Harbor brought the United States into World War II. As a result the project got a higher priority and it was not long before the design was issued with the designation M3. The M3 was just as unpleasant-looking as the Sten. Construction was all-metal with most parts simple steel stampings welded into place. Only the barrel, breech block and parts of the trigger mechanism required any machining. A telescopic wire butt was fitted and the design was simple to the point that there was no safety system fitted and the gun could fire fully-automatic only. The main gun body was tubular and below it hung a long 30-round box magazine. An awkwardly placed and flimsy cocking handle was placed just forward of the trigger on the right-hand side, and the cartridge ejection port was under a hinged cover. The barrel screwed into the tubular body. Sights were very rudimentary and there were no luxuries such as sling swivels.

uries such as sling swivels. The M3 was rushed into production and once issued to the troops it soon ran into acceptance troubles. The very appearance of the weapon soon provided it with the nickname of Grease Gun' and it was regarded with about as much affection, But once in action it soon showed itself to be effective, but the rush into production on lines that were more used to producing motor car and lorry components led to all manner of in-service problems. The cocking handles broke off, the wire

Unpopular with its users in Europe, the 'Crease Gun 'gained acceptance in the Pacific, where there was no alternative weapon. stocks bent in use, some important parts of the mechanism broke because they were made of too soft a metal, and so on. Consequently the M3 received more than its fair share of in-service development and modification, but what was more important at the time, it rolled off the production lines in huge numbers for issue to the troops at the front.

The M3 never overcame the initial reception its appearance engendered, Whenever possible the troops in the front line opted for the Thompson M1 or used captured German MP38s and MP40s, but in the Pacific there was often no choice other than to use the M3 and when this happened the design often gained grudging acceptance. For some arms of the US forces the M3 became a virtual blanket issue. These arms included the drivers in the many transport units and tank crews. For both the M3 was easy to stow and easy to handle in close confines.

From the outset the M3 had been designed to have the capability of being rapidly converted to 9-mm calibre by simply changing the barrel, magazine and breech block. This facility was sometimes employed in Europe when the M3 was dropped to resistance forces. A silenced variant of the M3 was produced in small numbers.

Simple as the M3 was to produce it was decided in 1944 to make it even simpler. The result of combat experience allied with production know-how resulted in the M3A1, which followed the same general lines as the M3 but with some quite substantial changes. For the soldier the most important item was that the ejection cover was enlarged to the point where the full The American M3 'Crease Gun'was the equivalent of the British Sten and the German MP40, forit was designed for mass production. It was a sound enough weapon but the American troops never really took to the type, preferring the Thompson.

breech block travel was exposed. This enabled the firer to place his finger into a recess in the block to pull the block to the rear for cocking, thus doing away with the awkward and flimsy cocking handle. A flash hider was added to the muzzle and some other minor changes were incorporated. The M3A1 was still in production when the war ended, by which time it had been decided to phase out the Thompsongunsinfavourofthe M3 and M3A1.

Apart from the appearance problem, the M3 guns were not perfect weapons, They were rather prone to breakages, the ammunition feed was often far from perfect and the lack of a safety often gave rise to alarm, But it worked and it was available, and in war those two factors are more important than hankering after the something that might be better. Thus the M3 and M3A1 were used wherever the US Military went, and that was all over the woild.

Specification: M3 Calibre: 0.45 in (11.43 mm) or 9 mm Length, butt extended: 745 mm (29,33 in) Length, butt retracted: 570 mm (22.44 in) Weight loaded: 4.65 kg (10,25 lb) Magazine: 30-round box Rate of fire: 350-450 rpm Muzzle velocity: 280 m (920 ft) per second Thompson sub-machine guns

The Cutt's Compensa tor was in tended to divert some muzzle gases upwards to keep them uzzle down when firing, but was of limited value and complex to manufacture and so was left off on later models.



In close-range fighting such as street and house-to-house combat the sub-machine gun was the ideal weapon, and the robustness of the MI and MIAI variants of the Thompson added to the type's considerable popularity with theCls.

mere can be very tew who have not heard of or seen some pictures of the Thompson sub-machine gun at some time or another. Known universally as the 'Tommy Gun' the Thompson has even provided the sub-machine gun with a nickname, for to the lay public all sub-machine guns are Tommy Guns, Hollywood has done much to administer this fame but the story of the

Thompson guns goes back to 1918. In that year the US Army was embroiled in the trench warfare of the Western Front, a need becoming apparent for some form of 'trench broom¹ to sweep the trenches clear of an enemy. Since this 'sweeping' had to be carried out at short ranges a powerbe carried out at short ranges a power-ful cartridge was not necessary and a pistol cartridge was all that was deemed necessary. The German army had drawn the same conclusions and produced the MP18, but on the Amer-ican side one General John Thompson initiated the development of an auto-matic weapon using the standard 0.45-m pistol cartridge. The first examples used a belt feed but this was later changed to a two-hand weapon of the type soon known as a sub-machine gun, and with a box magazine. By the time the first examples were

produced World War I was over and all development for the next two decades was carried out on a commercial basis, The Thompson Gun, as it was soon labelled, went through a long chain of different models. Military sales were few, other than small batches to the US Army and US Navy, but it was with the coming of Prohibition in the USA that the weapon gained its public notoriety. The gang warfare that mushroomed throughout the American underworld soon found the Thompson a most useful weapon, and when Hollywood started to make gangsterfilms the gun became famous overnight. Gradually police forces started to purchase Thompson guns, and the type became more generally accepted. Even then, military sales were few until 1928 when the US forces started to purchase some large batch-

The Thompson M1928 was a complex piece of gunsmithing with a com-plicated blow-back mechanism and a choice of a large 50-round drum maga-zine and 20- or 30-round vertical box magazines. Just maintaining the M1928 was quite a task. There were many variations between different models, which did nothing the order the true of the start which did nothing to endear the type to the military supply systems, and it was notuntil 1940 that sales really started to

The M1928 could take

many types ofmagazine. This is the 20-roundbox magazine but also produced were 18- and 30-

round box magazines and 50- or 100-round drum magazines. The drum magazines proved troublesome in service so the box types were often preferred.

> build up. In 1940 several European nations were clamouring for Thompson guns. The unexpected employment by the Germans of sub-machine guns on a large scale produced requests for similar weapons from all the European combatants, and the Thompson was

lddddddddddd





Above: In 1939 and 1940 the UK had to purchase large numbers of Thompson sub-machine guns. This soldier is holding an MI 928 complete with the 50-round drum magazine, a with the 50-round arum magazine, a device that soon proved to be too complex for service use and too noisy due to the 0.45 calibre rounds moving about inside. Consequently these were issued to either the Home Guard or second-line units.

Left: The Thompson MI 928 was the 'classic'model of the famous Thompson sub-machine gun, the weapon that was used by gangsters and American soldiers a like. For all its notoriety it was not a gréat commercial success until 1940.

The original Thompson guns used a , separate firing pin struckbya hammer, but this was really too complex for the task and la ter models used a fixed firing pin.

Thompson 111928

The MI928 originally had a 50-m (165-ft) open sight and a further longrange sight optimistically calibrated up to 550m (1800ft). The latter sight was of doubtful value.

he fire selector was on ie left of the trigger group id could be set for semi-jtomatic single shot or til automatic (600 or 725 im).

If required, the butt could be easily removed by unscrewing the two screws shown, but this was rarely utilized in action as thebutt stabilized the aim and reduced firing vibrations. The butt contained an oiling bottle behind a butt trap.

the only example on offer. Large-scale production of the Thompson commenced for France, the UK and Yugoslavia, but these orders were overtaken by events as the Thompson was an awkward weapon to mass-produce because of the large number of complex machining processes involved.

In the event the French and other orders were diverted to the United Kingdom, where the M1928 was used the Sten became available, and until even then many were issed for Commando raids and the later jungle fighting in Burma. When the USA entered the war the US Army also de-



Above: A NewZealander armed with an M1928 during the Cassino campaign. This particular model is theM1928Al, a military version fitted with a horizontal foregrip in place of the original forward pistol grip. The Ml 928A1 also had some of the commercial refinements removed as well, and the 20- or 30-round box magazine was used instead of the larger drum magazine.

Right: The MlAI was essentially the same weapon as the Ml but had a fixed firing pin and hammer, making the type a virtual blow-back design. It was the last production version of the famous Thompson family of weapons and retained the overall appearance and aura of the original. cided that it wanted sub-machine guns but the Thompson had to be rede-signed to meet US Army requirements for mass production. After redesign the Thompson became a far simpler weapon with a straightforward blowback action with no frills and the old large, noisy and awkward drum maga-zine so beloved by Hollywood was replaced by the simple vertical box. The new design became the MI and a later version with some extra simplifications added became the MIAI. The MI still used a wooden stock,

pistol grip and foregnp (this was later replaced by a straight foregrip), but the body was machined as were many other parts. In service the MI proved to be a well-liked weapon that was usually preferred to the unlovely M3. Again, exactly how much of this prefer-ence was due to the Hollywood image is now almost impossible to determine, for compared with many of its contemporaries the MI was heavy and not so easy to strip and maintain. This did not deter the M1928 and the MI from being widely copied in many back-yard workshops in the Far East where the Thompson was regarded with great favour.

Over the years the Thompson underwent many changes and mod-ifications. With time most of the more complex extras were removed. Out went the complex breech-locking mechanism, out went the Cutt's.Compensator on the muzzle that was supposed to restrict the barrel 'climb' when firing, and out went the bulky drum magazine, The end result in the MI form was a good sound weapon and one that is still as famous as it was in the days when the Tommy Gun was the symbol of the IRA and the Holly-wood gangster era.

Specification:

Thompson MI Calibre: 0.45 in (11.43 mm) Length: 813 mm (32.00 in) Length of barrel: 267 mm (10.50 in) Weight loaded: 4.74 kg (10,45 lb) Magazine: 20- or 30-round box Rate of fire: 700 rpm Muzzle velocity: 280m (920ft) per second





Raising Model 50 and Model 55

The Reising Model 50 and the later Model 55 are two more examples of how things can go wrong when the basic blow-back action used on the sub-machine gun is ignored and replaced with something that seems to offer a better action. On the Reising Model 50, which was first produced in 1940, the basic action was altered so that instead of the breech block moving forward to the chamber when the trigger was pulled, the action operated when the bolt was forward with a round in the chamber. This action can work quite well but it needs a system of levers to operate the firing pin in the breech block and these levers have to disconnect once the breech block moves, This all adds complexity and cost and adds something to the system which can break.

Thus it was with the Reising Model 50. The design was the result of a commercial venture and was thus not so influenced by military considerations as would have been the case a few years later, but the Model 50 was a well-made design with an unusual system of cocking the weapon by means of a small catch sliding in a slot under the fore-stock, This left the top of the gun body free of many of the usual hazards such as the cocking slot that usually provides an ingress for dirt to clog the system, But on the Model 50 all that happened was that the dirt got into



the slot underneath and was difficult to clean out, thus providing one source of potential bother. From the outside the Model 50 looked a fairly simple weapon but the internal arrangements were complex to the point where there was too much to go wrong, hence there were more stoppages and general unreliability.

When the Reising Model 50 was first offered to the US forces the US Marine Corps was some way down the list of priorities, a position it was later dramatically to reverse, so in the absence of any other source of sub-machine guns it obtained numbers of the Model 50, Once the USMC had the Model 50 it soon found the weapon wanting and obtained other weapon types. Some Model 50s were obtained by a British Purchasing Commission but few were involved and some others went to Canada. Yet more were sent to the Soviet Union and by 1945 the Model 50 was still in production and over 100,000 had been made, a modest enough total but well worthwhile as far as the manufacturers were concerned.

Some of this total was made up by the Model 55 which was the same as the Model 50 other than that the allwood stock of the Model 50 was replaced by a folding wire butt for use by airborne and other such units. The Model 55 was no more successful than the Model 50. The Reising Model 50 was one of the least successful of all American submachine guns to see service, for it employed a complex mechanism that allowed ingress of dirt and other debris to jam the weapon to an unacceptable extent.

Specification:

Model 50 Calibre: 0.45 in (11.43 mm) Length: 857 mm (33,75 in) Length of barrel: 279 mm (11.00 in) Weight loaded: 3.7 kg (8.16 lb) Magazine: 12- or 20-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 550 rpm Muzzle velocity: 280m (920ft) per second



MP18 and MP28

Although it was preceded in the time scale by the Italian Villar Perosa, the MP18 can be considered as the father of the modern sub-machine gun. In both the general concept, operating principle and all-round appearance the MP18 embodied all the features that have become commonplace, and even today many sub-machine gun designs are no more than gradual improvement results of the basic MP18.

GERMANY

signs atc in hore of the basic MPI8. The design of the MPI8 began on a low priority in 1916 to provide frontline troops with some form of rapid-fire low-range weapon. The designer was the man whose name later came to be synonymous with the sub-machine gun, namely Hugo Schmeisser. It was not until 1918 that large numbers of the new weapon, known to the Germans as a *Maschinen-Pistole* (hence MP) or machine pistol were issued to the troops on the Western Front to be used in the gigantic offensives that were intended to win the war for the Germans, The offensives were unsuccessful, and the MPI8 had little more than local impact, the lessons to be learned from the design being largely ignored outside Germany and the few troops who had come into contact with the weapons.

weapons. The MP18 was a simple blow-back weapon firing the classic 9-mm Parabellum round that was to become the prototype for nearly all weapons to come. Considering later designs the MP18 was very well made, with a solid wooden stock and a 32-round 'snail' magazine (intended originally for the famous Luger pistol) mounted in a housing on the left of the gun body. The barrel was covered by a prominent perforated jacket to aid barrel cooling after firing, and the weapon fired on full automatic only. In its intended role of trench fighting it was a great success, but too many front-line commanders attempted to use it as a form of light machine-gun and were thus disappointed with the MP18's performance. Consequently the MP18 had a mixed reception other than with the storm-troopers m the front assault waves, who found it invaluable at close quarters.

quarters, When Germany was disarmed after 1919 the MP18 was passed to the German police in an attempt to keep the concept alive. Numbers were also handed over to the French army who used them (but so little) that they were still 'on the stocks' in 1939, In German police service they were modified during the 1920s to replace the Luger 'snail' magazine with a simple inline box magazine that again became the virtual prototype of what was to follow. In 1928 the MP18 was placed back into limited production in Germany, this time as the MP28, with new sights, a single-shot fire feature, some small internal changes on the breech block and all manner of extras such as the mounting for a bayonet. The MP28 had the new box magazine as standard and the type was produced in Belgium, Spam and elsewhere for export all over the world, with China being one of the largest markets. Others went to South America and one batch, produced in the 7.65-mm calibre, was sold to Portugal.

to Portugal. By 1939 there were still appreciable numbers of MP18s and MP28s around, and the design went to war in Europe once again. By 1945 the weapons were still being encountered not only in the hands of the Germans but also in the hands of resistance forces and the many partisan forces.

many partisan forces. Perhaps the greatest importance of the MPI8 and the MP28 was not in their use as weapons, although they were successful enough in that, but in their The German MP28 was a revised model of the original MP18. It retained the general outline of the MP18 but was able to fire either single shot or full a u tomatic.

example for other designers to follow. With the MPI8 the sub-machine gun design was virtually 'frozen' and the basic concept remains unchanged to this day.

Specification: MP18

Calibre: 9 mm Length: 815 mm (32,09 in) Length of barrel: 200 mm (7.87 in) Weight loaded: 5.245 kg (11,56 lb) Magazine: 32-round 'snail', later 20- or 32-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 350-450 rpm Muzzle velocity: 365m (1,200ft) per second



MP34 and MP35

At first sight the MP34 and MP35 appeared Fo be direct copies of the MP18 and MP28, but there were in reality many differences. Easily mis-sed at first glance was that on the MP34 and MP35 the magazine protrudes from the right hand side of the gun body instead of on the left as with the MP18 and MP28. Another detail difference was the trigger mechanism, which on the MP34 and MP35 relied on a double-pressure system for control of rate of fire. A simple light pressure on the trigger produced single shots, while a full pressure on the trigger provided automatic fire.

The MP34 was designed by the Bergmann brothers, who almost un-doubtedly used the MPI8 as a basis on which to improve. As they had few facilities in Germany the brothers pro-

duced their first example in Denmark and only later was production switched to Germany. The first models were the MP34 but later improvements led to the MP35, which was produced in considerably greater numbers. At first production was slow, with sales being made to such nations as Ethiopia and Sweden, but with the Spanish Civil War sales really picked up to boost the company to a major position in the sub-machine gun market. The MP35 was produced in both long- and shortbarrelled versions, and niceties such as bayonet attachments and even light bipods were introduced. One very no-ticeable point on the MP35 was the use of a rear-mounted bolt for cocking the weapon instead of the usual side-mounted cocking lever. This meant that the interior of the weapon body along which the breech had to travel was kept clear of the dust and dirt that usually finds its way into open side-lever actions and the MP34 and MP35 were certainly reliable weapons, even if they were a little heavier than some of their rivals.

It was this reliability that brought the MP35 to the attention of what was to be the biggest customer for the weapon, namely the Waffen SS which was look-ing for its own weapons procurement separate from that of the German army, and after late 1940 all MP35 pro-duction went to the Waffen SS, continuing until the warended in 1945. But MP34s and MP35s still cropped up elsewhere, and many can still be found in use with South American police forces, while small numbers can still be encountered in the Far East. The reason for this longevity is quite simply that the MP34 and MP35 were very well manufactured, with nearly all parts machined from the solid metal. But in most countries today the MP35 is a much prized collector's piece as the bulk of the production earned the stamp of the Waffen SS.

Specification: MP35

Calibre: 9 mm (plus many others in export models) Length (standard model): 840 mm (33.07m) Length of barrel: 200 mm (7.87 in) Weight loaded: 4.73 kg (10.43 lb) Magazine: 24-or 32-roundbox

Rate of fire, cyclic: 650 rpm

Muzzle velocity: 365 m (1,200 ft) per second

GERMANY \$

MP38, MP38/40 and MP40

When the MP38 was first produced in 1938 it revolutionized weapon design not by any particular feature of the design but by the method of manufacture employed. Gone was the accurate machine tooling of yesteryear, along with the finely-produced wooden fittings, and the standard of finish upon which gunsmiths so prided them-selves, With the introduction of the MP38 came rough and simple metal stampings, die-cast parts, plastic in-stead of wood, and a finish that lacked any finesse or even plating of any kind. The MP38 looked what it was, a weapon mass-produced to meet a precise military need, namely a simple and cheap weapon that would work when called upon to fire, and nothing more. On the MP38 there was no wooden butt, just a bare folding heavy wire framework that folded under the body for use in close confines such as the back of a vehicle. The body was produced from simple sheet metal stampings that could be churned out in

Two German army

Panzergrenadiers armed with MP40s occupying a shell hole on the outskirts of Stalingrad. As will be understood, the MP40 was at a slight disadvantage in such positions, för the long downward-poin ting magazine was no assistance when firing over the lip of such a shell hole.



any metal workshop anywhere and the breech block was provided with only a minimum of machining, Most of the out-er surfaces were left in their baremetal state and at the best they were painted. Despite all these apparently cheap and cost-cutting measures the MP38 had an immediate impact out of all proportion to its design attributes, for in the years after 1938 more and more weapons adopted similar massproduction techniques first introduced

on the MP38. The MP38 was quite orthodox so far as operation went. It had a conven-tionally-functioning blo-w-back bolt and the vertical magazine under the

Above: ThisMP38 was the original production version. Although the design was intended formass production the receiver and many parts were machined - these were later replaced by the pressings and welds of the MP40.

Right: TheMP40, as used by this corporal during the invasion of the USSR, was almost identical to the MP38 except that it was much simpler to manufacture.



body fed9-mm Parabellum rounds into a conventional feed system. A cocking handle along the left-hand side of the body operated in an open slot but although dust and dirt could enter the internal workings the weapon could absorb an appreciable amount of for-eign bodies before it jammed. Under the barrel muzzle there was an odd projection that was designed to catch ontheedgeofvehiclestoactasafiring rest but the same item also acted as a

muzzle cover to keep out dirt, Once in action in 1939 one rather nasty habit of the MP38 came to light. The gun operated from the open-breech position (the bolt was cocked to the rear before the trigger could release it to fire) but if the gun was jarred or knocked the bolt jumped forward and started the firing cycle by itself. This nasty fault caused many casualties before it was modified out by the machining of a slot over the

breech block 'home' position, through which a pin could engage and lock after being pushed through a hole on the other side of the body; it could be released when required for firing. With this modification fitted the MP38 became the MP38/40.

became the MP38/40. During 1940 the simple manufacture of the MP38 was taken one stage further with the introduction of even more metal stampings and even simpler manufacturing methods. The new version was called the MP40: to the soldier in the field it was little different from the MP38/40, but for the German economy it meant that the MP40 could be easily manufactured anywhere with sub-assemblies being produced in simple workshops and assembled only at central workshops. It was churned out in tens of thousands and in the field it proved a most popular and handy weapon with Allied troops using any examples they could find or capture, The MP38/40 was often used by resistance forces and partisans as well.

The only major change to the MP40 after 1940 was the introduction of a twin-magazine feature with the MP40/ 2. This was not a success and was little used. But the MP40 is still used today in odd corners of the world, especially by guerrilla forces. One odd word about this weapon: It

One odd word about this weapon: It is often known as the 'Schmeisser', Ex-





The Soviet Union had enough troubles during the 1920s and 1930s without worrying too much about weapon design, but when things settled down enough for the re-equipment of The Red Army to be contemplated, submachine gun design was not very high on the list of priorities. Rather than make any innovations in sub-machine gun design the first Soviet submachine gun was a combination of existing designs. This was the PPD-19347

38. When it was first produced in 1934, the weapon was a combination of features from the Finnish Suomi m/1931 and the German MP18 and MP28. It remained in production until 1940 by which time some modifications had been introduced to justify the use of the full designation of PPD-1934/38. There was nothing very remarkable about the PPD-1934/38. The mechanisms was almost the same as that used on the German sub-machine gun originals and, after a short attempt to produce a Soviet-designed component, the magazine was a direct take-off from the Suomi magazine. This was the Suomi 71-round drum magazine that was to become the virtual norm for later Soviet sub-machine guns, but there was also a curved 25-round box magazine issued on occasion. This box magazine had to be curved as the cartridge used for all the Soviet submachine guns was the 7.62-mm Tokarev (Type P) cartridge which had a bottle-necked shape and would not therefore lie completely flat for feeding from the magazine lips into the gun body.

There was one variant of the PPD-1934/38 that was placed in production in 1940. This was the PPD-1940, which was a general all-round improvement on the earlier design. It did have one very noticeable recognition feature in



260



Above; This cutaway drawing shows the simple 'in-line' layout of the MP38. The compact design employs the blow-back principle, but the main return spring is housed in a telescopic tube that kept out dirt and foreign objects to ensure reliability. Note also the simple trigger mechanism.

Left: An MP40 in action during the Stalingrad fighting. Although many German propaganda photographs tend to give the impression that the MP38 andMP40 were in widespread use, their issue was largely restricted to front-line divisions only and the Panzergrenadiers in particular. actly where this name came from is not known, but it is incorrect; Hugo Schmeisser had nothing to do with the design, which originated with the Erma concern.

Specification: MP40 Calibre: 9 mm Length, stock extended: 833 mm (32.80 in) Length, stock folded: 630 mm (24.80 in) Length of barrel: 251 mm (9,88 in) Weight loaded: 4.7 kg(10.36 lb) Magazine: 32-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm Muzzle velocity: 365 m (1,200 ft) per second



that the drum magazine fitted up into the gun through a large slot in the stock. Very few other sub-machine gun designs used this magazine fixing system.

system. When the Germans and their allies invaded the USSR in 1941 the PPD-1934/38 and PPD-1940 were in relatively short supply among Red Army units and they had little impact on the course of events. Any the Germans captured they issued to their own second-line units, but the numbers involved were never very large. By the end of 1941 even the PPD-1940 had passed out of production for the simple reason that the Germans had overrun the arsenals concerned and there was no time to set up the extensive machine-shops and production lines elsewhere. The Red Army had to resort to newer and more easily produced sub-machine gun models.

Specification: PPD-1934/38 Calibre: 7.62 mm Length: 780mm (30.71 in) The Soviet PPD-1934 introduced one feature later used on all Soviet submachine gun designs: the chromed barrel to reduce wear and ease cleaning.

Length of barrel: 269 mm (10.60 in) Weight loaded: 5.69 kg (12.54 lb) Magazine: 71-round drum or 25-rounc box Rate of fire, cyclic: 800 rpm Muzzle velocity: 488m (1,600ft) pei second



In many ways the PPSh-41 was to the Red Army what the Sten was to the British and the MP40 to the Germans. It was the Soviet equivalent of the massproduced sub-machine gun, using simple methods and a minimum of complicated machining operations. But unlike the Sten and the MP40 the PPSh-41 was the result of a more measured and involved development process than was possible with, say the British Sten and thus the end result was

The PPSh-41 was designed and de-veloped starting in 1940 but it was not until early 1942, in the wake of the up-heavals of the German invasion, that the first examples were issued to the Red Army on a large scale. As it had been designed from the outset for ease of production the PPSh-41 was churned out in the tens of thousands in all manner of workshops ranging from properly-equipped arsenals to shed workshops in rural areas. By 1945 it has been estimated that over five millions had been produced.

Considering that it was a mass-produced weapon, the PPSh-41 was a well-made design with a heavy solid wooden butt, It used the conventional blow-back system but it had a high rate of fire and to absorb the shock of the recoiling breech block a buffer of laminated leather or felt blocks was provided at the rear of the breech block travel. The gun body and the barrel jacket were simple shaped steel stampings and the muzzle had a downward sloping shape that doubled as a rudimentary muzzle brake and a device termed a compensator that was intended to reduce the amount of muz-zle climb produced by the recoil forces when the gun was fired, The barrel was chrome-plated, a standard Soviet practice to ease cleaning and reduce barrel wear, but at one time the need for weapons was so great that the barrels were simply old Mosin-Nagant rifle barrels cut to size. The



The German army was much impressed with the Soviet PPSh-41, and when supplies of their own MP40s were lacking they took to PSh-41s. If Soviet 7.62-mm amm unition was in short supply the weapon could fire the German 7.63mm Mauser pistol round, and by 1945 numbers of PPSh-41 s were being adapted to fire German 9-mm ammunition.



Above: The PPSh-41 was one of the 'classic'Red Army weapons of World WarII, and it was produced in millions. It was an emergency design born out of the disruption of the German invasion of 1941.

Right: Involvement in the fighting extended throughout the population, for during some of the many sieges, such as those at Leningrad, Sevastopol and Stalingrad, even the women and children took up weapons.

drum magazine used was the same as that used on the earlier Soviet submachine guns. Fire selection (single-shot or full automatic) was made by a simple lever just forward of the trigger. Construction of the PPSh-41 was welding, pins and seam stampings. The overall result was a tough, reliable weapon

The PPSh-41 had to be tough, for once the Red Army started to receive the type in appreciable numbers it adopted the weapon in a way that no other army even attempted to consid-er. Quite simply the PPSh-41 was doled out to entire battalions and regiments to the virtual exclusion of any other type of weapon other than hand grenades, These units formed the vanguard of the shock assault units that were carried into the attack on the backs of T-34/76 tanks, from which they only descended for the attack or for food and rest. They carried only enough ammunition for their immedi-ate needs, their general life standards were low, and their combat lives were very short, But in their thousands these hordes armed with the PPSh-41 swept across eastern Russia and across Europe, carrying all before them. They were a fearful force and their PPSh-41s became a virtual combat symbol of the Red Army.

Under such circumstances the PPSh-41 (known to their users as the Pah-Pah-Shah) received virtually no maintenance, or even cleaning. Under Eastern Front conditions it soon became apparent that the best way to keep the weapon going under dust or ice conditions was to keep it completely dry and free from any sort of oil,

otherwise it clogged or froze, So many PPSh-41s were produced that the type became a virtual standard weapon for the Germany Army as well as the Red Army, the Germans even



going to the extent of recalibring some of their captured hoard to their own 9mm. Partisans found the PPSh-41 an ideal weapon for their purposes, and after the war the type was used by virtually every nation that came within the Soviet sphere of influence. It still turns up in the hands of 'freedom fighters' all over the world and it will no doubt be around for a long time yet.

Specification: Calibre: 7.62mm Length: 828 mm (32.60 in) Length of barrel: 265 mm (10.43 in) Weight loaded: 5.4 kg (11.90 lb) Magazine: 71-round drum or 35-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 900 rpm Muzzle velocity: 488m (1,600ft) per

minute



The first of the Beretta series was the Beretta Model 1938A, which was produced in Brescia, The first examples were produced in 1935 but it was not until 1938 that the first mass-produced examples appeared for issue to the Italian armed forces, The term 'mass production' is perhaps rather misleading for the Berettas, as although they were produced on normal production lines, the care and attention that went into each example was such that they can almost be regarded as handmade, In fact the Berettas are still regarded as some of the finest examples of the sub-machine gun that it is possible to obtain, and the early Model 1938As were destined to become among the most prized of all. In design terms the Berettas had little enough of note. They had a well-

In design terms the Berettas had little enough of note. They had a wellfinished wooden stock, a tubular body, a downwards-pointing box magazine and a perforated barrel jacket, some of them with provision for a folding bayonet at the muzzle. There was nothing really remarkable in these points, but what was very noticeable was the way in which the weapon was balanced and the way it handled in action. It turned out to be a truly remarkable sub-machine gun. The superb finish endeared it to all who used the type, and one result of the painstaking assembly and finishing was a weapon that proved reliable and accurate under all conditions. The ammunition feed proved to be exceptional, but only when the proper magazines were used. There were several sizes of magazines (holding 10, 20, 30 or 40 rounds) and these were issued together with a loading device. The rounds used on the early Berettas was a special high-velocity 9-mm cartridge but this was later changed to the universal 9-mm Parabellum.

There were several variations on the Model 1938A theme, one of which lacked the bayonet and some of the refinements as it was intended to be a special lightened model for use in desert regions. When Italy entered the war in 1941 some small revision of manufacturing methods was made, but the soldier at the front would be hard

The nature of the Italian Fascist state was such that by the time any youth entered the Army he was already well trained in the use ofmost of the weapons they would be issued with. This included the Beretta Model 1938, seen here carried by a Young Fascist being decorated by General Bastico.



Above: TheModel 1938 was a sound and well-balanced weapon that was a joy to handle and use. No expense was spared in its man ufacture, and consequently it was very reliable and accurate. This example is fitted with a 10-round magazine. Note the double-trigger arrangement and the well-finished wooden stock.

Right: Italian troops in Tunisia, their Beretta Model 1938s ready to hand. The weapon on the left is equipped with a 10-round magazine which was often employed when single-shot fire was required. The Model 1938 was very accurate andcouldbe used in the manner of a ritte at combat ranges up to 300m (985ft).

put to recognize them, for the overall finish remained beautiful. Close examination revealed that the barrel jacket was altered to become a stamped and welded part but that was about the only concession to massproduction technology, and the Model 1938A retained its high reputation. By 1944 the war situation had changed to the extent that Berettas

By 1944 the war situation had changed to the extent that Berettas were being produced for the German army, the Italians having surrendered in 1943. By then the basic design of the Model 1938A had been revised by the

The demands of war production meant that Beretta were unable to maintain their pre-war standards of excellence. Even so, the Model 38/42 was a much better weapon design than many of its contemporaries and retained many of the features of the pre-war model.

addition of simpler assembly and manufacturing methods to the point that it had become the Model 38/42, while an even later version was the Model 1. Relatively few of these two versions were produced and the bulk of them were produced after 1945. Both models were still easily recognizable as Berettas, and while they both retained the overall excellence they were generally simpler and lacked some of the finesse of the Model 1938 A.

were generally simpler and lacked some of the finesse of the Model 1938 A. As mentioned above, by 1944 Berettas were being produced for the Germans. Earlier in the war the Germans had been happy to use numbers of the Model 1938A and the Romanians had purchased a number (they later purchased the Model 38/42 as well). After the Italian capitulation the Berettas became standard German weapons but were little used outside Italy. The Allies greatly prized the Berettas and used them in place of their own weapons whenever they could capture sufficient numbers, but their use by the Allies was restricted to a great extent by a shortage of Beretta magazines. Apparently the sub-machine guns were often captured without their vital magazines, which was perhaps just as well for the Italians,

Specification: Model 1938A Calibre: 9 mm Length: 946mm (37.24 in) Length of barrel: 315 mm (12.40 in) Weight loaded: 4.97 kg (10.96 lb) Magazine: 10-, 20-, 30- or 40-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm Muzzle velocity: 420m (1,380ft) per second







After the Dunkirk evacuation of mid-1940 the British army had few weapons left. In an attempt to re-arm quickly the military authorities put out an urgent request for simple sub-machine guns that could be produced in quantity, and using the concept of the MP38 as an example the designers went to work. Within weeks the results were adopted. It was the product of two designers, Major R. V. Shepherd and H.J. Turpin who worked at the Enfield Lock Small Arms Factory, and from these three names came the universallyaccepted name Sten for the new weapon.

weapon. The first result was the Sten Mk I, which must be regarded as one of the unloveliest weapon designs of all time, It was designed for production as quickly and cheaply as possible using simple tools and a minimum of timeconsuming machining, so the Sten was made up from steel tubes, sheet stamping and easily produced parts all held together with welds, pins and bolts. The main body was a steel tube and the butt a steel framework. The barrel was a steel drawn tube with either two or six rifling grooves roughly carved. The magazine was again sheet steel and on the Sten Mk I the trigger mechanism was shrouded in a wooden stock. There was a small wooden stock. There was a small wooden foregrip and a rudimentary flash hider. It looked horrible and caused some very caustic comments when it was first issued, but it worked and the troops soon learned to accept it for what it was, a basic killing device produced in extreme circumstances.

The Stein Mk I was produced to the tune of about 100,000 examples all delivered within months. By 1941 the Sten Mk II was on the scene and this was even simpler than the Mk I, In time the Sten Mk II became regarded as the 'classic' Sten gun and it was an all-metal version. Gone was the wooden stock over the trigger mechanism, re-placed by a simple sheet-metal box. The butt became a single tube with a flatt buttplate at its end, The barrel was redesigned to be unscrewed for changing and the magazine housing, with the box magazine protruding to the left, was designed to be a simple unit that could be rotated downwards once the magazine was removed to keep out dust and dirt. The butt could be easily removed for removing the breech block and spring for cleaning. By the time all these parts (barrel, magazine and butt) had been removed, the whole weapon occupied wery little space and this turned out to be one of the Sten's great advantages. When the initial needs of the armed forces had been met, from several production lines, including those set up in Canada and New Zealand, the Sten was still produced in tens of thousands for paradrop into occupied Europe for use by resistance forces and partisans. There it found its own particular place in combat history, for the very simplic-ity of the Sten and the ease with which it could be broken down for hiding proved to be a major asset and the Germans came to fear the Sten and what it could do. The Germans learned, as did many others, that the bullet from a Sten was just as lethal as a bullet from something more fancy. A silenced version of the Sten Mk II

A silenced version of the Sten Mk II was produced in small numbers for Commando and raiding forces as the Sten Mk IIS, and then came the Sten



Above: The Sten Mkll was one of the most widely-used of all the Allied sub-machine guns. It looked crude but it worked, it could be stripped down for easy concealment, and it was available in quantity.

Right; The Sten was one of the first weapons issued to the newly-formed airborne troops of the British army, and this example is unusual in being fitted with a small spike bayonet.

Mk III. This was basically an even simpler version of the original Mk I as its barrel could not be removed and it was encased in a simple steel-tube barrel jacket. Again, tens of thousands were produced and were widely used,

The Sten Mk IV was a development model intended for parachute troops but it was not placed into production. By the time the Sten Mk V was on the scene things were going better for the Allies and the Mk V could be produced with rather more finesse. The Mk V was easily the best of the Stens for it was produced to much higher standards and even had such extras as a wooden butt, forestock and a fitting for asmall bayonet. Ithad the foresight of the Lee-Enfield No. 4 rifle and the metal was even finished to a high degree, whereas the earlier marks had their metal left in a bare state with a minimum of fine finish. The Mk V was issued to the Airborne Forces in 1944, and after World War II it became the standard British army sub-machine

gun. The Sten was a crude weapon in nearly every way, but it worked and it

Right: Street fighting in the Mediterranean. This example has had a non-standard foregrip added to enhance handling.

Below: By the time the Sten MkVwas produced there was time for some finesse tobe added to the basic design. While the original outline was retained a wooden butt and pistol grip anda No. 4 rifle foresigh t had been added.



could be produced in large numbers at a time when it was desperately needed. In occupied Europe it was revealed as an ideal resistance weapon and all over the world underground forces have been busy copying the design almost direct. The Germans even produced their own copies in 1944 and 1945. It was one of the more remarkable weapons of World War II. Specification: Sten Mk II Calibre: 9 mm Length: 762 mm (30.00 in) Length of barrel: 197 mm (7.75 in) Weight loaded: 3.7 kg (8.16 lb) Magazine: 32-round box Rate of fire, cyclic: 550 rpm Muzzle velocity: 365m (1,200ft) per second





Allied and Axis Flamethrowers

Liquid fire was used in medieval times by the Byzantine navy, but its 20th-century use stems from flamethrowers introduced by Germany during World War L By World War II such 'terror' weapons were in widespread service, adding new horror to the conflict.



A German Flammenwerfer being used to terrifying effect on an enemy-held position in Stalingrad. The flamethrower team was highly vulnerable and needed to be well protected.

Fire in all its guises has been an established weapon of war since ancient times, but when the flamethrower appeared in its modern form on the battlefields of World War I it seemed that a new aspect of its horrors had arrived. Despite the outcries of disgust that arose on all sides, the flamethrower quickly became an established military weapon and by World War II most armies either had the flamethrower in their armouries or were making active plans to place them there. These early World War II flamethrowers were very different beasts from those that came later in the war, for they were usually not much different from World War I models and in some cases, such as the several improvised designs rushed out in the UK during 1940, virtually identical. Flamethrower tanks had also been developed, although few armoured commanders knew how to use them to best effect when they first encountered them. The potential of the mobile and armoured flamethrower was enormous, as the British Wasp and Crocodile were to demonstrate during 1944 and 1945. Portable flamethrowers had many tactical uses as well, but generally speaking the portable equipments lacked the range and impact of their vehicle-borne counterparts.

Fire is a frightening weapon, for not only are its effects dreadful to bear and behold but it has

a powerful effect on morale. Mankind has an instinctive dread of fire in all its forms and when it is used offensively in the form of the flamethrower, its impact on an enemy can be considerable. At times during World War II the mere sight of a flamethrower in action was enough to make the enemy break and run - often towards the flamethrower's operators in order to surrender. There are certain battlefield targets against which the flamethrower has no effect, but they are few and far between.

So why are they so little used today? That question is hard to answer, though the main reason seems to lie in the fact that flamethrowers are essentially short-range assault weapons best used in confined areas. Modern warfare is expected to involve mobile forces moving across open country, where flame weapons can be of only little use. This is a convenient assumption to make, but it may not be borne out in practice. If urban or closequarter warfare is ever necessary in future, the flamethrower may well reappear. And if it ever does the contents of this study will provide an indication of what might be expected. Let us hope we never have to encounter them again.



German flamethrowers

The first time the German army used flamethrowers was in 1914, when some were used against the French during the Argonne fighting, but their first large-scale use was against the French (again), this time during the 1916 Verdun campaign. These early flamethrowers were large things that needed up to three men to handle them, but development led to a much lighter version that weighed 'only' 35.8 kg (79 lb). This was the Flammenwerfer 35, which was issued to the new German army during the 1930s, In design terms it owed much to the World War I equipment, and it remained in production in 1941.

GERMANY

The Flammenwerfer 35 was gradually supplemented by the Flammenwerfer klein verbessert 40, a much lighter 'lifebuoy' model that carried less inflammable fuel, but relatively few of these were produced as they were soon replaced by the Flammenwerfer 41, which reverted to the twintank arrangement. This remained the standard German flamethrower until 1945, though one important modification was introduced after the grim winter of 1941-2, when the intense cold prevented the normal flame ignition system from working. This system was replaced by a cartridge ignition device that was much more reliable at other temperatures as well. When full this version, which was otherwise identical to the 1941 model, weighed 18.14 kg (401b) and the range at best was 32 m (35 yards). All these German equipments used

All these German equipments used the twin-tank operating process, one tank containing theinflammable liquid and the other a compressed gas for propulsion, They were all capable of producing multiple bursts but there The Flammenwerfer 41, seen here resting on its side, used a hydrogen ignition system that proved to be too unreliable under the extreme winter conditions of the Eastern Front, so it was la ter replaced by a cartridge ignition system. The larger of the two tanks contained the fuel; the other nitrogen.

was one odd model produced for use by parachutists and assault troops, This was a single-shot model known as the Einstoss Flammenwerfer tragbar which fired a 1/2-second burst of fire to a range of about 27 m (30 yards). Not many were produced.

a range of about 27 in (50 yards). Not many were produced. It should not be thought that the above were the only German flamethrower equipments, for in this field as in all others the Germans were liable to produce proliferations of models, Forinstance, in addition to the back-pack Flammenwerfer 35 mentioned above there was also a two-man version known as the mittlerer Flammnwerfer, which had its main fuel tank carried on a small trolley, If this were not enough there was also a much larger model carried on a trailer towed behind a light vehicle: this carried enough fuel to produce flame for 24 seconds. Finally, for use in static situations there was a device known as the Abwehrflammenwerfer 42, a singleshot device to be buried into the ground with only the flame projector nozzle above ground and pointing towards a target area. This was set off by remote control as an enemy approached, and was the German variation of the old fougasse weapon used for many years in fortifications.

Needless to say, the Germans also

made as much use as possible of captured equipments.

Specification Flammenwerfer 35 Weight: 35.8 kg (79 lb) Fuel capacity: 11.8 litres (2.6 Imp gal) Range: 25.6 to 30 m(28 to 33 yards) Duration of fire: 10 seconds

The fearsome blast of a man-pack flamethrower is seen during a night attack at Stalingrad. Flamethrower opera tors had to be well protected by friendly infantry as they were vulnerable and highly conspicuous.





A Flammenwerfer 35 is seen in action against a concrete emplacement in Poland after the 1939 campaign. The Flammenwerfer 35 had a range of 25.6 to 30 m (28 to 33 yards) and carried enough fuel for 10 seconds of use, but it weighed 35.8 kg (79 lb) and so was often carried in to action by two men.



A German assault pioneer team is seen with one member of the team carrying the weight and bulk of a Flammenwerfer 35. This equipment weighed 35.8 kg and was an awkward load for one man to carry, but the equipment remained in production until 1941. Its basic design dated from 1918.

German flamethrower tanks

Throughout World War II the Germans were not particularly energetic in the deployment of flamethrower tanks, even though they were fielding a light flamethrower tank at a time when no other nation was doing so. This was in 1941 when, following a period of trials, a PzKpfw I was converted to take a Flammenpanzer 40 flame projector in place of one of the machine-guns in the turret. This produced the Flammpanzer I, and the first of them were used in action by the Deutsches Afrika Korps in North Africa. This expedient was soon followed by another, this time the Flammpanzer II which was a conversion of the otherwise little-used PzKpfw II Ausf D or E. On this conversion two flame projectors were used, one on each side of the front hull, Each projector had a range of about 36.5 m (40 yards). Not many of these conversions were made, and most appear to have been used on the Eastern Front.

The most numerous conversions to the Flammpanzer role were made with the PzKpfw III Ausf H or M. At least 100 of these tanks were converted to take a flame projector in place of the main gun, and there was capacity internally for 1000 litres (220 Imp gal) of fuel. These Flammpanzer III vehicles were very effective but do not appear to have been much used, mainly as a result of their inability to defend themselves against enemy tanks, for whenever they were used in action other 'gun' tanks had to be used to guard them.

Apart from the odd trials model, no PzKpfw IV was converted to the operational Flammpanzerrole. Apparently there were plans to convert various marks of Panther and Tiger II to the flame role, but none appear to have seen fruition. Instead the little Flammpanzer 38(t) was placed into production during 1944 as the standard flame tank of the land forces. This small tank was well suited to the role as it used the low and easily concealed hull of the Hetzer tank destroyer. Once again the flame gun took the place of the main gun and some of the internal space was devoted to fuel for the projector.

devoted to fuel for the projector. A few captured tanks were used by the Germans for the flame role, One example was the large Char B, one of the French tanks captured by the Germans in 1940, but once again the number involved was not great, probably about 10.

For much of the war the German army relied upon the SdKfz 251 halftrack for the flamethrowing role. The version used was the SDKfz 251/16 mittlerer Flammpanzerwagen, first used during 1942, This carried two fuel tanks, each containing 700 litres (154 Imp gal) of fuel, enough for 80 twosecond bursts of flame. Each fuel tank supplied its own projector, one each side of the open vehicle rear; some had a third but smaller projector at the front, but on most vehicles this position was occupied by a machine-gun. The usual range of these projectors was about 35 m (38 yards).



A Flammpanzer III with a flame projector in place of the usual gun. These vehicles used later Panzer 111 chassis: a machine-gun was mounted co-axially and the fuel was carried internally in two tanks, enough for 70 to 80 two- to three-second bursts. The normal crew was three men.

Above: One of the forward-mounted Hame projectors carried by the Flammpanzer II, which were mainly used on the Eastern Front although not many were actually produced. This projector is firing a rod of unlit fuel that could be ignited la ter when it lay on the ground.





If war-time propaganda photographs are to be believed, the Japanese army and marines made extensive use of flamethrowers during World War II. This impression came largely from a long series of 'official' photographs taken during Japan's protracted war against the Chinese, where weapons such as flamethrowers could have a morale effect that far outweighed their Against the flimsy structures used as housing throughout mainland China flame weapons could gain impressive results, and accordingly the Japanese made much use of them.

At first the main version used by the Japanese was the Portable Flamethrower Type 93. This was first issued during 1933 and was an orthodox design that made much use of Ger-man experience in World War I. It used three cylinders on a rather awk-ward back-pack arrangement, two of the cylinders containing the fuel and the central (and smaller) cylinder con-taining the commerced one. When the taining the compressed gas. When the Type 93 was first issued this was compressed nitrogen, but this was soon changed to compressed air. From 1939 onwards a small petrol-driven air compressor was issued with each equipment. This was the Type 99 compress-or and when not in use it was transported in a small wooden case.

For various reasons the flame projector of the Type 93 was considered to be unsatisfactory, and in 1940 it was changed to a new type. This was known as the Portable Flamethrower Type 100, but in every other aspect it



name gun and other minor changes. Two tanks held the fuel and the other was the nitrogen pressure tank, providing a flamejet duration of 10 to 12 seconds.

was identical to the Type 93. The new flame gun was shorter than the earlier model-901 mm (35.5 in) as opposed to 1.197 m (47.125 in). The nozzle of the flame gun could also be easily changed on the Type 100, whereas on

the Type 93 it was fixed. Although the Japanese infantry made use of flamethrowers, the Japanese tank formations made only limited use of the weapon. Apparently only one attempt was made to produce flamethrower tanks and this was a small unit of specialized combat enineering tanks that were encountered on Luzon in 1944. These turretless tanks were fitted with obstacleclearing equipment on the front hull and mounted a single flamethrower forward. Both internal and external fuel tanks were carried. The tank used as the basis appear to have been the

Type 98 medium, whose only other armament was a single machine-gun.

Specification Portable Flamethrower Type 100 Weight: 25 kg (551b) Fuel capacity: 14.77 litres (3.25 Imp gal) Range: about 22,9 to 27.4 m (25 to 30 yards) Duration of fire: 10 to 12 seconds

USA Portable Flame-Thrower Ml and MIAI

When the US Army requested a new portable flamethrower in July 1940, the Chemical Warfare Service had absolutely no knowledge base upon which to work, and so had to -start from scratch. Using a model known as the Element Througe EL credual develop Flame-Thrower El, gradual develop-ment reached the stage where the E1R1 was ready for troop trials, some of which were carried out under combat conditions in Papua. The E1R1 was far from perfect for it was easily broken and the controls were difficult to reach, but a more rugged version was accepted for service as the Portable Flame-Thrower M1. This M1 was much like the EIRI in that it had two tanks, one for fuel and the other for com-pressed hydrogen, The MI went into production in March 1942, and the weapon was in cation during the Guadacanal opera-

action during the Guadacanal opera-tions of January 1943. It proved to be something of a disappointment, for the MI was prone to all manner of produc-tion faults, and these often meant that the weapon failed in action. The igni-tion circuit used electrical power sup-plied by batteries that often failed under active service conditions, and the tanks were liable to pin-hole corrosion spots that allowed pressure to escape, A special repair and inspec-tion service had to be established to ensure a serviceable reservoir of Mis

ready for action. By June 1943 a new model was in use. This was the MIAI, of which 14,000 examples were produced. The MIAI was an MI modified to make use of the new thicker fuels produced by placing additives in the petrol-based



fuels previously employed, This thick-er fuel gave better flame effects and a range of up to 45.7 m (50 yards) compared with the maximum of 27.4 m (30 yards) of the Ml. Unfortunately the troublesome ignition system was not altered in any way and the previous problems persisted to the point where troops in action sometimes had to ignite the flame jets with matches or pieces of burning paper.

MIAls were used in Italy and the Far East; their use in Europe after June 1944 appears to have been somewhat restricted once the Normandy campaign was over.

Specification Portable Flame-Thrower MIAI Weight: 31,8 kg (70 lb) Fuelcapacity: 18.21itres(4Impgal)

The American Ml flamethrower was a development of the earlier E1R1 which, although technically an experimental model, was used in action in 1943. The MI was used for the first time during the Guadalcanal campaign of June 1942, and used the old 'thin'type of fuel.

Range: 41 to 45.7 m (45 to 50 yards) Duration of fire: 8 to 10 seconds



USA

Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2





Above: In a typical flamethrower action theflamegun opera tor is given covering fire by an infan try team. The flame weapon is an M2-2, being used against a Japanese bunker to burn out the occupants, who were usually loth to surrender to anything but flamethrowers - and often not even then.

By mid-1943 the Chemical Warfare Service had a much better idea of what kind of portable flamethrower the troops required and set about design-ing a new type. Based on an ex-perimental design known as the E3, the Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2 was evolved, and this featured several improvements over the old MIAI. The M2-2 continued to use the new thick-ened fuel but it was a much more rugged weapon carried on a back-pack frame (very similar to that used to carry ammunition) but the main improve-ment was to the ignition. This was changed to a new cartridge system using a revolver-type mechanism that allowed up to six flame jet shots before new cartridges had to be inserted. It proved to be much more reliable than

the old electrical methods. The M2-2 was first used in action on Guam in July 1944 and by the time the war ended almost 25,000 had been produced, more than the totals of Mis and MIAls combined, However, production was not easy and some troops in the Pacific theatre continued to use the old MIAI until the war ended. It was March 1945 before the first M2-2s arrived in Italy.

M2-2s were used by armies other than that of the Americans. Some were passed to the Australian army, bring-

ing to a halt the development of an indigenous Australian flamethrower known as the Ferret. Although the M2-2 was an improve-ment over the MI and MIAI, the US Army still considered that it was not what was really wanted, and developwhat was really wanted, and develop-ment continued to find a better and lighter weapon. Some work was carflamethrower that could be discarded after use. A model that used a combustible powder to produce pressure to eject 9 litres (2 Imp gal) of thickened petrol-based fuel from a cylinder was under development as the war ended, but the project was terminated soon

Left: The Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2 was produced by the Americans in greater numbers than any other type, and was first used on Guam in July 1944. It was to remain the standard American name weapon for many years after 1945 and saw action in Korea. Its maximum range under good conditions was 36.5 m (40yards).

afterwards. It would have had a range of 27.4 m (30 yards).

Specification

Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2 Weight: 28.1 to 32.7 kg(62 to 721b) Fuel capacity: 18.2 litres (4 Imp gal) Range: 22.9 to 36.5 m(25 to 40 yards) Duration of fire: 8 to 9 seconds

In addition to their noise, flamethrowers had a powerful visual effect on morale, and themere sight of their Hame jet was often enough to make even the strongestmen quail. This is an American M2-2 in action on le Shima in June 1945.



American tank flamethrowers

The first American tank flamethrower was produced in 1940, and this Flame Projector E2 mounted in an M2 medium tank was demonstrated to US Army tank officers in mid-1940. They were not impressed and the project was allowed to lapse. It was not long before opinions changed, but by that time the Chemical Warfare Service designers had to start once again from the beginning and before long had a pump-operated Flame Projector E3 mounted in the turret of an M3 Lee medium tank. The pump system tended to break up the fuel structure and so reduce flame performance and range, but when the pump was replaced by a compressed air system these drawbacks were eliminated.

USA

these drawbacks were eliminated. At the same time as these experiments were under way, another programme to produce a service weapon rapidly was initiated under the 'Q' (Quickie) designation. The British/ Canadian Ronson flame system was obtained from Canada, but initial trials had to be conducted with the system mounted on the rear of a truck as no tanks were available. The 'Q' project continued until an installation to be fitted to the turret of the M5A1 light tank was developed, but the tanks into which this system was supposed to be installed were simply not forthcoming and the 'Q' project thus turned out to be anything but a quickie. In fact it was early 1945 before any of the 'Q' systems, by then known as the M5-4, were ready for service in the Far East. Four were used in the Philippines.

were used in the Philippines, While all this development work was being conducted in the continental USA, troops in Hawaii were busy producing actual weapons. Using the Ronson flame projector as their basis, they mounted flame systems in place of the old turret guns on obsolete M3A1 light tanks which were renamed Satan. Once installed these Satan systems used compressed carbon dioxide as the propellant gas, and could fire thickened fuel to a range of 73 m (80 yards); each vehicle could carry 773 litres (170 Imp gal) of fuel. The initial 'production run' was for 24 Satans, and by June 1944 they were in action on Saipan,

The success of the Satan in action prompted commanders to request a similar installation in the M4 medium tank. Old 75-mm (2.95-in) tank gun barrels were used to mount the Ronson flame projectors and this new tank version, known officially as the POA-CWS '75' H-1 (H denoting Hawaii), was used in action during the Ryukyus operation. The type was later used on Okinawa, in a special application to flame out defending Japanese from deep caves: several lengths of fire hose were connected together and taken deep in the caves, one end then being connected to the M4 flamethrower tank which pumped fuel along the hose to be ignited at the other end by an operator using a portable flamethrower.

Both of these flame-producing systems mounted the flame projector in place of the main armament, a feature not greatly liked by the 'tankies', who wanted to retain some form of defensive armament. Attempts had already been made to mount a flame projector alongside the main gun on some M4 flame tanks and in the latter stages some M4s mounting 75-mm guns or 105-mm (4.134-in) howitzers also carried co-axial flamethrowers, but lack of spare parts prevented many conversions being made.

Other earlier attempts to mount portable flamethrowers that could fire through ports at the front of light tanks had been made but without much success, so in October 1943 the Chemical Warfare Service was asked to produce a flamethrower that could be mounted in place of the bow machine-gun on M3, M4 and M5 tanks, so that if reinstalled. Consequently 1,784 M3-4-3 flamethrowers were produced for installing in M4 tanks and 300 E5R2-M3 flamethrowers for use on M3 or M5 tanks. Many of these were used in Europe and in the Pacific theatre,

Many tank commanders did not like the idea of losing their bow machineguns, so an alternative installation that could be mounted next to the commander's periscope on the turret top was

British development to use Shermans in the flame-throwing role, but only

four were everproduced as USArmy interest in the project waned almost as soon as it started. The flame gun was mounted to the right of the hull gunner's escape hatch. developed, One of these, the model M3-4-E6R3, was placed into production but was too late for war use.

Once again the troops stationed in Hawaii did not wait for weapons to arrive from the continental USA, and set about producing their own auxiliary flamethrowers. This time the MIAI portable flamethrower was used as the basis for a projector that could be mounted in place of the bow machinegun of an M4 medium tank, Some 176 of these conversions were made, and were on hand for the Okinawa and Iwo Jima campaigns, but they were not much used as the troops preferred the 'local' conversions with the turretmounted systems on M4 medium tanks,

Mention must also be made of the installation of the 'Q' project M5-4 flamethrower in the LVT-4 amphibious carrier, Six of these were used on Pelelm, but the carrier proved to be rather unsatisfactory for flame operations. Although they were used very effectively, the LVT-4 was really too lightly armoured.

The Satan flamethrower was used on US Marine Corps M3A1 light tanks in place of the main turret gun. This example is in use on Saipan during July 1944, and the Satan was so successful that many more oldM3A1 light tanks were converted to the flame warfare role.





USSR

ROKS-2 and ROKS-3

When the USSR entered the war in 1941, it had been developing flame weapons for some years, but at a re-latively low priority. In 1941 it had a portable flamethrower type known as theROKS-2(ranzewüjognemjotKS-2). No details of the ROKS-1 have been discovered, but it is likely that this was a development model only. In design terms there was nothing really re-markable about the ROKS-2 apart from the attention paid to the appearance of the weapon, One of the tactical lessons learned during World War I regarding flamethrowers was that any soldier noticed by the enemy to be carrying a flame weapon immediately became the target of every weapon in sight, so if the appearance of the flamethrower could be altered in some way the user had a better chance of survival. Accordingly the Soviet designers went out of their way to make the ROKS-2 appear to be an ordinary infantry weapon. The main fuel tank was configured like a soldier's ordinary back-pack, and the flame projector was made to look like an ordinary rifle, and in fact the butt of the projector was taken from the stan-dard Soviet Model 1891/30 rifle. The only noticeable flamethrower features were the small gas pressure bottle under the 'pack', the hose leading to the projector, and the rather prominent ignition device at the muzzle of the projector. On the battlefield these features would probably have merged into the general background.

After the German invasion of the USSR in 1941 most of the nation's industrial facilities were soon in a state of upheaval as factories were overrun or moved to the east. Flamethrower production was affected along with everything else, and in the struggle to meet the ever-increasing demands for weapons of all kinds the design niceties of the ROKS-2 had to be omitted. A new and simpler model known as the ROKS-3 came into being, and this did away with the pack appearance and instead used two cylinders on a frame carried on the back. The flame projector still resembled a rifle, but it was much simpler and easier to make in quantity.

¹ During their investigations into flame warfare the Soviets discovered how to make the thickened fuel that improved flame effects and range, and used this new fuel in both the ROKS-2 and ROKS-3. Using thickened fuel both equipments had a maximum possible range of 45.7m (50 yards), though operational ranges were rather shorter.

ter. The Soviets also produced static flamethrowers of the type meant to be buried in the ground with only the projector nozzle pointing towards the target area. The exact designation of these weapons is not known, but the Germans copied them to produce their own Abwehrflammenwerfer 42. The Soviets also developed a whole series of flamethrower tanks, starting with the T-26 of the early war years, The T-26 version was no great success but in 1941 the ATO-41 flamethrower appeared. This was installed next to the main gun of the KV heavy tank to produce the KV-8, and when mounted in place of the bow machine-gun of a T-34/76 tank the vehicle became the OT-34. These early installations were not very successful, mainly because the internal fuel capacity was only 100 litres (22 Imp gal), which limited its tactical usefulness, and there were also many technical drawbacks, Most of these were eliminated with the introduction of the ATO-42 equipment, which could accommodate more fuel. A few of these improved equipments were installed in the KV-IS heavy tank to produce the KV-8S, but most of the bow machine-gun of the T-34/85 which then became the TO-34. The ATO-42 could fire four or five flame bursts in 10 seconds, and the maximum range with thickened fuel was 120 m (131 yards). The Soviet flamethrower tanks were used in special three-company battalions.

Specification ROKS-2 Weight: 22.7 kg(501b) Fuel capacity: 9 litres (2 Imp gal) Range: 36,5 to 45.7 m (40 to 50 yards) Duration of fire: 6 to 8 seconds

Right; The Soviet ROKS-2 was carried as a back pack with the cylinder tanks vertical. The flame gun was designed to resemble a rifle to conceal its function, as flamethrower operators usually attracted a great deal of enemy attention and fire. The large tank contained enough fuel for about eight seconds of use.

Below: Finnish troops surround a Soviet OT-26 flamethrower tank in 1941. This was a version of the twinturret T-26 light infantry tank, with internal fuel tanks taking the place of one of the turrets and the other mounting a Harne gun.





Ampulenjot 1941 System Kartukov

The weapon that was known as the Ampulenjot 1941 System Kartukov appears rarely in Western literature and it is still something of a mystery weapon. It was not a flamethrower in the usual sense used elsewhere in this study, but rather an incendiary projectile launcher. During World War II these were little used by any combatant, although there were plans to produce similar weapons in the UK during the dangerous days of 1940; the abortive Newton Mortar was one of these. The Kartukov gun appears to fall into exactly the same category, for it was produced in 1941 when the German army was rapidly overrunning most of the western areas of the USSR.

USSR

These western areas contained the vast bulk of the USSR's industrial potential. As many as possible of the machine-tools and other raw materials of production were hurriedly uprooted and taken away to the east beyond the Urals. During this period of reorganization and confusion the Soviet Army was losing vast quantities of war weapons of every kind, and something had to be produced quickly to replace them, This was where the Kartukov gun came in, for it was a very simple weapon that could be produced with the absolute minimum of facilities and raw materials.

The Kartukov was what is now generally know as a 'pipe gun', i.e. it was simple length of steel pipe closed at one end and with a minimum of firecontrol equipment. It would appear that the Kartukov gun was meant to be used at static locations, for the only

The first general staff specification for a flamethrowing tank was put forward as early as 1938, although at that time there was no research department dealing specifically with flame warfare. Some desultory trials led to a number of experimental models, but nothing definite was achieved until a special Petroleum Warfare Department was established, and then some more definite work was commenced. The PWD concentrated on a type of projector that used compressed hydrogen to propel the flame fuel jet, and in time this led to the Crocodile.

The Churchill Crocodile was one of the most widely used of all the many Churchill tank variants, and towed a special trailer that carried both the name fuel and the nitrogen gas cylinders. The flame gun was mounted in the hull front and the turret retained the main 75-mm (2.95-in)gun. illustrations seen show the gun mounted on a simple yoke arrangement mounted on a steel post. There were no elevation or traverse controls other than two rudimentary handles, located behind the 'breech', with which the firer aimed the weapon. The breech itself was a very simple affair: when opened, the breech block moved back along a short slide to allow the charge to be inserted. It seems almost certain that the charge was only a small black-powder cartridge and that the projectile was loaded from the muzzle. To aim the weapon a fixed raised sight that aligned with a small 'pip' on the muzzle was used, holes in the raised sight being used to vary the range. Firing was by percussion, The projectile had a diameter of 127 mm (5 in) and was of a type once known during the Middle Ages as a 'carcass'. This was an incendiary device that burst into flames as it struck the target and spread flames or burning material in the general target area, Although it cannot be stated for a fact, it seems that the Kartukov gun used some form of phosphorus mixture allied to a thick oilbased fuel, The range at best was only about 250 m (274 yards). The advancing Germans captured numbers of these Kartukov guns, and the only references now available re-

The advancing Germans captured numbers of these Kartukov guns, and the only references now available regarding these weapons are to be found among German intelligence reports, Understandably enough the Germans did not have a very high opinion of them as weapons and they regarded them as 'primitive'.



The Soviet Ampulenjot 1941 System Kartukov was a simple 'pipe'gun that used a small blackpowder charge to fire an incendiary 'carcass'projectile. It wasan expedient defence weapon used for a short period in 1941. The maximum range was only 250 m (274yards).

It would appear that by 1942 the Kartukov guns were no longer required and were scrapped.

Specification Ampulenjot 1941 System Kartukov Calibre: 127 mm (5 in) Length: overall 1.02 m (40,16 in) Weight: 26 kg (57.3 lb) Elevation: 0to+12° Traverse: 360° Muzzle velocity: 50 m (164 ft) per second Maximum range: 250 m (274 yards) Projectile weight: 1,5 or 1.8 kg (3.3 or 3.97 lb)

operational range was about 73 m (80 yards), although under favourable conditions 110-m (120-yard) range was possible. The Churchill Crocodiles first went

The Churchill Crocodiles first went into action on D-Day, 6 June 1944. Thereafter they were used in all theatres of war and came to be very effective weapons that were greatly feared by the enemy. There were plans for Crocodiles to be used on Sherman tanks operated by the US Army and although some design work was carried out only six were built and of those only four were used in action by the Americans in Europe.

by the Americans in Europe. By the time the war ended 800 Churchill Crocodiles had been produced. The main British army user was the 79th Armoured Division, although other formations also had the type, Once the war was over most were withdrawn from use.

The Crocodile was meant to be used with the Churchill infantry tank, hence Churchill Crocodile. When it first appeared in 1942 a change of War Office policy meant that there was officially no longer a requirement for the flamethrower tank, but work nevertheless went ahead. It was just as well, for in April 1943 another policy change meant that the Crocodile was wanted once more and in August 1943 an order for 250 was placed, these vehicles being needed to equip units that would take part in the forthcoming Normandy landings. The order was made despite the fact that no troop trials had taken place. Although the initial plan was that the Crocodiles were to be mounted on the Churchill Mk IV, most production weapons were installed in the Churchill Mk VII. The main part of the Crocodile was installed in a two-wheeled trailer towed behind the Churchill tank and connected to the tank via a universal joint through which the pressurized fuel had to pass. The projector itself was at the front of the tank, installed in place of the hull machine-gun. The Churchill's main 75-mm (2.95-in) gun and turret machine-gun were retained to enable the vehicle to be used as a normal gun tank ifrequired. The trailer could be jettisoned when empty or if the occasion demanded, The trailer contained enough fuel and compressed gas to produce about 80 one-





Development of what was to become officially known as the Flame-Thrower, Portable, No. 2 Mk I, began during 1941. It appears to have been influ-enced by the German Flammenwerfer 40, but the basic design of any portable flamethrower is fixed by physical con-straints, This results from the fact that for a vessel that has to contain gas at for a vessel that has to contain gas at high pressure the sphere is the best possible shape. On a flamethrower the fuel tank has to contain as much fuel as possible within as small a volume as can be managed. These design criteria virtually dictate the shape of the resultant equipment, i.e. a central sphere with a fuel tank having a circu-lar cross-section wrapped around it. This produces the classic shape which gave the British equipment its Lifegave the British equipment its Life-

buoy nickname, a name that stuck. The first pilot model was ready by mid-1942 and a production order soon followed, despite the fact that the usual series of troop and other trials had not been completed. This was unfortunate, for after only a short time in service the Lifebuoy began to demonstrate a num-ber of serious defects, many of them caused by hurried manufacture of the complex shape of the tanks. As always, ignition proved to be somewhat unreli-able, and the position of the fuel valve under the tanks proved to be awkward to use in action. As a result the first production run of the Lifebuoy, the Mk I, was withdrawn and used for training only from mid-1943 onwards. It was not until the following year that the im-2 Mk II appeared. It was this version that the British army used until the war ended, and for many years after. In appearance there was little to diffe-rentiate the Mk I from the Mk II.

The Mk II was ready for service by June 1944, and was used during the Normandy landings and after them, in-However, the British army never was really enthusiastic regarding portable flamethrowers and decided that not many would be required. Production



of the Mk II ended as early as July 1944, after 7,500 had been made. Even the Mk II proved to be generally unreli-able as it depended on a small battery to ignite the flame, and in the wet or

after even a short period of use the battery often failed. The old produc-tion problem of quality control was carried over as well, and as always the troops complained about the weight,



Above: TheMkI version of the Flame Thrower, Portable, No. 2 was usually known as the Lifebuoy from its shape, but it was not a great success and saw only limited operational use before being replaced in late 1943 by theMkll.

Left: The Mkll version of the Lifebuoy became the standard British flamethrowerfrom early 1944 onwards, but it was never a popular weapon and was used operationally only in limited n umbers. Its shape was chosen to provide the maximum possible volume inside a pressure vessel.

The official solution was to produce a smaller device known as the Ack-Pack which weighed 21,8kg (48 lb), not for use in Europe but for possible use in the Far East. In the event the Ack-Pack was given such a low de-velopment priority that it was not produced until after the war was over. So endedtheratherunfortunateLifebuoy project.

Specification Lifebuoy Weight: 29 kg (64 lb) Fuelcapacity: 18.2litres (4 Impgal) Range: 27.4 to 36.5 m (30 to 40 yards) Duration of fire: 10 seconds



The first British use of flamethrowers in The first British use of flamethrowers in connection with mobile warfare was during 1940, when the newly-established Petroleum Warfare De-partment developed a flame projector known as the Ronson. This had a re-latively short range and was mounted on a Universal Carrier with the fuel and compressed are table over the rare of compressed gas tanks over the rear of the vehicle. For various reasons the British army decided not to proceed with the Ronson, requesting more range, but the Canadians persevered with the design, and later in the war it was adopted by the US Army, who cal-led it the Satan.

By 1942 the PWD had developed the Ronson to the stage where ranges of 73 to 91.5 m (80 to 100 yards) were being reached, and this improved device was put into production as the Wasp Mk I. In September 1942 an order for 1,000 was placed and by November the following year all had been deli-vered. These Wasp Mk Is used a large projector gun that was routed over the top of the Carrier and connected to two fuel tanks inside the Carrier hull. However, these Mk Is were deemed unsuitable for service as by then a Wasp Mk II had appeared with a much smaller and handier flame projector mounted at the front in place of the machine-gun otherwise carried. This new flame projector was a great adv-ance over the previous design and gave a much better flame performance even though there was no improvement in range; the same type of

provement in range; the same type of projector was also used with the Chur-chill Crocodile. It was also easier to aim and much safer to use. The Wasp Mk II first went into action during the Normandy fighting of July 1944. They were used mainly in sup-port of infantry operations, whereas the Crocodile was used in conjunction with armoured formations. They were with armoured formations. They were dreadfully effective weapons, and greatly feared by the unfortunate Germans who had to bear their effects, thoughforfearoftheseeffectsGerman infantry opposition very often ceased once the Wasps had arrived on the scene.

It was not long before the Wasp Mk Us were joined by yet another Wasp variant, this time the Wasp Mk IIC, the suffix denoting Canada for the Cana-dians had also developed their own



version of Wasp. They decided that to devote a Universal Carrier to the flamethrower role only was rather wasteful in vehicles and they rede-signed the Wasp so that the Carrier could also function as a normal Carrier could also function as a normal Carrier if it had to. Accordingly they moved the fuel tanks to a location outside the rear of the vehicle, and replaced the arrangement of two tanks with a single 341-litre (75-Imp gal) tank. This gave room inside the open hull for a third crew member, who could carry a light machine-gun. This gave the Wasp Mk

The Wasp MkllC was the Canadian version of the British Wasp, and carried its fuel in a single tank at the rear; the British Wasp Mkll had two internal tanks. The Wasp was a conversion of the Universal Carrier for the Harne role first tested in 1943.

IIC much more tactical flexibility, and it gradually came to be the preferred type, In June 1944 all Waspproduction was switched to the Mk IIC standard, and field conversions were also made, using the existing Mk II 272.7-litre (60-Imp gal) tanks, Operational experience demonstrated the need for more frontal armour, and many Wasp Mk IICs were fitted with plastic armour over the front hull plates. Some Wasps were fitted with special smoke-producing equipment, and for whether demodia expresented back for

Some Wasps were fitted with special smoke-producing equipment, and a few had wading screens installed for possible use during amphibious operations. The Canadians demonstrated their interest in flamethrower tanks by fitting Wasp equipments to old Ram tanks to produce the Badger. These conversions were carried out in the UK for the Canadian 1st Army. Early Badgers did not have turrets, though later versions did, the turretless versions being based on Ram Kangaroo personnel carriers. They were used by the Canadians from February 1945 onwards.

During early 1945 three Wasps and a quantity of their thickened fuel were sent to the USSR. What the Soviets made of them has not been recorded.

The Wasp MkII differed from the earlier Mkl in having a much smaller flame projector moun ted in the front hull. British Wasps had a crew of two while the Canadians had three, one ofwhom usually operated a machine-gun or light mortar.





In the grim period after the Dunkirk evacuation of 1940 it appeared to be very likely that the Germans would invade the UK. If they had actually done so things might well have been to their advantage, for the remains of the British army in the UK were few in number and ill-equipped with virtually every kind of military necessity, Even rifles were in short supply at one point, and items like flamethrowers were virtually nonexistent, However, it was decided to produce and issue whatever could be procured. Fortunately for the British, things

Fortunately for the British, things like flamethrowers were relatively easy to produce as long as nothing fancy was required. Thus the Harvey flamethrower was born by simply knocking together what was available and handing it over to the troops. The Harvey flamethrower was officially known as the Flame-Thrower, Transportable, No. 1 Mk I, but to the troops it was simply the 'Harvey', In many ways it resembled the German *Flammenwerfer* of World War I but it was not intended that it should be used as a portable weapon. Instead it was taken to wherever it was to be set up and left for possible use. The 'Transportable' part of the designation was derived from the fact that it could be moved about on a two-wheeled carriage based on wheels taken from agricultural machinery production lines. The main fuel tank was an easilymanufactured pressure tank and the compressed air used by the weapon was contained in an ordinary commercial compressed air cylinder.

The flame projector was connected to the fuel tank by a 9.14-m (30-ft) hose, and the projector itself was a simple device held in position on a monopod, The idea was that the Harvey would be taken to a selected site and set up with the tanks under cover (probably be-



hind a wall) and with the projector and hose near the target point, probably camouflaged in some fashion. When a potential target approached the flame was ignited as the fuel rushed through the projector under pressure

Was ignited as the fuel rushed through the projector under pressure. The first Harveys were issued to regular troops defending the UK, but it was not long before the Home Guard got them as well, They were cumbersome things and were not greatly This was the name jet produced by the Harvey flamethrower, a static defensive device produced in 1940 mainly for the Home Guard. Although meant to be used in astatic role, it could be moved on a simple two-wheeled carriage, but it was a cheap and crude weapon.

liked, but they worked after a fashion. Some of them even found their way to the Middle East where they were used not for flame work but for smoke production. They were never used operationally in a flame role. Specification Harvey Weight: not known Fuel capacity: 127,3 litres (28 Imp gal) Range: about 46 to 55 m (50 to 60 yards) Duration of fire: 12 seconds



ITALY

Lanciafiamme modello 35 e 40

As its designation implies the Italian Lanciafiamme modello 35 entered service in 1935, just in time to make its operational debut during the Italian invasion of Abyssinia. There this flamethrower terrorized the hapless natives who had to endure its efficiency, and from this the weapon gained foritself areputation for lethality within the Italian army.

From a design viewpoint there was nothing really remarkable about the modello 35. It was a relatively portable twin-cylinder back-pack equipment that used a rather cumbersome flame projector, This projector was fitted at the end with a large collar housing the flame ignition system. For various reasons this ignition system was not considered reliable enough, so it was modified to produce the Lanciafiamme modello 40. In general appearance and use the modello 35.

These flamethrowers were used by special troops known as Guastori, or assault pioneers. In action these flamethrower troops had to wear thick protective clothing and their faces were covered by normal service gas respirators, When so clothed their operational mobility and vision was restricted, so they were usually guarded by teams of supporting infantry. On the move their flamethrower equipments were usually carried on special brackets fitted to trucks or, if the formation was not mechanized, mules with special harnesses were employed, The fuel for the flamethrowers was carried in specially marked jerricans.

Both of these flamethrowers were used in some numbers by Italian troops operating in North Africa and by the hapless Italian troops who had to fight alongside the Germans on the Eastern Front. In both theatres of war the modello 35 and 40 worked well enough, but it was increasingly noticed that they lacked range compared with contemporary equivalents, and especially the later German designs. This did not stop the Germans from using them from time to time when it suited them.

When it suited them. Incidentally, the success of flamethrowers in Ethiopia moved the Italian army authorities to fit a special version of a lanciafiamme, much larger than the man-pack version, to the little L.3 tankette. As space within the low hull of this L3-35Lf vehicle was very limited the fuel was carried externally in a lightly armoured trailer, with a corrugated pipe passing the fuel to the projector from the trailer. There was also a version that dispensed with the trailer and carried a much smaller flat fuel tank over the top of the vehicle rear. Although much was made of these two flame-throwma tankettes



they appear to have been little used,

Specification Lanciafiamme modello 35 Weight: 27kg(59,51b) Fuel capacity: 11.8 litres (2.6 Imp gal) Range: about 22.8 m (25 yards) Duration of fire: 20 seconds Above; The name projector of the L3 Lanciafiamme was mounted in place of the machine-gun carried on the L3/38 tankettes. These flamethrower tanlcs were of very limited tactical value as they were very ligh thy armoured and had a crew of only two. Below: TheL3Lanciafiamme was the most widely used of the Italian flamethrower tanks. The fuel was carried in a trailer weighing 500 kg (1,102 lb), but some later models used a much smaller fuel tank mounted over the rear hull.





Allied Fighters

World War II saw a stunning evolution in the capability of the single-seat fighter aircraft. Few people observing the light, short-range aircraft of the first year of the war would have predicted the multitude of tasks to come its way in such a short time.

"V[^]Then devastating war once W more burst on Europe in September 1939 the state of preparedness of the Allied air forces varied from the RAF's optimistic mediocrity to the downright ineptness of the French and Polish air forces, generally born of years of pacifism and parsimony. The monoplane fighter was in widespread use, albeit with little more than a couple of years' service behind it in most cases. Biplanes still served, if only to fill gaps yet to be occupied by more modern aircraft.

The finest Allied fighter in service in 1939, the immortal Supermarine Spitfire, served on only a handful of squadrons, was not regarded as fully operational, and demanded special servicing facilities at three or four nominated fighter bases. Yet within a year this aeroplane came to epitomize everything that was best in the RAF's fight against the Luftwaffe. Indeed it left its early partner, the Hawker Hurricane, far behind in the race to forge a weapon capable of matching Germany's great duo of fighters, the Messerschmitt Bf 109 and Focke-Wulf Fw 190. By 1941 RAF Fighter Command had adopted the Spitfire Mk VB, with its two 20mm cannon and four rifle-calibre machine-guns, as its standard equipment.



A group of USAAF long-range North American P-51 Mustang fighters - a superlative aircraft, believed by many to be the best of the war. It entered service in 1943 and achieved its 3347km (2080 mile) range by using wing drop tanks.

It was the shock introduction in 1941 by the Luftwaffe of the superb Fw 190, however, that fired the starting gun for the technological race to accelerate fighter development. The Spitfire Mk IX was rushed into service, as was the Hawker Typhoon, the latter still with many engine and airframe problems yet unresolved. US entry into the war at the end of 1941 did little to improve the fighter scene, neither the Bell P-39, Curtiss P-40 nor Republic P-43 being regarded as any match for the German

fighters. In due course, however, the marriage of the superlative Rolls-Royce Merlin to the North American P-51 Mustang produced the war's finest long-range singleseat fighter, entering service in late 1943.

On the Eastern Front, Germany's attack on the Soviet Union was accompanied by almost total destruction of the outdated indigenous equipment of the Red Air Force in 1941, a disastrous situation that was to some extent alleviated by the supply of Western aircraft to bolster Soviet resistance in the air. In an amazingly short time, however, having moved the aircraft industry far to the East, the Soviets managed to introduce a number of promising new fighters, the YakovlevYak-3 and Lavochkin La-5 among them.

The last two years of the war found the Allies almost entirely re-equipped with fighters whose development had been undertaken wholly during the war, thereby drawing on combat experience. The Hawker Tempest joined the Typhoon (which nevertheless proved an excellent ground-attack weapon), while Griffon-powered Spitfires formed a large proportion of Fighter Command's air combat force. The USAAF was almost entirely equipped with the classic Lockheed P-38, P-47 and P-51 trio as well as late-series P-40s. In the Red Air Force the Lavochkin La-7 and Yakovlev Yak-9 were proving more than a match for the majority of Luftwaffe fighters, flown as they were by hastily trained young pilots, while in the Pacific the American and British fighters all but annihilated the air forces of Japan. In the field of jet fighters, where in airframes Germany unquestionably led the Allies, only the RAF managed to introduce very small numbers of early Gloster Meteors.





Designed by a bureau headed by Se myon Lavochkin and including V. Gorbunov and M. Gudkov, the Lavochkin LaGG-3 stemmed from the LaGG-1, whose prototype (the 1-22) was first " flown on 30 March 1940. These aircraft were unusual in retaining an all-wood structure; only the control surfaces (and later the landing flaps) were metal. This excellent little fighter was ordered into production in 1940 as the LaGG-1 with a 783-kW (1,050-hp) Klimov M-105 V-12 engine, but was too late to see service during the Winter War with Finland in 1939-40. With a top speed of 605 km/h (376 mph) and an armament of one 20-mm and two 12.7mm (0.5-in) guns, the LaGG-1 was certainly one of the world's best fighters early in 1941, but pilots complained of poor climb performance and heavy controls, and a new version, the LaGG-3, was introduced by way of the 1-301 prototype after several hundred LaGG-1s had been delivered. At the time of the German attack two air regiments still flew the older aircraft, but within a year four regiments had received the LaGG-3, their task being to provide escort for the Ilyushin I1-2 close-support aircraft; they carried a variety of armament combinations, including wing attachments for six 8.2cm (3,23-in) rockets or light bombs. The LaGG-3 featured a constantspeed propeller and improved rudder balancing, and was popular in service; it proved very robust and was capable of sustaining considerable battle damage, A better fighter was urgently needed, and the three designers each built new versions with the M-82 radial. In 1942 the liquid-cooled LaGG went out of production after about 6,528 had been built,



This LaGG-3 was flown by Captain C.A. Grigoryev in the winter of 1942/3, on the 6th Fighter Aviation Sector of the Central Front.



Specification Lavochkin LaGG-3 Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 925-kW (1,240-hp) M-105PF V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 575 km/h (357 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); initial climb rate 900 m (2,950 ft) perminute; service ceiling 9700 m (31,825 ft); range 650 km (404 miles) Weights: empty 2620 kg (5,776 lb); maximum take-off 3300 kg (7,275 lb) Dimensions: span 9.80 m (32 ft 1.75 in); length 8.81 m (28 ft 11 in); height 2.70 m (8 ft 10 in); wing area 17.51 irf (188.5 sq ft) Armament: one 20-mm ShVAK hub-

Armament: one 20-mm ShVAK hubfiring cannon and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UBS machine-guns or two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS machine-guns, plus provision for six underwing 8.2-cm LaGG-3s of the 9th IAP (Fighter Aviation Regiment) serving on the Black Sea. The LaGG did not fare well at the hands of the Luftwaffe, although this was more a reflection of respective pilot training than of any failure of the aircraft.

(3.23-in) rockets or four 50-kg (110-lb) bombs

Lavochkin La-5 and La-7

As the Soviet armies reeled back after the initial assault by Germany in the East during 1941, frantic demands were made for modern equipment to be supplied to the Soviet air force. In October 1941 Semyon Lavochkin started work on the Lavochkin LaG-5 fighter with 1194-kW (1,600-hp) M-82 radial, passing on almost immediately to a development, the La-5, with cutdown rear fuselage which gave improved visibility for the pilot. The prototype completed its acceptance trials in May 1942 and entered production two months later; by the end of the year no fewer than 1,182 examples had been completed. In March 1943 the next and principal version, the La-5FN, entered production, a total of 21,975 aircraft including the later La-7 being produced before the end of the war; the La-5FN featured the 1231-kW (1,650-hp) ASh-82FN engine, but its two 20-mm cannon were supplemented by four 8.2-cm (3.23-in) RS 82 rocket projectiles or two PTAB antitank weapons. A two-seat trainer version, the La-5UTI, was also produced. Later aircraft were armed with two 23mm guns in place of the 20-mm weapons. In 1944 the La-7 appeared with an armament of three 20- or 23mm cannon, an uprated ASh-82FN engine and a top speed of 680 km/h (423 mph). The first large-scale use of the La-5 was during the fighting around Stalingrad in November 1942; it was essentially a low/medium-altitude

USSR

fighter, and during the great armour battles at Kursk in July 1943 La-5s were employed in a tank-busting role, and after having discharged their hollowcharge missiles against ground targets they would climb to give fighter cover to the slower Ilyushin 11-2 support aircraft, The highest-scoring of all Allied fighter pilots of the war, Ivan Kozhedub, achieved all his 62 combat victories while flying La-5s, La-5FNs and La-7s between 26 March 1943 and 19 April 1945.

A re finement of the La-5, itselfa development of the LaGG-3, the La-7 was to be the last of Lavochkin 's mixed wood and metal construction fighters. By the time of its introduction to the battle in spring 1944, the Germans were in retreat all along the Eastern Front.

Ivan Kozhedub was the top-scoring Allied fighter pilot of the war. The La-7 shown is that in which hemade the last of his 62 'kills', on 19 April





Kozhedub flew this La-5FNin the summer of 1944. The aircraft had been presen ted to the unit by the father of Hero of the Soviet Union Lieutenant-Colonel Konyev in m em ory of his son.

Specification LavochkinLa-5FN Type: single-seat fighter/fighter-

bomber Powerplant: one 1231-kW(1,650-hp) ASh-82FN radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 647 km/h (402 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 5.0 minutes; service ceiling 11000 m (36,090 ft); range 765 km (475 miles) Weights: empty 2605 kg (5,743 lb); normal take-off 3360 kg (7,408 lb) Dimensions: span9.80m (32ft 1.75 in); length 8.67 m (28ft 5.3 in); height 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in); wing area 17.59 m² (189.3 sq ft)

Armament: two nose-mounted 20-mm ShVAK cannon (on later aircraft 23-mm NS cannon), plus provision for four 8.2cm (3.23-in) RS-82 rockets or 150 kg (331ib) of bombs

Semyon Lavochiris La-7 featured an uprated engine, giving it a top speed of 680 km/h (423 mph) and many minor improvements which made this aircraft one of the most effective of the war. Those made at the Moscow factory carried two cannon, whilst those built at Yaroslavl had three.







Mikoyan-Gurevich MiG-3

Gaining a reputation as a 'hot ship' in the early years, the Mikoyan-Gurevich MiG-3 was plagued by difficult handling and very poor armament, and although among the fastest of Soviet fighters of that period, it proved no match for the German Bf 109G or Fw 190. Flown in prototype form as the 1-61 in the spring of 1940, the initial design included the 895-kW (1,200-hp) Mikulin AM-35 V-12 engine, and this was retained in the production MiG-1, which started appearing in September 1940, Handicapped by the overall length of the engine, which resulted in poor pitch and directional stability, and armed with only three machineguns, the MiG-1 suffered heavily in the opening months of Operation Barbarossa', and the MiG-3, delivered during the second half of 1941, proved little better with a 1007-kW (1,350-hp) AM-35A engine, which gave the fighter a top speed of 640 km/h (398 mph); introduced at the same time was a constantspeed propeller, increased wing dihedral and sliding cockpit canopy. Handling was only marginally improved, so the MiG-3 was transferred to attack bomber escort and close support duties; in 1942 two 12.7-mm (0.5in) machine guns were added in underwing fairings by operational units, but gradually the aircraft was replaced by radial-engine fighters such as the La-5. Total production was 3,422, of which 100 were the earlier MiG-1.

A MiG-3 of the 34IAP operating from Vnukovko in the defence of Moscow in the winter of 1941/2. The aircraft is adorned with that most potent of Soviet slogans, 'For the Father land!'



Specification Mikoyan-Gurevich MiG-3 Type: single-seatfighter Powerplant: one 1007-kW (1,350-hp) Mikulin AM-35 A V-12 pistonengine Performance: maximum speed 640 km/h (398 mph) at 7000 m (22,965 ft); initial climbrate 1200 m (3,935 ft) perminute; service ceiling 12000 m (39,370 ft); range 1250 km (777 miles) length 8.15 m (26 ft 9 in); height 2.67 m (8 ft 9 in); wing area 17.44 m² (187.7sqft)

Armament: one 12.7-mm(0.5-m) Beresin BS and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS nose-mounted machine-guns (later increased by two 12.7-mm/0.5-in underwing guns), plus provision for six 8.2-cm (3.23-in) underwing rockets or two 100-kg (220-lb) bombs The MiG-3 had been designed for a high-altitude role but combats on the Eastern Front took place below 6000 m (19,685 ft), where the German Bf 109 had a distinct performance advantage. Consequently attrition was high, although many who were to become aces made their first 'kills'in theMiG fighter.





USSR

Yakovlev Yak-1, Yak-3, Yak-7 and Yak-9

It is said that 37,000 Yakovlev fighters were produced during World War II, of which the vast majority were of the Yakovlev Yak-9 that could outfight the German Bf 109G as early as the time of the Stalingrad campaign. Developed progressively from the Yak-1 (which first flew in January 1940), through the Yak-TB which served from early 1942, the Yak-9 was first flown in its production form in the summer of that year, returning a speed of 600 km/h (373 mph). Numerous versions of this versatile fighter were developed, including the Yak-9T anti-tank fighter with 940kW (1,260-hp) Klimov VK-105PF V-12 engine and 37-mm hub-firing cannon, the Yak-9B fighter-bomber with provision for 400 kg (882 lb) of bombs, the Yak-9D very long-range escort fighter, the latter beingflownas escort for USAAF bombers on shuttle raids between the UK and the Soviet Union late in the war. The Yak-9U fighter, with 1231-kW (1,650-hp) VK-107A engine and a top speed of 700 km/h (435 mph), was thefinal version to see combat during the war and represented the point at which Soviet technology may be said to have finally caught up with that of the West, and came to be much respected by the best Luftwaffe pilots in their final generation of Bf 109K and Fw 190D fighters. The Yak-3 was perhapsthe war's mostmanoeuvrable monoplane fighter, and entered service in 1944 as a lightened derivative of the Yak-1 series.

Right: The early production Yak-1 came to the front in large numbers in the early days of Barbarossa, and was disliked by some pilots who were used to the less advanced aerodynamics of the Polikarpov biplanes which had equipped many Soviet aviation regiments.



By late 1944, the phenomenally agile Yak-3 was being replaced by improved models, but senior officers such as Major-General C.N. Zakharov of the 303 Fighter Aviation Division often kept them as personal mounts.





Specification Yakovlev Yak-9U Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 1231-kW (1,650-hp) VK-107A V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 700 km/h (435 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 3.8 minutes; service ceiling 11900 m (39,040 ft); range 870 km (540 miles) Weights: empty 2575 kg (5,677 lb); normal take-off 3098 kg (6,830 ft) Dimensions: span 9.77 m (32 ft0.6 in); length 8.55 m (28 ft0.6 in); height 2.44 m (8 ft0 in); wing area 17,25 nf' (185.7 sq ft) Armament: one 23-mm hub-firing VYa-23V cannon and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UBS machine-guns, plus provision for two 100-kg (220-lb) bombs

Above: Yak-9Ds of a Guards regiment operating in the Crimea. The nearest aircraft is the mount of Colonel Avdyeyev and bears the Order of the Red Banner. By the summer of 1944, when thephotowas taken over Sevastopol, Soviet fighters were often superior.

Right: This Yak-IMwas presented by the young Communists of Alma Alta and was flown by Sergei Lugansky, victor at the time over 32 enemies.





Lockheed P-38 Lightning

Representing Lockheed's first fighter aircraft project, the twin-engine, twin-boom Lockheed P-38 Lightning was designed to meet a 1937 requirement for a high-altitude interceptor. First flown on 27 January 1939, the XP-38 was followed by production P-38s with nose armament of one 37-mm and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns and powered by Allison V-1710-27/29 engines; their top speed of 628 km/h (390 mph) was greater than any other twin-engine fighter in 1941. The first version to be considered fully operational was the P-38D, however, and this was reaching squadrons at the time of Pearl Harbor. The first of an order for 143 aircraft for the RAF arrived in the UK in December 1941, but after evaluation the Lightning was rejected (on account of a ban imposed on the export of turbochar-gers) and the contract cancelled. In the USAAF the P-38D was followed by the P-38E, in which the 37-mm cannon was replaced by a 20-mm weapon. The P-38F, with provision for up to a 907-kg (2,000-lb) bombload under the wings, was followed by the P-38G with minor equipment changes; the P-38H could carry up to 1452 kg (3,200 lb) of bombs. In the P-38J (of which 2,970 were produced) the radiators were lo-cated in deep 'chin' fairings im-mediately aft of the propellers; with maximum external fuel load this version had an endurance of about 12 hours, and it was in this model of the P-38 that America's top scoring fighter pilot of the war, Major Richard I. Bong, gained the majority of his 40 victories. The P-38L was the most-built version (a total of 3,923) and differed from the

P-38Jonlyinhaving-111/113 enginesin place of the -89/9 Is previously used. Photo-reconnaissance conversions, the F-4 and F-5, were also widely used in Europe and the Far East. Production of all Lightnings totalled 9,394.

Specification Lockheed P-38L Lightning Type: single-seat fighter/fighterbomber

Powerplant: two 1100-kW(l,475-hp) Allison V-1710-111/113 V-12 piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 666 km/h (414 mph) at 7620 m (25,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 7,0minutes; service ceiling 13410m (44,000ft); range 724 km (450miles) Weights: empty 5806 kg (12,800 lb); maximum take-off 9798 kg (21,600 lb) Dimensions: span 15.85 m (52ft0in); length11,52m(37ft10in); height 2.99m(9ft10in); wingarea30.42m² (327.5 sq ft)

Armament: one 20-mm and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in the nose, plus a bombload of up to two 726-kg(1,600-Ib) bombs under the wings

P-38J of the 432nd Fighter Squadron based on New Guinea in late 1943. The 'J'models' were the first to have relocated radiators and leading-edge tankage.



Above: A t the AAF Tactical Center in Orlando, Florida, technicians load a P-38 with bombs before a skip bombing run. With the retention of the full figh ter nose armament of 20-mm and 12.7-mm guns, theP-38 could provide its own Hak suppression at low level.

Below: The big Lockheed fighter saw service in a number of variants, the P-38M being a night fighter. This twoseat, radar-equipped model was used operationally in the Pacific during the latter stages of the war. It retained the full weapon fit of the day fighter versions.





Bell P-39 Airacobra

The radical Bell P-39 Airacobra singleseat fighter was designed around the hub-firing 37-mm T-9 cannon which had given impressive demonstrations in 1935. The Allison V-12 engine was located amidships behind the cockpit, driving the propeller by an extension shaft, and nosewheel landing gear was adopted. The prototype XP-39 was first flown in April 1939; production P-39D aircraft entered service with the USAAC in 1941 and first saw combat in the Pacific theatre in April 1942. P-39Ds also served with US forces in Europe but suffered heavily in action; they also flew with one RAF squadron (No. 601) but persistent problems caused them to be withdrawn after scarcely a single action. The Airacobra flew with much better results with three USAAF groups based in North Africa from the end of 1942. The P-39D was followed by the P-39F, which introduced an Aeroproducts propeller in place of the former Curtiss type, the P-39J with V-1710-59 engine, the P-39K with -63 engine and Aeroproducts propeller, and the P-39L with -63 en-gine and Curtiss propeller. The P-39M introduced the -83 engine with large-diameter propeller. Final and most-built versions were the P-39N and P-39Q with -85 engine; production amounted to 2,095, bringing the total of all P-39s to 9,558, Of these, no fewer than 4,773 were shipped to the Soviet Union in response to Stalin's desperate

A Bell P-SBL Airacobra, operated by the 93rd FS, SlstFCin Tunisia in 1943. Successful in Soviet hands, the P-39 proved no match for the agile Zero in the Pacific, but was reasonably effective in North ff fr.



Specification

BellP-39NAiracobra BellP-39NAiracobra Type: single-seat fighter bomber Powerplant: one 895-kW (1,200-hp) Allison V-1710-85 V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 642 km/h (399 mph) at 2955 m (9,700 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 3.8 climb to 45 /0 m (15,000 ft) in 3.8 minutes; service ceiling 11735 m (38,500 ft); range 1207 km(750 miles) Weights: empty 2566 kg (5,657 lb); maximum take-off 3720 kg (8,200 lb) Dimensions: span 10.36 m (34 ft 0 in); length 9.19 m (30 ft 2 in); height 3.78 m (12 ft 5 in); wing range 10 70 m² (12ft5in); wingarea 19.79m (213.0 sq ft)

Armament: one hub-firing 37-mm gun, two 12,7-mm(0.5-in) machine-guns in nose decking, and four 7.62-mm (0.3in) guns in the wings, plus provision for one 227-kg (500-lb) bomb under the fuselage

Originally designed as an interceptor, theAiracobra was used also at low level, where its hubmounted 37-mm cannon was to prove most effective in ground attack. Soviet pilots, fighting at low level, also achieved considerable success in air combat.

USA



Curtiss P-40 Warhawk

The USA's most important fighter at the time of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, the Curtiss P-40 continued to Harbor, the Curtiss P-40 continued to give valuable service for the remain-der of the war, though it never match-ed the excellence of the famous P-38/ P-47/P-51 trio. It had, after all, first flown as the X17Y (later the P-36 with Pratt & Whitney R-1830 radial) and been re-engined as the XP-40 with su-percharged Allison V-1710 V-12 en-gine in October 1938. Large orders fol-lowed, but most P-40A aircraft went to the R A F (as the Tomahawk Mk I). The lowed, but most P-40A arcraft went to the RAF (as the Tomahawk Mk I). The P-40B followed with cockpit armour and an armament of two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and four 7.62-mm (0.3-in) guns (the Tomahawk Mk IIA in the RAF). The P-40C (Tomahawk Mk IIB) featured self-sealing fuel tanks. The P-40D intro-

duced a slightly shortened nose with radiator moved forward and deepened, this marked change in appearance being identified by a change of name to Kittyhawk in the change of name to Kittyhawk in the RAF (all P-40s in American service being termed Warhawk); the P-40D corresponded with the Kittyhawk Mk I in RAF service. The first major USAAF version was the P-40E (Kittyhawk Mk IA), with six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) wing guns, 2,320 being built. A Packard-built Rolls-Royce Merlin powered the P-40F (Kittyhawk Mk II). Most-produced version was the P-40N (of which 5,219 were built), this version reverting to the Allison V-1710 engine and featuring shackles for up to 680 kg (1,500 lb) of bombs; in RAF service it became the Kittyhawk Mk IV. The majority of USAAF P-40s served in the Pacific, although many served in the Mediterranean theatre alongside the Commonwealth Tomahawks and Kitty-hawks. Total USAAF production was 12,014, 1,182 Tomahawks and 3,342 Kit-tyhawks being built on British con-tracts tracts.

Specification Curtiss P-40N-20 Warhawk (Kittyhawk MklV)

Type: single-seat fighter/fighterbomber

Powerplant: one 1015-kW(1,360-hp) Allison V-1710-81V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 609 km/h (378 mph) at 3200 m (10,500 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 6.7 minutes; service ceiling 11580 m

(38,000 ft); range 386 km (240 miles) Weights: empty 2722 kg (6,000 lb); maximum take-off 5171 kg (11,400 lb) Dimensions: span 11.38 m (37 ft4 in); length 10.16 m (33 ft4 in); height 3.76 m (136 ft4 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft4 in); wingarea21.92nr (236.0 sq ft) Armament: six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings, plus a bombloadof up to three 227-kg (500-lb) bombs

The P-40E, seen here in 1942, was the first to serve extensively with the USAAF in Europe and North Africa. Called Kittyhawk in RAF service and Warhawk with the American forces, the P-40 bore the brunt of the initial fighting in the Pad fie.







Republic P-47 Thunderbolt

Evolved from Major Alexander P. de Seversky's radial-powered P-43, the big Republic P-47 Thunderbolt was designed under the leadership of Alexander Kartveli to become one of America's three outstanding fighters of the war, First flown on 6 May 1941, the XP-47B was designed around the 1492kW (2,000-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800 with exhaust-driven turbocharger in the rear fuselage; armament was eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings. 171 production P-47B fighters were built with minor improvements and a top speed of 691 km/h (429 mph), this version being brought to the UK in January 1943 by the 56th and 78th Fighter Groups; they were first flown in combat on 8 April that year, flying escort for B-17s. Early P-47s proved to possess poor climb and manoeuvrabil-ity, but were popular on account of their ability to survive heavy battle damage. A lengthened fuselage and provision for an under-fuselage drop tank identified the P-47C. The majo^A version (of which no fewer than 12,60 were built) was the P-47D with water injection power boost, and cut-dowi rear fuselage with 'bubble' hood 01 later sub-variants; P-47Ds served ii the UK, the Mediterranean and the Fa East. In Burma 16 RAF squadrons flev the P-47B (as the Thunderbolt Mk I and P-47D (Thunderbolt Mk II), a tota of 826 being delivered. Developed a: a result of demands for a 'sprint' ver sion, the P-47M with improved turbo charger and a top speed of 762 km/1 (473 mph)at9755 m(32,000 ft)reachec

Fighters from the 82nd FS, 78th FG on the line after a mission over Germany ir the autumn of 1944. Aircraft from this squadron claimed the first Me262 to be destroyed by the 8th Air Force on 29 August that year.



Europe at the end of 1944, while the P-47N with blunt-tipped enlarged wing and increased fuel capacity was developed purely for service in the Pacific; a total of 1,816 was produced and these flew escort for B-29s in their raids on Japan in 1945, A total of 15,675 P-47s was produced.

Specification Republic P-47D-25 (Thunderbolt Mkll)

Type: single-seat long-range fighter Powerplant: one 1716-kW(2,300-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-59 radial piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 689 km/h (428 mph) at 9145 m (30,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9.0 minutes; service ceiling 12800 m

(42,000 ft); maximum range 2028 km (1,260 miles)

Weights:empty4536kg(10,000lb); maximum take-off 8800 kg (19,400 lb) Dimensions: span 12.43 m (40 ft9'/2 in); $\begin{array}{l} \text{Dimensions.span 12.43 in (4017)/2 in }\\ \text{length 11.01 m (36ft 1\% in); height}\\ 4.32 m (14 ft 2 in); \text{wingarea 27.87 m}^2 \end{array}$ (300.0sqft)

Armament: eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings, plus up to two 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs



USA North American P-51 Mustang

One of the truly great fighters of the war, the North American P-51 Mustang was originally designed in 1940 to a British requirement. The prototype NA-73 was first flown in October that year with a 820-kW (1,100-hp) Allison V-1710-F3Fbut, although two early air-craft were evaluated by the USAAF as XP-51 aircraft, the type was not adopted by that air force. Most of the early aircraft were supplied to the RAF (620 aircraft as Mustang Mk IA and Mustang Mk II). Their outstanding lowlevel speed and range resulted in their assignment to the ground support (army co-operation) role. After the USA's entry into the war the USAAF adopted the aircraft, ordering 148 P-51s which had four 20-mm cannon (instead of four 0.5-in and four 0.3-in) wing bomb shackles in the attack category as the A-36A, The British in the meantime had re-engmed four Mustangs with Rolls-Royce Merlins, and this expedient transformed the aircraft. In America the armament was reduced to four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns, all in the wings, and an 895-kW (1,200-hp) Allison V-1710-81 was used in the P-51A, 310 being ordered in 1942. So spectacular were the benefits of the Merlin that a Packard-built Merlin (as the V-1650) was used in the P-51B, of which



By the invasion of Normandy in June 1944, the Mus tang was in extensive service with the USAAF. This P-51 B operated out of Bottisham with the374thFS, 361stFC, of the 8th Air Force over the invasion beaches.

1,988 were produced at Inglewood; 1,750 of the similar P-51C were built at Dallas, Texas. Later aircraft had the armament restored to six guns, while increased fuel capacity extended the range to a maximum of 3347 km (2,080 miles), enabling Mustangs to escort American bombers to Berlin. The P-5ID featured a cut-down rear fuselage and 'tear-drop' canopy. The Merlin P-51 joined the RAF as the Mustang Mk HI (P-5IB and P-51C) and Mustang Mk IV (P-5ID). Fastest of all versions was the lightened P-5 IH with a top speed of 784 km/h (487 mph), 555 being built

during the war. Total production of the P-51 was 15,586, including 7,956 P-5IDs and 1,337 generally similar P-51K fighters with an Aeroproducts prop-eller.

Specification

North American P-5 ID (Mustang MkW)

Type: single-seat long-range fighter Powerplant: one 1112-kW(1,490-hp) Packard Rolls-Royce Merlin V-1650-7 V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 704 km/h (437 mph) at 7620 m

(25,000 ft); climb to 9145 m (30,000 ft) in 13.0 minutes; service ceiling 12770 m (41,900 ft); maximum range 3347 km (2,080 miles) Weights: empty 3232 kg(7,125 lb);maximum take-off 5262 kg (1,12510), Dimensions: span 11,28 m (37 ft 0% in); length9.85 m (32 ft 3% in); height 3.71 m(.12ft2in);wingarea21,65m² (233.2 sq ft) Armament: six 12.7-mm (0.5-in)

machine-guns in the wings, plus provision for up to two 454-kg (1,000-Ib) bombs or six 127-mm (5-in) rocket projectiles



Classic creation of designer R.J. Mitch-Classic creation of designer K.J. Mitch-ell, the Supermarine Spitfire was the descendant of the race-winning Schneider Trophy seaplanes. First flown on 5 March 1936, the Spitfire Mk I with Merlin II engine and eight machine-guns entered RAF service in August 1928, the service bins here in August 1938, this version being heavily committed to combat in the Battle of Britain. The Spitfire Mk II with Merlin XII followed in September 1940, the Spitfire Mk IIB being armed with two 20-mm guns and four machine-guns. The photo-reconnaissance Spitfire Mk The photo-reconnaissance Spittire Mk IV was followed in March 1941 by the excellent Spitfire Mk V (of which 6,479 were produced) with 1074-kW (1,440-hp) Merlin 45; the Spitfire Mk VC fighter-bomber could carry one 227-kg (500-lb) or two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs. The Spitfire Mk VB remained the mainstay of Fighter Command bebombs. The Spittire Mk VB remained the mainstay of Fighter Command be-tween mid-1941 and mid-1942 when the Spitfire Mk IX, with 1238-kW (1,660-hp) Merlin 61 with two-stage, two-speed superchart ?r joined the RAF. The Spitfire Mk XI and Spitfire Mk VII were high-altitude fighters with extended wingting. but the definitive extended wingtps, but the definitive Spitfire Mk VIII fighter and fighter-bomber was used principally in the Mediterranean and Far East, being fully tropicalized

The Spitfire Mk X and Spitfire Mk XI were unarmed photo-reconnaissance versions and the Spitfire Mk XVI, with a top speed of 652 km/h (405 mph) was a top speed of 652 km/h (405 mph) was produced in fighter and fighter-bomber versions. All the foregoing (of which 18,298 were built) were pow-ered by the Rolls-Royce or Packard Merlin, and the first with 1294-kW (1,735-hp) Griffon IV was the Spitfire Mk XII, introduced in 1943 to counter the Fw 190 fighter.bomber It was folthe Fw 190 fighter-bomber. It was fol-lowed by the 1529-kW (2,050-hp) Grif-fon65-poweredSpitfireMkXTV fighter and fighter-bomber. The fighter-reconnaissance Spitfire Mk XVIII was just joining the RAF at the end of the war and hed a too speed of 71.2 km/h war and had a top speed of 712 km/h (442 mph). In the Fleet Air Arm Seafire variants also served in large numbers with both Merlin and Griffon engines. Total production of the Spitfire was 20,351, plus 2,334 Seafires.

Specification

Supermarine Spitfire Mk VB Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: one 1074-kW(1,440-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin 45/46/50 V-12 piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 602 km/h (374 mph) at 3960 m (13,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 7.5 minutes; service ceiling 11280 m (37,000 ft); range on internal fuel

756km(470miles) Weights: empty 2313kg(5,100lb); maximum take-off 3078 kg (6,785 lb) Dimensions: span 11.23 m (36 ft 10 in); length9.11 m (29 ft 11 in); height 3.48 m (11 ft 5 in); wing area 22.48 n/(242.0 caft) sqft)

Armament: two 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the wings

A Spitfire Mk VB of No. 306 (Polish) Squadron is depicted as it would have looked on Figh ter Command's 'Rhubarb' sweeps over occupied France.

0 2 78342

Two Spitfire MkIXs are seen over Anzio in January 1944. TheMkIXwas a hasty adaptation oftheMkV airframe to accept a Merlin 61 engine. Nevertheless, 5,665 were built, second in numbers only to the MkV.





The first monoplane fighter and the first with a top speed of over 483 km/h (300 mph) to enter RAF service, the Hawker Hurricane was designed by Sydney Camm and first flown on 6 November 1935, joining the RAFinDe-cember 1937. The Hurricane Mk I with 768-kW (1,030-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin II and an armament of eight 7,7-nm (0.303-in) machine-guns was Fighter Command's principal fighter in the Battle of Britain in 1940, and destroyed more enemy aircraft than all other defences combined. It was followed by the Hurricane Mk IIA with 955-kW (1,280-hp)MerlinXXbefore the end of 1940, the Hurricane Mk IIB with 12 machine-guns and the Hurricane Mk IIC with four 20-mm cannon during 1941. These versions were also able to carry up to two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs, drop tanks or other stores under the wings; they served as fighters, fighter-bombers, night-fighters, intruders and photo-reconnaissance aircraft on all fronts until 1943, and in the Far East until the end of the war. The Hurricane



MkIID introduced the 40-mm anti-tank gun in 1942. Two of these weapons were carried under the wings, and this version was particularly successful in North Africa. The Hurricane Mk IV fea-tured a 'universal wing' which allowed carriage of up to eight 27,2-kg (60-lb) carriage of up to eight 27,2-kg (60-16) rocket projectiles or any of the exter-nal stores carried by the Mk II. It is believed 14,231 Hurricanes were pro-duced, including 1,451 built in Canada (Hurricane Mks X, XI and XII). This total, also included many Sea Hurri-game medies of which each user inclusion cane models of which early versions

were catapulted from merchant ships and flown from converted merchant alicraft carriers, and later served aboard Royal Navy fleet carriers. Al-ways regarded as somewhat slow among RAF fighters, the Hurricane was highly manoeuvrable and capable of withstanding considerable battle damage.

Specification

Hawker Hurricane Mk IIC Type: single-seat fighter and fighterbomber

In February 1938, Squadron Leader J.W. Cillan of No. 111 Squadron flew his then-brand-new Hurricane from Edinburgh toNortholt, averaging 657 km/h (408 mph) with a tail wind.

Powerplant: one 955-kW (1,280-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXV-12 piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 541 km/h (336 mph) at 3810 m (12,500 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9.1 minutes; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); range on internal fuel

Axis Fighters

The superb fighter aircraft of Germany and Japan achieved substantial air superiority over the Allies, which greatly assisted the advance of their respective armies. By contrast, the Italians, short of first-class fighters, were rapidly outmatched.

ie air forces of the three prin-;ipal Axis partners, trained and equipped for wholly differing concepts of warfare, possessed fighter aircraft of widely varying qualities and capabilities at the start of World War II. Germany, whose Luftwaffe was intended mainly as a support arm of the Wehrmacht, possessed what was perhaps the world's finest fighter in 1939, the Messerschmitt Bf 109, albeit somewhat less well-armed than the Supermarine Spitfire. Moreover, it had gained a workout during the war in Spain, while the Spitfire was still hamstrung by tactical limitations. However, like the RAF's fighter, the Bf 109 underwent continuous development through World War II and remained the cornerstone of the Jagdverband (fighter arm) to the end.

Intended as a Bf 109 replacement, the Focke-Wulf Fw 190 entered service in 1941 and was roughly equivalent in concept to the RAF's Hawker Typhoon. It was infinitely superior in combat, yet was itself to become the Sturmjager (assault fighter) par excellence, being called on to take over from the highly vulnerable Junkers Ju 87 as the Wehrmacht reeled under the gigantic blows of the Red Army after Stalingrad.

Italy was particularly unfortunate during 1940 in not possessing



The Luftwaffe had some superb fighter aircraft. The Messerschmitt Bf 110 (above), however, did not fare well against the RAF's Hurricanes and Spitfires and was reassigned to the fighter-bomber role; it later excelled as a night fighter.

aircraft engines comparable with the Rolls-Royce Merlin and Daimler-Benz DB 601, and had thus to make do with small aircooled radiais whose development potential was very limited, so that the early Regia Aeronautica fighters, such as the Fiat CR42 and G 50, were scarcely a match for the Hawker Hurricane and Curtiss P-40 Tomahawk against which they were ranged in Greece and the Western Desert. The Macchi C.202 was a marked improvement, but was itself two years too late to turn the tide in the Mediterranean.

In the Far East, Japan embarked on an intended two-year campaign, the basis of which was seaborne assault across the Pacific. Accordingly priority had been afforded to the development of the carrierborne fighter, of which the famous Mitsubishi A6M Zero was probably the best in the world in 1941. Even in 1943 it was capable of holding its own against Allied naval aircraft; but by then the fortunes of Japan were already on the decline and in 1944, as the Americans pushed back the enemy, there was no truly effective

metropolitan-based interceptor available to combat the heavily armed and escorted Boeing B-29 bombers. Such an eventuality had never entered the Japanese war planners' minds.

Perhaps the most astonishing facet of the fighters' war was the superb quality of the German Jagdverband, with regard to the task for which it was originally intended: patrol in the skies over the battlefield. It was never envisaged that it would be employed for bomber escort (as in the Battle of Britain), or that it would be called on to defend the Reich against massed bombers. When misused, it suffered accordingly. Only by 'bolting on' special armament was the latter failing partly overcome.

A measure of the excellence of the German fighter pilot is afforded by the all-time highest scorer, Erich Hartmann: his ultimate tally of 352 accredited victories was achieved in three and a half years; of these, 260 were Allied fighters, and all were destroyed while flying the Bf 109. He himself was shot down only twice, and at the end of the war he was aged just 23.

By contrast the highest-scoring Allied pilot, a Soviet, reached a tally of 62, while the RAF's top score (gained by the South African, Pattle) was 41, a high proportion of them Italian biplanes.



ITALY

Fiat CR.42 Falco

Often compared in concept and de-sign with the Gloster Gladiator, against which it frequently fought in 1940-1, the Fiat CR.42 Falco (falcon) biplane did not first fly until 1939, however, and such an anachronism is difficult to understand. Employing the same War understand. Employing the same Warren truss system of interplane struts as the 1933 CR.32, from which it was de-veloped, Celestino Rosatelli's CR.42 was powered by a 626-kW (840-hp) Fiat A74 R1C 38 radial and had a top speedof441km/h(274mph).By September 1939 the Falco equipped three *stormi* and, while the RAF was three stormi and, while the KAF was hurriedly reducing its Gladiator strength, the Regia Aeronautica was increasing its CR.42 inventory, so that when Italy entered the war in June 1940 there were 330 in service with four stormi in the Mediterranean plus two squadriglie in Italian East Africa. The Falco first saw combat in the brief French campaign, and later 50 aircraft accompanied the Corpo Aero Italiano to bases in Belgium for attacks on southern England at the end of the Batthe of Britain, suffering heavily to the guns of RAF Hurricanes. In the Middle East the Falco fared better, however, being more of a match for the widely-used Gladiator; during the Greek campaign one gruppo of three CR.42 squadriglie was committed and, except on a few occasions, acquitted it-self well; but when Hawker Hurricanes eventually arrived the Italian biplane losses mounted steadily. In East Africa 51 crated CR.42s were received to supplement the 36 aircraft delivered to the 412^a and 413^a Squadriglie, but in the 412[°] and 415[°] Squadrigite, but in due course they were destroyed in the air or on the ground, although they took a heavy toll of the antiquated aircraft of the RAF and SAAF. In the Western Desert CR.42 fighters were joined by the CR.42AS fighter-bomber version adapted to carry two 100-kg (220-lb) bombs, and these continued in service with the 5° 15° and 50° Stormi Assalti with the 5° , 15° and 50° Stormi Assalti until November 1942. A total of 1,781 CR.42s was built (some serving in Sweden and Hungary), but at the time of the Italian armistice in September 1943 only 64 remained serviceable.

Specification Fiat CR.42 Falco

ITALY



Fiat G.50 Freccia

Representing the first design essay of the young technician Giuseppe Gab-rielli with the Fiat company, the Fiat G.50 fighter was designed in 1935-6 but, although a break from the tradi-tional biplane formula, offered much tional biplane formula, offered much less in operational potential than the contemporary Hawker Hurricane and Messerschmitt Bf 109. The prototype G.50 first flew on 26 February 1937 and was the first all-metal monoplane with constant-speed propeller and retract-able landing gear to be evaluated by the Regia Aeronautica. Named Frec-cia (arrow), the G 50 was ordered into the Regia Aeronautica. Named Frec-cia (arrow), the G.50 was ordered into production with the CMASA company (a subsidiary of Fiat) and 12 of the first aircraft were sent to Spain for oper-ational evaluation. Despite the super-iority of the Macchi C, 200, it was de-cided to go abaed and early pone decided to go ahead and equip one stormo and one *gruppo* with the G.50, and an initial order for 200 aircraft was placed. In November 1939 the type was delivered to the 51° Stormo, and

soon afterwards to the 52° Stormo, and when Italy entered the war in the following June 118 Freccias were in service. In November 1940 48 G.SOs of the 51° Stormo moved to Belgium to take part in the air attacks on the UK; however, they saw little action, being principally engaged in 'surveillance' duties. In September that year the prototype of a new version, the G.SObis, had flown, and with improved cockpit armour and increased fuel this entered production for eventual service with

Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 626-kW (840-hp) Fiat A74 R1C 38 radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 441 km/h (274 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in

Italy's CR.42s soldiered on through 1942 despite sufferingmoun ting losses. The type was also exported to Belgium, where they were quickly

destroyed during the German invasion, and to Hungary, which

used them against Yugoslavia and in the 1941 Russian campaign.

five gruppi in North Africa. With a maximum speed of only 460 km/h (286 mph) and an armament of two machine-guns, the G.50 was hardly a match for RAF fighters in the Mediterranean, yet survived in service until July 1943. Production eventually reached 245 G.50 and 421 G.SObis fighters, and 108 of a dual control two-seat trainer, the G.50B. G.SOs were also supplied to the Croatian and Finnish air forces. five gruppi in North Africa. With a

Specification Fiat G.50 Freccia Type: single-seat fighter

Powerplant: one 626-kW (840-hp) Fiat A 74RC 38 radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 460 km/h (286 mph) at 4000 m (13,123 ft); climb to 4000 m (13,123 ft) in 4.6 minutes; service ceiling 10750 m (35,269 ft); range 580 km (360 miles) Weights: empty 1965 kg (4,332 lb); weights: empty 1909 ((5,5210)), maximum take-off 2400 kg ((5,291) lb) Dimensions: span 11.00 m (36 ft 1.1 in); length 7.80 m (25 ft 7.1 in); height 3.28 m (10 ft 9.1 in); wing area 18.25 m² (196.45 sq ft) Armament: two nose-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-

A Fiat G.SObis of 20 Gruppo, 51 Stormo based at Ursel, Belgium, in October 1940. Lack of range and poor armament severely restricted the type's participation in the Battle of Britain.



CR.42s committed to the Battle of Britain suffered heavy casualties, but they enjoyed a briefperiod of success over Greece and Libya.

Spanish Civil War experience led the Italian air ministry to believe there was still a role for the biplane fighter, but the anachronistic CR.42 proved to be disagreeably vulnerable to enemy monoplanes.

9.0 minutes; service ceiling 10100 m (33,136ft); range 780km(485 miles) Weights: empty 1784 kg(3,933 lb); maximum take-off 2295 kg (5,060 lb) Dimensions: span 9.70 m (31 ft 9.9 in); length 8.26 m (27 ft 1,2 in); height 3.05 m (10 ft 0.1 in); wing area 22.40 m²

241.1sqft

Armament: two 12.7-mm(0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns in nose (some aircraft with two extra 12.7-mm/ (0.5-in machine-guns under lower wing), plus provision for two 100-kg (220-lb) bombs



guns



Fiat G.55 Centauro

The Fiat G.55 Centauro (centaur) was an all-metal low-wing monoplane sing-le-seat fighter designed by Giuseppe Gabrielli, and represented a great im-provement by comparison with the previous Fiat monoplane fighter to go into production, the G.50. Great care was taken to blend an aerodynamical-ly advanced airframe with a structure which was robust and would lend itself to mass production. Its configuration included fully-retractable landing gear and a raised cockpit providing an excellent view. Fast and maneouvrable, the type proved popular with its pilots.

The first of three prototypes was flown on 30 April 1942; the third (MM 493) was the only one to carry armament, comprising one engine-mounted cannon and four fuselagemounted machine-guns. It was evaluated under operational conditions from March 1943, but by then the Ita-lian air ministry had already decided on mass production of the G.55. However, only 16 G.55/0 pre-production and 15G.55/Linitial produc-tion aircraft had been delivered to the Regia Aeronautica by September 1943, production thereafter being for



the Fascist air arm flying alongside the Luftwaffe. Before wartime production ended 274 more were completed and a further 37 were abandoned at an adv-

Before the armistice of September 1943, G.55s had participated in the de-fence of Rome with the 353^a Squadriglia of the Regia Aeronautica. The postarmistice operations were mainly with the Fascist air arm's Squadriglia 'Mon-tefusco', based at Venezia Reale, then tetusco', based at Venezia Reale, then with the three *squadriglie* which formed the 2° Gruppo Caccia Terres-tre, but losses were heavy, as a result mainly of Allied attacks on the airfields. While the war was still in progress, Fiat flew two prototypes of the G.56, which was developed from the G.55 to accept the more powerful Daimler-Benz DB

The main users of the Fiat G.55 were the squadrons of the Fascist Air Arm. This example belonged to Squadriglia 'Montefusco'.

603A engine. Built during the spring of 1944, they incorporated minor structu-ral changes and had the fuselage-mounted machine-guns deleted. The first prototype survived the war and was used subsequently by Fiat as a test-bed.

Specification FiatG.55/I

Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 1100-kW(1,475-hp) FiatRA 1050RC-58 Tifone (licence-builtDB 605 A) 12-cylmderinverted-Vac girlet a garging Vee piston engine Performance: maximum speed 630 km/h (391 mph); climb to 6000 m

(19,685 ft) in 7 minutes 12 seconds; service ceiling 12700 m (41,667 ft); range 1200 km(746 miles) Weights: empty equipped 2630 kg (5,798 lb); maximum take-off 3718 kg (8,197 lb)

(0,1716) Dimensions: span 11.85 m (38 ft 10.5 in); length9.37 m (30 ft 8.9 in); heights, 13 m (10 ft 3.2 in); wingarea 21.11 m² (227,23 sqft) Armament: one 20-mm Mauser MG

151/20 engine-mounted cannon, two similar wing-mounted cannon, and two fuselage-mounted 12.7-mm(0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns, plus provision for two 160-kg(353-lb) bombs on underwing racks



Handicapped by Italy's pre-war lack of a powerful engine suitable for fighters, Mario Castoldi's radial-powered Fiat C.200 was so underpowered and undergunned that when it arrived in service in 1939 it was already outclassed by the Hawker Hurricane which had joined the RAF two years earlier. Indeed the first C.200 unit, the 4° Stor-Indeed the first C.200 unit, the 4° Stor-mo, expressed a preference for the CR.42 and accordingly reverted to the biplane in 1940. First flown on 24 De-cember 1937 by Giuseppe Burei, the C.200, named the Saetta (lightning), went on to equip the 1°, 2°, 3°, 4° (in mid-1941) and 54° Stormi, and the 8°, 12°, 13°, 21" and 22° Gruppi, a total of about 1,200 aircraft being produced by Macchi, Breda and SAI Ambrosini. On the date that Italy entered the war. 10 the date that Italy entered the war, 10 June 1940, two home-based stormi were combat-ready with the C.200, being first flown in action over Malta in September that year, and it was large-ly the losses suffered by the Italian fighter arm at this time and during the Greek campaign that prompted the Luftwaffe to deploy X Fliegerkorps in the Mediterranean to bolster the Regia Aeronautica's flagging resources. C.200s were heavily committed in North Africa, and were fairly evenly matched with the early Hurricane Mk Is, weighed down by tropical air filters, but the attrition suffered by all Italian air force units (principally through poor serviceability and air attacks on their airfields) quickly reduced the number of C.200s. Some 51 Saettas of the 22° Gruppo operated in the Odessa zone of the Eastern Front from August 1941 onwards, proving capable of

The C.200 Saettas saw their first combat over Malta in 1940 and were subsequently deployed to North Africa, where they held their own against Hurricane Mk Is encumbered by tropical air filters. But their numbers were rapidly reduced by poor maintenance and British air attacks.



matching the older Soviet fighters in the early stages of that campaign, By the time of the Italian armistice in September 1943, however, the Regia Aeronautica's total inventory of ser-viceable C.200s stood at only 33.

Specification Macchi C.200 Saetta (Breda-built Series 6) Type: single-seat fighter/fighterThe 649-kW (870-hp) engine was fitted to the Macchi C.200 against the wishes of the designer Castoldi, and handicapped the aircraft in action with more powerful opponents such as the Hurricane and Kittyhawk.

homber

Powerplant: one 649-kW (870-hp) Fiat A 74 RC 38 radial piston engine A /4 RC 38 radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 504 km/h (313 mph) at 4500 m (14,764 ft); climb to 4000 m (13,123 ft) in 4.55 minutes; service ceiling 8900 m (29,199 ft); range 570 km (354 miles) Weights:empty 1960kg(4,3211b); maximum take-off 2395 kg (5,280 lb) Dimensions: span 10.58 m (34 ft 8,5 in); length 8.25 m (27 ft 0.8 in); height $3.05 \,\mathrm{m}(10 \,\mathrm{ft} 0.1 \,\mathrm{in});$ wing area $16,80 \,\mathrm{m}^2$ (180,8sqft) Armament: two 12.7-mm(0,5-in)

Breda-SAFAT machine-gunsinnose, plus provision for two 150-kg(331-lb) bombs





ITALY Macchi C.202 Folgore

One of the best Italian fighters of the mid-war years, Mano Castoldi's Mac-chi C.202 Folgore (thunderbolt) was developed from the radial-engmed C.200, but was powered by a Daimler-Benz DB 601 produced under licence as the Alfa Romeo R A 1000 RC 411. First flown by Carestiato on 10 August 1940, the C.202 Series 1 production version entered service with the 1° Stormo at Udine in the summer of 1941, this unit arriving in Libya in the follow-ing November. The Folgore was a low-wing monoplane with inward-retracting landing gear and an arma-ment of two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns m the nose; there was also provision for two 7.7mm (0,303-in) guns in the wings. En-gine production was slow and severe-Iy delayed the build-up of the Folgore in service.

The aircraft underwent very little change and development during its life span, and was produced in 11 series. It eventually served with 45 *Squadriglie* of the 1°, 2°, 3°, 4°, 51°, 52°, 53° and 54° Stormi in North Africa, Sicily, Italy, the Aegean and Russia. Pro-duction amounted to about 1,500, of which 392 were produced by the parent company and the remainder by Breda, In combat the Folgore proved to be well-matched with the Super-marine Spitfire Mk V in performance, but was badly undergunned and, although slightly superior to American fighters such as the Bell P-39 Airacobra, this armament deficiency prevented Folgore pilots from knocking down many Allied bombers. Ultimate wartime development of

the C. 200/202 series of Italian fighters was the Daimler Benz-powered C.205; only 66 were in service by the time of Italy's withdrawal from the Axis. The C.205V Veltro (greyhound) would have been capable of meeting most Allied fighters on equal terms.



Specification Macchi C.202 Series IX Folgore Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 802-kW (1,075-hp) Alfa Romeo RA 1000 RC 411 12cylinder inverted-Vee piston engine Performance: maximum speed 600 km/h (373 mph) at 5600 m (18,373 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in (18,373 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 4,6 minutes; service ceiling 11500 m (37,730 ft); range 610km(379 miles)Weights: empty 2490 kg (5,490 lb); maximum take-off 2930 kg (6,460 lb)Dimensions:span 10,58 m (34 ft 8.5 in); length 8.85 m (29 ft 0.4 in); height 3.50 m (11 ft 5,8 in); wing area 16.80 m² (180,8sqft)

Armament: two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns in the nose, plus provision for two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in the wings

The ultimate production Macchi figh ter was the C.205 Veltro, exemplified here by an aircraft of l^a Squadriglia, I° Gruppo.



This C.202 was captured in Italy and transported to Wright-Patterson Field at Dayton, Ohio, for evaluation.

C.202s saw action in North Africa, Italy and on the Eastern Front.

8 Reggiane Re.2000 series

ΙΤΑΙ Υ

Officine Meccaniche Reggiane SA began development of a single-seat fighter which was based very closely on the US Seversky Aircraft Corpora-tion's P-35 designed by Alexander Kartveli. Competitive evaluation against the Macchi MC. 200 resulted in the latter aircraft basic address this latter aircraft being ordered into production for the Regia Aeronautica, although the Reggiane Re.2000 had shown itself to be superior in manoeuvrability, even when flown against the Messerschmitt Bf 109E, The type was ordered by the Hungarian govern-ment, which also acquired a manufac-turing licence. Re. 2000s were supplied also to Sweden, being operated until 1945 by the Flygvapen under the de-signation J 20. And though rejected by the Regia Aeronautica, the Italian navy acquired 12 Re.2000 Serie II fighters especially strengthened for catapult launching, followed by 24 Re.2000 Serie III aircraft with increased fuel capacity for deployment as long-range fighters.

Installation of the Daimler-Benz DB 601A-1 engine led to the Re.2001 Falco II, first used operationally by the Regia Aeronautica over Malta in 1942. Luft-waffe priorities for DB 601 engines meant that the Re.2001 had to be powered by a licence-built version of this engine, the Alfa Romeo RA.1000 RC



41-la Monsonie, but with the Macchi MC.202 having first call on production of these engines the manufacture of

Re.200 Is was limited to only 252. About 50 Re.2002 Ariete (ram) fighter-bombers followed for service with the Regia Aeronautica, these being powered by the 876-kW (1,175-hp) Piaggio P.XIX RC 45 radial engine mounted in a slightly lengthened and strengthened fuselage. The type first saw operational service in 1942, suffering heavy losses while contesting the Allied landings on Sicily. Last of this related family of fighters, and one of the best produced in Italy during World War II, the Re.2005 Sagittario (archer) had the same general configuration as its predecessors. However, its design incorporated considerable structural redesign, and refined landing gear, and the type reverted to the inline engine. First flown in September 1942 with a Daimler-Benz DB 605A-1, the Re.2005 led to a pro-duction model, of which deliveries began in 1943, with a licence-built version of this engine, the Fiat RA. 1050 RC 58 Tifone. Only 48 had been delivered before finalisation of the armistice with the Allies, these aircraft fighting in the defence of Naples, Rome and Sicily, the survivors battling above the crumbling ruins of Berlin.

Specification

Reggiane Re.2005 Sagittario Type: single-seat fighter/fighterbomber Powerplant: one 1100-kW(1,475-hp) Re.2000 Hejjalofl.il Szazad, Önallo Vadâsz Ostâly (Independent Fighter Group) attached to the Hungarian army fighting in the USSR in 1942.

Fiat RA. 1050 RC 58 Tifone 12-cylmder inverted Vee piston engine Performance: maximum speed 630 km/h (391 mph) at 6950 m (22,802 ft); climb to 2000 m (6,562 ft) in 1.58 minutes; service ceiling 12190 m (39,993 ft); range 1265 km (786 miles) Weights: empty 2600 kg (5,732 lb); maximum take-off 3560 kg (7,848 lb) Dimensions: scan 11 00 m (26 ft 1 1 in); Dimensions: span 11.00 m (36 ft 1, 1 in); length 8.73 m (28 ft 7.7 in); height 3,15 m (10 ft 4 in); wing area 20.40 m^z (219.59 sq ft)

Reggiane Re.2001 ofthe362^a Squadriglia, 22° Gruppo, 52° Stormo at Capodichino in May 1943. The DB 601 engine was licence-built by Alfa Romeo.

Armament: three 20-mm cannon and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, all forward-firing, plus up to 630 kg (1,389 lb) of bombs when operated as a fighter-bomber



GERMANY Focke-Wulf Fw 190

Proposed in 1937, as the Bf 109 was joining the Luftwaffe, Kurt Tank's Focke-Wulf Fw 190 surprisingly featured a large air-cooled BMW radial engine. First flown on 1 June 1939, the prototype was followed by short- and long-span pre-production Fw 190A-0 aircraft with BMW 801 14-cylinder ra-dials. The long-span version was selected for production. Fw 190A-1 fighters joined the Luftwaffe in mid-1941 and proved superior to the Spitfire Mk V. A-senes variations in-cluded the Fw 190A-3 with BMW 801D-2 and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) and four 20-mm guns, the Fw 190A-4 with water-methanol power-boosting (with fighter-bomber, bomber-destroyer and tropicalized sub-variants). The Fw 190A-5 featured a slightly lengthened nose and sub-variants included ver-sions with six 30-mm guns (A-5/U12) and torpedo-fighters (A-5/U14 and U15). The Fw 190A-7 and Fw 190A-8 entered production in December 1943 and featured increased armament and armour. The Fw 190A-8/U1 was a twoseat conversion trainer. The next main production version, the Fw 190D, fea-tured a lengthened nose and Junkers Jumo 213 liquid-cooled engine in an annular cowling. The Fw 190D-9 was the main service version, which joined the Luftwaffe in the autumn of 1944, and was generally regarded as Germany's wartime piston-engine fighter; best with a top speed of 685 km/h (426 mph), it was armed with two cannon and two machine-guns, and was powered by a water-methanol boosted 1670-kW (2,240-hp) Jumo 213A engine. Other late versions in-cluded the Fw 190F and Fw 190G spe-cialized ground-attack fighter-bombers capable of carrying up to 1800 kg (3,968 lb) of bombs.

A development of the Fw 190D was the long-span Focke-Wulf Ta 152 with increased armament and boosted Jumo 213E/B (top speed 760 km/h; 472 mph at 12500 m/41,010 ft); a small number of Ta 152H-1 fighters reached the Luftwaffe shortly before the end of the war.

Specification Focke-Wulf 190A-8 Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 1566-kW (2,100-hp) BMW801D-2radialpistonengine with water-methanol boosting Performance: maximum speed 654 km/h (406 mph) at 6000 m

TheFwl 90G-2 was a specialized ground attack version with wing racks for bombs or tanks, and an ETC 501 centreline rack for a 1800-kg (3,968-lb) bomb (in this case an SC 500 500-kg/l, 102-lb bomb is carried). Strengthened landing gear was necessary for the heaviest load.





The Focke-WulfFw 190 was outnumbered on the Eastern Front by the MesserschmittBfl09G. ThisFw 190A-5 flew with II/JG 54 'Grünherz' at Petseriin Estonia during 1944.



Below: Fw 190D-9 ('Dora-9') ofStab/ JG 4, based at Babenhausen in early 1945 for the defence oftheReich.

(19,685 ft); initial climb rate 720 m (2,362 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11400m(37,402ft);normalrange 805 km (500 miles) Weights: empty 3170 kg (6,989 lb); maximum take-off 4900 kg (10,803 lb) Dimensions: span 10.50 m (34 ft5.4 in); length 8.84 m (29 ft0 in); height 3.96 m (13 ft0 in); wing area 18.30 m² (196.99 sqft) Armament:two7.92-mm(0.31-in)guns in nose and up to four 20-mm guns in wings, plus provision for wide range of underfuselage and underwing bombs, guns and rockets





GERMANY Messerschmitt Bf 110

Germany's first essay in the twin-engined two-seat 'heavy fighter' (or Zerstörer, destroyer) category was the Messerschmitt Bf 110, conceived in 1934 and first flown on 12 May 1936; pre-production Bf 110A-0 fighters fol-lowed in 1937-8 with Junkers Jumo 21 OB engines. Production started with the Bf HOB in 1938 with Jumo 210Gs and forward armament of two 200sm and four 7.92-mm (0,31-in) guns plus one 7,92-mm (0,31-in) gun in the rear cockpit. Daimler-Benz DB 601A-powered Bf HOC aircraft joined the Luftwaffe in 1939 in time for the attack on Poland, and were employed as fighters and fighter-bombers through-out 1940; the Bf 110C-5 was a recon naissance version.

The long-range Bf 110D entered ser-vice in 1940, and sub-variants were the first Bf 110s to be employed as nightfighters; there were also tropicalizec and fighter-bomber versions. The Bi

and fighter-bomber versions. The Bi 110E fighter-bomber was powered by DB GOINs and the Bf 110F by DB 601 Es. Despite its high top speed, the Bf 110 was quickly shown to be no match for opposing single-engine fighters, and from 1941 development was confined mainly to ground-attack and night-fighter versions. The Bf 110F-4 intro-duced two 30-mm guns under the fuselage, and theBf 110F-4/UI featured twin upward-firing 20-mm guns (schräge Musik installation). The Bf

110G with DB 605Bs was produced in Zerstörer, fighter-bomber, recon-naissance and night-fighter versions, and sub-variants introduced the 37mm gun under the fuselage. Radar-equipped Bf HOGs formed the principal night-fighter equipment of the Luftwaffe between 1943 and 1945, as well as participating in the daylight air defence battles over Germany during this period.



BfllOs were at their best operating athigh altitude away from the

JAPAN

restrictions of having to escort hombers.



Kawanishi NIKI Shiden

In 1941 Kawanishi was still engaged in design of an attractive float-equipped fighter, the Kawanishi NIKI, intended as a naval fighter to support an islandhopping conquest in the Pacific withnopping conquest in the Pacific with-out dependence on carriers or shore bases; in due course 98 of these fighters (Allied reporting name 'Rex') were produced, However, while their design was still in progress Kawanishi undertook a wheel-landing gear ver-sion, designated the N1K1-J Shiden (violet lightning). The prototype of the new fighter was flown on 27 December 1942 powered by the new 18-cylmder 1942 powered by the new 18-cylmder Nakajima Homare radial. Production got under way in 1943 of the N1K1-J with Homare 21 radial and an armament of two 7.7-mm (0.303-m) nose guns and four 20-mm wing cannon (two of which were carried in underwing fairings). Despite being plagued by constant engine troubles and an *in-a* herently weak landing gear, the Shiden was an excellent aircraft in

combat, proving an equal match for the Grumman F6F Hellcat; given the re-porting name 'George' by the Allies, it was widely considered to be one of Japan's best wartime fighters. Three other main production versions were produced: the NIKI-Ja with nose guns produced: the N1K1-Ja with nose guns deleted and all cannon mounted inside the wings; the N1K1-Jb with underwing racks for two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs; and the N1K1-Jc with racks for four 250-kg (551-lb) bombs, A new version, the N1K2-J, with improved landing

gear, redesigned airframe structure gear, redesigned airframe structure and cleaner engine cowling, appeared during the last year of the war and proved even better than the NIKI; an instance occurred when a single Japanese pilot, Warrant Officer Kinsuke Muto, fought off 12 Hellcats, shootingdownfour.Atotalof1,435NIK Shiden landplane fighters was pro-duced duced,

After the debacle over Britain, the Bf

110 was switched to other thea très

Specification Kawanishi N1K1-J Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 1484-kW(1,990-hp) Nakajima NK9H Homare 21 radial

Flown by Luftwaffe crews, several Bf 11 OD-3s of 4./ZG 76 supported Iraqi insurgen t forces in May 1941. Tanks were carried for extra range.

Specification

MesserschmittBf110C-4 Messerschmitter 1100-4 Tyçe: two-seat heavy fighter Powerplant: two 820-kW (1,100-hp) Daimler-Benz DB 601A 12-cylinder inverted-Vee piston engines Performance: maximum speed 560 km/h (348 mph) at 7000 m (22,966 ft); initial climb rate 660 m (2,165 ft) per minute; service ceiling 10000 m (32,808 ft); normal range 775 km (482 miles)

Weights: empty 5200 kg (11,464 lb); maximum take-off 6750 kg (14,881 lb) Dimensions: span 16.27 m (53 ft 4.6 in); length 12.65 m(41 ft6in); height 3.50 m (11ft5.8in); wingarea38.40m²(413.3 sqft)

This Bfl 10E-1 was flown by an

operational conversion unit

(Érgänzungszerstörergruppe)

Deblin-Irena in Poland during 1942.

from

Armament:two20-mmMGFFcannon and four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17guns in the nose, firing forward, and one 7.92-mm (0.31-m) MG 15 machine- gun on trainatole mounting in the rear cockpit firing aft



such as the Mediterranean, where its heavy armament proved useful.

piston engine piston engine Performance: maximum speed 584 km/h (363 mph) at 5900 m (19,357 ft); climb to 6000 m(19,685 ft) in 7.8 minutes; service ceiling 12500 m (41,010 ft); range 1432 km (890 miles) Weights: empty 2897 kg(6,3871b); maximum take-off 4321 kg (9,526 lb) Dimensions: span 12.00 m (39 ft 4.4 in); length 8.89 m (29 ft 2 in); height 4.06 m (13 ft 3 k in); wing area 23 50 m² (13 ft 3,8 in); wing area 23.50 m² (252.95 sqft) Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-guns in nose and four wing-mounted 20-mm Type 99 cannon




JAPAN Kawasaki Ki-61 Hien

Sometimes described as a cross be-tween a Messerschmitt Bf 109 and a North American P-51 Mustang, the Kawasaki Ki-61 certainly had the distinctive nose shape associated with an inverted V-12 inline engine, the Kawasaki Ha-40 being in effect a Daimler-Benz DB 601A built under licence. The Ki-61's designers, Takeo Doi and Shin Owada, had moreover worked under the German Richard Vogt, In December 1940 they were instructed to go ahead with the Ki-61, and one year later the prototype was flown. The first production Ki-61-I fighters were de-ployed operationally in April 1943 when the 68th and 78th Sentais arrived in New Guinea. Named Hien (swallow) in service-(and codenamed 'Tony' by the Allies), the new aircraft proved popular with its pilots, being unusually well-armed and armoured, and the type was at least a match for opposing American fighters. Its armament (of four 12.7-mm/0.5-in machine-guns) proved inadequate to knock down enemy bombers, however, and the Ki-61-1 KAIc was introduced with a pair of 20-mm cannon in the nose, these being replaced in a small number of Ki-61-I KAld fighters by two 30-mm cannon. The Ki-61-I and Ki-61-I KAI remained in production until 1945, but in 1944 they were joined in service by the Ki-61-II with more powerful Kawasaki Ha-140 engine (producing 1119-kW/1,500hp); with a top speed of 610 km/h (379 mph) this would have been an excellent fighter but for constant engine problems; yet when fully serviceable the Ki-61-II was one of the few Japanese fighters fully able to combat the Boeing B-29 at its normal operating altitude, particularly when armed with four 20-mm cannon. Excluding prototypes and development aircraft, pro-duction totalled 1,380 Ki-61-Is, 1,274 Ki-61-I KAIs and 374 Ki-61-Ils.

The Ki-61 was one offewJapanese fighters that could really take on the B-29s at their opera ting altitude.



Kawasaki Ki-100

The Kawasaki Ki-61-II with the com-pany's Ha-140 engine was seen as an interim high-altitude interceptor to tackle the USAF's Boeing B-29s at their cruising altitude of some 9144m (30,000ft). However, development of the Ha-140 as a reliable powerplan[^] was terminated finally when the Akashi factory where the engine was built was destroyed during an air raid. With the requirement becoming daily more urgent, Kawasaki was instructed to convert the 275 Ki-61-II airframes gathering dust in the Kagamigahara factory with alternative powerplant. No other similar engine was available and adaptation of the slender fuselage of the Ki-61 to allow installation of a large-diameter radial engine at first appeared impractical. However, Kawasaki's design team converted three airframes to serve as prototypes, installing a Mitsubishi Ha-112-11 engine which had the same power output as the unreliable Ha-140. When the first of these was flown, on 1 February 1945, Kawasaki discovered that it had a firstclass fighter, one that some commentators have described as Japan's pre-mier fighter aircraft of the Pacific war. By the end of May 1945 all of the re-





Specification Kawasaki Ki-61-I KAIc Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant:one880-kW(1,180-hp) KawasakiHa-40V-12pistonengine Performance: maximum speed 590 km/h (367 mph) at 4260 m (13,976 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 7.0 minutes; service ceiling 10000 m (32,808 ft); range 1800 km (1,118 miles) Weights: empty 2630 kg (5,798 lb); normal loaded 3470 kg (7,650 lb) Dimensions: span 12.00 m (39 ft4.4 in); length 8,94 m (29 ft4 in); height 3.70 m Ki-61-1KAIc of the HQ Chutai, 244th

Ki-61-I KAIc of the HQ Chutai, 244th Sentai, Chofu, Tokyo, Hying interception sorties against the B-29.

(12 ft 1.7 in); wing area 20.00 m² (215.3 sq ft) Armament: two 20-mmHo-5 cannonin nose and two 12.7-mm(0.5-in)Type 1 machine-guns in wings





maining 272 Ki-61 airframes had been converted to the new configuration, entering service as the Army Type 5 Fighter Model 1A, which was identified by the company as the Kawasaki Ki-100-la.

With the Ki-100 proving such a success, it was decided to initiate production of this aircraft, the resulting Ki-100lb differing only by having the cutdown rear fuselage and all-round-view canopy that had been designed for the proposed Ki-61-III. A total of 99 of this version was built before production was brought to an end by the growing weight of USAAF air attacks, A more effective version had been planned, to be powered by the Mitsubishi Ha-112The Ki-100-Ib in troduced a cut-down rear fuselage over the original Ki-61 shape, which improved pilot vision. This aircraft is from the 3rd Chutai, 59th Sentai.

Ilru engine which incorporated a turbocharger to improve high-altitude performance, but only three of these Ki-100-11 prototypes had been built and flown by the end of the war.

Specification

Kawasaki Ki-100-Ia/b Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: one 1119-kW(1,500-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-1114-cylinder radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 590 km/h (367 mph) at 10000 m (32,810 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,810 ft) in 20 minutes; cruising speed 350 km/h (217 mph); service ceiling 10670 m (35,007 ft); range 2000 km (1,243 miles) Weights: 2700 kg (5,952 lb); maximum take-off 3670 kg (8,09 lib) Dimensions: span 12,00m (39 ft 4.4 in); length 8.80m (28 ft 10.5 in); height 3,75 m (12 ft 3.6 in); wing area 20.00 $m^{2\prime}$ (215.3 sq ft)

Armament:twofuselage-mounted 12.7-mm(0.5-in)Ho-103(Type 1) machine-guns and two wing-mounted 20-mm Ho-5 cannon, plus two droptanksortwo250-kg(551-lb) bombs



JAPAN Mitsubishi J2M Raiden

Although designed to a 1939 require-ment, at a time when Japanese war leaders scarcely imagined a situation requiring a home defence fighter, the Mitsubishi J2M Raiden (thunderbolt) only came into its own while defending the Japanese homeland against American raids in the last year of the war. The Japanese navy's emphasis upon speed and climb rate, rather than its customary demands for range and manoeuvrability, prompted the designer Jiro Hinkoshi to adopt a squat sing-le-engine design with long-chord radial engine cowling, laminar-flow wings and high-raked, curved wind-screen. First flight of the prototype J2M1 took place on 20 March 1942, but the aircraft soon attracted criticism from navy pilots on numerous counts, not least that the view from the cockpit was inadequate. Modifications to recwas induced the informations to rec-tify these shortcomings were delayed owing to Mitsubishi's preoccupation with the A6M. Production J2M2 fighters left the factory slowly and entered ser-vice with the 381st Kokutai late in 1943,



Nakajima Ki-27

When in mid-1935 Kawasaki, Mitsubishi and Nakajima were instructed by the Imperial Japanese Army to build competitive prototypes of advanced fighter aircraft, Nakajima responded with a single-seat monoplane fighter derived from the company's Type P.E., which it had started to develop as a private venture. Service trials proved, the Kawasaki Ki-28 to be fastest of the three contenders, but the Nakajima Ki-27 was by far the most manoeuvrable and, on that basis, 10 pre-production examples were ordered for further service evaluation. Following further testing in late 1937 the type was ordered into production as the Army Type 97 Fighter Model A (Nakajima Ki-27a). Late production aircraft which

KI-27a). Late production aircraft which introduced some refinements, includ-ing a further improved cockpit canopy, had the designation Ki-27b. Nakajima could not have guessed that 3,399 aircraft would be built, by Nakajima (2,020) and Mansyu (1,379), before production came to a halt at the before production came to a halt at the end of 1942, but the type's entry into service over northern China in March 1938 gave an immediate appreciation of its capability, the Ki-27s becoming masters of the airspace until con-fronted later by the faster Soviet Polikarpov 1-16 fighters. At the beginning of the Pacific war the Ki-27s took part in the invasion of Burma, Malaya, the Netherlands East Indies and the Philippines. Allocated the Allied codename 'Nate' (initially 'Abdul' in the China-Burma-India theatre), the Ki-27 had



(34 built), was powered by a 1357-kW (1,820-hp) Mitsubishi Kasei 26a radial. In all, 476 J2Ms were built. In acknow-ledgement of the fact that J2Ms could not combat the Boeing B-29s at their operating altitudes, some J2M3s were armed with two upward-firing 20-mm

Conceived as a fast-climbing interceptor, the J2M suffer ed from reliability problems but scored well against the American bombers.

cannon in addition to their wing guns. (The Allies selected the reporting name 'Jack' for the J2M).

Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 1342-kW(l,800-hp) Mitsubishi Kasei 23 aradial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 588 km/h (365 mph) at 5300 m (17,388 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,808 ft)

in 19.5 minutes; service ceiling 11700m(38,386ft);range925km(575 miles) miles) Weights: empty 2460 kg (5,423 lb); normalloaded 3435 kg (7,573 lb)Dimensions: span 10.80 m (35 ft 5.2 in); length 9.95 m (32 ft 7.7 in); height 3.95 m (12 ft 11.5 in); wing area 20.05 m² (215.82 sq ft) Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm Type 99 cannon; some aircraft were also armed with two upward-firing 20-mm Type 99 cannon.

Nakajima Ki-27 of the 3rd Chutai, 64th Sentai, based at Chiangmai in Thailand in March 1942. Ki-27s were quickly replaced by Ki-43s.



considerable success against the Allies in the initial stages before more modern fighters became available, When this occurred they were trans-ferred for air defence of the home islands, remaining deployed in this capacity until 1943 when they became used increasingly as advanced train-ers. As with many Japanese aircraft, their final use was in a *kamikaze* role,

Specification Nakajima Ki-27a Type: single-seat fighter Powerplant: one 529-kW (710-hp) NakajimaHa-1b9-cylinderradial piston engine



Performance: maximum speed 470 km/h (292 mph) at 3500 m (11,483 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 5.36 minutes; service ceiling 12250 m (40,190 ft); range 1710 km (1,063 miles) Weights: empty 1110 kg(2,4471 lb);morignum taba off 1700 kg (2,046 lb) maximum take-off 1790 kg (3,946 lb) Dimensions:span 11.31 m(37ft 1.3 in); length 7.53m(24ft 8,5 in);height 3.25 m (10 ft 8 m); wing area 18,55 m² (199.68 sq ft)

Ki-43-IIb of the 3rd Chutai, 25th Hiko Sentai, flying from Hankow, China, in January 1944. Even at this late date, the Ki-43 still formed the bulk offighter

Seen in pre-war colours, this group ofKi-27s is typical of the aircraft which had performed so well against the Polikarpov figh ters over China and Manchuria. The Ki-27 proved useful for training after replacem en t by m ore m oder n types.

Armament: two forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 89 machine-guns



JAPAN Nakajima Ki-43 Hayabusa

With its relatively low-powered radial engine, two-blade propeller and twin rifle-calibre machine-gun armament, the Nakajima Ki-43 Hayabusa (pere-In the random was the most dangerous-ly underestimated Japanese fighter of the early months of the Pacific war; yet, with its outstanding manoeuvrability, it gained complete mastery over Brewster Buffaloes and Hawker Hurricanes in Burma. It was the result of a 1937 design which emerged as a light-



assets on the China-Burma front.



weight fighter-bomber that required no more than its 709-kW (950-hp) to meet its speed demands. In common with other Japanese fighters of the time, however, its armament was puny by RAF standards, and it possessed neither armour nor self-sealing fuel tanks. As the Allied air forces pulled themselves together after the first shock of defeat, the Ki-43-I's weaknesses were discovered and increasing losses suffered, resulting in the introduction of the Ki-43-II (codenamed 'Oscar' by the Allies), with pilot armour, rudimentary self-sealing fuel tanks and reflector gunsight; the engine was also changed to the 858-kW (1,150-hp) Nakajima Ha-115 radial which increased the top speed to 530 km/h (329 mph), roughly the same as that of the Hurricane Mk II. The Ki-43-IIb entered mass production in November 1942, first with Nakajima and six months later with Tachikawa. Final variant was the Ki-43-III with 917-

Right:AKi-43-Ib of the 47th Independent Fighter Chutai at rest on a Japanese airfield. After service in all theatres throughout the war, the Ki-43 soldiered on against the Allies, but many were expended in kamikaze attacks.

kW (1,230-hp) engine and a top speed of 576 km/h (358 mph), but relatively few examples reached operational units. The Ki-43 was numerically the most important of all Japanese army air force aircraft, production totalling 5,886, plus 33 prototypes and trials aircraft.

Specification Nakajima Ki-43-IIb

Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 858-kW (1,150-hp) Nakajima Ha-115 radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 530 km/h (329 mph) at 4000 m (13,123 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in



5.8 minutes; service ceiling 11200 m (36,745 ft); range 1760 km (1,094 miles) Weights: empty 1910 kg (4,211 lb); maximum take-off 2925 kg (6,449 lb) Dimensions: span 10.84 m (35 ft 6.8 in); length 8.92 m (29 ft 3.2 in); height 3.27m(10ft8.7in);wmgarea21.40m² (230.36 sq ft)

Armament: two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Ho-103 machine-guns in wings, plus two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs carried under the wings

Nakajima Ki-44 Shoki

Of similar general configuration to the Ki-43, the Nakajima Ki-44 prototypes incorporated the manoeuvring flaps that had been introduced on that aircraft, and carried an armament of two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns. First flown in August 1940, the Ki-44 was involved in a series of comparative trials against Kawasaki's Ki-60 prototype, based on use of the Daimler-Benz DB 601 en-gine, and an imported Messerschmitt Bf 109E. The result of this evaluation, and extensive service trials, showed the Ki-44 to be good enough to enter production, and it was ordered under the designation Army Type 2 Single-seat Fighter Model 1A Shoki (demon), company designation Ki-44-Ia, which carried the same armament as the prototypes. A total of only 40 Ki-44-I aircraft was produced, including small numbers of the Ki-44-Ib armed with four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and the similar KI-44-Ic with some minor refinements.

When introduced into service the high landing speeds and limited manoeuvrability of the Shoki made it unpopular with pilots, and very soon the Ki-44-II with a more powerful Nakajima Ha-109 engine was put into production. Only small numbers of the Ki-44-IIa were built, the variant being fol-



lowed by the major production Ki-44-Ilb. The Ki-44-IIc introduced much heavier armament, comprising four 20mm cannon or, alternatively, two 12.7mm (0.5-in) machine-guns and two 40mm cannon, and these proved to be very effective when deployed against Allied heavy bombers attacking Japan. Final production version was the Ki-44-III witha 1491-kW (2,000-hp) Nakajima Ha-145 radial engine, an increase in wing area and enlarged vertical tail surfaces.

This photograph of a Ki-44-IIb emphasizes the powerful lines of this interceptor. When armed with cannon, the Ki-44 proved very effective against the B-29 raids, as its high rate of climb enabled it to reach the bomber streams quickly. This Ki-44-IIb was employed by the 23rd Sentai for home island defence in late 1944. Most home defence aircraft carried a white square around the Hinomaru.



Nakajima had built a total of 1,225 Ki-44s of all versions, including pro-totypes, and these were allocated the Allied codename Tojo'. They were deployed primarily in Japan, but were used also to provide an effective force of interceptors to protect vital targets, as in Sumatra where they defended the oil fields at Palembang,

Specification Nakajima Ki-44-IIb Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: one 1133-kW (1,520-hp) Nakajima Ha-109 14-cylmder radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed

JAPAN



Nakajima Ki-84 Hayate

Best of all Japanese fighters available in quantity during the last year of the war, the Nakajima Ki-84 Hayate (gale) not only possessed a reasonable per-formance but (unusual among Japanese aircraft) carried a powerful armament capable of knocking down armament capable of knocking down the heavily armed and armoured American bombers, Not flown in pro-totype form until April 1943, the Ki-84 met with immediate approval by Japanese army air force pilots, but was subjected to lengthy service trials which undoubtedly delayed its intro-duction to combat operations. Producwhich undoubledly delayed its intro-duction to combat operations. Produc-tion got under way at Nakajima's Ota plant in April 1944, pre-production air-craft having equipped the 22nd Sentai in China the previous month. Im-mediately afterwards 10 *sentais* of the Ki-84-I, codenamed 'Frank' by the Allice were deplayed in the Philip Allies, were deployed in the Philip-pines to confront the advancing American forces. In an effort to accelerate production of the excellent new fighter, Nakajima opened up a new line at its Otsonomiya plant, and as Boeing B-29 raids began to take their toll of Japanese cities a new 'bomber destroyer', the Ki-84-Ic, was produced with an armament of two nosemounted 20-mm cannon and two wingmounted 30-mm cannon. Some measure of the importance attached to the Ki-84 may be judged by the fact that in the last 17 months of war 3,382 aircraft were completed, this despite the tremendous havoc wrought by the B-29 raids and the fact that, owing to such damage at Musashi, Nakajima's engine plant had to be transferred elsewhere.

Specification

Nakajima Ki-84-Ia Type: single-seat fighter and fighterbomber

Powerplant: one 1342-kW(1,800-hp) Nakajima Ha-45 radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 631 km/h (392 mph) at 6120 m (20,079ft); climb to 5000 m(16,404ft) in (20,07917);climbto5000m(16,40417)In 5.9 minutes; service ceiling 10500 m (34,449 ft); range 1695 km(1,053 miles) Weights: empty 2660 kg (5,864 lb); maximum take-off 3890 kg (8,576 lb) Dimensions: span 11.24 m(36 ft 10.5 in);length9.92 m(32 ft6.6 in);

The Ki-84 was a fine figh ter which proved a hand fui for American pilots. Fortunately for them, the aircraft was not available in large numbers and these were overworked, resulting in maintenance and reliability problems.



605 km/h (376 mph) at 5200 m (17,060 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 4.28 minutes; service ceiling 11200 m (36,745 ft); maximum range 1700 km (1,056 miles)Weights: empty 2105 kg(4,641 lb); maximum take-off 2993 kg (6,598 lb)

Dimensions: span 9.45 m (31 ft0 in); length 8,79 m (28 ft 10.1 in); height 3.25 m (10 ft8 in); wing area 15.00 m² (161.46 sq ft) Armament: twofuselage-mountedand two wing-mounted 12.7-mm(0.5-in) Ho-103 machine-guns Lacking the agility of other Japanese fighters, theKi-44 followed a more Western approach, proving fast and stable with good climb and dive properties. This Ki-44-IIb flew from Canton in China with the 85th Sentai during 1944.



Below: Ki-84-Ia of the Headquarters Chutai, 29th Hiko Sentai, operating over Taiwan in the summer of 1945.

Above: One of the early Hay a tes, this iti-84-Ia saw service with the 1st Chutai, 73rdHiko-Sentai over the Philippines in late 1944.



height 3.39 m (11 ft 1.5 in); wing area 21,00m² (226,05 sq ft) Armament: two nose-mounted 12,7-

mm (0,5-in) Ho-103 machine-guns and two wing-mounted 20-mm Ho-5 cannon, plus two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs under the wings

Above: This Ki-84-Ia served with the 183rd Shimbutai (Special Attack Group) from Tatebayashi,Japan, during the last few days of the war.



Heavy Bombers

American general Billy Mitchell had predicted that large forces of heavy bombers could alone win a war. His ideas were slow in being transformed into aircraft, but eventually mighty new warplanes took to the skies. Great dramas unfolded as the enemies set out to destroy each other's homelands.

"Y^y Then Hitler embarked on his W subjugation of Europe, the Luftwaffe's role was largely confined to providing air support for the German army, with little emphasis laid upon the use of strategic bombers, any plans for such a force having been abandoned in 1937. Thus at the outbreak of war in September 1939 the Luftwaffe's bombing arm comprised excellent medium bombers (the Dornier Do 17, Heinkel He 111 and Junkers Ju 88) which were regarded as adequate for the task of defeating Europe (which was expected to take no more than three years). With little to fear from opposing fighters, these aircraft also proved perfectly capable of carrying out daylight raids well beyond the advancing German armies.

The RAF, on the other hand, was steeped in the bomber tradition, recognizing the potential war-winning role of the bomber, and went to war with a trio of medium/heavy bombers (the Vickers Wellington, Armstrong Whitworth Whitley and Handley Page Hampden) in which a much greater emphasis had been laid on long range.

However, the manner in which the fortunes of war changed for Germany (starting with her inability to crush the British during the



Britain's RAF Bomber Command was equipped with an array of aircraft types during World War II - among them a trio of fourengine heavy bombers, one of which was this Short Stirling Mk III, seen here being readied for a mission.

summer of 1940) brought about a progressive reassessment of the Luftwaffe's capacity to carry the air war beyond the English Channel, tigether with the night Blitz of 1940-1, represented an unpremeditated use of its relatively small bombers for strategic purposes and, in view of Britain's wholly inadequate night defences, these achieved far more by night than had proved possible by day. But the proliferation of battlefronts in 1941 forced a dispersal of German bombers away from the Channel and, as a result

of growing demands for fighters and ground support aircraft, the Luftwaffe's bomber force henceforth declined both in strength and relative quality.

MeanwhileRAFBomber Command was, in 1941, reaping the harvest from the strategic seeds sown in 1936 with the arrival on operations of the Short Stirling and Handley Page Halifax four-engine heavy bombers, later joined by the magnificent Avrò Lancaster, all of which had been conceived as dedicated long-range strategic night heavy bombers. Thereafter the strength of the British heavy bomber force increased steadily throughout the war. In 1942 Bomber Command was joined by the United States' mighty 8th Air Force, whose Boeing B-17s and Consolidated B-24s were to hit Germany by day in ever-growing strength in partnership with the RAF.

Thus by the time the Allies were ready to set foot in continental Europe in mid-1944 their day and night bomber fleets were capable of delivering devastating blows far beyond the ground battle, inflicting appalling damage on the enemy's ability to sustain his war machine. By contrast Germany, beset on all sides in the air and on the ground, had largely forsaken its bomber force, preferring to accord priority to the production of fighters with which to defend the Reich.

The fateful decision by Germany to abandon plans for a strategic bomber force long before the war, while fatally compromising the Luftwaffe's ability to strike at long range, was in all probability of little consequence in the end. Such a force, operating radially from within continental Europe, could never have matched the potential strength of the Allies' massive resources, dispersed as they were to strike diametrically across Festung Europa.



It is unlikely that any other operational aeroplane of World War II came near to matching the austere, angular appearance of the Armstrong Whit-worth Whitley, or to emulating its curious nose-down gait when flying 'straight and level'. Developed from the Armstrong Whitworth A.W.23 bomber/transport, the Whitley was designed to Specification B.3/34 and use fort flown or 17 Moreb 1026 That was first flown on 17 March 1936. That year it was selected to become the new Bomber Command's standard heavy bomber, replacing the Handley Page Heyford biplane. A total of 80 aircraft had been ordered, and these materialized as 34 Whitley Mk I bom-bers with two 682-kW (920-hp) Arm-strong Siddeley Tiger IX radiais, and 46 Whitley Mk II bombers with Tiger VIIIs, Early Whitley Mk Is possessed no dihedral on their outer wings. First squadron to receive Whitley Mk Is was No. 10 at Dishforth in March 1937, The Whitley Mk I was already dis-

appearing from front line service when war broke out (although the last examples did not leave No. 166 Squadron until April 1940). In the meantime the Whitley Mk III (also with Tiger VIIIs) had appeared; this version, of which 60 were produced, featured a retractable 'dustbin' ventral gun position. It served on Nos 7, 51, 58, 77, 97, 102 and 166 Squadrons, entering RAF service in August 1938. Also introduced that year was the Whitley Mk IV with 768-kW (1,030-hp)Rolls-Royce MerlinIV V-12 engines and the Whitley Mk IVA with 854-kW (1,145-hp) Merlin Xs; only 40 were produced, but they served to introduce a new power-operated four-gun Nash and Thompson tail turret (the 'dustbin' being abandoned). The main production version was the Whitley Mk V, whose delivery started to Nos 77 and 78 Squadrons in September 1939, and of which 1,476 were built before June 1943, when pro-

Were built before June 1943, when pro-duction was halted. Also powered by Merlin Xs, the Whitley Mk V featured a 38-cm (15-in) longer fuselage and straight leading edges to the fins, Although it was the Tiger-powered Mk III that performed almost all the early leaflet-dropping sorties of the first six months of the war (including the first sortie over Germany on the Itrst six months of the war (including the first sortie over Germany on the night of 3-4 September 1939 by 10 Whitleys of Nos 51 and 58 Squadrons), it was the Whitley Mk V that assumed the bombing role from March 1940 on-wards; and on 11-12 May, immediately after the German attack in the West, Whitley and Longday Desc. Lorge Whitleys and Handley Page Hamp-dens dropped the first RAF bombs on the German mainland in an attack on railway targets near München Gladbach.

The following month Whitleys were the first RAF bombers to attack targets in Italy, flying from the UK and refuell-

ing in the Channel Islands to attack Turin and Genoa. Though never to achieve fame for outstanding exploits, the immensely rugged Whitley gave yeoman service with Bomber Command despite being obviously slow and vulnerable in the face of fast im-proving enemy night defences. They were for instance among the aircraft that first raided Berlin on the night of 5.26 August 1000 and it was a the 25-26 August 1940, and it was as the pilot of a Whitley during a raid on Col-ogne on 12-13 November that year that ogne on 12-13 November that year that Leonard Cheshire (later Group Cap-tain, VC) was awarded the DSO. Wing Commander P. C. Pickard (later to achieve fame as the Mosquito leader in the raid on Amiens gaol) led Whitleys of No. 51 Squadron in the airborne raid on the ardor intellation of Brungel on on the radar installation at Bruneval on

27-28 February 1942. Whitleys flew their last raid with Bomber Command during an attack on Ostend on the night of 29-30 April 1942.

Specification

Armstrong Whitworth Whitley Mk V Type: five-man bomber

A Whitley MkV of No. 78Sqn, RAF in early 1942, shortly before the squadron re-equipped with Halifaxes. The Whitley saw good service during the early years but was relegated to limited night operations by mid-war. It then passed on to coastal and glider towing duties.

Powerplant: two 854-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X V-12 piston en-

gines Performance: maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) m 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage Weights: empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb) Dimensions: span 25.60m (84ft Oin); length 21.11 m (69ft 3in); height 4.57 m (15ft Oin); wing area 105.63 m (1,137.00 sq ft) Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-m) machine-gun in the nose turret and four 7.7-mm (0,303-in) machine-guns in the tail turret, plus a maximum bomb-load of 3175 kg (7,000 lb)

Ground crew prepare a Whitley M k Vfor operations in 1940. The aircraft Hew from Dishforth and sports typical camouflage for the period. The Whitley MkV was themainstay of Bomber Command along with the Wellington until the arrival of the Halifax and Lancaster.





By cutting away the underfuselage and removing nose and dorsal and removing nose and dorsal turrets tosave weight, the Lancaster B.Mk Is (Special) of No. 617Sqn at Waddington were able to carry the 22,000'lb(9979-kg) 'Grand Slam', first used to great effect on the Bielefeld Viaduct.

No one would dispute the statement that the Avrò Lancaster was the finest British heavy bomber of World War II; indeed many would even argue that it was the finest heavy bomber serving on either side during the conflict, and it is therefore strange to recall that it had its genesis in the unsuccessful twin-engine Avrò Manchester.

However, it is not entirely true to say that the Lancaster was virtually a four-engine Manchester, as four-engine in-stallations in the basic airframe had been proposed before Manchester deliveries to the RAF began. But the prototype Lancaster was, in fact, a con-

verted Manchester airframe with an enlarged wing outer panels and four 1,145-hp (854-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin Xs. This prototype initially retained the Manchester's triple tail assembly, but was later modified to the twin fin and rudder assembly which became standard on production Lancasters,

The prototype flew on 9 January 1941 and later that month went to the Aeroplane and Armament Ex-perimental Establishment, Boscombe Down, to begin intensive flying trials.

The new bomber was an immediate success, and large production orders were placed. Such was the speed of

development in wartime that the first development in wartime that the first production Lancaster was flown in October 1941, a number of partially completed Manchester airframes being converted on the line to emerge as Lancaster Mk I (from 1942 redesig-nated Lancaster B.Mk I) aircraft.

Lancasters soon began to replace Manchesters, and such was the impetus of production that a shortage of Merlin engines was threatened, This was countered by licence-production by Packard in the USA of the Merlin not only for Lancasters but for other types. An additional insurance was effected in another way, the use of 1,735-hp (1294-kW) Bristol Hercules VI

Meanwhile, the Merlin Lancasters were going from strength to strength. The prototype's engines gave way to 1,280-hp (954-kW) Merlin XXs and 22s, or 1,620-hp (1208-kW) Merlin 24s in production aircraft, Early thoughts of fitting a ventral turret were sadly dis-carded, and the Lancaster B.Mk I had three Frazer-Nash hydraulically-operated turrets with eight 7.7-mm (0.303-m) Browning machine-guns: two each in the nose and mid-upper dorsal positions and four in the tail tur-ret, The bomb-bay, designed origmalLancaster B.Mkl of No. 467 Sqn, Royal Australian Air Force, flying from Waddington. Now preserved at the RAF Museum, the aircraft Hew 137 sorties and bore the inscription 'No enemy aircraft will fly over the Reich territory': Coering'sinaccurate and ironic prophecy.



ly to carry 1814 kg (4,000 lb) of bombs, was enlarged progressively to carry bigger and bigger bombs: up to 3629 and 5443 kg (8,000 and 12,000 lb) and eventually to Barnes Wallis' enormous 9979-kg (22,000-lb) 'Grand Slam', the heaviest bomb carried by any aircraft in World War II.

The Lancaster's existence was not revealed to the public until 17 August ofthat year, when 12 aircraft from Nos 44 and 97 Squadrons carried out an unescorted daylight raid on Augsburg. Flown at low level, the raid inflicted considerable damage on a factory producing U-boat diesel engines, but the cost was high, seven aircraft being lost, Squadron Leaders Nettleton and Sherwood each received the Victoria Cross, the latter posthumously, for leading the operation, which perhaps confirmed to the Air Staff that unescorted daylight raids by heavy bombers were not a practicable proposition.

It would be true to say that development of the Lancaster went hand-inhard with development of bombs, The early Lancasters carried their bomb loads in normal flush-fitting bomb bays, but as bombs got larger it became necessary, in order to be able to close the bomb doors, to make the bays deeper so that they protruded slightly below the fuselage line. Eventually, with other developments, the bomb doors were omitted altogether for certain specialist types of bomb.

The German battleship *Tirpitz* was attacked on several occasions by Lancasters until, on 12 November 1944, a combined force from Nos 9 and 617 Squadrons found the battleship in Tromso Fjord, Norway, and sank her with 5443-kg (12,000-1b) Tallboy' bombs, also designed by Barnes Wallis. The ultimate in conventional high explosive bombs was reached with the 9979-kg (22,000-1b) 'Grand Slam', a weapon designed to destroy concrete by exploding some way beneath the surface, so creating an earthquake effect. No. 617 Squadron first used the 'Grand Slam' operationally against the Bielefeld Viaduct on 14 March 1945, causing considerable destruction amongst its spans. In spite of the other variants built

In spite of the other variants built from time to time, the Lancaster B.Mk I (Lancaster B.Mk 1 from 1945) remained in production throughout the war, and the last was delivered by Armstrong Whitworth on 2 February 1946. Production had encompassed two Mk I prototypes, 3,425 Mk Is, 301 Mk Us, 3,039 Mk Ills, 180 Mk VIIs and

Lancaster Mkllls pour off the production lineatA.V. Roe's Woodford factory. A total of 7,377 aircraft were built by a variety of manufacturers, and the sheer volume of this effort combined with the considerable qualities of the aircraft played a considerable part in the destruction of the Reich. Right: A summer evening in 1943: briefing is over, pre-flight checks completed, the aircraft bombed-up; soon the calm of the dispersal area will be shattered by the sound of Merlins. The nightly raids were inevitably met by fierce AA and nigh t fighters, and the nearest aircraft's seven missions are no small feat.

430 Mk Xs, a total of 7,377. These were built by Avrò (3,673), Armstrong Whitworth (1,329), Austin Motors (330), Metropolitan Vickers(1,080), Vickers-Armstrongs (535) and Victory Aircraft (430). Some conversions between different mark numbers took place. Statistics show that at least 59 Bom-

Statistics show that at least 59 Bomber Command squadrons operated Lancasters, which flew more than 156,000 sorties and dropped, in addition to 608,612 tons (618,350 tonnes) of high explosive bombs, more than 51 million incendiaries,

Specification

Avrò Lancaster B.Mk I Type: seven-seat heavy bomber Powerplant: four 1223-kW (1,640-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXIV V-12 piston engines Performance: maximum speed

Performance: maximum speed 462 km/h (287 mph) at 3505m (11,500ft); cruising speed 338 km/h



(210 mph) at 6096 m (20,000 ft); service ceiling 7470m (24,500ft); range 4070km (2,530 miles) with 7,000-lb (3175-kg)bombload Weights: empty 16738kg (36,900 lb);

Weights: empty 16738kg (36,900 lb); maximum take-off 31751 kg (70,000 lb) Dimensions: span 31.09 m (102 ft 0 in); length21.18m(69ft6in);height6.10m (20ft Oin); wing area 120.49 m[?] (1,297.0sqft)

Armament: 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machineguns (two each in nose and dorsal turrets, and four in tail turret), plus bomb load comprising one (9979-kg (22,000lb) bomb or up to 6350 kg (14,000-lb) of smaller bombs.





Second only in importance to the Avrò Lancaster in Bomber Command's great night offensive between 1941 and 1945, the four-engine Handley Page Halifax was originally designed around a pair of Vulture engines but, when first flown on 25 October 1939, the choice of four Merlins had been made. The first aircraft arrived in No. 35 Squadron in November 1940 and flew their first raid on 10-11 March 1941. Production was widely sub-

contracted and quickly accelerated, the Merlin X-powered Halifax Mk I with two-gun nose turret and no dorsal turret being followed by the Halifax Mk IIA Series 1 with Merlin XXs and a two-gun dorsal turret. In the Halifax Mk II Series 1A a large transparent fairing improved the whole nose shape, this version also introducing a Defiant-type four-gun dorsal turret. The Halifax Mk III was powered by Bristol Hercules XVI radiais, and later examples introduced a wing span in-creased from 30.12m (98ft 10 in) to 31,75 m (104 ft 2 in), The Halifax Mk V with Dowty landing gear served with Coastal and Bomber Commands; the Halifax Mk VI with Hercules 100 en-gines and Halifax Mk VII with Her-cules XVIs (both versions with in-creased fuel canacity) ioined Bomber creased fuel capacity) joined Bomber Command in 1944. Halifax Mks III, V and VII versions also served in para-trooping and glider towing roles with



Sporting the distinctive tail markings adopted by squadrons of Bomber Command'sNo. 4 Group, this Halifax Mklll of No. 466 Sqn, RAAF, was basedatLeconfieldin themid-war years. The aircraft features the large H£ radome under the rear fuselage.

the airborne forces (being the only airthe airborne forces (being the only air-craft to tow the big Hamilcar) and were joined by the Halifax Mk VIII just be-fore the end of the war. Production totalled 6,176 Halifaxes, the bomber versions flying a total of 75,532 sorties and dropping 227,610 tons of bombs.

Specification

Handley Page Halifax Mk VI Type: seven-crew night heavy bomber

Powerplant: four 1,800-hp (1343-kW) Bristol Hercules 100 radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 502 km/h (312 mph) at 6705m (22,000 ft); climb to 6096 m (20,000 ft) in 50 minutes; service ceiling 7315m (24,000 ft); range with 5897-kg (13,000-lb) bombload 2028km (1,260 miles) Weights: empty 17690kg (39,000 lb); maximum take-off 30845 kg (68,000 lb) Dimensions: span 31.75 m (104 ft 2 in); length 21.82 m (71 ft 7 in); height 6.32 m Oft 9 in); wing area 118.45m (1,275.0sqft)

Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-m) machine-gun in nose and four 7,7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in each of dor-sal and tail turrets, plus a maximum bombload of 5897 kg (13,000 lb)

Two Merlin-powered Halifax M k II Series 1 of No. 35 (Madras Presidency) Sqn. the first unit equipped with the type, are seen on air test during the winter of 1941. The dorsal turret created much drag and some later models had this removed.



Short Stirling

The Short Stirling was the first of RAF Bomber Command's trio of four-engine heavy bombers that mounted the great night offensive over Europe during the last four years of the war, and the only one conceived from the outset as a four-engine aircraft. Designed to a 1936 specification, the Stirling was in-1938, this being followed by the full-size prototype which was destroyed on its first flight in May 1939, Produc-tion deliveries were first made to No. 7 Squadron in August 1940 (at the height of the Battle of Britain) and the Stirling Mk I flew its first operation on 10-11 February 1941. The type first bombed Berlin two months later. The Stirling Mk I of which 756 were produced, was powered by Hercules XI radiais, but the Stirling Mk II with Wright Cyclones did not progress beyond the prototype stage, The Stirling Mk III was powered by Hercules XVIs and, with 875 built (plus many Mk Is converted) consti-

The Stirling was hampered throughout its bombing career by an inability to reach the optim um operating altitude of 6100 m (20,000 ft), due to too short a wingspan, anda bomb bay which could not be adapted to carry the ever-larger bombs being produced.



StirlingMkISeries 1 bombers were delivered in January 1941 with no dorsal turret. These early deliveries were camouflaged in dark green and dark earth down the fuselage sides and were assigned to escorted daylight missions, in this instance withNo.JSqn.

tuted the main bomber variant; it also introduced the two-gun dorsal turret. Stirlings were the first operational air craft to carry the original form of Oboe¹ navaid in 1941, and in August 1942 took part in the first Pathfinder operations. Two posthumous VCs were won by Stirling pilots (Flight Sergeant R. H. Middleton of No. 149 Squadron and Flight Sergeant A. L Aaron of No. 218 Squadron), both during raids on north-ern Italy. By 1944 the Stirling Mk III was



obsolescent, and flew its last raid in September that year. The Stirling Mk IV (of which 577 were built) was a transport/glider tug without nose and dorsal turrets, and was widely used on operations by the airborne forces dur-ing the last year of the war. The Stirling Mk V transport (160 built), without armament, joined the RAF in January 1945, Stirling bombers equipped 15 squadrons.

Specification Short Stirling Mk III

Type: seven- or eight-crew night

heavy bomber Powerplant: four 1,650-hp (1231-kW) Bristol Hercules XVI radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 435 km/h (270 mph) at 4420m (14,500ft); service ceiling 5180m (17,000 ft); range with 6350-kg (14,000-Ib) bombload 949 km (590 miles)

Weights: empty 19596kg (43,200 lb); maximum take-off 31790 kg (70,000 lb) Dimensions: span 30.20 m (99 ft 1 in); length 26.50 m (87 ft 3 in); height 6.93 m (22 ft 9 in); wing area 135,60m² (1,460.0 sq ft) Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-m) mechanism curve in each of access and dep

machine-guns in each of nose and dor-sal turrets, and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in tail turret, plus a maximum bombload of 6350 kg (14,000 lb)



IVo. 425 (Alouette) Sqn, RCAFflew Wellington Mk Ills from Dishforth, and this aircraft was lost in a raid on Stuttgart. Bomber Command's Wellingtons Hew their last mission in October 1943, but the type soldiered on in coastal and transport units until the war's end.

Employing the efficient geodetic lattice structure, the twin-engine Vickers Wellington continued in service with Bomber Command until 1943, far longer than its contemporaries, the Handley Page Hampdon and Armstrong Whitworth Whitley. Designed to meet a 1932 requirement, the Wellington first flew on 15 June 1936 and in its Wellington Mk I form with Pegasus radials joined the RAF (No. 9 Squadron) in October 1938. The Wellington Mk IA (with Nash and Thompson nose and tail gun turrets) and the Wellington Mk 1C (with lateral guns in place of the ventral turret) followed, together with the Merlin-powered Wellington Mk II and Hercules III- or XI-powered Wel-lington Mk III, and at the begining of the war six squadrons were flying the Wellington. Early daylight raids re-sulted in heavy losses owing to the Wellington's large defenceless arcs and in 1940 the aircraft joined the night bombing force. On 1 April 1941 a Wel-lington dropped the RAF's first 1814-kg (4,000-lb) bomb. Subsequent bomber versions included the Twin Wasp-powered Wellington Mk IV, and Wel-lington Mk V and Mk VI high-altitude aircraft with pressure cabins and Her-cules or Merlin engines respectively; these latter versions did not see com-bat service. The Wellington Mk X with Hercules XVIIIs was the final bomber Command Wellingtons took place on 8-9 October 1943. In the meantime Wellingtons had been flying on mari-time duties, the Wellington DW. Mk I with large mine-exploding hoops hav-ing operated in 1940 and Wellington Mk 1C minelayers soon after this, Coas-tal Command versions included the Wellington GR.Mk VIII with Pegasus engines and ASV radar, the Welling-ton GR.Mks XI, XII and XIV with Hercules, Leigh Light and provision for

two torpedoes; the Wellington T. Mks XVII and XVIII were trainers, and many Mk Xs were converted to 'flying classrooms'. Wellingtons were also used as test-beds for early jet engines. The Wellington C.Mks XV and XVI were transport conversions of the Mk IC. A total of 11,461 aircraft was pro-duced

duced.

Specification Vickers Wellington Mk III Type: six-crew night medium bomber Powerplant: two 1119-kW (1,500-hp) Bristol Hercules XI radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 411 km/h (255 mph) at 3810m (12,500ft); initial climb 283m (930ft) per minute; service ceiling 5790 m (19,000ft); range with 2041-kg (4,500-Ib) bombload 2478km (1,540 miles) Weights: empty 8605kg (18,970 lb); maximum take-off 15422 kg (34,000 lb) Dimensions: span 26.26m (86ft 2 in); length 19.68m (64ft 7 in); height 5m (17 ft 5 in); wing area 78.04 m² (840.0 sq

Ärmament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-m) machine-guns in nose turret, four 7.7mm (0.303-in) guns in tail turret, and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in beam positions, plus a maximum bombload of 2041 kg (4,500 lb)

Armourers prepare the fuses of 500-Ib (227-kg) bombs before moving the bomb train under the fuselage of a Wellington. The aircraft had a poor start on day missions, proving easy meat for German fighters, but found itself a dmirably suited for nigh t ops, setting the trend for RAF bombing throughout the war.





Pursuing an operational theory that high flying, heavily armed bombers were the surest means of striking strategic targets in daylight, the US Army Air Corps issued a requirement in 1934 for which the Boeing Model 299 Flying Fortress was designed and first flown on 28 July 1935. Twelve Y1B-17 (later B-17) service test aircraft en-tered service in 1937 and were fol-lowed by small numbers of B-17B and B-17C bombers in 1940-1, and by the B-17D in 1941. The B-17E introduced the enlarged vertical tail surfaces and tail gun position characteristic of all subsequent B-17s, as well as poweroperated twin-gun turrets aft of the cockpit and below the centre fuselage, 512 B-17Es were produced, this ver-sion being the first US Army Air Force heavy bomber to see combat in Europe with the 8th Air Force. A total of 3,400 B-17F bombers, with enlarged one-piece nose transparency, was

produced during 1942-3, and these were followed by the principal variant, the B-17G, which, in reply to calls for improved nose armament to counter the Luftwaffe's head-on attacks, intro-duced the two-gun 'chin' turret; pro-duction totalled 8,680 B-17G aircraft by Boeing, Douglas and Lockheed-Vega. The Fortress was deployed principally in Europe during the war, with much smaller numbers operating in the Far East. The type carried out many epic raids, large formations of bombers, each bristling with heavy machine-guns and providing mutual protection against enemy fighters, pounding across the daylight skies over Hitler's Reich. In due course heavy losses forced the Americans to introduce escort fighters - the P-38, P-47 and P-51. One temporary expedient involved the use of a small number of B-17s modified as YB-40 'escort' aircraft, some aircraft carrying up to 30

machine-guns. Fortresses (B-17Cs, Fs and Gs) served in small numbers with RAF Bomber and Coastal Commands.

136 Exhaust collector ring
137 Engineupperbearers
138 Firewall
139 Engine lower bearers
140 Intercoolerassembly
141 Oil tank (nacelle outboard wall)

143 Intake
144 Supercharger waste-gate
145 Starboard landing light
146 Supercharger intake
147 Intercooler intake
148 No4 engine nacellespar bulkhead
150 Oli radiator intake
151 Main spar web structure

wall) Supercharger Intake

142 143

152 Mid-wing fuel tank rib cut-outs
153 Auxiliary mid spar
154 Rearspar
155 Landing flap profile
156 Cooling riselets

155 Landing flap profile
156 Cooling airslots
157 Starboard outer wing fuel tank (nine inter-rib cells)
158 Flap structure
159 Starboard aileron
160 Outboard wing ribs
161 Sparassembly
162 Wing leading-edge de-icing boot
163 Aileron control linkage
164 Wing corrugated innerskin
165 Wingtip structure
166 Starboardnavigationlight

A & & & A

1

Specification Boeing B-17G Flying Fortress Type: 10-crew daylight medium/heavy bomber

Powerplant: four 895-kW (1,200-hp) Wright Cyclone R-1820-97 radial piston engines

Performance: ce: maximum (287 mph) at speed 7620m 462 km/h (25,000 ft); climb to 6096 m (20,000 ft) in 37 minutes; service ceiling 10850 m This Boeing B-17G, A Bit o'Lace of the 711 th BS, 447th BG was based at Rattlesden. Spectacular nose art became a speciality of the USArmy Air Force, and art fea turing the female form was in variably well executed. This contrasted with a virtual ban on nose art by RAT Bomber Command.

(35,600ft); range with 2722-kg (6,000-Ib) bombload 3220 km (2,000 miles) Weights: empty 16391kg (36,135 Ib); maximum take-off 32660 kg (72,000 lb) Dimensions: span 31.62 m (103 ft 9 in); length 22.78 m (74 ft 9 in); height 5.82 m (19ft 1 in); wing area 131,92m (1,420.0sqft)

Armament: twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun turrets under nose, aft of cockpit, under centre fuselage and in tail, and single-gun mountings in sides of nose, in radio operator's hatch and in waist (beam) positions, plus a maximum bombload of 7983 kg (17,600 lb)

Boeing B-17F Flying Fortress cutaway drawing key

Rudderconstruction

- Ruddertab
- 3
- Ruddertab Ruddertab actuation Tail gunner's station Gunsight Twin0.5-in(12.7-mm machine guns) 6
- Tail cone Tail gunner's seat
- Ammunition troughs
- 10 11

- Ammunition trougl Elevator trim tab Starboardelevator Tailplane structure Tailplane front spar Tailplane/fuselage attachment Control cables Elevator control mechanism Pudder control link
- 15 16
- Rudder control linkage 17
- 18 19
- Rudderpost Ruddercentre hinge
- Fin structure Rudder upper hinge Fin skinning Aerial attachment

- 20 21 22 23 24 25 Aerials Fin leading-edge de-icing
- boot 26 Port elevator
- 27 28
- 29 30 31
- Port elevator Port tailplane Tailplane leading-edge de-icing boot Dorsal fin structure Fuselage frame Tailwheel actuation Toilet
- 32 33 Toilet Tailwheel (retracted)
- Tailwheel (retracted) fairing Fully-swivelling retractable tailwheel Crew entry door Control cables Starboard waist hatch Starboard waist 0.5-in (12.7-mm) machine gun Gun support frame Ammunition box Ventralaerial 34

- 35 36 37 38
- 39
- 40
- 42
- Ammunication box Ventral acerial Waist gunners'positions Port waist 0.5-in (12.7-mm) machinegun Ceiling control cable runs Dorsal aerial mast Ball turret stanchion support 43
- 11 45 46
- support Ball turret stanchion
- 47 48 Ball turret actuation mechanism
- 49 50 51

298

Support frame Ball turret roof Twin 0-5-in (12.7-mm) machineguns

- 52 Ventral ball turret 53 Wingrootfillet 54 Bulkhead 55 Radio operator's compartment 56 Camera access hatch 57 Radio compartment windows (port and starboard) 58 Ampuilton bross

- 58 59
- Ammunition boxes Single 0.5-in (12.7-mm) dorsal machinegun Radio compartment roof 60 Radio compartment root glazing Radio compartment/bomb-bay bulkhead Fire extinguisher Radio operator's station (port side) Handrail links Bulkhead sten
- 61

- 64
- 65 66
- Handrail links Bulkhead step Wing rear spar/fuselage attachment Wingroot profile Bomb-bay central catwalk Vertical bomb stowage racks (starboard installation shown) Horizontal bomb stowage 67 68 69

- 70
- installation shown) Horizontal bomb stowage (port side shown) Dinghy stowage Twin0.5-in(12.7-mm) machineguns Dorsal turret Portwingflaps Coolingaistots Aileron tab (portonly) Port aileron 71 72

- 75 76
- 77 78 79 Port aileron Port navigation light
- Port navigation light Wing skinning Wing leading-edge de-icing boot Port landing light Wing corrugated inner skin Port out wing fuel tank (nine inter-ribcells) No 1 engine narcelle 80
- 81 82 83

- 84 No 1 engine nacelle 85
- 86 87
- 88
- 89
- No 1 engine nacelle Cooling gills Three-blade propellers No 2 engine nacelle Wing leading-edge de-icing boot Port mid-wing (self-sealing) fuel tanks Flightdeck upperglazing Flightdeck/bomb-bay bulkhead Oxygen cylinders 91

- bulkhead Oxygen cylinders Co-pilot's seat Co-pilot's control column Headrest/armour Compass installation Pilot's seat 92 93 94 95 96 97

98 Windscreen
99 Central control console pedestal
90 Side windows

Navigator's table Sidegun mounting Enlarged cheek windows (flush)

Ammunition box Bombardier's panel Norden bombsight installation

109 Plexiglas frameless nose

110 Single0.5-in(12.7-mm) nose machine gun
111 Optically-flat bomb-aiming

panel 112 Pilot head fairing (port and starboard) 113 D/F loop bullet fairing 114 Port mainwheel 115 Flight deck underfloor control linkage 116 Wingroot/fuselage fairing 117 Wing front snar/fuselage

117 Wing front spar/fuselage attachment

attachment 118 Battery access panels (wingroot leading edge) 119 No 3 engine nacelle spar bulkhead 120 Intercooler pressure duct 121 Mainwheel well 122 Oil tank (nacelle inboard wall)

wall) Nacelle structure Exhaust Retracted mainwheel

Ketracted mainwheel (semi-recessed)
 Firewall
 Coolinggills
 Exhaust collector ring assembly
 Three-blade propellers
 Undervarriage retraction

Undercarriage retraction

Starboard mainwheel Axle Mainwheel oleo leg Propeller reduction gear

casing 135 1,200 hp Wright R-1820-65

124 125

129 130

134

struts 131 132 133

100 Side windows Navigation equipment Navigator's compartment upperwindow (subsequently replaced by ceiling astrodome)

101

102

103

104

105

106 107 108

cone

anel

6-17 Flying Fortress in Action

One of the most famous bombers of all time, the Boeing B-17 was so impressive when the prototype appeared in July 1935 that it was dubbed 'the Flying Fortress'. The name stuck and became a registered trademark. The US Army Air Corps had merely asked for a 'multi-engine' bomber, to carry a 2,000-lb (907-kg) bombload. Rival companies built twin-engine machines, but Boeing went for four engines to get more speed and altitude. Eventually the first B-17 was delivered on 1 March 1937, with a crew of eight distributed around the tube-like fuselage, five of them each manning a defensive machine-gun. Amidships was a short but deep bomb bay housing up to 2177 kg (4,800 lb) of bombs, with a catwalk down the centre. By 1940 the production model was the B-17C, with 1,200-hp (895-kW) turbosupercharged

By 1940 the production model was the B-17C, with 1,200-hp (895-kW) turbosupercharged Cyclone engines giving a maximum speed of 515 km/h (320 mph), much faster than later models. In 1941 the RAF was given 20 because the US Army wanted to see how this model, with more guns, self-sealing tanks and armour, performed in combat. The result was a disaster, nine being destroyed m a few weeks, but a lot of the trouble was bad luck and bad management, Enough was learned, however, for Boeing to redesign the B-17 and the resulting B-17Eflewon 5 September 1941,

The B-17E had a giant dorsal fin, giving better bombing accuracy at high altitudes, and a An unusually clean B-17C is marshalled to a halt on delivery to a unit in England. Such pristine condition would not be long-lived; nose art and battle damage would soon make the aircraft look more businesslike.

larger tailplane. There were many internal changes, but the mam difference was in radically better defensive firepower, with 10 12.7mm(0.5-in) Brownings and two or three of 7.62-mm (0.3-in) calibre. The small guns were manually aimed from the nose, while the big weapons were in a two-gun power-driven dorsal turret, a twin manual installation in the roof of the radio compartment, two manual waist positions, a manual tail turret (filling what was previously a blind spot) and under the fuselage a powered ball turret whose occupant had to be small. In the event of a belly landing the ball turret had to be vacated, and if the door jammed the whole turret had to be severed from the aircraft by a special spanner carried on board, the occupant then escaping as it fell. On at least one occasion it was found the special tool was not on board, and the operations officer of an 8th Air Force bomb group took off in another B-17 and passed the implement across trailing on a long piece of string.



In April 1942 the B-17F introduced many improvements including a frameless Plexiglas nose which in later versions had two 12,7-mm (0.5-in) guns in left and right cheek mountings. Hundreds of B-17Fs formed the backbone of the growing might of the 8th Air Force, which from August 1942 operated over Germany and other European countries. After much action the Luftwaffe decided head-on attacks were especially effective and to counter these the main change in the B-17G was to add a chin turret with two more guns, making a total of 13, all of 12,7-mm (0.5-m) calibre (only one gun was fitted in the roof of the radio compartment, making the odd number, and later this was often omitted). The B-17G was the final mainstream variant, and 8,680 were built, the last 7,000 or so being unpamted instead of olivedrab.





OneofthelastB-24s toget into action, this B-24J-190 was given a particularly flamboyant paint job by the 43rdBomb Group operating against the Japanese mainland from leShimain the spring of 1945. The dorsal turret was omitted, reflecting the approach of victory.





Used as an electronic warfare aircraft, this Liberator B.Mk IV flew with No. 223 Sqn, RAF. Flying in ahead of bombing formations, these aircraft jammed German ground and night fighter radars.

The RAF flew several hundred Liberators in India, where they were the major weapon used to bomb Japanese targets in Burma and China.

Europe and North Africa also received the aircraft, one of their outstanding raids being the attack on the Ploesti oil

refineries on 1 August 1943. A total of

791 B-24E bombers with changed

propellers was produced before pro-

Produced in larger numbers than any other American aircraft during the war (and any other four-engine aircraft in history) the Consolidated B-24 Liberator did not enter the design stage until 1939, and the prototype XB-24 was flown on 29 December that year. Minor development batches followed in 1940 before the first major production version, the B-24D, appeared late in 1941, A policy decision to concentrate B-24s primarily in the Pacific theatre (where the type's long range was used to good effect) resulted in most of the 2,738 B-24Ds being deployed against Japan, but the 8th and 9th Air Forces in

duction switched to the B-24G, of which 430 were built. This version introduced a two-gun nose turret to counter German head-on fighter attacks and was followed by 3,100 B-24H aircraft with various makes of nose turret. Major production version was the B-24J, of which 6,678 were built, incorporating a Motor Products nose turret, new-type autopilot and bomb-sight. The B-24L (1,667 built) featured sight. The B-24L (1,00/ built) reatured two manually operated tail guns in a Consolidated turret, and the B-24M (2,593 built) introduced a Motor Pro-ducts two-gun tail turret. This huge manufacturing effort (which produced a total of 18,313 aircraft in five and a half years) involved Consolidated, Douglas, Ford and North American plants, the total including many aircraft for the RAF (in which Liberators served with 42 squadrons) and US Navy (with whom Liberators served under the de-signation PB4Y) and also the 25-passenger C-87 version, of which 282 were produced.

Specification Consolidated B-24J Liberator Type: eight/ten-crew daylight medium/heavy bomber Powerplant: four 1,200-hp (895-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-65 radial piston

engines engines Performance: maximum speed 467 km/h (290 mph) at 7620m (25,000ft); climbto6096m(20,000ft) in 25 minutes; service ceiling 8535 m (28,000 ft); range 3220 km (2,000 miles) with a 3992-kg (8,800 lb) bombload Weights: empty 16556kg (36,500 lb) Dimensions: span 33.53 m (110 ft 0 in); length 20.47 m (67 ft 2 in); height 5.49 m

(18ft Oin); wing area 97.36m² (1,048.0 sq ft)

(1,048.0 sq ft) Armament: two-gun turrets in nose, tail, upper fuselage aft of cockpit and under centre fuselage, and single manual guns in waist (beam) positions for a total of 10 12,7-mm (0.5-m) machine-guns, plus a normal bomb-load of 3992 kg (8,800 lb)

Formation flying is an art in itself, and formating a heavy aircraft while joining up in formations of 40 or more, often in partial cloud, took concentration. The Americans used brightly coloured'assembly ships', usually with polka dots to facilitate the forming of combat boxes. Such gaudy aircraft did not fly on missions but returned to base when the formation had set course.





Design of the Boeing B-29 Superfor-tress heavy bomber started in 1940 to meet a US Army Air Corps requirement for a 'Hemisphere Defense Weapon', an aircraft capable of car-rying 907kg (2,000 lb) of bombs for 8582km (5,333 miles) at 644 km/h (400 mph); only after the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor put an end to trie USA's isolationism was the project given top priority, and the first XB-29 was flown on 21 September 1942. The very big four-engine mid-wing bomber had by then been ordered in large numbers and in 1943 the decision was taken to deploy the B-29 only, against Japan, concentrating the new bombers in the XX Bomber Command on bases in India and China. The first YB-29 service test aircraft were delivered to the 58th Bomb Wing in July 1943 and were followed by B-29-BW production bom-

bers three months later. Production was concentrated at Boeing, Wichita (BW), Bell, Marietta (BA), Martin, Omaha (MO), and a new Boeing-run factory at Renton (BN). Four groups of B-29s moved to India early in 1944, making their first raid on Bangkok on 5 June, and on the Japanese mainland 10 days later. For the first nine months the B-29s were principally employed in high-level daylight raids, but on 9 March 1945, when operations were run primarily from five vast bases in the Marianas islands, they switched to low-level night attacks with devastatthe first of which on Tokyo caused 80,000 deaths). Two other main versions of the B-29 appeared during the war, the B-29A-BN with four-gun forward upper turret and increased wing span, and the B-29B-BA with reduced

gun armament and increased bomb-load. The B-29-45-MOs£/iola Gay and Bock's Car of the 393rd Bomb Squadron dropped the atomic bombs 'Little Boy' and 'Fat Man' on Hiroshima and Nagasaki on 6 and 9 August 1945 re-spectively, bringing the war to an end. Total B-29 production was 3,970.

Specification

Boeing B-29A Superfortress Type: 10-crew heavy strategic bom-ber

Powerplant: four 1641-kW (2,200-hp) Wright R-3350-57 radial piston engines Wright R-3530-37 radia piston engines Performance: maximum speed 576 km/h (358 mph) at 7620 m (25,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 38 minutes; service ceiling 9695 m (31,800 ft); range 6598 km(4,100 miles) Weights: empty 32369 kg (71,360 lb); maximum take-off 64003 kg A feature of the B-29's gun armament was the use of remotely con trolled turrets, periscopically sigh ted by gunners located within the fuselage. The aircraft illustrated, carrying BTO (bombing through overcast) radar, was based on Tinian for the final raids on Japan.

(141.100 lb)

Dimensions: span 43.36 m (142 ft 3 in); length 30.18 m (99 ft 0 in); height 9,01 m (29ft 7 in); wing area 161.27m (1,736.0 sq ft)

(1,750.08(11)) Armament: four-gun turret over nose, two-gun turrets under nose, under and over rear fuselage, all with guns of 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre, and one 20-mm and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in tail, plus a bombload of up to 9072 kg (20,000 lb)

The mighty Superfortress. One of the most remarkable achievements of the war was the design, development and production of this bomber in the space offouryears. All B-29s were assigned to the assault on Japan, the two aircraft here - YB-29s - being flown by the 58th Bomb Wing (Very Heavy).



Codenamed 'Betty' by the Allies, the Mitsubishi G4M long-range medium bomber remained in service with the Japanese navy from the first to the last day of the war: it took part in the attack that sank the British warships HMS Prince of Wales and HMS Repulse in December 1941, and it carried the Japanese surrender delegation on 19

Mitsubishi G4M 'Betty'

August 1945. Designed to a 1937 requirement for a long-range bomber, the G4M1 prototype made its first flight on 23 October 1939, and during trials recorded an extraordinary perform-ance of a 444-km/h (276-mph) top speed and 5555-km (3,450-mile) range, albeit without bombload. The first production G4Mls (Navy Type 1 Attack

Bomber Model 11) were initially deployed against China in mid-1941 but on the eve of the attack on Malaya the bombers moved to Indo-China and within a week had successfully attack-ed the *Prince of Wales* and *Repulse*. When Allied fighter opposition even-tually increased to effective proportions, the G4M1 was seen to be very

9

Identified by its dihedral tailplane, the Mitsubishi C4M3 'Betty'was produced in limited numbers during the last two years of the war. The main improvement over previous models was increased protection for the crew. The aircraft portrayed flew with the YokosukaKokutai.Atsugi during the last days of the war.

vulnerable, possessing little armour protection for crew and fuel tanks, and it was in a pair of G4Mls that Admiral Yamamoto and his staff were travelling when shot down by P-38s over Bougainvilleon 18 April 1943. Little im-provement had been secured in the Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 22 with revised powerplant. The G4M2

was therefore introduced with increased armament, increased fuel and 1,800-hp (1343-kW) Mitsubishi Kasei radiais, and this version (Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 22A and Model 22B) remained in production until the end of the war in steadily improved Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 24 variants. A further improved version, the G4M3, with increased crew protection, was also produced in small

USSR Ilyushin 11-4

numbers as the Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 34. Production amounted to 1,200 G4M1s, 1,154 G4M2s and 60 G4M3s.

Specification Mitsubishi G4M2 'Betty' Type: seven-crew land-based naval bomber

Powerplant: two 1,800-hp (1343-kW) Mitsubishi MK4P Kasei 21 radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 438 km/h (272 mph) at 4600m (15,090 ft); climb to 8000 m (26,245 ft) in (1),00 (1), (init) to solve (12),24 (1) in 32,4 minutes; service ceiling 8950m(29,365 ft); range 6059 km (3,765 miles) Weights: empty 8160 kg (17,990 lb); normal loaded 12500 kg (27,558 lb) Dimensions: span 25.00 m (82 ft0⁷ A in);

length 20,00m (67ft 7% in); height 6.00m (19ft 8V4in); wing area 78.125m^a(840.93sqft) Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 92 machine-guns in nose, one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 92 machine-gun in each side blister position, one 20-mm Type 99 cannon in dorsal turret and one 20-mm Type 99 in tail, plus 1000 kg (2,205 lb) of bombs or one 800-kg (1,764-lb) torpedo

The Ilyushin 11-4 was roughly in the same class as the HeinkelHe 111 and first saw service in the Winter War with Finland. It was the first Soviet bomber to raid Berlin, and served until the last few months of the war, when it was relega ted to glider

towing.



Powerplant: two 1,100-hp (821-kW) M-

One of the great bombers of the war, the Ilyushin 11-4 has not unnaturally been overshadowed in Western thinking by the great British and American aircraft, yet well over 5,000 II-4s were produced between 1937 and 1944, the vast majority in the last three years. The original prototype of this low-wing twin-engine bomber, designated the TsKB-26, flew in 1935, was developed through the TsKB-30, and entered pro-duction in 1937 as the DB-3B (DB being a Soviet contraction denoting long-range bomber), Early examples were powered by 765-hp (571-kW)M-85engines, but these were replaced by 960-hp (716-kW) M-86s in 1938. Although a tough and relatively simple design, the aircraft suffered from a poor defensive

armament of single nose, dorsal and ventral 7,62-mm (0.3-in) guns, and lost heavily to such aircraft as the Bristol heavily to such aircraft as the Bristol Bulldog, Gloster Gladiator and Fokker D.XXI during the Winter War against Finland in 1939-40. In 1939 a modified version with lengthened nose and more armour (the DB-3F) appeared, and in 1940, in conformity with changed Russian practice, the de-signation became 11-4 (denoting the designer, Sergei Ilyushin). Soon after the German attack on the USSR opened in 1941 it was decided to with-draw 11-4 production to newly opening draw 11-4 production to newly opening plants in Siberia, at the same time replacing a large proportion of the metal structure by less strategically critical wood. II-4s also entered service with

Soviet Naval Aviation, and it was a naval-manned force of these bombers that first raided Berlin from the east on 8 August 1941. Thereafter the 11-4 paid frequent visits to the German capital and other targets in Eastern Europe. In 1944 production ended, although the 11-4 served until the end of the war and afterwards. Apart from increasing the calibre of its guns and giving it a torpe-do-carrying ability, the 11-4 remained virtually unchanged between 1941 and

Specification Ilyushin 11-4 Type: four-crew bomber/torpedo-bomber

88B radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 410 km/h (255 mph) at 4725m (15,500ft); initial climb rate 270m

(15,500ft); initial climb rate 2/0m (886 ft) per minute; service ceiling 10000 m (32,810 ft); range with bomb-load 2600 km (1,616 miles) Weights: empty 6000kg (13,228 lb); maximum take-off 10000 kg (22,046 lb) Dimensions: span 21,44 m (70 ft 4/4 in); length 14.POm (48ft 6Va in); height 4.10m (13ft5V2in); wing area 66,7 m² (718.0 sq ft) Armament: single 12 7-mm (0 5-in)

Armament: single 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UBT machine-guns in nose, dorsal turret and ventral positions, plus a maximum bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb) or three 500 kg (1,102 lb) torpedoes



GERMANY HeinkelHe 111

Longest-serving medium bomber of the Luftwaffe, the Heinkel He 111 stemmed from a design by Siegfried and Walter Günther for a dual-purpose commercial transport/bomber pro-duced in 1934 and flown on 24 February 1935. Early versions featured a conventional stepped windscreen and elliptical wing leading edge, and a bomber version with these features, the He 111B-1, served with the Legion Condor in the Spanish Civil War. The first production version with straight wing leading edge was the He 11 IF, and the He 11 IP incorporated a fullyglazed asymmetric nose without exter-

nal windscreen step. He 11 IPs with DB 601Aa engines were delivered to the Luftwaffe in 1939 before production switched to the most widely-used variant, the He 11 IH with Junkers Jumo 211 engines; sub-variants of this series formed the backbone of the Luftwaffe's bomber force between 1940 and 1943;

Among the bomber units switched from the night assault on Britain to the Eastern Frontin 1941 wasKGSS 'Greif (Griffon Wing), one of whose Heinkel HelllHs is seen here being armed with an externally carried bomb prior to a raid.



From mid-1940 onwards, with the arrival in service of bombs too large to be carried internally, He 11 Is freguen tly carried their loads externally. A HeinkelHe 111H-6 in the markings of KG26 is shown.



they took part in numerous raids in the Battle of Britain and were flown by the pathfinder unit, KGr 100. The first version to carry torpedoes was the He 111H-6, followed by the He 111H-15; the He 111H-8 was fitted with a large and cumbersome balloon cable fender; the He 111H-11/R2 was a glider tug for the Go 242, while pathfinder versions with special radio were the He 111H-14 and He 111H-18; the He 111H-16 featured increased gun armament, and the He 111H-20 included 16paratroop transport, night bomber and glider tug sub-variants. The He 111H-22 carried a single Fi 103 flying bomb and was used against the UK late in 1944. The most extraordinary of all was the He 111Z (Zwilling, or Twin) which consisted of two He 111Hs joined together with a new wing and fifth engine; it was used mainly to tow the huge Me 321 Gigant gliders. A total of about 7,300 He 111s was built.

Specification HeinkelHe 111H-16

Type: five-crew medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,350-hp (1007-kW) Junkers Jumo 2HF inverted V-12 piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 436 km/h (271 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 42 minutes; service ceiling 6700 m (21,980ft);range1950km(1,212mues) Weights: empty 8680kg (19,136 lb); maximum take-off 14000 kg (30,865 lb) Dimensions: span 22.60 m (74 ft 1% in); length 16.40 m (53 ft 9 Va in); height 3,40 m (13 ft 1/4 in); wing area 86.50 mf' (931.07 sqft)

Armament: one 20-mm MG FF cannon in nose, one 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 gun in dorsal position, two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 15 guns in rear of ventral gondola and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 81 guns in each of two beam positions, plus a bombload of 2000 kg (4,409 lb) internally and 2000 kg (4,409 lb) externally



HeinkelHe 177A-5ofIIGruppe, Kampfgeschwader l 'Hindenburg' based at Prowehren, East Prussia, mid-1944. KG 1 assembled about 90 of these bombers for attacks on Soviet comm unica tions and military installations, but unreliability dogged opera tions.

After the scrapping of German plans for a strategic bombing force in 1936, the Luftwaffe abandoned plans to develop a heavy bomber until in 1938 the RLM approached the Heinkel company with a requirement for such an aircraft, resulting in the Heinkel He 177 Greif (Griffon), a four-engine mid-wing aircraft in which the 1,000-hp (746-kW) DB 601 engines were coupled in pairs (termed DB 606s) to drive single propellers. The first aircraft, the He 177 VI, was flown on 19 November 1939. Continuing engine overheating problems as well as persistent structural failures delayed production, the first He 177A-1 not reaching I/KG 40 for operational trials until July 1942; in the course of these He 177s took part in raids on the UK, but generally they proved dis-



In 1941 KG 2 re-equipped with Do 217Es; this Do 217E-2 carries the codes of 9.Staffel. This version introduced an electrically operated dorsal turret with a single 13-mm machine-gun.

In the normal process of design evolution it was recognised that, culminating from a design compromise, the Dornier Do 17 could at best represent a stopgap for three or four years in Luftwaffe service, and in 1937, as that aircraft was entering operational units, the manufacturer proposed a slightly larger and dedicated development, the Dornier Do 217.

the Dornier Do 217. Powered by 1,075-hp (802-kW) DB 601A engines, the prototype Do 217 VI



appointing m service. Several subvariants of the He 177A-3 were produced, including the He 177A-3/R3 which could carry three Hs 293 antishipping missiles, the He 177A-3/R5 with 75-mm gun in the ventral gondola and the He 177A-3/R7 torpedobomber. He 177A-3/R7 torpedobomber and the the torpedobomber and the torpedoter Luftwaffe (bombers being afforded low priority during the last year of the war), but many interesting projects continued to be pursued, including one involving the conversion of He 177 V38 as a carrier of Germany's atomic bomb, which m the event did not materialize. About 1,160 production and 30 prototype He 177s were built.

Specification

Heinkel He 177A-5/R2 Greif Type: six-crew heavy bomber Powerplant: two 2,950-hp (2200-kW) Daimler-BenzDB610A-1/B-1pairedinverted V-12 piston engines Performance: maximum speed 488 km/h (303 mph) at 6000 m (19,685ft); initial climb rate 190m (623 ft) per minute; service ceiling 8000 m (26,245 ft); range with two Hs 293 weapons 5500 km (3,418 miles) Weights: empty 16900kg (37,257 lb); maximum take-off 31000 kg (68,342 lb) Dimensions: span 31.44m (103ft 1% in); length 20.40 m (66 ft 11 Vrin); height 6.40m (20 ft 11% in); wing area 102.00 m^a (1,098.0 sq ft) Armament: one 7.92 (0.31-in) MG 81 gun in nose, one 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 gun in forward dorsal turret, one 13-mm (0,51-in) MG 131 gun in rear dorsal turret, one 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 gun in rear of ventral gondola, one 20-mm MG FF cannon in front of ventral gondola and one 20-mm MG FF in tail, plus a maximum internal bombload of 6000kg (13,228 lb) or two Hs 293 missiles



was flown in August 1938 but at once showed that many of the excellent handling qualities of the earlier aircraft had disappeared; indeed, the prototype soon crashed, Several further prototypes followed with various modifications, including enlarged tail surfaces to overcome directional instability, but none received altogether favourable response from Luftwaffe pilots.

Eventual recourse was made to

greatly increased engine power, and after limited production of the Do 217A reconnaissance aircraft and Do 217C bomber, a standard for full production settled upon the Do 217E, powered by two 1,550-hp (1156-kW) BMW 80IMA radiais. Pre-production Do 217E-O aircraft appeared in 1940, and service Do 217E-1 bombers early in 1941; the latter carried a bombload of 2000 kg (4,409 lb), a crew of four or five, and a defensive armament of five MG 15 machine-guns and a 15-mm MG 151 cannon,

First to receive the Do 217E bomber, in March 1941, was II/KG 40 for antishipping duties over the Atlantic, followed by all three *Gruppen* of KG 2. A large number of sub-variants *and Rüstsätze* (field conversion kits) existed, including provision to carry two Henschel Hs 293 missiles, increased armour protection and armament progressively increased to seven MG 15s and a 20-mm cannon. The Do 217E-2, for example, introduced an electrically operated dorsal turret mounting a single 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 heavy machine-gun. Dormer Do 217Es of KG 2 constituted a large part of the Luftwaffe bomber force sent against British towns and cities in the so-called 'Baedekerraids' of April and May 1942.

During the autumn of that year a new version, the Do 217K, joined KG 2; powered by 1,700-hp (1268-kW) BMW 80ID radiais, this version was in effect a more powerful counterpart of the Do 217E series and could accommodate all the *Rüstsätze* previously applied; it also eliminated the windscreen 'step' by introducing a completely new and bulbous nose profile. Produced almost simultaneously was the Do 217M, which was similar to the Do 217K series but with 1,750-hp (1306-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 603A liquid-cooled inverted V-12 engines.

These two versions continued in service up to the end of the war, frequently being employed to deliver such weapons as the Hs 293A and Fritz-X both against ships and key land targets. However, no more than 1,730 Do 217s of all versions were produced, of which almost half were reconnaissance aircraft and night-fighters.

Specification Dornier Do 217M-1

Type: four-seat bomber Powerplant: two 1,750-hp (1306-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 603A inverted V-12 piston engines Performance: maximum speed 600 km/h (348 mph) at 5700m (18,700ft); initial climb rate 210m (690 ft) per minute; service ceiling 9500m (31,180ft); range 2500km (1,555 miles)

(1,55 miles) Weights: empty 9065kg (19,9851b); maximum take-off 16700 kg (36,817 lb) Dimensions: span 19,00m (62ft 4 in); length 17,00m (55ft 9% in); height $4.96m(16ft3V_2in)$; wingarea57.00m^a (613.54 sq ft)

Armament: eight 7,92-mm (0.31-in) MG 81 and two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 machine-guns, plus up to 4000 kg (8,818 lb) of bombs



A disruptive white streaking was applied over the standard splinter Mediterranean camouflage of this Ju 88A-4 of I/KG 54 Totenkopf, (Death's Head Wing) based at Bergamo, Italy, in September 1943 during operations against the Allies at Salerno.

Specification

Junkers Ju 88A-4 Type: four-seat medium/dive bomber Powerplant: two 1,340-hp (1000-kw) Junkers Junc 211J-1 or 211J-2 inverted V-12 piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 450 km/h (280 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 5400 m (17,715 ft) in 23 minutes; service ceiling 8200 m (26,900 ft); range 2730 km (1,696 miles) Weights: empty 9860kg (21,737 lb); maximum take-off 14000 kg (30,865 lb) Dimensions: span 20.00 m (65 ft $7V_z$ in); length 14,40 m (47 ft 2% in); height 4.85 m (15 ft 11 in); wing area 54.50 m² (586.63 sq ft)

Armament: up to seven 7.92-mm (0.31in) MG15 or MG81 machine-guns, plus a maximum internal and external bombload of 3600 kg (7,935 lb)

Theju 88 served in the bomber role throughout the war and in every theatre. Thisju 88A is seen in the North African desert (note the white theatre band on the rear fuselage) with a pair of 250 kg (551 lb) bombs under the wings, inboard of the engine nacelles.

In terms of versatility and long service the Junkers Ju 88 bomber matched the outstanding record of the Messer-schmitt Bf 109 fighter. Conceived as a high-speed medium bomber in 1936, the first prototype Ju 88 VI was flown by Flugkapitän Kindermann at Dessau on 21 December the same year. The three-seat all-metal aircraft was originally powered by two 1,000-hp (746-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 600A V-12 engines in annular cowlings. Nine further prototypes followed before construc-tion of 10 pre-production Ju 88A-O aircraft was started in 1939, by which time the nose arid cabin had been revised to accommodate a four-man crew. Dive brakes were now fitted under the outer wings to enable dive attacks to be made, and external bomb racks under the inner wings increased the bomb load from 500 kg (1,102 lb), carried internally, to a total of 1500kg (3,307 lb).

Production Ju 88A-1 bombers were joining the Luftwaffe at the outbreak of war, and about 60 aircraft had been completed by the end of 1939. The Ju 88 test unit commanded by Hauptmann Pohl, Erprobungskommando 88, was redesignated I/KG 25 in August 1939, and the following month became I/KG 30, carrying out its first operation with an attack on British warships in the Firth of Forth on 26 September, A further raid on the same target followed on 16 October, when two Ju 88s were shot down by Supermarine Spitfires.

By the time of the German invasion of Norway seven *Gruppen* of LG 1, KG 30 and KG 51, together with Aufklärungsgruppe 122, had been equipped or were re-equipping with Ju 88As, production of which was nearing 300 a month. New bomber variants included the Ju 88A-2 with rocket-assisted takeoff gear, the Ju 88 A-4 with increased wing span, strengthened landing gear and 1,340-hp (1000-kW) Junkers Jumo 211J-1 or J-2 engines, and the generally similar Ju 88 A-5. All these versions appeared during 1940, the Ju 88A taking a prominent part in the summer Battle of Britain and winter Blitz with 17 *Gruppen*. By reason of their relatively high speed, the Ju 88As proved the most difficult of the German bombers to destroy, and carried out a number of very successful attacks.

The Ju 88A series remained the principal bomber version, later subvariants including the Ju 88A-6 with balloon cable fender, the Ju 88A-6/U three-seat long-range maritime bomber with FuG 200 *Hohentwiel* search radar, the Ju 88A-9, Ju 88A-10 and Ju 88A-11 which were tropicalized versions of the Ju 88A-1, Ju 88A-5 and Ju 88A-4 respectively, the Ju 88A-14 antishipping strike bomber, the Ju 88A-14 antishipping strike bomber, the Ju 88A-16 en closing 3000kg (6614 lb) of bombs, and the Ju 88A-17 torpedo-bomber.

Ju 88As saw considerable action in the Balkans and Mediterranean, and of course on the Eastern Front. Perhaps their most outstanding service was however with HI/KG 26 and KG 30 when based in northern Norway for operations against the Allied North Cape convoys in 1.941-2; in all, the 120 Ju 88As involved are estimated to have sunk 27 merchant ships and seven naval vessels. Ju 88As of LG 1 operated with similar success against the Malta convoys during the summer of 1942.

Towards the end of the war many redundant Ju 88As were converted to become the unmanned, explosivefilled component of the *Mistel* composite aircraft weapon that was used with some success in the last desperate months of the Third Reich.



Light and Medium Bombers

The light/medium bomber was an ill-defined classification, and many types can lay claim to having performed this role. Here, the aircraft typifying this role are described, along with their phenomenal development and exploits during the course of the war.

ie light and medium bombers)f World War II were sandwiched between the glamorous day and night fighters on the one hand, and the strategic heavy bombers - such as the Boeing B-17, Consolidated B-24, Avro Lancaster and Handley Page Halifax - on the other. For a multitude of reasons the Japanese, Germans and Italians failed to introduce an efficient four-engine long-range heavy into service: the Heinkel He 177A-5 did become operational, but was in service with only a few Gruppen, and such was the nature of its maintenance and unreliability that its contribution to the Axis effort was minimal. The Axis nations therefore opted for a selection of light and medium bombers, restricted their use to tactical and naval work, and could never mount anything suggestive of a damaging campaign of bombing of strategic concept. Nevertheless much of the triumphal gain that fell to the Axis in the first half of World War II was born on the wings of the light bomber: for the Luftwaffe, the fabulous Junkers Ju 88 was the workhorse of 1939-45: and tor the Regia Aeronautica, the 'evil hunchback' Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79 and the graceful CANT Z.1007; and for the Japanese, the Mitsubishi G3M2 'Nell' and G4M1 'Betty' of the Imperial Navy's land-based flotillas,



The wooden de Havilland DH 98 Mosquito was a legendary medium bomber which went into service in an extraordinary variety of configurations, including night fighter and reconnaissance.

and the Kawasaki Ki-48 'Lily' and Mitsubishi Ki-21 'Sally' of the Imperial Army's regiments. These represented the striking force of the Axis powers and, in their heyday before the advent of Allied air superiority, they were formidable.

The relative importance of tactical needs over those of a strategic nature dictated that the Soviet air forces (V-VS) would place much reliance on intermediate-range light bombers: the fourengine TupolevTB-3 and Petlyakov Pe-8 served well, but only in small numbers. The best Soviet bomber of 1941-4 was the Petlyakov Pe-2; it was replaced by the Tupolev Tu-2 in 1944. The Americans had the immediate advantage of having a wide selection of very powerful air-cooled radial engines when they went to war in 1941. Also they gained pre-knowledge of actual operations from their British ally. The light bombers produced for the US Army and US Navy - the North American B-25 Mitchell, the Martin B-26 Marauder, the Douglas A-20 Havoc, the Lockheed POB-1 and PV-1 and others - served not only in the US forces but with a host of grateful Allies. All were universally efficient: there was not a failure among them. The Mitchell got the headlines in the papers for its daring low-level work in the Pacific theatre. For the British, the best was undoubtedly the extraordinary de Havilland Mosquito bomber series which defied logical design practice. But over Germany nothing could catch them, and a legend was born.



Flving for the first time on 12 April 1935 the Bristol Type 142 was a twin-engine high-speed transport designed at the Rothermere. So startling was the per-formance that the Type 142, and later the Type 142M, were adopted for de-velopment as a light bomber under thej Air Ministry Specification B. 28/35. Thex result was the Bristol Blenheim Mk I, which was ordered straight from the drawing board. The first examples went to No, 114 Squadron at Wyton in March 1937. At the time of the Munich Crisis in September 1938 the Blenheim Mk I equipped 16 squadrons in Nos 1, 2 and 5 (Bomber) Groups of Bomber Command. As early as January 1938 the Blenheim Mk I entered service with No. 30 Squadron at Habbaniya, Iraq, while other Blenheim Mk Is were posted to AHQ India early in the following year. The Blenheim Mk I was powered by two 840-hp (626-kW) Bris-tol Mercury VIII radial engines. The light armament consisted of one 7,7-mm (0,303-in) machine-gun in the mm (0,303-in) machine-gun in the wing, and one manually-operated 707-mm (0.3-in) Vickers K gun in a dorsal turret; 454 kg (1,000 lb) of bombs could be carried. A total of 1,365 Blenheim Mk I bombers were produced by Bristol, Avrò and Rootes: 45 were made under licence by the Finnish VLT company, and the Ikarus firm of Yugos-lavia made 16. With its characteristic short-nosed glazed canopy the Blenheim Mk I saw service with the RAF in Greece, Malaya and North Afri-

ca. Engines of increased power and a longer, scalloped, nose characterized the main production variant, the Blenheim Mk IV, of which 3,286 were (686-kW) Bristol Mercury XV radiais, the Blenheim Mk IV equipped seven squadrons in No. 2 (Bomber) Group at the outbreak of war in September 1939: armament was increased by the installation of two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in a Bristol B.I. Mk IV dorsal tur-

Thè crevv of a Bristol Blenheim MklV board their aircraft prior to a raid. TheBlenheim was the premier light bomber of the RAF in 1939, and continued to serve until the more poten t Mosquito arrived in largescale service. Those serving overseas continued to see action until late 1942.



Handley Page Hampden

As early as 1932, in answer to the Air Ministry specification B.9/32, a team at the Handley Page concern under G.R. Volkert designed the Handley Page HP.52, a slim twin-engine aircraft featuring a boom-type fuselage of very narrow width and considerable depth. Powered by two Bristol Pegasus PE.55(a) engines, the HP.52 flew for the first time on 21 June 1935 with Major J.L.H.B. Cordes at the controls. The first production HP.52, now known to the Royal Air Force as the Handley Page Hampden Mk I, flew its initial trials flight on 24 June 1938 following the issue of promising orders. The first example to serve with the RAF was passed to the Central Flying School at Upavon, and by December 1938 Nos 49, 50 and 83 Squadrons of RAF



ret, while a rearward-firing twin-gun turret could be installed under the nose section, sighted by a periscope. The Blenheim Mk IV scored a number of 'firsts' in World War II. On 3 September Blenheim Mk IV (N6215) of No 1305 Suadrop under Elving Officer No, 139Squadron, under FlyingOfficer A, McPherson, became the first RAF aircraft to enter German airspace and photograph the fleet units off Wilhelmshaven. On the following day Blenheim Mk IVs of Nos 107 and 110 Squadrons made the first offensive attack by Bomber Command. The RAF's first U-boat kill was made on 11 March 1940, by a Blenheim Mk IV of No. 82 Squadron flown by Squadron Leader M.V. Delap. Blenheim Mk IVs saw extensive service over France, off Norway, over Germany, Greece, Crete, North Africa, India, Malaya and Sumatra until August 1942 when they

were phased out, Finland and Greece operated Blenheim Mk IVs, as did Canada where it was known as the Bolingbroke. The Blenheim Mk V (945 built) appeared in late 1942, powered by two 950-hp (708-kW) Mercury 25 or 30 engines, and saw service in North Africa and Tunisia, and in the Far East, Underpowered and poorly armed, the Blenheim lost more crews than any other RAF type.

Specification

Bristol Blenheim B.Mk IV Type: three-seat light bomber Powerplant: two 920-hp (686-kW) Bristol Mercury XV radial piston

engines Performance: maximum speed 428 km/h (266 mph) at 3595 m (11,800 ft); cruising speed 318 km/h (198 mph); service ceiling 8310 m

Bristol Blenheim Mkl of No. 114Sqn, RAF, serving at Larissa, Greece in 1941. The Middle East was a major theatre for the Blenheim, where its ability to absorb battle damage helped it to several successes in the early years of the war.

(27,260 ft); maximum range 2340 km

(1,460 miles) Weights: empty 4445 kg (9,790 lb); maximum take-off 6537 kg (14,400 lb) Dimensions: span 17.17 m (56 ft 4 in); length 12.98 m (42 ft 7 in); height 2.99 m (9 ft 10 in); wing area 43.57 nr (469 sq ft)

Armament: up to five 7.7-mm (0,303-m) machine-guns (one fixed in wing, two in dorsal turret, and two optional rearfiring), plus anormal bombload of 454 kg (1,000 lb)





Bomber Command were in the process of re-equipment. On the outbreak of war Hampden Mk I bombers were in service with Nos 44, 49, 50, 61, 83, 106, 144 and 185 Squadrons based in Lincolnshire and Huntingdonshire under No. 5 (Bomber) Group. The Scamptonbased No, 83 Squadron sent an armed reconnaissance to the Schillig Roads on 3 September 1939, but fog forced an early return to base, In common with other RAF bombers of the period, the Hampden Mk I was grossly under-armed: defensive gunnery was limited to only three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) hand-

Handley Page Hampden Mkl of No. 106 Sqn based at Finningley Yorkshire in the spring of 1940. The yellow roundel has been painted out in the interests of camouflage. During this time, No. 106 Sqn flew in tensive sorties against Germany, incurring heavy losses in the process. held Vickers K guns. Operating within a few miles of the German coast in broad daylight soon brought repercus-sions. On 29 September Nos 61 and 144 Squadrons were operating over the German Bight when their Hampdens were bounced by a mixed formation of cannon-firing Messerschmitt Bf 109Es and Bf 1 lOCs from Jever and Nordholz: in a running battle five Hampdens were shot down. Some time later the Vickers Wellington Mk Is of Bomber Command encountered similar ex-periences, and the RAF was forced to commit its bomber force to nocturnal operations. On night missions the sturdy Hampden Mk I, with its respectable bombload, performed very well. The first German land base to be attacked, Hörnum near Sylt (Westerland), was raided by Hampdens on 19/20 March 1940. The type made the first attack on the industrial Ruhr in the company of Wellingtons on 11/12 May, and it took part in the first RAF bomber mission to Berlin on the night of 25/26 August 1940. Two Victoria Crosses went to crews of Hampdens: the first to Flight LieutenantR.A.B.Learoyd(No.49Sqn) for action against the Dortmund-Ems canal on 12/13 August 1940, and the second to Sergeant John Hannah (No. 83 Sqn) for putting out a fire over Antwerp on 15/16 September 1940.

Total production numbered 1,532, Hampden Mk Is were built by Handley Page (500), English Electric (770), and



the Canadian CAA (160); 141 Hampden Mk Is were converted to Hampden TB.Mk I torpedo-bombers, which served with Nos 144, 455 and 408 Squadrons in Coastal Command from bases in Scotland and the northern USSR during 1942; two Hampden Mk II aircraft with 1,100-hp (820-kW) Wright Cyclones were produced, The 1,000hp (745-kW) Napier Dagger VIII engine was installed in the Hampden's cousin, the Hereford: 100 were built, saw no action, and nine were converted to Hampden Mk Is. The Hampden was phased out of Bomber Command's first-line units by August 1942, but the Hampden TB.Mk I continued in service until December 1943.

Specification

Handley Page Hampden Mk I Type: four-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 980-hp (731-kW) Bristol Pegasus XVIII radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 426 km/h (265 mph) at 4725 m (15,500 ft); cruising speed 269 km/h (167 mph); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 18 minutes 55 seconds; service ceiling 6920 m (22,700 ft); maximum range 3200 km (1,990 miles) Weights: empty 5343 kg (11,780 lb); maximum take-off 9526 kg (21,000 lb) Dimensions: span 21.08 m (69 ft 2 in); length 16.33 m (53 ft 7 in); height 4.49 m (14 ft 9 in); wing area 62.06 n/ The Hampden did not have any power-operated gun turrets, and so with only hand-held guns for tail defence it was particularly vulnerable in the presence of figh ters. This is a Hampden Mkl with Bristol Pegasus engines.

(669 sq ft)

Armament: initially single 7.7-mm (0.303-in)Browning and Vickers K guns in nose (fixed), nose cupola and rear dorsal and ventral stations, after January 1940 upgunned by twin 7.7mm (0,303-in) Vickers K guns in ventral and dorsal stations, plus a bombload of 1814 kg (4,000 lb)

XK

de Havilland Mosquito

In October 1938 the design team under Geoffrey de Havilland, with R.E. Bishop and C.C. Walker, started work on a light bomber constructed entirely of wood to offset the demand for strategically vital materials that war would inevitably bring. After a 1940 order of 50, built to Specification B, I/ 40, the first prototype de Havilland Mosquito flew on 25 November 1940, powered by two 1,460-hp (1089-kW) Merlin 21s. It displayed the most outstanding performance from the very start, being faster than the RAF's contemporary interceptor fighters. Of the initial production batch 10 were con-verted to de Havilland Mosquito B.Mk IV Series I light bombers with glazed nose and internal bomb bays: the first of these, W4072, flew for the first time on 8 September 1941. The main production version was the Mosquito B.Mk IV Series II which had Merlin 21, 23 or 25 engines in lengthened nacel-les. No. 105 Squadron, then based at Marham in No. 2 (Bomber) Group, re-ceived its first Mosquito B.Mk IVs in the spring of 1942. Its first mission was to Köln on 31 May 1942, the morning after the 'One Thousand Bomber' raid. Crews learned to use the Mosquito's speed as the primary method of evading enemy fighters, for the type was entirely unarmed. Low-altitude missions with shallow-dive approaches to the target soon proved to be the Mosquito bomber's forte by day, and one of No. 105 Squadron's first major attacks was a daring low-level strike on the Gestapo headquarters in Oslo. Such was the demand for Mosquitoes as reconnaissance and night-fighter aircraft that bomber units were slow in forma-tion: by the autumn of 1942, No. 139 Squadron was working up on Mosquito B.Mk IVs. Both Nos 105 and 139 SquadML963 was a Mosquito B.MkXVI built at Ha tfield in 1944 and seen serving with No. 571 Sqn at Oakington in Cambridgeshire. The MkXVI was a development of the MkIX with pressurized cockpit and extra fuel. The enlarged bomb bay enabled a 1814-kg (4,000-lb) bomb to be carried.



One of a small batch of Hatfield-built B.Mk IX high-altitude bombers, which set up a fantastic record ofnight missions with No. 105 Sqn. Ten aircraft reached 100 missions and two reached 200. The aircraft carries standard Bomber Command night camouflage.

GOGB MARK

rons were over Berlin on the morning of 30 January 1943, and caused fury and consternation during a series of speeches by Nazi leaders who were celebrating an anniversary of the Führer's appointment as Chancellor of the Third Reich. Reconnaissance and bomber Mosquitoes roamed over Germany and the occupied territories at will during 1943-4. The specialist Jagdgruppen Nrn 25 and 50 were formed in the Luftwaffe in 1943 with souped-up Messerschmitt Bf 109G-6 fighters, but gained no success, In Bomber Command 54 Mosquito B.Mk IVs were modified with bulged bomb bays to carry a single 1814-kg (4,000-lb) HC bomb, and these served in the Fast Night Striking Force equipped with Obce Mk I-III. Canada built the Mosquito B.Mk VII with 1,418-hp (1057kW) Packard Merlin 31s. Fifty-four Mosquito B.Mk IX aircraft with extra bombload and Merlin 72 engines were produced. The most efficient was the Mosquito B.Mk XVI with a pressurized cabin, comprehensive navigational equipment and a bulged bomb bay. Canada's de Havilland subsidiary also produced the Mosquito B.Mk XX and Mosquito B.Mk 25 before the war's end. Production totalled 7,785,

Specification

dê Havilland Mosquito B.Mk XVI Type: two-seat medium bomber Powerplant:twol,680-hp(1253-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin 72 V-12 piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 656 km/h (408 mph) at 7925 m (26,000 ft); cruising speed 394 km/h (245 mph); initial climbrate 853 m (2,800 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11280 m(37,000 ft); maximum range



2389 km (1,485 miles) Weights: empty 6638 kg (14,635 lb); maximum take-off 10433 kg (23,000 lb) Dimensions:span. 16.51 m(54 ft2 in); length 12.47 m(40ft 11 in);height 3,81 m



Breguet693

The Breguet 690 was designed in response to a 1934 French air ministry specification calling for a twin-engined three-seat fighter. Several manufacturers submitted proposals, and the contest was won by the Potez 630. The Breguet proposal had been heavier and more powerful than the other submissions, its designers believing it to be a more versatile, multi-role aeroplane. Design of the Breguet 690 was started in 1935 and a prototype was completed in 1937, first flying on 23 March 1938. The aircraft was found to have a performance superior to that of the Potez 630, and Breguet received a contract to supply 100 aircraft, configured as light attack bombers.

The resulting Breguet 691 was a clean-looking cantilever mid-wing monoplane of all-metal construction, with two wing-mounted engines and a short fuselage nose reminiscent of that of the Bristol Beaufighter. Aft of the wing, however, the fuselage tapered to a tailplane with twin endplate fins and rudders. Conversion from Bre.690 to Bre.691 was relatively simple, the mam change being deletion of the navigator's position to provide a small bomb bay. Experience with the Bre.691 proved the Hispano-Suiza powerplants to be unreliable, and the Bre.693.01 was introduced with two Gnome-Rhône 14M-6/7 engines after only 78 Bre.691 shad been built, Two hundred and thirty four examples of

Right: This Breguet Bre. 695 was on the strength of the l^{ere} Escadrille of CBA1/51. The Bre. 695 was powered by the Pratt & Whitney Twin Wasp Junior engine, but it was severely outclassed by the German fighters it encountered. (12ft6in); wingarea42.18m² (454 sq ft) Armament: four 227-kg (500-lb) bombs internally and two more under wings, or one 1814-kg(4,000-lb) bomb Flying with No. 139 Sqn from Marham in the early summer of 1943, this Mosquito BMkIVSrs U shows the potent lines of the marque. No. 139 Sqn took its Mosquitoes to Wyton in June that year on Pathfinder duties, Hying 'spoof attacks and conducting radar dislocation raids using 'Window' (chaff).



Above: Formerly of CBA 1/51 of the Armée de l'Air, this Breguet Bre. 693 is pictured after being transferred to the Regia Aeronautica in 1943. The red of the French roundels were retained, with the Italian fasces replacing the blue.



the Bre. 693 were built, later examples having two extra 7,5-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, one installed in the tail of each engine nacelle, to improve self-defence.

Foreign interest in the Bre. 690 series was cut short by the German invasion of France and the single Bre.694.01 built, intended as a threeseat reconnaissance aircraft, was deli-vered directly to the Aéronavale. The Bre. 694 was generally similar to the original Bre.690 with no bomb bay and a navigator's compartment, but with Gnome-Rhône 14M-4/5 engines,

The Bre.695 was virtually identical to the Bre. 693 but with Pratt & Whitney SB4G Twin Wasp Junior engines. It was felt desirable to design a version of the aircraft using foreign engines in case the supply of French powerplants was disrupted by renemy action, Fifty Bre. 695s were built, being delivered to Groupe 18 in June 1940. The Bre.696 and 697 were built only

as prototypes and were respectively a two-seat light bomber and a two-seat heavy destroyer. The Breguet 693 proved extremely vulnerable and almost half were lost to enemy action,

Specification

Breguet 693

Type: two-seat light attack bomber Powerplant: two 700-hp (522-kW) Gnome-Rhône 14M-6/7 radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 490 km/h (304 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); maximum cruising speed 400 km/h (248 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); maximumrange 1350 km (839 miles)

Weights: empty 3010kg(6,6361b); maximum take-off 4900 kg (10,803 lb) Dimensions: span 15.37 m (50 ft 5 in);

length9.67m(31ft8%in);height 3.19m(10ft5% in); wing area 29,20m² (32sqft)

Armament: one 20-mm Hispano-Smza cannon and two 7.5-mm Darne machine-guns firing forward, plus one similar gun on pivoted mount in rear cockpit, one fixed 7.5-mm gun firing obliguely aft from ventral position and (late models) two 7.5-mm guns, one in each engine nacelle firing aft, and up to 400 kg (882 lb) of bombs.



Martin Model 187 Baltimore

A powerful and much modified version of the Martin Maryland light bomber, the Martin Model 187 Baltimore was produced specifically to RAF orders, the first of 50 Baltimore Mk I aircraft (AG685) was shipped to the UK in October 1941 to commence trials at Burtonwood, near Liverpool. The first 150Baltimore MkI and Baltimore MkII bombers were delivered without power-operated dorsal turrets, the single 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Vickers K machinegun in the Baltimore Mk Is dorsal position being replaced by a twin mount in the Baltimore Mk II. Because of the shortage of RAF medium and light bombers in RAF Middle East Command, the first Baltimores were deli-vered to No. 223 Squadron based at Shandur, Egypt, in January 1942, and were initially used for conversion training. The next squadron to re-equip was No. 55, which took its new Baltimore Mk III bombers into action during the Battle of Gazala and the fall of Tobruk in May 1942: a power-operated Boulton-Paul turret was installed in this version with either two or four 7,7-mm (0.303-in) Browning machine-guns. Both Nos 55 and 223 Squadrons continued to operate over Cyrenaica, being based under No. 211 Cyrenaica, being based under No. 211 Wing at Aminya along with the Doug-las Boston Mk Ills of Nos 12 and 24 (SAAF) Squadrons as part of the De-sert Air Force's light bomber force. Baltimores were issued to No. 21 (SAAF) Squadron and No. 1437 Flight, and for maritime recompaissance. and for maritime reconnaissance duties to No. 69 Squadron and No, 203 Squadron. Operations by day and by night were mounted against Benghazi and Tobruk, against military camps of the Afrika Korps and on many desert airfields.

After the 2nd Battle of El Alamem Baltimores continued to operate over Libya and Tunisia, before turning to the pre-mvasion bombing offensive on Luftwaffe airfields in Sicily, Pantellana and Sardinia. The type proved to be sturdy and easy to handle. In 1943, the RAF took charge of the first Baltimore Mk IIIA aircraft on Lend-Lease and produced to an USAAF order for the A-30: the mam difference was the inclusion of a Martin 250CE turret with twin 12.7-mm (0.5-m) Brownings in place of the Boulton-Paul turret. The Baltimore Mk IV (A-30A) was similar to the Baltimore Mk IV (A-504) was similar to the Baltimore Mk IIIA, while the final version, the Baltimore Mk V, had up-rated Wright GR-2600 engines, and wing-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns. Baltimores served with the RAF over Sicily and Italy until May 1945, with the Free French air force and the Italian co-belligerent air forces, while a num-



ber were sent to Turkey under Lend-Lease, Production totalled 1,575, the last being FW880 (a Baltimore Mk V) that was issued to the RAF in May 1944.

Specification MartinBaltimoreMkIII Type: four-seat light/medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,660-hp(1238-kW) Wright Cyclone GR-2600-19 radial

whigh Cyclobe GK-2000-19 fadial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 486 km/h (302 mph) at 3355 m (11,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 12 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling

7315 m(24,000 ft); normal range

1530 km (950 miles) Weights: empty6895 kg (15,2001b); maximum take-off 10433 kg (23,000 lb) Dimensions:span 18.69 m (61 ft4 in); length 14.77 m (48 ft 5% in) height 5.41 m(17 ft9 in); wing area 50.03 m^2 (538,5 sq ft)

Armament: four 7.7-mm (0,303-in) wing-mounted Browning machine-guns, two (or four) 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Brownings in dorsal turret and two 7. mm (0.303-in) Brownings in ventral position, plus a maximum bombload of 907 kg (2,000 lb) An ex-USAAF Martin A-3QA- IO-MA Baltimore MkV of No. 232 Wing, North-west African Tactical Air Force; comprising Nos 55 and 223 Sqns, the wing Hew the Baltimore MkV during the Italian campaign of 1944.

Among the first batch of Baltimores to arrive wasAC697, a Mkl. As it was pictured here it had been updated to Mk II standard with twin dor sal guns. The subsequen t aircraft fea tured Boulton-Paul power-operated four-gun turrets as the Baltimore MkIII.





USA

Martin B-26 Marauder

High wing-loadings, break-neck landing speeds and malicious singleengine flying characteristics nearly put paid to the career of the Martin B-26 Marauder in October 1942 when a US Army Air Force committee was called in to investigate its future, However, certain improvements were made and the B-26 went on to become one of the USAAF's medium bomber stalwarts. In the competition for medium and light bombers for the US Army Air Corps of January 1939, the Glenn L. Martin Company was awarded a contract for 200 B-26 aircraft, Going all out for speed, designer Peyton M. Magruder produced an airroraft with torpedo-like fuselage, two huge engines, tricycle landing gear and stubby wings. Powered by two Pratt & Whitney R-2800-5 engines the prototype B-26 first flew on 25 Novem-ber 1940, by which time orders for 1,131 B-26A and B-26B bombers had been received. The first B-26s and B-26As were passed to the US 22nd Bom-bardment Group at Langley Field in February 1941. With the outbreak of war the 22nd BG was the only unit with B-26s and, after service at Muroc, Cali-fornia, the group was sent to Brisbane, Australia, to operate against the Japanese in the South West Pacific Area: the 22nd BG made its firstraid on Rabaul on 5 April 1942, in addition to craft with torpedo-like fuselage, two Rabaul on 5 April 1942, in addition to frequent attacks on Lae, Salamaua, and Buna. In the epic Battle of Midway four B-26As with torpedoes attacked the Japanese fleet, flown by pilots drawn from the 22nd and 38th Groups. The B-25 Mitchell was more successful than the B-26 in this theatre, and by

Though frequently criticized on account of its tricky handling qualities, the B-26 packed a heavy punch and was widely used by the USAAF in Europe. ThisB-26Bofthe 598th Bomb Sqn, 397th Bomb Wing, pictured during the invasion of Normandy, displays an impressive tally on the nose.



early 1943 the 22nd BG had been re-equipped. The B-26B came into the war in May 1942, powered by R-2800-5, R-2800-41 or R-2800-43 engines, without the spinners of the B-26A, with extra armour and guns, and with en-larged wing span on the 642nd and following production aircraft. Martin's Omaha subsidiary made the B-26C, which was identical with the in-

creased-span B-26B. The B-26 saw service in the Aleu-tians in 1942, and in the Western De-sert under RAF Middle East Command as the Marauder Mk I (B-26A), MarauderMkIA(B-26B), and Marauder Mk II (B-26C), No. 14 Squadron being the first recipient. The type was used by the Free French Air Force, the SAAF, and as AT-23A and JM-1 target tugs by the US Army and JS Neuroper Sector and Sector Army and US Navy, Four groups of the US 8th Air Force arrived in England in March 1943, flew low-level attacks over heavily defended targets and paid the price: on 17 May 1943 the 322nd BG was wiped out on the Ijmuiden strike. Adopting medium-level pattern bombing, the B-26B and B-26C (with the US VIII Air Support Command, and later the US 9th Air Force) were the backbone of the Allied medium bom-ber forces to the end of the war in Europe. Total production was 4 708 Europe, Total production was 4,708.

Specification Martin B-26B Marauder Type: seven-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 2,000-hp (1491-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-41 radial piston congines engines

Performance: maximum speed 510 km/h (317 mph) at 4420 m (14,500 ft); cruising speed 418 km/h (260 mph); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 12 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling 7165 m(23,500 ft);range 1850 km (1,150 miles)

A Martin B-26B-40 of the 444th Sqn, 320th BG based at Decimomannu, Sardinia, in 1944. The Marauder was widely used in Italy, its high speed and agility suiting it to penetrating heavy defences. The original aircraft letter shows through the hastilyapplied olive-drab finish.

Weights: empty 10152kg(22,380lb); maximum take-off 15513 kg (34,200 lb) Dimensions: span 19.81 m (65 ft0 in); length 17,75 m(58 ft 3 in); height 6.04 m (19 ft 10 in); wing area 55,93 m² (602 sq ft)

Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-guns (one each in nose and ventral stations) or two 12,7-mm(0,5-in)M2machine-guns in beam positions instead of ventral gun, and four12.7-mm(0.5-in)guns(twoeachin dorsal turret and in tail station), plus a maximum bombload of 2359 kg (5,200 lb)





The Mitsubishi Ki-21 (Army Type 97 Heavy Bomber) was produced by engineers Nakata and Ozawa in response to an operational specification issued by the Air Headquarters (Daihonei) of the JAAF on 15 February 1936. The first of two prototypes flew on 18 December 1936, the first production model being the Mitsubishi Ki-21la (Army Type 97 Model 1A). Because of production bottlenecks it was not until the end of 1939 that Ki-21-la bombers equipped the first JAAF unit, the 60th Hikosentai (air regiment) based in China, in totality: the next unit to be equipped was the 61st Sentai. Early lessons learned over China demonstrated lack of firepower and protection, and the Ki-21-lb and Ki-21-L subvariants had extra armour, additional 7,7-mm (0.3-in) Type 89 machine-guns, more fuel and larger bomb-bays, The engines were 850-hp (634-kW) Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radiais. By the time of the outbreak of war in December 1941, the majority of the Mitsubishi Ki-21-la, Ki-21-Ib and Ki-21-L bombers had been relegated to second-line duties, or to service as operational bomber trainers. First-line bomber *sentais* had by now received the more powerful Ki-21-II, with 1,500-hp (1119-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-101 engines in modified cowlings: production models in ser-



vice in 1941 were the Ki-21-IIa (Army Type 97 Heavy Bomber Model 2A), and the Ki-21-IIb which had a pedaloperated dorsal turret with one 12.7mm (0.5-in) Type 1 heavy machinegun. Three *sentais* remained in Japan, Korea and in Manchuria when the Japanese high command went to war in South East Asia. For operations over the Philippines the JAAF's 5th Air Group, based in Formosa, mustered the 14th and 62nd Hikosentais; these went into action early on the morning of 8 December 1941 striking at Aparri, Tuguegarao, Vigan and other targets in Luzon. Mitsubishi Ki-21s of the 3rd Air Group, based in French Indo-China, were earmarked for bombing strikes against Siam (Thailand) and Malaya: units were the 12th, 60th and 98th Hikosentais. These smashed RAF and RAAF facilities at Alor Star, Sungei Patani and Butterworth, being escorted by Nakajima Ki-27 and Ki-43 fighters. In theflush of Japanese victory in 1941-2 the Mitsubishi Ki-21, codenamed 'Sally', performed well; only over Rangoon over December 1941 and January 1942 did the Ki-21 suffer heavy casualties. The Ki-21-IIb was the final model to enter service, which was seen on all fronts in the Pacific and Far East theatres, Some 2,064 Ki-21s were built.

Specification Mitsubishi Ki-21-lib Type: five-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,500-hp (1119-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-101 (Army Type 100) radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 486 km/h (302 mph) at 4720 m (15,485 ft); cruising speed 380 km/h (236 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 13 minutes 13 seconds; service ceiling

Mitsubishi Ki-21-lib of the Imperial Japanese Army. This type was virtually obsolescent at the outbreak of the Pacific war but it soldiered on until 1945, scoring many notable successes before finally ending its active life on kamikaze attacks.

10000 m (32,810 ft); maximum range 2700 km (1,680 miles) Weights: empty 6070 kg (13,382 lb); maximum take-off 10610 kg (23,391 lb) Dimensions: span 22.50 m (73 ft9% in); length 16.00m (52 ft6 in); height 4.85 m (15 ft 11 in); wing area 69.6 n/ (749,16 sq ft) Armament: fivesinglemanuallyoperated 7.7-mm Type 89 machineguns (in nose, tail, ventral and two beam stations) and one 12.7-mm (0.5in) Type 1 machine-gun (in dorsal position), plusamaximumbombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb)



Nakajima Ki-49 Donryu

The Nakajima Ki-49 Donryu (storm dragon) was designed early in 1938 to replace the JAAF's successful Mitsubishi Ki-21 heavy bomber which, in fact, was only just entering service with *sentais* based in China and Manchuria, Later code-named 'Helen', the Naka-jima Ki-49 was a workmanlike design but was destined to be just not good enough for the conditions prevailing over the various fronts in 1942, when the initial production Ki-49-I (Army Type 100 Heavy Bomber Model 1) started operations with the 61st Hikosentai in China. Production was preceded by the flight of the first pro-totype in August 1939, powered by two 950-hp (708-kW) Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radiais: the more powerful 1,250-hp (932-kW) Ha-41 radial engines were installed in pre-production versions, and in the Ki-49-I bomber. In appear-ance the 'Helen¹ was deceptive in size, for it appeared to be a comparatively large aircraft because of its propor-tions: actually, its dimensions were similar to those of the Lockheed Hudson. However, a crew of seven or eight was crammed into the narrow fusel age. In the spring of 1942 the usual steps were taken to increase performance, protection and defensive fire-power. The Nakajima Ki-49-IIa (Army Type 100 Heavy Bomber Model 2A), the first of the new series, was pow-ered by two Nakajima Ha-109 radial engines with increased ratings. The aircraft was fast, well protected by 5 mm (0.2-in) armour plating and rubberized fuel cells, and heavily armed. The Ki-49-IIb was upgunned with 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Ho-103 machineguns, Both the Ki-49-IIa and Ki-49-IIb were in action with the 7th and 61st Sentais based in China in the summer of 1942, and made many attacks on Chungking and air bases of General C,L, Chennault's China Air Task Force. 'Helen' bombers equipped the 12th



Sentai, based at Medan and Sabang (Sumatra) under the 3rd Air Army, and these attacked objectives in Burma and eastern India, joining Ki-21s on some occasions in raids on Calcutta. In 1943 the KI-61S of the 7th and 61st Sentais, now operating from Timor, attacked Darwin to face strong reaction by the Supermarine Spitfire Mk VCs of No. 1 Fighter Wing. Units equipped with Ki-49s suffered most in New Guinea, where 4th Air Army came under constant attack on its airfields at Wewak, But and Dagua after August 1943, when the RAAF and the US 5th Air Force went onto the offensive, Six Ki-49-III bombers, powered by very potent 2,420-hp (1805-kW) engines, were built as prototypes. Other variants produced in experimental form were the Ki-58 escort fighter, and the Ki-80 escort bomber. Total production amounted to 819 aircraft. After action in the Philippines in 1944, Ki-49s were used with increasing frequency on suicide missions.

Specification Nakajima Ki-49-IIa Donryu Type: seven/eight-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,450-hp(1081-kW) NakajimaHa-109(ArmyType2)radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 492 km/h (306 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); cruising speed 350 km/h (217 mph); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 13 minutes 39 seconds; service ceiling 9300 m (30,510 ft); maximum range 2950 km (1,835 miles) Weights: empty 6530 kg (14,396 lb); maximum take-off 11400 kg (25,133 lb) Dimensions: span 20.42 m (67 ft0 in); length 16.50 m (54 ft1 Vzin); height 4.25 m (13 ft 1114 in); wing area 69,05m² (743.24 sq ft) Armament: five single manuallyoperated7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 89 machine-guns (in nose, tail, ventral and two beam stations) and one flexible 20-mm Ho-1 cannon indorsal turret, plus a maximum bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb) A Ki-49-IIa of the 3rd Chutai, 95th Sentai, operating in north east China during September 1944. The 'palmfrond'camouflage was applied in the field.

Despite its Japanese designation of 'heavy bomber', the Nakajima Ki-49 had a maxim um bombload ofonly 1000 kg (2,205 lb). Itsawmuch action but suffered at the hands of Allied fighters due to lack of performance.





JAPAN

Mitsubishi Ki-67 Hiryu

Fortunately for the Allies in the latter stages of the Pacific war, comparative-ly few of the formidable Mitsubishi Ki-67 Hiryu (flying dragon) medium bom-bers and torpedo-bombers, code-named 'Peggy' by air intelligence, were encountered in action. Produc-tion was limited and got off to a late tion was limited and got off to a late start in the war, and by the time of its service debut in 1944 the Ki-67's poten-cy was negated both by Allied fighter superiority and by the poor quality of the JAAF and JNAF crews which oper-ated it. To take the place of the Mitsu-bishi Ki-21 'Sally' and the Nakajima Ki-49 'Helen', the Air Office (Koku Hom-hu) issued specifications for a new bu) issued specifications for a new bomber to the Mitsubishi concern in November 1940, The work was led by Chief Engineer Ozawa on an aircraft based on the beautiful Japanese lines and powerful Ha-100 double-row 18-cylmder radial engines. Three pro-totypes of the Ki-67-I were completed between December 1942 and March 1943, the first making its initial flight on 27 December 1942. The Ki-67-I proved to be fast (though not as fast as originally specified), and extremely man-oeuvrable with loops and barrel-rolls being carried out with ease in an unloaded configuration. Although adopted for service as the Army Type 4 Heavy Bomber, such was the promise of the Ki-67-1 that even the Imperial Japanese Navy was impressed, and made early representations to Mitsubishi. On 5 January 1943 Mitsubishi rebish. On 5 January 1945 Mitsubish re-ceived an order to convert 100 Ki-49s as torpedo-bombers, with internal racks capable of handling the standard 450-mm (17,7-in) Navy Type 91 Model II aerial torpedo: these saw service with the 762nd Kokutai (air group) from the autumn of 1944 onwards. The Ki-67-I was issued in small numbers to the veteran 7th, 14th, 16th, 61st, 62nd, 74th, 98th and 110th Hikosentais (air regim-ents) and saw limited action over Chi-



na, Biak and Sansapor in north western New Guinea, and Sumatra in the sum-mer of 1944. The type was recognized as such for the first time by the Allies in October 1944, during the US 3rd Fleet's attacks on Formosa and the Ryukyus where the Hiryu served in the 8th Hikoshidan (air division) based on Formosa under navy control, Thereaf-ter Ki-67-Is were encountered over the Philippines, off Iwo Jima, in the strikes on the US 20th Air Force's bases on Saipan and Tinian, and in the Okinawa campaign where it was used as a suicide aircraft. For suicide missions the JAAF used modified Peggys known as the Ki-61-I KAI with armament removed and a solid nose pack-ed with explosive. Only two of the more powerful Ki-67-II variant were made, production of army and navy Ki-67-Is amounting to 696. It was the best Japanese medium bomber of World War II.

Specification Mitsubishi Ki-67-I Hiryu

Type: six/eight-seat medium bomber and torpedo-bomber Powerplant:1,900-hp(1417-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-104 (Army Type 4) radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 537 km/h (334 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); cruising speed 400 km/h (249 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 14 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling

9470m(31,070ft); maximum range 3800km (2,360 miles) Weights: empty 8649 kg (19,068 kg) maximum take-off 13765 kg (30,346 lb) Dimensions: span 22.50 m (73 ft 9% in); length 18.70m (61 ft 4/ A in); height 7270m (65 ft 9/ this maximum constant) $7.70 \,\mathrm{m}(25 \,\mathrm{ft} 3^{'} A \,\mathrm{in});$ wingarea $65.85 \,\mathrm{m}^2$ (708.86 sq ft)

Armament: four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 machine-guns (one each in nose, two beam blisters, and tail turret) and one 20-mmHo-5 cannon indorsal turret, plus a maximum bombload of 800 kg (1,765 lb), or one Type 91 or Type 94 torpedo

Mitsubishi Ki-67-1 of the Imperial Japanese Army. During the closing months of the war, these aircraft had their noses packed with explosives for use as kamikaze aircraft.

Built along classic Japanese lines, the Ki-67 was an impressive aircraft but its service was limited due to its late arrival in the war. Ar marnent consisted offour machine-guns and a 20-mm cannon.





Along with the Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79, the CANT Z.1007 Airone (heron) series of bombers served as the backbone of the Regia Aeronauti-ca's conventional and torpedo strike forces in World War II. Under the aegis of the firm of CANT (Gantier^ Riunitidell'Adriatico),IngeniereFilippo Zappata began design studies of the CANT Z.1007 and Z.1011 in 1935: both were powered by 625-kW (840hp) Isotta-Fraschini Asso XI RC. 15 ennp) Isotta-Fraschini Asso XI RC. 15 en-gines, for which the former had three and the latter two, The relatively low power ratings of this engine forced the Regia Aeronautica to order the tri-motor CANT Z, 1007 for production, the first prototype flying in March 1937. The aircraft was constructed entirely of wood even for the usual metal angi of wood, save for the usual metal ancilof wood, save for the usual metal ancil-laries and nacelle cladding, The first examples had two-bladed wooden propellers, but all later versions adopted the three-bladed metal Alfa Romeo types. In 1938, as a means to better load and performance, the CANT Z.1007bis entered production, having three 745-kW (1,000-hp) Piag-gio B.XIbis RC.40 radial engines as standard. The CANTZ. 1007bis was the major production model, and featured revised armament, engine cowlings

revised armament, engine cowlings and dimensions. A single fin and rud-

Above: This CANTZ.1007bis served with 230* Squadriglia, 95° Gruppo, 35° Stormoin Greece, February 1941. Known as the Airone (heron), the type was built in both single- and twin-finned versions.

Right: Owing to its weak gun defence and poor performance, the CANT Z. 1007 was a sitting duckfor Allied fighters, but the type served until late 1943 in the Mediterranean and on the Russian front.

der was used on the Z. 1007 Serie I-Ili, with a twin fin-rudder format being adopted on the Z.1007 Serie IV-IX sub-

types. When Italy entered the war on 10 June 1940 the Regia Aeronautica had



87 CANT Z. 1007 and Z.1007bis bombers in commission, of which 38 were serviceable, These served with the 16° and 47° Stormi da Bombardamento Terrestre stationed at Vicenza and Ghedi in northern Italy. These units saw first action against Greek forces in October 1940, before turning their energies to anti-shipping strikes off Crete and North Africa, and on medium-level day and night bombing raids on Malta. Subsequently the type was employed by the 8° , 9° , 27° , 30° Stormi, and by the 41° , 51° , 59° , 87° , 90° , 95° and 107° Gruppi BT plus two *squadriglie*. During the climax of the



Fiat B.R.20 Cicogna

A well designed and sturdy medium bomber, the Fiat B.R.20 Cicogna (stork) series fell nevertheless into that category which was nearing obsolescence by the outbreak of World War II. Designed by Ingemere Celestino Rosatelli, and owing much of its paren-tage to the sleek Fiat APR. 2 commer-cial transport, the Fiat B. R. 20 prototype flew first on 10 February 1936. The first unit of the Regia Aeronautica to re unit of the Regia Aeronautica to re-ceive B.R.20s was the 13° Stormo BT stationed at Lonate Pozzolo: the 7° Stor-mo BT, also at Lonate, received Fiat B.R.20s in February 1937. Powered by two 1,000-hp (745-kW) Fiat A, 80 RC.41 radial engines, the B.R.20 possessed a m ax i m um speed of 430 km/h (267 mph) at 4000m (13,125ft), and was armed with two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns. Elements of the 7° and 13° Stormi BT were despatched to Spain in May 1937 for combat experience, while 1937 for combat experience, while other B.R.20s were exported to Japan, and saw service with indifferent results in China and Manchuria as the JAAF's Army Type 1 Model 100 Heavy bomber. Modified nose contours, in-creased armour protection and revised armament featured in the B.R.20M,of which 264 were ultimately produced. When Italy declared war on 10 June 1940 the Regia Aeronautica had 162 Fiat B.R.20s and B.R.20Ms in commission with the 7°, 13°, 18° and 43° Stormi BT. The first bombing mission was made on 13 June when 19 B.R,20Msof the 13° Stormo BT attacked installations at Hyères and Fayence in southern France. A detachment of 80 B.R.20MS of the 13° and 43° Stormi BT were sent to the Belgian airfields of Chieveres and Melsbroeck in late September 1940 to assist the Luftwaffe in its bombing campaign against Eng-

35

Dornier Do 17 and Do 215

Much propaganda value was gained by the Luftwaffe during the years be-fore World War II by the emphasis of speed on selected aircraft, The truth was that most were stripped-down quasi-commercial transports or mail carriers, and their record-breaking performance bore little resemblance

GERMANY

to that of service versions. Ordered in quantity production, the Dornier Do 17E-1 bomber and the Do 17F-1 reconnaissance aircraft saw service in the formative years of the Luftwaffe. The outbreak of war saw these models superseded by the primary version, the Do 17Z-1 and Do 17Z-2



Z.1007ter (Piaggio P.XIX engines) amounted to 526.

Specification CANT Z. IOOTbis Alcione Type: five-seat medium bomber Powerplant: three 1,000-hp(745-kW) Piaggio P. XIRC, 40 radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 455 km/h (283 mph) at 4600 m (15,090 ft); cruising speed 338 km/h (210 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 16 minutes 8 seconds; service ceiling 7500 m (24,605 ft); normal range 1795 km (1,115 miles)

Weights: empty 9396 kg (20,715 lb); maximum take-off 13621 kg (30,029 lb) Dimensions: span 24.80m (81 ft4Vain); length 18.35 m (60 ft 2Vz in); height 5,22 m (17 ft 1 '/a in); wing area 70,0 m² (753.47 sq ft)

Armament: two 12.7-mm(0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT or Scotti machine-guns (one each in dorsal turret and ventral step) and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-step) and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-SAFAT guns in beam positions, plus a maximum bombload of 1200kg (2,646 lb) or two 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedoes



Above/An early BR.20M belonging to the 277* Squadriglia, 116° Gruppo, 37° Stormo based at Crottaglie, south Italy late in 1940. The unit served over the Greco-Albanian front during the invasion of Greece.

Right: TheFiatBR.20 was an unimaginative design with no better than mediocre performance. It was widely used early in the war on account of its useful bomb load, but lack of defensive armament made it extremely vulnerable.

land. As part of the Corpo Aereo Ita-liano the B.R.20Ms suffered losses as a result of crew failings and fighter attacks, The campaign in Greece saw the 116° Gruppo (37° Stormo) in action from bases in Albania, followed by action over Crete, and on a day and night attacks against Malta. In the USSR B.R.20MS of the 38^a and 116^a Squadrig-lie operated from August 1942 in the southern sector,

Fifteen of the improved B.R.20bis model were produced. These were powered by two 1,250-hp (932-kW) Fiat A.82 RC.42S engines, had addi-tional 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns



and a power-operated dorsal turret. Early in 1943 the B.R.20M bomber had been withdrawn from active service with the Regia Aeronautica, units being re-equipped either with CANT Z. 1007s or with Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79S, Production totalled 602 of all marks.

Specification Fiat B.R.20M Cicogna

Type: five-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,000-hp (745-kW) FiatA.80RC.41 radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 440 km/h (273 mph) at sea level;

cruising speed 340 km/h (211 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 25 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling 8000 m (26,245 ft); maximum range 2750 km (1,709 miles)

(1, 709 miles) Weights: empty 6500 kg (14,330 lb); maximum take-off 10100 kg (22,270 lb) Dimensions: span 21.56 m (70 ft 8% in); length 16.68 m (54 ft 8 in); height 4.75 m (15 ft 7 in); wing area 74.00 n/ (796.5 sq ft)

Armament: three 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns (in nose, dorsal turret and ventral gun stations), plus a maximum bombload of 1600 kg (3,528 lb)



bombers, and the lighter Do 17M-1 reconnaissance version, the last equip-ping many *Fernaufklärungsgruppen* by September 1939. The Dornier Do DornierDol7Z-2oflO.(Kroat)/KG3 deployed on the central sector of the Eastern Front in December 1941. KG 2 and KG 3 continued to operate the

Dol7Z until late 1942, but the type had been largely withdrawn from front-line service by early 1941.



Above: These two Dormer Do 17Zs of KG2 are seen during the campaign against the Low Countries. The type faired well in these early battles, but when more professional defence was encountered, such as that over England in 1940, the aircraft was found to be lacking and was soon relegated to less dangerous roles.

17Z bombers equipped nine Kampfgruppen on the outbreak of war: I and II/KG 2 at Liegmtz; II and HI/KG 3 at Heiligenbeil; I and HI/KG 76 at Wels and Wiener-Neustadt; and I-III/KG 77 at Prague-Kbely, Olmutz and Brunn, numbering about 370 in total. Elements of these units provided much of the Luftwaffe'sstrikingforce when Poland was invaded on 1 September. Although not conspicuously fast, the Dormer Do 17Z could be handled much like a fighter, being very light on the controls: structurally it was tough, and it soon surprised its opponents by being able to evade attacks by wheeling into a wing-over and plummeting down in a dive often in excess of 610km/h (380 mph). In Poland Do 17Z-1s and Do 17Z-2s made many very lowlevel strikes on airfields and military installations.

Gradually superseded by the Junkers Ju 88A, the Dormer Do 17Z-2 (the variant built in the largest numbers) saw extensive service with Kampfgeschwader Nrn 2, 3 and 76 during the assault on the West in May 1940, in attacks on shipping off Dunkirk, during the massive raids of the summer of 1940 against England, and in the nocturnal *Blitz* of the autumn and winter of 1940. By the time of the Balkans campaign in April 1941, Do 17Z-2s served only with KG 2 and HI/KG 3, but continued in service in the fighting over Greece and Crete during the summer,

After serving with great success in the Spanish Civil War, the next action for the DornierDo 17 was during the attack on Poland in 1939, where this example is seen. Operating virtually unopposed, the Do 17s made many devastating low-level attacks on military installa tions. before taking part in the Soviet campaign. Export versions saw service with the air forces of Finland and Yugoslavia. By November 1942 the type had been withdrawn from firstline units. Limited service was seen by the Do 215B series, which were powered by two 1,075-hp (802-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 601A-1 inverted V-12 engines: built primarily for export, 112 Do 215Bs were produced, including small numbers of Do 215B-4 reconnaissance-bombers. Total production of Dormer Do 17Zs amounted to around 1,200.

Specification

DornierDol7Z-2 Type: five-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,000 hp (745 kW) Bramo Fafmr 323Pradial piston engines

engines Performance: maximum speed 410km/h(255mph)at4000m(13,125ft); cruising speed 270 km/h(168 mph); serviceceiling &200m(26,905ft); maximum range 1500 km (932 miles) Weights: empty 5200 kg (11,465 lb); maximum take-off 8590 kg (18,940 lb) Dimensions: span 18,00 m (59 ft OVa in); length 15.80 m(51 ft 9% in); height DornierDo 17Z-2 of Stab III Gruppe, KG 3 based at Heiligenbeil in East Prussia during September 1939. Such aircraft were heavily committed to the assault on Poland alongside the Junkers Ju 87 divebombers.

4.60 m (15 ft 1 in); wing area 55.00 m² (592.01 sq ft) Armament: four (later up to eight) 7,92mm (0.31-in) MG 15 machine-guns in windscreen, nose, beam, ventral and dorsal stations, plus a bombload of 1,000 kg (2,205 lb)





The two ANT-40 light bomber pro-totypes of Andrei N. Tupolev's design bureau were years ahead of their time when they first flew in October 1934: the all-metal construction, enclosed cockpit and retractable landing gei were then comparatively novel fee? tures. Indeed the ANT-40's maximum speed of 325 km/h (202 mph) at operating height was faster than the biplane interceptor fighters that equipped most of the peacetime air forces. The initial production version as selected for export and service with the V-VS was based on the second prototype, and was known as the Tupolev SB-2 (skorostnoi bombardirovshchik, or fast (skorostnoi bombardirovshchik, or fast bomber); the engines were two 830-hp (619-kW) licence-built Hispano-Suiza 12Ybr engines, termed M-100 by Soviet industry, and initially thes< were fitted with two-bladed fixed pitch propellers. The first SB-2s were passed to the V-VS's bomber aviation regiments in February 1936, and in October of that year the first of 210 were transferred with Soviet crews to were transferred with Soviet crews to Spain to fight on the side of the Repub-lican air force against the insurgent Nationalists. Over Spam the perform-ance of the SB-2 caused considerable concern to the Nationalist fighter units which were equipped with Heinkel He 51 and Fiat CR.32 biplanes, and the urgent call went out for fighters of beturgent call went out for fighters of bet-ter speed and climb properties. At the time SB-2s were passed to the Chinese Nationalist air force to fight aganst the Japanese, and to Czechoslovakia, where the type went into licensed manufacture as the B.71 bomber. In general the SB-2 performed well until faced with sterner fighter opposition, which occurred over Spain in 1938 and in particular over Finland during the Winter War of 1939-40, when many were shot down. Steps were taken to improve performance by installing the 860-hp (641-kW) M-100 A engine with variable-pitch propellers, Increased variable-pitch propellers, Increased fuel capacity and two 960-hp (716-kW) M-103 engines were installed in the Tupolev SB-2bis, the performance of which was improved by three-bladed VISh-22 propellers. In addition to the PS-40 and PS-41 transport versions the SB-RK (Arkhangelsk!! Ar-2) was *a* modified SB-2bis dive-bomber with

modified SB-2bis dive-bomber with reduced wing area and powered by two supercharged M-105R engines. The SB-2's record as a day bomber came to an abrupt end during the fierce fighting following the German invasion of the USSR on 22 June 1941. Those that were not destroyed on the ground ventured into the air on numerous and gallantly-flown missions over the front line, and paid a heavy price to the Luftwaffe's Messerschmitt Bf 109F fighters. Thereafter the SB-2 and SB-2bis bombers were relegated to night work with the V-VS and the Soviet naval air arm. Production amounted to 6,967 of all marks,

Specification Type: three-seat light/medium bomber Powerplant: two 830-hp (619-kW) M-

A Tupolev SB-2 in landing configuration, displaying the broad wing and tail surfaces which characterized the type. The SB-2 had earlier fought in the Spanish Civil War, but it was outclassed during World War II, falling in enormous numbers.



100 V-12 piston engines Performance: maximum speed 410 km/h (255 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); service ceiling 8500 m (27,885 ft); normalrange 1200 km(746 miles) Weight: loaded 5732 kg (12,636 lb) Dimensions: span 20.33 m (66 ft $8V_2$ in); length 12.27 m (40 ft $3V^*$ in); height 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); wing area 51.95 m² (559,2 sq ft) Armament: two 7.62-mm (0.3-in)

ShKAS machine-guns in nose turret, one 7,62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS in dorsal station (or turret), and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS in ventral position, plus a maximum bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb)





Arriving in small numbers in the ranks of the V-VS to witness the mass devastation of the summer of 1941, the Petlyakov Pe-2 was destined to be-come the best Soviet light bomber of World War II. The aircraft was derived from V.M. Petlyakov's VI-100 pressurized high-altitude twin-engined interrized high-altitude twin-engined inter-ceptor, which displayed a phenomen-al top speed of 623 km/h (387 mph) at 10000 m (32,810 ft), had a crew of two and was powered by 1,100-hp (820-kW) M-105R V-12 engines. The VI-100 first flew on 7 May 1939. With the approach of war in Europe the V-VS made urgent requests for divemade urgent requests for dive-bomber aircraft, and to this end the design bureau adapted the VI-100 fighter by removing the TK-3 high-altitude turbo-chargers, fitting standard M-105R engines, lattice type dive-brakes, and giving the tailplane pronounced dihedral to increase sta-bility. Two prototype PB-100 (*pikir-uyushchn bombar dir ovshchik*, or dive-bomber) aircraft were built with these items installed in addition to an extensively glazed nose and defensive armament. This type became the Pet-lyakov Pe-2 light bomber and dive-bomber. The crew of three (pilot, bombardier and air-gunner) sat under a long glazed canopy with 9-mm (0.35-in)armourprotection, Initialarmament consisted of two fixed 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS guns in the nose, one in the dorsal station, and a fourth in the ven-tral aimed by a 120° vision periscope. The M-105R engines drove three-bladed VISh-61 propellers. The air-craft proved to be fast, highly man-oeuvrable, but was quite demanding to novice pilots under asymmetric conditions. By the time of the German inva-sion in June 1941 some 458 Pe-2s had been produced from the factories, but it is suspected that deliveries to service units was tardy. Certainly, even



by September 1941 the numbers of Pe-2s in front-line units were few: Colonel General IS. Konev's Western Front had only five in commission with which to stem the German assault on Moscow, and the establishment of Pe-2s with the Bryansk and Kalinin Fronts was even lower. Although limited in numbers, Pe-2s contributed to the victories of the Soviet winter offensive of 1941-2, and were seen in increasing numbers during the defensive battles at Leningrad, Kharkov, Rostov, and in the Stalingrad campaign. Late in 1942 came the improved Pe-2FT with 1,260hp (940-kW) Klimov M-105PF engines, and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) ÜBT machinegun in a dorsal turret. The Pe-2I and Pe-2M were fighter-bombers, powered by 1,620-hp (1,208-kW) VK-107A engines, The reconnaissance version was the Pe-2R, whilst a dualcontrol trainer was termed the Pe-2UT. The aircraft saw distinguished service in every major Soviet campaign from 1941 to 1945, including operations in Manchuria against the Japanese in September 1945. A total of 11,427 Pe-2s and Pe-3s (the fighter version) was produced.

КЕНИГСБЕР

Specification Petlyakov Pe-2

НИГРАЛ

Perfyakov Pe-2 Type: three-seat light/medium bomber and dive-bomber Powerplant: two 1,100-hp (820-kW) Klimov M-105R V-12 pistonengines Performance: maximum speed 540 km/h (336 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); cruising speed 428 km/h (266 mph); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 7 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling 8800 m (28,870 ft); normal range 1500 km (932 miles) Weights: empty 5876 kg (12,943 lb); maximum take-off 8496 kg (18,730 lb) Dimensions: span 17.16 m (56 ft $3^{1}/2$ in); length 12.66m (41 ft GVz in); height 4,0 m (13 ft P/a in); wing area 40.50 m² (436 sq ft)

Armanent: two fixed 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS machine-guns or one 7.62-mm ShKAS and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Beresin ÜBT machine-gun in nose, and single 7,62-mm (0.3-in) ShKASor 12.7mm (0.5-in) ÜBT machine-guns in dorsal and in ventral stations, plus a maximum bombload of 1200 kg (2.646 lb)

High-level bombing was never a forte oftheSoviet air forces, and in the bombing role the Pe-2 was confined largely to medium-level and dive-bombing, proving to be a fast and elusive target.





The origin of the Tupolev Tu-2 lay in the ANT-58, ANT-59 and ANT-60 light bomber prototypes that came from the design bureau of Andrei N. Tupolev during 1938-40: powered by two 1,400hp (1044-kW) Mikulm AM-37 V-12 engines, the ANT-58 made its first flight on 29 January 1941 with- M.P. Vasyakm at the controls. The ANT-60 was re-engined with the big and powerful 1,480-hp (1104-kW) M-82 radiais because of the relative unreliability of the AM-37s. The result was the definitive Tu-2 bomber that was to see service with the V-VS during the last year of World War II and well into the 1950s, Soviet industry was still in a state of upheaval following the terrible years of 1941-2, when the German army struck deep into Belorussia and the Ukraine. The Tu-2 was too complicated an aircraft for the conditions prevailing, and after many months in which the Tu-2 was modified and simplified for the mass production lines, the Tu-2S (*Seriinyi*, or series) appeared, flying for the first time on 26 August 1943. A small number of Tu-2s had previously been passed to frontline regiments in September 1942, where their performance, armament and bombload had received general enthusiasm.

By January 1944 the first production Tu-2 and Tu-2S bombers had been passed to the regiments of the V-VS, but it was not until June of that year that Tu-2s saw action on a large scale. The sector was the Karelian (Finnish) front in the north where the V-VS forces, under the overall command of General A.A. Novikov, numbered 757 aircraft of the 13th VA (Air Army), the V-VS KBF (Red Banner Baltic Fleet) and the 2nd GV IAK (guards fighter corps), Of the 249 Tu-2 and Petlyakov Pe-2 light bombers in the Soviet order of battle, many came under Colonel IP. Skok's 334th Bomber Air Division which subsequently received a citation for its work. Reconnaissance work was now being carried out by Tu-2D and Tu-2R aircraft with modified mainplanes, nose glazing, and capacity for vertical and oblique cameras. Wartime production of the Tupolev Tu-2 and its sub-types amounted to 1,111. As a bomber it did not come into its own until the autumn of 1944, However, as German resistance stiffened on nearing the eastern borders of the Reich V-VS bombers,



Many wartime Tupolev Tu-2s had their numbers hand painted. This Tu-2S served on the Kalinin front.



The Tupolev Tu-2S carried two wing root cannon and three machine-guns mounted in the rear cockpit and the dorsal and ventral positions.



This Tupolev Tu-2S was delivered to the V-VS in the summer of 1944 and wears the standard camouflage of that period.

including Tupolev Tu-2s, were called up to attack strongpoints at Kustrin, Königsberg and other fortified ports and cities. September 1945 saw many Tu-2s in action against the Japanese Kwantung Army in Manchuria before the final surrender.

Specification

Tupolev Tu-2S Type: four-seat medium bomber Powerplant: two 1,850-hp (1,380-kW) Shvetsov ASh-82FN radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 547 km/h (340 mph) at 5400 m (17,715 ft); cruising speed 442 km/h (275 mph); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 9 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 9500 m (31,170 ft); normal range 2000 km (1,243 miles) Weights: empty 8260 kg (18,200 lb); normal take-off 12800 kg (28,219 lb) Dimensions: span 18.86 m (61 ft 10/2 in); length 13.80 m (45 ft 3Va in); height4,56 m (14 ft 11 in); wingarea 48.80m² (525,3 sq ft) Armament: two 20-mm ShVAK cannon in wing roots and three 12.7-mm (0.5in) UBT machine-guns (one each in both dorsal positions and ventral station), plus amaximum bombload of 3000 kg (6,614 lb)

Andrei Tupolev was commanded by Stalin to produce a better bomber than the Junkers Ju 88, and the resulting Tu-2proved tobe one of the finest wartime aircraft. This is a Tu-2Swith broadened ailerons.



Jet Aircraft

Whilst piston-engined aircraft fought out World War II, aircraft and engine designers were hard at work to harness the new jet and rocket propulsion and to perfect its use for fighting aircraft. The results of their labours were varied, but the technological advances were great.

A Ithough combat by jetjL\.propelled aircraft during World War II was limited to the final year of the conflict, and then undertaken only by German (and to a much lesser extent British) aircraft, the knowledge that jet reaction was potentially the most efficient of all aircraft propulsion systems had existed for some 15 years beforehand. In Germany exploitation of this knowledge had shown greatest promise, through the efforts of F. W Sander and Fritz von Opel with solid-fuel rocket power, Paul Schmidt with pulse jets and Dr Hans von Ohain with the gas turbine, all of whom had either achieved limited jet reaction-powered flight or were on the threshold of doing so when war broke out in September 1939. In the UK work had progressed under the leadership of Frank Whittle, but with very little commercial support. Elsewhere in the world there was even less interest in jet propulsion.

Germany was the first to fly an air-breathing jet aircraft, the Heinkel He 178, which took to the air under its own power for the first time on 27 August 1939-Next to fly a 'jet' was Italy with its crude ducted fan-jet Caproni-Campini N1, which flew in August 1940. While the Italian effort proved to be dead-ended, the



Although jet development was well underway when World War II broke out, jet aircraft were hardly to feature in the conflict. Germany led the way with Britain following; this reconnaissance version Arado Ar 234B was just one of several designs.

Germans moved steadily forward towards operational realism as other manufacturers were encouraged into the jet propulsion field by the development of viable powerplants produced, notably, by Heinkel and Junkers.

Meanwhile in the UK Frank Whittle, with increasing practical assistance and eventual domination by Rolls-Royce, Rover, de Havilland and others, succeeded in producing a gas turbine which, as the Power Jets W. 1, first flew in the Gloster E.28/39 in May 1941. But the two-year lead gained by Germany proved unassailable and, despite the demise of the Heinkel He 280 as a potential combat aircraft, enabled the Luftwaffe to achieve operational status with its Messerschmitt Me 262 during the summer of 1944, narrowly predating the first RAF Gloster Meteors. Moreover the rocketpowered Me 163 proved to be the fastest of any combat aircraft produced during the war.

The build-up of German Me 262s (as well as He 162s and Arado Ar 234s) far outstripped the tentative introduction of the British Meteor, all reliance on operational jet engine development being placed on the Rolls-Royce Weiland (a direct development of the Whittle engine). The USA, on the other hand, scarcely achieved any progress in the field until 1941 when Whittle technology was provided by the UK, only managing to fly the Bell P-59 Airacomet and Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star, neither of which was operational during WorldWarII.

Japan, like Germany, frantically sought to combat the growing menace to its homeland from the US's air onslaught and received technical assistance from Germany. It managed to fly a twin-jet fighter, the Nakajima Kikka (modelled on the Me 262), before the end of the war and also the rocket-powered Yokosuka Ohka suicide aircraft.

Nevertheless, despite growing awareness in the UK and USA of the enormous potential of the jet engine, the Allies were astounded to discover the advances made by Germany when they examined the spoils of war in 1945. But much of the technology had already disappeared eastwards, and it was here that the wartime momentum gained by the Soviet Union was able to accommodate these great technical strides into its post-war plans for world military superiority.



GERMANY Arado Ar 234

The world's first turbojet-powered bomber, the Arado Ar 234 Blitz (lightning) was originally conceived as a twin-jet high-speed reconnaissance aircraft late in 1940. Delayed by slow delivery of the Junkers 004B, the Ar 234 VI prototype was notfirstflownuntil 15 June 1943; this aircraft featured an auxiliary trolley, which was jettisoned on take-off, in place of conventional landing gear. Further prototypes followed, including the Ar 234 V6 and Ar 234 V8 which were powered by four 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 003A-1 turboiets.

When production finally started, it was of the twin-jet Ar 234B which featured conventional nosewheel landing gear, the mainwheels retracting into a slightly widened centre fuselage. The Ar 234B-1 was an unarmed reconnaissance aircraft which first served with 1 Versuchsverband Oberbefehlshaber der Luftwaffe late in 1944, and soon after with Sonderkommando Hecht and Sperling. These units were re-placed in 1945 by 1 (F) 33, 1 (F) 100 and 1 (F) 123, and many reconnaissance sorties were flown over the UK. The bomber version was the Ar 234B-2, bomber version was the Ar 234B-2, which could carry a bombload of 2000kg (4,409 lb), and other variants included the Ar 234B-2/b reconnaiss-ance aircraft, the Ar 234B-2/1 path-finder and Ar 234B-2/r long-range bomber. Ar 234B-2 bombers joined KG 76 in January 1945 and carried outa number of daring and hazardous raids number of daring and hazardous raids before the end of the war. A small num-ber of Ar 234s was also employed as night-fighters with Kommando Bonow, but the four-jet Ar 234C, although just beginning to appear at the end of the war, failed to reach squadron service. Many other advanced projects were in hand when hostilities ceased.

GERMANY



Bachern Ba 349 Natter

Among the ingenious expedients borne of desperation in Germany at the end of the war was the Bachern Ba 349 Natter (viper), a semi-expendable, vertically-launched, piloted missile. Designed under the leadership of Erich Bachern, the small aircraft was constructed mainly of bonded and screwed wooden components, and was powered by an internal Walter 109-509A-2 liquid fuel rocket (of the same type as in the Messerschmitt Me 163); for take-off boost four solid fuel Schmidding rockets provided a total thrust of 4800kg (10,582 lb) for 10 seconds before being jettisoned. It was intended to launch the Natter on approach of Allied bombers, the pilot selecting his target and then launching his weapon load of 24 7.3-cm (2.87-m) Föhn unguided rockets. He would then jettison the nose section of the aircraft and deploy his own parachute. The remainder of the aircraft would also descend by paiaclmte for recovery and re-use. Gliding trials started in October 1944, followed in February 1945 by the first unmanned vertical



One of the many macabre projects being studied as Germany approached defeat at the end of the war involved the use of the Fieseler Fi



Able to carry a bombload of 2000 kg (4,409 lb), the Arado Ar 234B-2 went into service with KG 76 in January 1945. Based at Rheine and Achmer, these made many accurate strikes.

Specification

Ar 234B-2 Type: single-seat tactical light bomber Powerplant: two 800-kg (1,764-1b) thrust BMW 003A-1 turbojets Performance: maximum speed 742 km/h (461 mph) at 6000m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 12.8 minutes' service ceiling 10000m (32,810 ft); range 1630 km (1,013 miles) Weights: empty 5200kg (11,464 lb); maximum take-off 9800 kg (21,605 lb) Dimensions:span14.44m(46ft3Vzin); length 12.64m (41ft S'/ain); height

The Ar 234B proved of immense interest to the Allies and intact examples underwent rigorous 4.29 m (14 ft P/a in); wing area 27.3 m (284.17sqft)

Armament: bombload of up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb); some aircraft carried two rear-firing 20-mm guns

testing in Britain and America. This is anAr234B-1 reconnaissance version, withnohardpoints.



The Bachern Ba 349 Natier was a somewhat despera te attempt to stem the Allied bombing campaign. Launching vertically, the Natter climbed quickly on its rocket motor, attacked the bombers with rockets and then pilot and aircraft returned separately to earth by parachute.



launches. However, during the first piloted launch the same month, the cockpit canopy failed and the pilot, Lothar Siebert, was killed. About 20 Ba 349s were completed, and 10 were deployed at Kirchheim, but before any Allied bombers could be intercepted the sites were overrun by advancing American forces.

Specification

Type: single-seat expendable interceptor

103R, a manned version of the Argus pulsejet-powered Fieseler Fi 103

flying bomb. Mass production of these

weapons had been achieved by mid-

Powerplant: one 1700-kg (3,748-lb) thrust Walter 109-509A-2 liquid-fuel rocket motor (of 70 seconds' power duration) and four 1200-kg (2,646-lb) thrust Schmidding 109-533 solid-fuel jettisonable booster rockets (of 10 seconds' power duration)

Performance: maximum speed 800 km/h (497 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 11140m (36,550ft) per minute; service ceiling 14000m (45,930 ft); radius of action 40 km (24.8 miles)

1944 for the campaign against southern England when proposals were made to launch a manned bomb from beneath a carrier aircraft. The intention was that, after release, the flying bomb would be piloted towards a target and put into a dive before the pilot baled Weight: loaded at launch 2200kg (4,850 lb) Dimensions: span 3.60m (11 ft 9% in);

Dimensions: span 3.00m (11 ft 9% in); length 6.10m (20 ft 0 in); wing area 2.75m²(29.6sqft) Armament: 24 Föhn 7.3-cm (2.87-in)

Armament: 24 Föhn 7.3-cm (2.87-in) unguided rocket projectiles in nose

out at the last moment. Pilot survival was rated as being most unlikely as a resultofthecanopyfoulingthepulsejet inlet immediately aft of the cockpit, yet the Germans steadfastly claimed a subtle distinction between their *Selbstopfermänner* (self-sacrifice men) and the Japanese Kamikaze pilots who were sealed into their cockpits before take-off. A total of about 175 piloted Fi 103Rs (Reichenberg being the operational codename covering the project) were completed: the R-I, R-II and R-III were test and training versions, and the R-IV was intended for operational use. Testing was undertaken by Rechlin pilots but after two had crashed development flying was taken over by DFS test pilots Hanna Reitsch and Heinz Kensche. Handling in the air was fairly straightforward but landing was extremely tricky owing to the rudimentary control provided and the very high landing speed. Although some 70 volunteer pilots were selected for training the plan came to naught simply owing to the German

The Fi 103 (VI) is well known in its unpiloted form, but the piloted version, the Reichenberg, was more obscure. Intended for accurate a ttacks against shipping, the pilot was supposed to bale out after he had aimed at the target. In practice this would have been unlikely.

3



Despite all that Germany could do to press the Messerschmitt Me 163 and Me 262 into service in the latter half of 1944, it became all too clear that both aircraft demanded production skills, materials and flying experience beyond the resources of the nation, and thus were inadequate to stem the tide of Allied air attack. Accordingly/ as the RLM underwent its final reorganization, proposals were studied for the mass production of a relatively simple, lightweight jet interceptor which demanded the minimum of strategic materials, engineering skill and pilot training. Within five weeks the design of the Heinkel He 162 had been accepted and dozens of component subcontracts organized, it being intended to reach a production of 2,000 aircraft per month by May 1945. The first prototype He 162 was flown on 6 December 1944, but by the following month severe lateral instability had been disclosed, resulting in the wing-tips being sharply angled aown. The aircraft was a small shoulder-wing monoplane with the turbojet mounted on top of the fuselage amidships, nosewheel landing gear and twin fins and rudders. In February a score of further prototypes flew, together with the first production examples. The first oper-ational unit, I/IG 1, under Oberst Her-bert Ihlefeldt, flew He 162A-1 produc-tion aircraft at Parchim but, despite a medicine of for read the completion of prodigious effort and the completion of some 275 aircraft, the swift advance by the Allied armies prevented the little fighter from taking any significant part in the air fighting at the end of the war.

Specification

Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: one 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 109-003E turbojet Performance: maximum speed 835 km/h (519 mph) at 6000m (19,685ft); initial climb rate 1290m (4,230ft) per minute; service ceiling about 11000m (36,090ft); maximum range 1000km (621 miles) Weights: empty 1750kg (3,858 lb);



high command's refusal to take the Reichenberg project seriously.

Specification Fi 103R-IV Type: single-seat flying bomb Powerplant: one 350-kg (772-lb) thrust Argus 109-014 pulsejet Performance: maximum powered level speed 650 km/h (404 mph) at sea level; endurance (limited by pulsejet life) 20 minutes Weight: at launch 2180 kg (4,806 lb) Dimensions: span 5.715m (18ft 9 in); length 8.00m (26ft 3 in); maximum fuselage diameter 0.838 m (2 ft 9 in) Warhead: 850 kg (1,874 lb)





Despite its hurried development, the ingenious Heinkel He 162 would have proved an effective fighter in experienced hands, but there was insufficient time to train men of the calibre required by the Luftwaffe.



Above: Several Heinkel He 162s were captured by the Allies and extensively tested after the war. This He 162A-2 was serving with II Gruppe, Jagdgeschwader 1 at Leck when it was captured on 8 May 1945. It has since been restored and is on display at RAF St A than.

maximum take-off 2700 kg (5,952 lb) Dimensions: span 7.20 m (23 ft $7V_z$ in); length 9.05m (29ft 8Vè in); height 2.55 m (8 ft 4% in); wing area 11.15m² (120.0sqft) Armament: two 30-mm MK 108 or two 20-mm MG 151 cannon in nose

Äigh t: The He 162 suffered from all the symptoms of 1945 Germany lackoffuel, poor materials, inexperienced workmen and pilots, and hasty design and developmen t. Attempts to overcome these problems were swamped by the Allied advance.





The world's first aircraft to fly solely powered, by a turbojet was the Ger-man Heinkel He 178, which was flown by Flugkapitän Erich Warsitz at Marienehe on 27 August 1939, one week before the outbreak of World War II. The first step in the development of this aeroplane was taken in March 1936 when Ernst Heinkel engaged the services of the German gas turbine pioneer Dr Hans Pabst von Ohain and his assistant Max Hahn, The first demonstration turbojet, the HeS 1, was bench running by September 1937, and a development of this en-gine, the HeS 3, was flight tested suspended beneath a Heinkel He 118 in 1938. By 1939, it had been decided to instai a new version, the HeS 3b, in a special aircraft, the He 178, which commenced building that year; it was a shoulder-wing aircraft with wings made largely of wood but with a semimonocoque metal fuselage. Tailwheel landing gear was incorporated, and the engine drew its air from an inlet in the nose and exhausted through a long jet pipe which extended to the ex-treme tail. The 178 was damaged on its first flight when the engine ingested a bird which caused it to flame out, but the aircraft made a safe landing. It was later flown with a 590-kg (1,301-lb) thrust HeS 6 engine, but a number of airframe defects limited the speed to about 600 km/h (373 mph). The He 178 was later moved to the Berlin Air Museum where it was destroyed in 1943 during an Allied air raid.

Specification He 178 (initial powerplant)

Type: single-seat research aircraft



The world's first turbojet aircraft designed from the outset as a potential fighter, the Heinkel He 280 made its first flight on 2 August 1941 (19 months before the first Gloster Meteor). Design of the He 280, which started before the end of 1939, included a low wing with twin underslung turbojets, tricycle landing gear and twin fins and rudders. Despite the obvious need for low-diameter engines, Dr von Ohain succeeded in developing the centrifugal-flow HeS 8 (or 109-001) to produce 700-kg (1,543-lb) thrust, and a pair of these engines powered the He 280 VI on its first flight (the engines being left uncowled on this occasion). A total of nine prototypes flew, including the He 280 V2 and He 280 V3 with HeS 8 engines (the former also being re-engmed with Jumo 109-004s), the He 280 V4 with BMW 109-003s and later with six Argus 109-014 pulsejets, the He 280 V5 first with HeS 8s and later with 109-OOSs, the He 280 V6 (as well as the V5) with three MG 151 20-mm cannon, the He 280 V7 with 109-004s (and later tested as a high-speed glider for aerodynamicresearch), the He 280 V9 with 109-004s with 109-004s and a V-type tail unit, and the He 280 V9 with 109-003s.

After several fligh ts towed behind a pair of Bfl 1 Os, the He 280V-1 made its first powered night on 2 April 1941 and is seen here landing back at Marienehe. For this first flight the engines were kept uncovered, as during test runs fuel had gathered in the cowlings.



?'he first Hight by a jet-powered aircraft occurred on 27August 1939 when this He 178 flew from Marienehe, near Rostock. Despite their superior engine, it was two years before the British matched this feat.



Powerplant: one 500-kg (1,102-lb) thrust Heinkel HeS 3b centrifugal-flow turbojet Performance: (estimated) maximum speed 580 km/h (360 mph) at sea level; landing speed 165 km/h (103 mph) Weights: empty 1590kg (3,505 lb); maximum 1990kg (4,387 lb) Dimensions: 7.10 m (23 ft $3^{1/2}$ in); length 7.51 m (24 ft QV2 in); height 2.10 m (6 ft 10% in); wing area 7.90 m² (85.04 sq ft) Armament: none

Only one He 178 was built, and was an extremely clean design for its day, with fully retracting andfaired-in undercarriage. Maximum speed was 580 km/h (360 mph).

Designed from the outset as a fighter, the He 280 was plagued with development problems and was abandoned in favour of the Messerschmitt Me 262.





Although production of the He 280 was planned, recurring complaints (which included structural weakness in the tail, together with tail flutter, and in-adequate fuel and armament provi-sion) caused the design to be aban-doned in favour of the Messerschmitt Me 262. It was, incidentally, from the He 280 VI that the first-ever bale-out

During the last half of 1943 experience showed that losses during convention-al dive-bombing with the venerable

Junkers Ju 87 were becoming prohibitive without heavy fighterescort, parti-

tive withoutheavy fighterescort, parti-cularly in the face of ever-growing Soviet air presence on the Eastern Front. The Henschel company, with considerable experience in produc-ing ground-support aircraft, put for-ward late in 1944 proposals for an essentially simple single-jet attack bomber with a BMW 109-003E-2 tur-bojet mounted above the fuselage. In essence the aircraft resembled the Heinkel He 162 with twin fins and rud-

Heinkel He 162 with twin fins and rud-

Henschel Hs 132

GERMANY

\$

using an ejector seat was made when Argus test pilot Schenk abandoned the aircraft when his controls locked from icing-up.

Specification He 280 V5 Type: single-seat prototype interceptor fighter

wing was mounted at mid-fuselage depth; more significant, the pilot occu-

depth; more significant, the pilot occu-pied a prone position in the extreme nose so as to withstand the likely 12g forces expected to accompany shal-low dive recovery. Simplified con-struction^with widespread use of wood in the structure was welcomed by the DLM out these protections.

RLM and three prototypes were ordered, and commenced building in March 1945. Only the Henschel Hs 132 VI had been completed (but not flown) by the war's end, and all three aircraft

were taken over by the Soviet forces in their advance from the east. The first Powerplant: two 750-kg (1,653-lb) thrust HeS 8A (109-001 A) turbojets Performance: maximum short-burst speed 900 km/h (559 mph) at 6000m (19,685ft); initial climb rate 1145m (3,755 ft)perminute; estimated service ceiling 11500m (37,730ft); range 650km (404 miles) Weights: empty 3215kg (7,088lb);

the underfuselage; the second, with 900-kg (1,984-lb) thrust engine com-900-kg (1,984-1b) thrust engine com-bined this load with two nose-mounted 20-mm MG 151 cannon; and the third, with 1300-kg (2,866-1b) thrust Hemkel-Hirth 109-011A turbojet would carry a 1000-kg (2,205-1b) bomb, two 30-mm MK 103 and two 20-mm MG 151 guns. It was intended that the PC 1000RS Pol rocket-assisted armour-piercing rocket-assisted armour-piercing bomb would be used for battlefield support attacks.

Specification Hs 132 VI

Type: single-seat dive bomber Powerplant: one 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 109-003E-2 turbojet Performance: maximum speed 780 km/h (485 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); maximum take-off 4310 kg (9,502 lb) Dimensions: span 12,20m (40ft Oin); length 10.40m (34ft 11/2 in); height 3.06 m (10 ft OVa in); wing area 21.50 m (231.5sqft)

Armament: three nose-mounted 20-mm MG 151 cannon (intended later to be increased to six)

service ceiling 10250 m (33,630 ft); range 680 km (423 miles) Weights: maximum take-off 3400 kg (7,496 lb)

Dimensions: span 7,20 m (23 ft 7Vz in); length 8,90 m (29 ft 2Vz in); wing area 14.82m² (159.4 sq ft) Armament: one 500 kg (1,102-lb) bomb

under the fuselage

Although it never flew, the Henschel Hs 132 was a most interesting concept. With a configuration resembling the Heinkel He 162, the most striking feature of the aircraft was the prone pilot position, incorporated to enable the pilot to withstand the expected 12g when the aircraft pulled out from its dive after releasing the bomb.



SS

Horton Ho IX (Gotha Go 229)

The Horten Ho IX V2 was the only aircraft of this series to achieve powered night. Its career ended abruptly following an engine failure.

The Horton Ho IX twin-jet tailless fighter-bomber, of which two pro-totypes were flown before the end of the war, was of extremely advanced design, which benefited from con-siderable experience gained by the brothers Reimar and Walter Horten in the development of flying-wing airers. Designed by Sonderkommando 9, starting in 1942, the first prototype Ho IX VI was found to be unable to accommodate the two intended BMW 109-003-1 turbojets owing to an unfore-seen increase in engine diameter, and it was therefore flown as a glider at It was therefore flown as a glider at Oranienburg during the summer of 1944. The redesigned Ho IX V2 was fitted with two Junkers 109-004B-1 tur-bojets and flown successfully at Ora-nienburg, demonstrating speeds of up to 960 km/h (597 mph) before it was destroyed while making a gringle destroyed while making a singleengine landing. Such promise encour-

aged the RLM to instruct Gothaer Waggonfabrik to assume develop-ment of the design, and a third pro-totype, the Go 229 V3, was produced with 1000-kg (2,205-lb) thrust Jumo 109-004C/ 004C turbojets, but was prevented from flying by the end of hostilities in May 1945. Workhad also started on the two-seat Go 229 V4 and Go 229 V5 night-fighter prototypes, the Go 229 V6 armament test prototype, and the Go 229 V7 two-seat trainer, No progress had been made on 20 pre-production

Go229A-0\fighter-bombers, on order at the end of the war, that were in-tended to carry two 1000-kg (2,205-lb) bombs and four 30-mm MK 103 cannon.

Specification Go 229A-0

Type: single-seat fighter-bomber

Powerplant: two 1000-kg (2,205-lb) thrust Junkers Jumo 109-004C turbojets Performance: maximum speed 1000 km/h (621 mph) at 6100m (20,015ft); landing speed 130km/h (81mph) Weight: maximum take-off 8500 kg

(18,739 lb)

Dimensions: span 16.78 m(55 ft0% in); length7,47m(24ftQV&in);wingarea 51.5m² (554.36 sq ft) Armament: four 30-mm MK 103 cannon

and up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb) of bombs



Junkers Ju 287

GERMANY

The revolutionary Junkers Ju 287 was the outcome of development of a bomber project being studied by Dipl. Ing. Hans Wocke at Junkers in June 1943 at a time when fast bombers still enjoyed priority in Luftwaffe planning. Instead of employing a 'conventional' swept-back wing (advocated as early as 1935 by Prof, A. Busemann) Wocke sug-gested using a swept-forward wing in which the high-speed benefits of re-duced thickness-chord would be more readily achieved, at the same time reducing low-speed instability. To speed manufacture of the prototype, the Ju 287 VI featured an extraordinary mixture of existing components, in-cluding the fuselage of a Heinkel He 177, Ju 388 tail unit, Ju 352 mainwheels and the nosewheels of captured Con-solidated B-24 Liberators. Design of the aircraft was directed by Dipl. Ing. Ernst Zmdel and, powered by four Jumo 109-004B-1 turbojets, this was flown by Flugkapitän Siegfried Holz-baueratBrandison 16 August 1944; the prototype required take-off assistance in the form of a jettisonable Walter 109-501 rocket under each turbojet. A total of 17 flights was made before priority for jet bombers was abandoned at about this time. For some reason, never satisfactorily explained, the RLM re-turned to a bombing philosophy in March 1945 and ordered the Ju 287 into production. Construction of two further prototypes, the Ju 287 V2 with six jet engines and the Ju 287 V3 returning to four more powerful turbojets, commenced but neither was flown before the end of the war. The entire prog-ramme was then transferred to Podberezhnye in the USSR, together with many of its associated technicians, and flight trials continued there until about 1948

Specification Ju 287 VI

Type: prototype high-speed heavy bomber

Powerplant: four 900-kg (1,984-lb) thrust Junkers Jumo 109-004B-1 turbojets

Performance: maximum speed 559 km/h (347 mph) at 6000m (19,685ft); service ceiling 10800m (35,435ft); maximum range 1500km (930 miles)

Weights: empty 12510kg (27,5791b); maximum take-off 20000 kg (44.092 lb)

(44,092,10)Dimensions: span 20.11m (65ft 11% in); length 18,30m (60ft O'/zin); wing area 58,30 m² (627.3 sq ft) Armament: (V3) bombload of up to 4000 kg (8,818 lb) and gun armament in

tail barbette



The most futuristic of all jets to fly during the war, theju 287V-1 was the only example built by Junkers. The

second prototype was completed by the Russians after the war, hying with six engines.



GERMANY

Messerschmitt Me 262

The Messerschmitt Me 262 was one of the first turbojet-powered aircraft to achieve combat status, and was the result of pre-war research with gas turbines in Germany. Design of the air-craft started in 1938 and prototype air-frames were ready in 1941 but, as the Junkers jet engines were not then Junkers jet engines were not then ready, the first flight on 18 April was made using a single Jumo 210G piston engine; it was not until 18 July 1942 that the Me 262 V3 first made an all-jet flight powered by two 840-kg (1,852-lb) thrust Junkers 109-004A-0 turbojets. Farly, prototypes featured tailwheal Early prototypes featured tailyheel landing gear, but when production started in 1944 a tricycle arrangement had been standardized, As Hitler persisted in demanding development of the Me 262 as a bomber for reprisal raids on the UK, development of the fighter was badly delayed and it was not until late in 1944 that the aircraft not until late in 1944 that the aircraft entered Luftwaffe service. The Me 262A-la Schwalbe (swallow) fighter was armed with four 30-mm guns in the nose and joined Kommando Nowotny in October; it was followed by the Me 262A-la/Ul with two additional 20-mm guns, the Me 262A-la/U2 bad-weather fighter and the Me 262A-la/U3 un-armed reconnaissance aircraft. The Me 262A-2a Sturmvogel (stormy pet-rel) bomber could carry up to 500 kg (1,102 lb) of bombs in addition to the four 30-mm guns, and a two-seat verfour 30-mm guns, and a two-seat version (with prone bomb-aimer), the Me

262A-2a/U2, was also produced. Before the end of the war Me 262s were being flown with some success against Allied bombers both as day against Allied bombers both as day and night fighters (the latter were radar-equipped Me 262B-la/Ul air-craft), and air-to-air rockets were being developed. Dogged by difficul-ties brought on by Allied raids on fac-tories and airfields, the Luftwaffe's jet fighter units nevertheless posed a for-midable throat to Allied aircupariorimidable threat to Allied air superiority



Specification Me 262A-la Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: two 900-kg (1,984-lb) thrust Junkers Jumo 109-004B-4 turbojets

Performance: maximum speed 870

km/h (541 mph) at 7000 m (26,965 ft); km/h (541 mph) at 7000 m (26,965 ft); initial climb rate 1200 m (3,937 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11000 m(36,090 ft); normal range 845 km (525 miles) Weights: empty 4000kg (8,818 lb); maximum take-off 6775 kg (14,936 lb) Dimensions: span 12.50 m (41 ft OV_s in); length 10.61 m (34ft 9^{1} ?. in); height 3.83 m (12 ft 6% in); wing area 21.68 m² (233.3 sqft) Armament: four MK 108 30-mm cannon in nose

Featuring a tailwheel, theMe262V-2 became the second Me 262 to fly solely on turbojet power, the first prototype having a piston engine as well as the jets mounted in the nose.





The Messerschmitt Me 163 Komet (comet) rocket interceptor stemmed from prolonged research by Dr Alexander Lippisch over 15 years before the war. The prototype was initially test flown as a glider during the spring of 1941 before being fitted with a Walter RII-203 rocket using *T-Stoffand Z-Stoff* propellants. Powered flights by the Me 163 VI started in the late summer of 1941, and on 2 October the aircraft reached 1004.5 km/h (623.8 mph);, two months later the Me 163B Komet was ordered into production.

was ordered into production. Production Me 163Bs were powered by Walter 109-509A rocket motors using *T-Stoff* (hydrogen peroxide) and *C-Stoff* (hydrogen peroxmethyl alcohol and water) to give a thrust of 1700kg (3,748 lb). Early Me 163B-0 aircraft were armed with a pair of 20-mm guns, but Me 163B-1 fighters carried two 30-mm weapons. The aircraft possessed no conventional landing gear, but took off from a trolley which was jettisoned immediately after take-off.

Introduction to Luftwaffe service was a protracted and hazardous process owing to difficulties in handling the fuels and a number of fatal accidents, and only very experienced pilots were selected. Production Me 163B-la fighters equipped I/JG 400 at Brandis, near Leipzig, in June 1944 and first intercepted B-17 Fortressdaylight bombers on 16 August that year. All manner of difficulties faced the pilots, apart from the hazards already mentioned, and it was found difficult to aim and fire the guns with the result that upward-firing 50-mm shells and underwing rockets came to be developed, Although some 300 Me 163Bs were

Although some 300 Me 163Bs were produced (as well as a few Me 163C aircraft with increased fuel) and JG 400's other two *Gruppen* re-equipped by the end of 1944, only nine confirmed air victories were achieved by the *Geschwader*. Above: The badge of this Me 163B-1 of JG 400 bears the legend Wie ein Floh, aber Oho!' (Only a flea, but ohoh!). The concept of this tiny fighter was sound, but the practice proved difficult, and only nine victories were confirmed. Below: TheMel63B-l suffered the same problems as other Germanjets during the last few months of the war and did not change history. The prominent'T and 'C'stencils indicated fuelling points for the two liquidfuels, accidental contact between which would result in catastrophe.

Specification Me 163B-la Komet Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: one 1700-kg (3,748-lb) thrust Walter 109-509A-2 rocket motor Performance: maximum speed 960 km/h (596 mph) at 3000 m (9,845 ft); initial climb rate 3600 m (11,810 ft) per minute; service ceiling 12100m (39,700ft); normal range 80km (50 miles) Weights: empty 1905kg (4,200 lb);

-11

maximum take-off 4110 kg (9,061 lb) Dimensions: span 9.33 m (30 ft 7!/4in); length 5.69 m (18 ft 8 in); height 2.76 m (9 ft 0'/ain); wing area 19.62 m² (211.2 sq ft)

Armament: two 30-mm MK 108 cannon




It is perhaps surprising at first sight that, having been the second nation to fly an air-breathing jet-propelled aero-plane, Italy did not feature among the leading nations in this field of technology. But in truth the Caproni-Campini NI was no more than an ingenious freak which employed a conventional piston engine to drive a variable-pitch ducted-fan compressor with rudimentary afterburning. As such it did nothing to further gas turbine re-search, and was to all intents and purposes a technical dead-end. The engineer Secondo Campini had created a company in 1931 to pursue research into reaction propulsion and in 1939 persuaded Caproni to build an aircraft to accommodate the fruits of this work, namely the adaptation of an Isotta-Fraschini radial engine driving a ducted-fan compressor; the com-pressed air was exhausted through a variable-area nozzle in the aircraft's extreme tail, and additional fuel could extreme tail, and additional rule could be ignited in the tailpipe to increase thrust. The two-seat low-wing NI (sometimes referred to as the CC.2) was first flown at Taliedo on 28 August 1940 by Mario de Bernadi. A number of set-piece demonstration flights was undorther including one of 270 km undertaken, including one of 270 km (168 miles) from Taliedo to Guidoma at an average speed of 209 km/h (130 mph), but it was clear from the outset that use of a three-stage fancompressor driven by a piston engine would limit further development, and

With a maximum speed of only 375 km/h (233 mph), theNl served only to prove its propulsion concept waspossible. The design limitations meant that development would be fruitless, and as Italy's war effort gained momentum, thoughts turned to more immediate problems.



Nakajima Kikka

Encouraged by enthusiastic reports of the German Messerschmitt Me 262 from the Japanese air attaché in Berlin, the Japanese naval staff instructed Nakajima to develop a single-seat attack bomber based on the Me 262, capable of a speed of 690 km/h (430 mph) and able to carry a small bombload. Design started in Septem-ber 1944 under the direction of Kazuo Ohno and Kenichi Matsumur, and the resulting aircraft resembled the German design although somewhat smalman design although somewhat smal-ler owing to the very low power avail-able from the early Japanese jet en-gines. Initially the first prototype Naka-jima Kikka (orange blossom) was fitted with a pair of 200-kg (440-lb) thrust Tsu-11 ducted-flow engines, but these were quickly replaced by 340-kg (750-Ib) thrust Ne-12 turbojets, These also proved inadequate and for the first flight two 475-kg (1,047-lb) thrust Ne-20 axial-flow turbojets were fitted: axial-flow turbojets were fitted; however, it was still necessary to employ an auxiliary rocket for assisted take-off, The Kikka was first flown on 7

Based on the Me 262, the Kikka had less power and had to be built smaller. Even then it had too little power and needed rockets to get it off the ground. Only one example flew before the programme was abandoned due to the impending Japanese defeat.



The Caproni-Campini N l used an ingenious way of propelling itself. The piston engine inside the fuselage drove a ducted fan and fuel was bled and ignited in the compressed air emitted through the tailpipe.

the experiment was abandoned early in 1942 when Italy was faced with sterner priorities, The NI survives today in the Museo della Scienza Technica at Milan as a monument to ingenuity if not sophisticated technology.

Specification Type: two-seat research aircraft Powerplant: one 900-hp (671-kW) Isot-ta-Fraschini radial piston engine driv-ing a three-stage ducted-fan compressor Performance: maximum speed

75 km/h (233 mph) Weights: empty 3640 kg (8,025 lb); maximum take-off 4195 kg (9,248 lb) Dimensions: span 15.85m (52ft 0 in); length 13,10m (43 ft 0 in); wing area 36.00m^2 (387.51sqft) Armament: none Armament: none



August 1945 at Kisarazu Naval Air Base by Lieutenant Commander Sasumu Tanaoka; the second flight ended in damage when Tanaoka abandoned the take-off owing to the ATO rockets being incorrectly mounted. A second prototype was then nearing comple-tion and manufacture of 18 further aircraft had started when, on 15 August, the entire programme was aban-

doned, Production, which included versions for training, reconnaissance and air combat, had also been planned

Specification Kikka (1st prototype) Powerplant: two 475-kg (1,047-lb) thrust Ne-20 axial-flow turbojets 697 Performance: maximum speed 697 km/h (433 mph) at 10000 m (32,810 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,810 ft) in 26 mi-

nutes; service ceiling 12000 m (39,370 ft); range 940 km (586 miles) Weights: empty 2300kg (5,071 lb); maximum take-off 4080 kg (8,995 lb) Dimensions: span 10.00 m (32 ft9% in); length 8.125m (26ft 7^{7} /8in); height 2.05m (0ft 5^{16} /6); wing area 12.2 m² 75 m (9 ft S'/s in); wing area 13.2 m (142.08sqft)

Armament: one 500-kg (1,102-lb) or one 800-kg (1,764-lb) bomb; fighter version proposed with two 30-mm Type 5 cannon in nose





.IAPAN

Yokosuka MXY7 Ohka

It was during the summer of 1944 when, faced with overwhelming and fast-increasing Allied strength in the Pacific theatre, the Japanese naval staff first seriously entertained the concept of employing suicide tactics to defeat enemy attacks, and it was Ensign Mit-suo Ohta who first produced a rough design for a piloted flying bomb, a design which was assigned to Yokosuka for detailed completion. The resulting device was a small, mainly wooden aircraft with three solid-propellant rockets in the rear fuselage and a 1200kg (2,646-lb) explosive warhead in the nose. Carried aloft in the bomb bay of a modified Mitsubishi G4M bomber and flown towards the target area, the Yokosuka MXY7 Ohka (cherry blos-som) bomb would be released, its rockets fired and then flown directly to impact on a selected target; the pilot was sealed into his cockpit before take-off. Initial powered flights started at Sagami in October 1944, followed by unmanned, powered flights the next month. Production was put in hand,

and a total of 755 Ohkas was built before March 1945 when production en-ded. The weapon was first employed by the 721st Kokutai on 21 March 1945, but the carrier aircraft were intercepted and forced to release their flying bombs too early. On 1 April the US battleship *West Virginia* and three transport vessels were hit and damtransport vessels were hit and dam-aged by Ohkas. Limited success attended other suicide attacks by Ohkas, but the transport aircraft proved fatally vulnerable in the pre-sence of powerful American defences and the Japanese suicide tactic was never a serious threat to Allied opera-tions in the Pacific, for all its macabre implications implications.

Specification Ohka Model 11

Type: single-seat suicide aircraft Powerplant: three solid-fuel Type 4 Mark 1 Model 20 rockets with total thrust of 800 kg (1,764 lb) Performance: maximum level speed



Powered by three rockets in the tail, the Ohka was a piloted bomb released from its mother aircraft when near the target. The pilot was

650 km/h (403 mph); terminal diving speed 927 km/h (576 mph); range 37 km (23 miles) Weights: empty 440 kg (970 lb); max-imum take-off 2140 kg (4,718 lb)

sealed into his cockpit before the night, so once released he had only one hope: to cause maximum damage to his target.

Dimensions: span 5.12 m (16 ft 9'/2 in); length 6,07 m (19 ft. 10% in); height 1.16 m (3 ft 9% in); wing area 6,0² (64.6 sq ft) Warhead: 1200 kg (2,646 lb)

The first production Vampire made its first Hight in April 1945, but production examples such as thisF.Mkl did not reach the RAF until 1946.



Among the earliest British gas turbines destined forflight was the Haiford H, 1, designed by Major F,B. Haiford and manufactured by de Havilland. In re-sponse to Air Ministry Specification E.6.41 the de Havilland company decided to employ this engine in a radical little fighter prototype initially known as the Spidercrab. In an effort to avoid thrust losses through long intake and exhaust ducts the engine intakes were located in the wing roots and the fuselage terminated immediately aft of the engine jet pipe, the aircraft's tail being carried on slender twin booms. The result was an extremely neat and The result was an extremely neat and compact design, and the first flight of the prototype, made by Geoffrey de Havilland Jr, took place at Hatneld in Hertfordshire on 20 September 1943, just 16 months after the start of design, the aircraft (LZ548/G) featuring pointed fins, Two further prototypes (LZ551/G and MP838/G) guickly joined the flight programme, the latter car-rying the planned armament of four

de Havilland Vampire

20-mm Hispano cannon under the nose, The name was changed to Vam-pire and on 13 May 1944 a contract for 120 production examples of the Vam-pire F.Mk I (later increased to 300) was placed for manufacture by the English Electric Company, Preston. The first production aircraft (TG274/G), with square-cut fins and Goblin turbojet (as the Halford engine was named) was flown at Samlesbury on 20 April 1945, becoming the first British fighter with a speed of over 805 km/h (500 mph), Pre-service and handling trials occu-pied the remainder of 1945, however, and the Vampire saw no operational service during the war, entering RAF Fighter Command in the summer of 1946.

Specification Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: one 1225-kg (2,700-lb) thrust de Havilland Goblin centrifugalflow turbojet

Performance: maximum speed 824 km/h (512 mph) at 10365 m (34,000 ft); initial climb 1235 m (4,050 ft) per mi-nute; service ceiling 12620 m (41,400 ft); range 1190 km (740 miles) Weights: empty 2803kg (6,180 lb); maximum take-off 4627 kg (10,200 lb)

Dimensions: span 12,19 m (40 ft 0 in); length 9.37 m (30 ft 9 in); height 2,69 m (8 ft 10 in); wing area 24.71 m² (266.0 sq

TGR

ft) Armament: four 20-mm Hispano cannon under the nose

TG278 was the fifth production Vampire F.Mk I, all of which were built by English Electric to relieve de Havilland. The first 40 aircraft had Goblin I engines and carried an armament of four 20-mm cannon in dearnee to conversione bod the nose. Later versions had pressurized cockpits with bubble canopies.





Assured of a place in aviation history, the Gloster E.28/39 was the first British jet aircraft to fly. Although its design was based on possible fighter requirements (with weight and space allowance for an armament of four riflecalibre machine-guns), the small lowwing aircraft was strictly a research vehicle intended to prove the flight characteristics of the pioneering Whitle W. I reverse-flow gas turbine with centrifugal compressor. It was an attractive aircraft with nosewheel landing gear and nose inlet for the midships-mounted turbojet, which exhausted through the extreme rear fuselage. Specification E, 28/39 was issued to the Gloster Aircraft Company on 3 February 1940; the first prototype underwent taxiing trials at Hucclecote in April 1941 and on 15 May that year was first flown by P.E.G. Sayer from Cranwell with a 390-kg (860-lb) thrust W.I engine. On 4 February 1942 the aircraft was flown with a 526-kg (1,160lb) thrust W.1A; on 30 July 1942, while flying with a 692-kg (1,526-lb) thrust Rover W.2B engine, the aircraft entered an inverted spin with jammed ailerons, forcing the RAE pilot to bale out. A second prototype had joined the test programme, and was powered by a 771-kg (1,700-lb) thrust Power Jets W.2/500 turbojet, later boosted to 798kg (1,760-lb) thrust, and it was with this engine that the aircraft survived to be put on permanent exhibition in the South Kensington Science Museum.

Specification

Type: single-seat research aircraft Powerplant: one 798-kg (1,760-lb) thrust Power Jets W.2/500 turbojet Performance: maximum speed 750 km/h (466 mph) at 3050m (10,000ft); service ceiling 9753m (32,000ft) Weights: empty 1309kg (2,886 lb); maximum take-off 1700 kg (3,748 lb) Dimensions: span 8.84m (29ft 0 in); length 7.72m (25ft 3% in); height 2.82m (9ft 3 in); wing area 13.61m² (146.5 sq ft) Armament: none

Two Gloster E.28139s were built; one was lost in an accident and the other survived to be displayed in the Science Museum in London. It was fitted with provision for armament but remained as a research aircraft throughout its flying career.





The Gloster Meteor was the only Allied jet aircraft to reach combat status during the war, and indeed survived in service with the RAF as a fighter for some 15 years afterwards. Designed by George Carter to Air Ministry Specification F.9/40, the aircraft employed twin engines owing to the low power available from turbojets early in the 1940s. Eight prototypes were built: the first had Rover W.2B engines, the second Power Jets W.2/ 500s, the third Metrovick F.2 axial-flow turbojets, the fourth W.2B/23 engines, the fifth Halford/de Havilland H. Is, the sixth (prototype Meteor Mk II) DH Goblin engines, the seventh also Goblins but with modified fin and rudder, and the eighth Rolls-Royce W.2B/37 Derwent Is. Although the first prototype was completed first and underwent taxing trials in July 1942 at Newmarket, it was the fifth aircraft that was firstflown on 5March 1943 atCranwell. The first production batch comprised 20 Meteor Mk I aircraft with Rolls-Royce W.2B/23 Weiland I reverse-flow

EE2111C was the second production Meteor, an F.Mk I. Armed with four 20-mm cannon and powered by two Weiland I turbojets, it could reach a speed of 668 km/h (415 mph). 'Meteors provided good training for American bomber crews now faced with attacks from Me 262s. turbojets with centrifugal-flow compressors; roughly half of these were delivered to No. 616 Squadron at Culmhead in July 1944, and the squadron then moved to Mansion where it flew operational sorties against the flying bombs being launched against southern England; the first such weapon was destroyed on 4 August. The squadron later moved to Belgium where it was joined by No, 504 Squadron with Meteor Mk III aircraft, also with Weiland engines, but fitted with sliding hoods. One of the production Meteor Mk Is was shipped to the USA in exchange for a Bell YP-59A for evaluation purposes, Another was the world's first turboprop-powered aircraft, being fitted with two Rolls-Royce Trents, although this aircraft was not flown until two weeks after the end of the war.

Specification Meteor F.Mk I Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: two 771-kg (1,700-lb) thrust Rolls-Royce Weiland I turbojets Performance! maximum speed 668 km/h (415 mph) at 3050m (10,000ft); service ceiling 12190m (40,000ft)

Weights: empty 3692kg (8,1401b); maximum take-off 6257 kg (13,795 lb) Dimensions: span 13,11m (43ft Oin); length 12.57m(41 ft 3in); height 3.96m (13 ft Oin); wing area 34.74m² (374.0 sq ft)

Armament: four nose-mounted 20-mm Hispano cannon (provision for six)





Bell P-59 Airacomet

In the almost total absence of work on gas turbines for aircraft in the USA before 1941, the UK undertook to supply the General Electric Company (which had worked on industrial turbines for many years) with details of Frank Whittle's progress to date. On account of the Bell Aircraft Corporation's proximity to General Electric's engine plant, that company was selected to develop a fighter around the USA's first turbojet on 5 September 1941. With a Whitle-type General Electric 1-A turbojet on each side of the fuselage be-neath the wing roots, the Bell Model 27 was designated the XP-59A, the first of was designated the XP-59A, the first of three being flown by Robert M, Stan-ley at Muroc Dry Lake on 1 October 1942. Named Airacomet, 13 develop-ment YP-59A aircraft followed during 1943-4 with the more powerful General Electric 1-16 (J31) turbojet, and these were used primarily to provide basic flight data on turbojets. Production orders for 20 P-59A aircraft with J31-GE-3 engines and 80 P-59B aircraft with J31-GE-5 engines were awarded but, as a result of successful develop-ment of the Lockheed P-80 Shooting ment of the Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star the last 50 of the latter were canstar the last 50 of the latter were can-celled as superfluous. All production had been completed by the end of the war and many of the aircraft were issued to a special USAAF unit, the 412th Fighter Group, for use as drones or drone controllers, some aircraft hav-ing a second open cockpit in the presor drone controllers, some alreral hav-ing a second open cockpit in the nose for an observer. No P-59 ever achieved operational status, being found to lack adequate performance, although a single YP-59A was shipped to the UK for evaluation at the Royal Aircraft Establishment and the Acco Aircraft Establishment and the Aeroplane & Armament Experimental Establishment late in 1943.

Specification P-59B Airacomet

Type: single-seat interceptor fighter Powerplant: two 907-kg (2,000-lb) thrust General Electric J31-GE-5 tur-



bojets

Performance: maximum speed 658 km/h (409 mph) at 10670m (35,000ft);climbto3050m(10,000ft)in 3 minutes 20 seconds; service ceiling 14040 m (46,200 ft); range 644 km (400 miles)

Weights: empty 3704kg (8.165 lb):

maximum take-oü 6214 kg (13,700 lb) Dimensions: span 13.87m (45ft 6 in); length 11.62m (38ft IVàin); height 3.66m (12 ft 0 in); wing area 35.84 m² (385.8 sq ft) Armament: one 20-mm M4 cannon and three 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the nose

Below: The first three prototypes (XP-59A) tea tared a Hush canopy -later models having a stepped canopy. The P-59 was ordered in production but the P-80 was preferred, and the Airacomet never saw service, lacking adequate performance.



Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star

The second American jet fighter to fly The second American jet fighter to fly during the war was the Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star, two development exam-ples of which reached the Italian war zone just before VE-day, but failed to fly an operational sortie. Designed under the leadership of Clarence L. Johnson around the British Haiford (de Havilland) H.I turbojet of 1361-kg (3,000-lb) thrust, the first prototype XP-80 was flown at Muroc Dry Lake by Milo Burcham on 8 January 1944, only 1,43 days after the start of the project. The next two prototypes were desig-nated XP-80A and were powered by the Allison-developed General Elec-

tric J33 engine of 1814-kg (4,000-lb) thrust, the first being flown by Tony Le Vier on 10 June 1944. With low-set vier on 10 June 1944. With low-set equitapered laminar-flow wings and engine air intakes set into the fuselage just forward of the wing roots, this ver-sion had a top speed of 898 km/h (558 mph) at sea level, Thirteen de-velopment YP-80A aircraft for service trials, with J33-GE-9 or J33-GE-11 engines and an armament of six machine-

guns in the nose, started delivery to test establishments in October 1944 and it was aircraft of this type that arrived in Italy in May 1945. Production deliveries of the P-80A did not start until December that year, however, four months too late to see action against the Japanese, Subsequently the P-80 gave long and valuable ser-vice in the post-war USAF (particularly in the Korean War of 1950-3),

Specification

P-80A Shooting Star Type: single-seat interceptor fighter *Powerplant:* one 1814-kg (4,000-lb) thrust General Electric J33-A-11 turbojet

Performance: maximum speed 933 km/h (580 mph) at 8535m (28,000ft); initial climb rate 1395m

TheP-80 was powered by a J33 engine which replaced the British Haiford H. l. Through its developments it became the most successful firstgeneration jet, leading to the T-33 trainer and the F-94 Startire. Thisis a P-80A-1-LO serving with the 412th Fighter Group in 1946.



Berez-Isa BI

Under the direction of Prof, Viktor Bolkhovitinov, with detail design by Aleksandr Bereznyak and Aleksei Isayev (accounting for the BI of the designation), the Berez-Isa BI was the first liquid rocket-engined fighter flown in the USSR. A low-wing monoplane, the BI had a Dushkin D-1A rocket engine in the tail, and this was highly temperamental, its volatile fuel mixture of kerosene and nitric acid being not only dangerous to handle, but also causing corrosion of tanks and fuel lines. The BI was flown initially as a glider on 10 September 1941, the first significant powered flight of 3 minutes 9 seconds, being made by the third prototype on 15 May 1942. Work on 50 pre-production aircraft was started, but halted when a prototype dived into the ground on 27 March 1943 during a low-level high-speed run, killing its pilot. The endurance of the BI was inadequate for operational use, but a two-chamber engine developed by Dushkin to overcome this deficiency, with low (cruising) and high (combat) thrust settings was almost double the weight and considered unsuitable. Wind tunnel testing that followed the fatal crash revealed a stability problem that could not be resolved and further development was abandoned. Before this, however, the seventh prototype with a more powerful engine had demostrated a rate of climb of 4980 m (16,340 ft) per minute,

Specification

Powerplant: one 1000-kg (2,205-lb) thrust Dushkin D-1A rocket engine Performance: (estimated) maximum speed 1000 km/h (621 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,810 ft) in 59 seconds; endurance 8 to 15 minutes

Weights: empty 958 kg (2,112 lb); maximum take-off 1683 kg (3,710 lb) Dimensions: span 6.48m (21ft 3 in); length 6.40m (21ft 0 in); wing area 7.00m² (75.35 sqft) Armament: two nose-mounted 20-mm

ShVAK cannon

The third prototype Berez-Isa (Bolkhovitinov) BI seen taking offon its first powered night. Performance in terms of speed and rate of climb were phenomenal, but the aerodynamics showed insoluble stability problems and the project was abandoned. (4,580ft) per minute; service ceiling 13715 m (45,000 ft); range 870 km (540 miles)

Weights: empty 3593kg (7,9201b); maximum take-off 6577 kg (14,500 lb) Dimensions: span 12.17m (39ft 11 in); length 10.52 m(34 ft 6 in); height 3.45 m (lift 4 in); wing area 22,11 m² (238.0 sq ft) Armament: six 12.7 mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the nose



Below: The BI first appeared as a glider for testing purposes before having its rocket motor installed.

Above: The third prototype BI was fitted with retractable skis which could be in terchanged with wheels depending on the ground conditions. Armament was two 20-mm cannon.



Axis Ground Attack Aircraft

Ground attack aircraft played a vital role in the victorious German campaigns of 1939-41. The German army's Blitzkrieg doctrine involved close integration of tactical air power and mechanized army units. This combination of Panzer mobility and airborne artillery firepower seemed to be unstoppable.



The Focke Wolfe FW 190, one of Germany's leading ground attack aircraft. This one, loaded and ready for action, has been abandoned at an aerodrome by retreating Axis forces in Italy.

One of the most significant aspects of the history of land warfare in Europe during World War II was the inexorable change in the relative air power of the Axis and Allies over the battlefields. When the war started the German army was the principal weapon of aggression, to which all else played a supporting role; its tactic was the Blitzkrieg the smashing of all opposition by assault troops with fast-moving armour continuously supported by tactical aircraft. So long as the Luftwaffe possessed air superiority over the ground battle, the German army held the initiative. This had been the pervading doctrine that dictated the swift expansion of Germany's armed forces after the Nazis had taken power in 1933.

By contrast the Allies had almost totally ignored air support of their armies, other than to provide very limited tactical reconnaissance. This proved a major cause of one defeat after another during the first two years of the war as Poland, Denmark, Norway, the Netherlands, Belgium, France, Yugoslavia, Greece and Crete fell to the smashing blows of Blitzkrieg.

Inevitably, the mounting losses suffered by the Luftwaffe on the Eastern Front and in the Mediterranean (as Allied strength increased everywhere) deprived the Luftwaffe of air superiority over the land battle. The traditional weapon of the Blitzkrieg, the notorious Stuka (the Junkers Ju 87), could no longer attack at will, being faced by formidable fighter opposition almost everywhere. Yet in the absence of a more advanced replacement for the Stuka, the Germans were forced to persevere with this weapon, and as a result suffered catastrophic losses. Such efforts as they were able to extemporize tended to be set-piece expedients, planned and executed by the Luftwaffe, rather than continuous support that could be called up by ground forces in a moment of emergency - and immediately appreciated by the hard-pressed soldier below. Once the German army no longer saw friendly aircraft continuously flying overhead, the Blitzkrieg was impossible.

No other Axis air force had been as closely integrated with its own land forces as had the Luftwaffe. The Allies were quick to appreciate the paramount importance of assuming air superiority over the ground battle, so much so that, after the pendulum of fortune swung back following the battles at Stalingrad and El Alamein, the British, Soviet and American armies were afforded powerful and continuous air support. The Luftwaffe no longer had it in its power to dispute Allied superiority in the skies over the German army.



In May 1936 the Imperial Japanese Army issued its specification for a light bomber required to supersede the Mitsubishi Ki-2 and Kawasaki Ki-3 then in service. The Mitsubishi Ki-30 pro-totype that resulted was of cantilever mid-wing monoplane configuration with fixed tailwheel landing gear, the main units faired and spatted, and po-wered by a 615-kW (825-hp) Mitsu-bishi Ha-6 radial engine. Flown for the first time on 28 February 1937 this air-craft performed well, but it was de-cided to fly a second prototype with the more powerful Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radial engine. This aircraft showed some slight improvement in performance but, in any case, exceeded the army's original specification, so there was no hesitation in ordering 16 ser-vice trials aircraft. These were deli-vered in January 1938 and, two months later, the Ki-39 was ordered into production.

First used operationally in China during 1938, the Ki-30s proved to be most effective, for in that theatre they had the benefit of fighter escort. The the beginning of the Pacific war, but as soon as the Allies were in a position to confrontunescorted Ki-30s with fighter aircraft they immediately began to suf-for heavy poses and ware soon suffer heavy losses and were soon rele-gated to second-line use. The Allied codename 'Ann' was allocated to the Ki-30, but few were seen operationally after the opening phases of the war. A total of 704 had been built when pro-

JAPAN



Mitsubishi Ki-51

To meet an Imperial Japanese Army specification of December 193[^] for a ground-attack aircraft, which it was suggested could be a development of the Ki-30 light bomber, Mitsubishi produced two prototypes under the de-signation Mitsubishi Ki-51. Of similar external appearance to the Ki-30, the new design was generally of smaller dimensions, had a revised and sim-plified cockpit that put the two-man crew more closely together and, because the bomb bay was not required, the monoplane wing was moved from a mid- to low-wing configuration. Powerplant chosen was the Mitsubishi

Ha-26-II radial engine, Tested during the summer of 1939, the two prototypes were followed by 11 service trials aircraft, these being completed before the end of the year. They differed from the prototypes by incorporating a number of modifica-tions, but most important were the in-troduction of fixed leading-edge slots troduction of fixed leading-edge slots to improve slow-speed handling and armour plate beneath the engine and crew positions, In addition to the stan-dard production aircraft, there were attempts to develop dedicated recon-naissance versions, initially by the con-version of one Ki-51 service trials air-craft which had the rear cockpit rede-signed to accommodate reconnaisssigned to accommodate reconnaissance cameras. Test and evaluation of this aircraft, redesignated Ki-51a, brought a realization that the standard Ki-51 could be modified to have provisions for the installation of reconnaissance cameras, and this change was made on the production line. Subse-quently, three Ki-71 tactical reconnai-sance prototypes were developed from the Ki-51, introducing the 1119-





duction ended in 1941, 68 manufac-tured by the First Army Air Arsenal at Tachikawa, and many of these ended their days in a *kamikaze* role during the closing stages of the war.

Specification

Type: two-seat light bomber Powerplant: one 708-kW (950-hp) Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radial piston engine

Performance: maximumpeed423km/ h (263 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); cruising speed 380 km/h (236 mph); cruising speed 380 km/h (236 mph); service ceiling 8570 m(28, 115 ft); range 1700 km (1,056 miles) Weights: empty 2230 kg(4,916 lb); maximum take-off 3220 kg (7,099 lb) Dimensions: span 14.55 m (47 ft 8.8 in); length 10.35 m (33 ft 11.5 in); height 3,65 m (11 ft 11,7 in); wing area 30.58 m² (329.17 sq ft)

kW (1,500-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-11 en-

gine, retractable landing gear, two wing-mounted 20-mm cannon and

other refinements, but no production examples were built.

Allocated the Allied codename 'Sonia', the Ki-51 was used initially in operations against China, and was de-ployed against the Allies until the end of the Pacific war. In more intensely

or the radius wat. In those methods were easy prey for Allied fighters, but in secondary theatres, where an ability to operate from rough and short fields was valuable, these aircraft gave

essential close support in countless op-erations. In the closing stages of the



Above: A Mitsubishi Ki-30 ofthe 2nd Chutai, WthHikosentai, in 1942. A two-seater light bomber, code-named 'Ann'by Allied forces, theKi-30 enjoyed some success over China but proved hopelessly vulnerable to hostile fighter aircraft unless closely escorted.

Armament:onewing-mounted7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun and one gun of the same calibre on tramable mount in rear cockpit, plus a maximum bombloadof 400 kg (882 lb)

Above: By the time production ended in 1941 over 700 Ki-30s had been produced and the type had largely been relegated to second-line use.

war they were used in kamikaze attacks.

Specification

Mitsubishi Ki-51 Type: two-seat ground-attack/ reconnaissance aircraft Powerplant: one 701-kW (940-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-26-II radial piston engine engine Performance: maximum speed 425 km/h (264 mph) at 3000 m (9,845 ft); service ceiling 8270 m (27,130 ft); range 1060 km (659 miles) Weights: empty 1873 kg (4,129 lb); maximum take-off 2920 kg (6,437 lb) Dimensions: span 12.10 m (39 ft 8.4 in); length9.20 m (30 ft 2.2 in); height 2.73 m (8 ft 11.5 in); wing area 24.02 nf (258.56 sq ft) Armament: two wing-mounted 7.7-mm

(0.303-in) machine-guns (early production) or two wing-mounted 12.7mm (0.5-in) guns (late production), and one 7.7-mm (0,303-in) gun on trainable mount in rear cockpit, plus a bombload of 200 kg (441 lb) increasing to 250 kg (551 lb) in kamikaze role

Slow and vulnerable, the Mitsubishi Ki-51 nevertheless served throughout the war, mainly in secondary theatres, where its rough landing capability was a valuable asset.





JAPAN

Kawasaki Ki-45 Toryu

In early 1937 Kawasaki was instructed by the Imperial Japanese army to initiate the design and development of a twin-engine fighter that would be suitable for long-range operations over the Pacific, The concept derived from army interest in developments taking place in other countries, and particularly in the Messerschmitt Bf 110. The first Kawasaki Ki-45 Toryu (dragon killer) prototype flew in 1939, a cantilever mid-wing monoplane with retractable tailwheel landing gear. A slender fuselage provided enclosed accommodation for two in tandem. Problems followed with the engine installation, and it was not until September 1941 that the Ki-45 KAIa entered production. Armament of this initial series version comprised one forward-firing 20mm cannon, two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the nose, and a 7.92mm (0,31-in) machine-gun on a flexible mount in the rear cockpit; there was also provision to carry two drop tanks or two 250-kg (551-1b) bombs on underwing racks, The type entered service in August 1942 but was first used in combat during October 1942, soon being allocated the Allied codename 'Nick'. The Ki-45 KAIa was joined by a new version developed especially for the ground-attack/antishipping role, the Ki-45 KAIb. Stan-



The Kawasaki Ki- $45 \overline{KAlc}$ was the night-fighter variant of this highly successful twin-engined fighter-bomber. Carrying one forward-firing and two obliquely-mounted upward-firing cannon, this is a machine belonging to the 1st Chutai, 53rdSentai, based atMatsudo in early 1945.

dard armament comprised one 20-mm cannon in the nose, a forward-firing 37-mm cannon in the fuselage, and one rear-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machinegun, plus the underwing provision for drop tanks or bombs; a number of alternative weapon installations were tried experimentally, including the use of a 75-mm (2.95-in) cannon for attacks on shipping.

on shipping. The Ki-45 KAIa was, for its day, heavily armed and proved effective against the USAF's Consoldiated B-24 Liberators and, when these bombers were used more extensively for night operations, the Ki-45 was adapted to attack them. Thus the night-fighting capability of the type was discovered, leading to development of the Ki-45 KAIc night-fighter, which proved to be one of the most successful Japanese aircraft in this category, Ki-45 Toryus remained in service until the end of the Pacific war, production totalling 1,701 including prototypes, being used for the defence of Tokyo, and in the Manchuria, Burma and Sumatra areas of operations.

Specification

Kawasaki Ki-45 KAIc Type: two-seat night-fighter Powerplant: two 805-kW (1,080-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-102 radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 545 km/h (339 mph) at 7000 m (22,965 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 6 minutes 7 seconds; service ceiling 10000 m (32,810 ft); range 2000 km

(1,243 miles)

Weights: empty 4000 kg (8,818 lb); maximum take-off 5500 kg (12,125 lb) Dimensions: span 15.05 m (49 ft 4.5 in); length 11.00m(36ft 1.1 in);height 3.70 m (12 ft 1.7 in); wing area 32.00 m² (344,46 sq ft) Armament: cannon and machine-guns as listed in text; all versions had provision for two drop tanks or two 250-kg (551 -lb) bombs on underwing racks

Heavily armed by Japanese standards, the Kawasaki Ki-45 was developed as a long-range fighter. It doubled as a ground attack aircraft, one model being fitted with an experimental 75-mm (2.95-in) mount for the anti-shipping role.





Kawasaki Ki-48

Imperial Japanese Army aircraft confronted by the Soviet-built Tupolev SB-2 bomber, providing support for the Chinese during 1937, were rudely surprised by its capability, its maximum speed being such that Japanese army fighter aircraft were virtually unable to incercept it. Almost at once the army instructed Kawasaki to begin the design of a twin-engine light bomber of even better capability, specifying a maximum speed of about 485 km/h (301 mph). Work on what was to become known as the Kawasaki Ki-48 began in January 1938, the result being a cantilever mid-wing monoplane with conventional tail unit, retractable tailwheel landing gear and, in the type's

In 1937 the Japanese encountered the Tupolev SB-2 in Chinese hands, and were very impressed as the Soviet aircraft could outpace Japanese fighters. The Ki-48 was Japan's reply: a Ught bomber of similar appearance.



prototype form, two 708-kW (950-hp) Nakajima Ha-25 radial engines mounted in nacelles at the wing leading edges. The fuselage provided accommodation for a crew of four (the bombardier, navigator and radiooperator each doubling as gunners) and incorporated an internal bomb bay.

bay. Ki-48s entered service in the summer of 1940, becoming operational in China during the autumn of that year. In China their speed gave the Ki-48s almost complete immunity from enemy defences, but their deployment against Allied aircraft at the beginning of the Pacific war revealed that their superior performance was illusory. Codenamed 'Lily' by the Allies, this initial production version had a number of deficiencies for the different kind of operations then required, and it was fortunate for the Japanese army that an improved version was already under development. This had the company designation Ki-48-II and differed from the earlier model by introducing a slightly lengthened fuselage, protected fuel tanks, armour protection for the crew, increased bombload and more powerful Nakajima Ha-115 engines. Unfortunately for the Japanese army, when the Ki-48-II was introduced into operational service its speed was still too low and its defensive armament inadequate. Attempts to increase armament merely upped the overall weight and speed suffered proportionately: it was clear by the summer of 1944 that the day of the Ki-48 had passed, and in October it was declared obsolescent.

Specification Kawasaki Ki-48-IIb

Type: four-seat light/dive-bomber Powerplant: two 858-kW (1,150-hp) Nakajima Ha-115 radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 505 km/h (314 mph) at 5600 m (18,375 ft); service ceiling 10100m (33,135 ft); maximum range 2400 km (1,491 miles) Weights: empty 4550 kg (10,031 lb); maximum take-off 6750 kg (14,881 lb) Dimensions: span 17.45 m (57 ft 3 in); length 12.75m(41 ft 10 in); height 3.80 m (12 ft 5.6 in); wing area 40.00 m² (430.57 sq ft) Armament: three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns on trainable mounts in

machine-guns on trainable mounts in nose, dorsal and ventral positions, plus up to 800 kg (1,764 lb) of bombs

JAPAN Kawasaki Ki-102

Derived from the Ki-96 twin-engine single-seat fighter, development of which was abandoned after three prototypes had been completed, the Kawasaki Ki-102b was intended as a two-seat attack fighter for primary de-ployment in the close-support role. Some assemblies of the Ki-96 pro-Some assembles of the K1-96 pro-totypes were incorporated into the three Ki-102 prototypes, the first of which was completed in March 1944. A cantilever mid-wing monoplane with a conventional tail unit, retractable tail-wheel landing gear and two Mitsubishi he 112 L radial engines the Ki-102 Ha-112-11 radial engines, the Ki-102 accommodated its two-man crew in separate enclosed cockpits in tandem, Completion of the prototypes was fol-lowed by the construction of 20 preproduction aircraft and in Octobei 1944 the type was ordered into pro-duction. With the Imperial Japanese Army still anxious to procure a twin-engine high-altitude fighter, Kawasaki modified six of the preproduction Ki-102s to serve as prototypes of such an interceptor. This differed from the attack fighter by having improved twoseat accommodation, a revised tail unit and Mitsubishi Ha-112-IIru engines with turbochargers. Successful testing of this version in mid-1944 resulted in a high-priority production order, but problems with the turbocharged en-gine resulted in only about 15 being

delivered to the army before the war ended. The design had also been revised to produce a night-fighter version under the designation Ki-102c, but there was only time to complete two examples. These had increased wing span, a lengthened fuselage, redesigned tail surfaces, primitive AI radar, and armament comprising two 30-mm Ho-105 cannon in the underfuselage and two 20-mm Ho-5 cannon mounted obliquely in the fuselage to fire forward and upward. Ki-102b aircraft, which were allocated the Allied codename 'Randy', saw comparatively little service, some being used in action over Okinawa, but the majority were held in reserve in Japan.

Specification Kawasaki Ki-102b Type: twin-engine ground-attack aircraft

Powerplant: two 1119-kW (1,500-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-11 radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 580 km/h (360 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); service ceiling 11000 m (36,090 ft); range 2000 km (1,243 miles) Weights: empty 4950 kg (10,913 lb); maximum take-off 7300 kg (16094 lb) Dimensions: span 15.57 m (51 ft 1 in); length 11.45 m (37 ft 6.8 in); height 3.70m(12ft1,7in);wingarea34.00m^K

(365.98 sq ft) Armament: one 57-mm Ho-401 cannon in the nose, two 20-mm Ho-5 cannon in the underfuselage, and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun on a flexible mounting in the rear cockpit, plus two 200-litre (44-Imp gal) drop tanks or two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs carried on underwing racks

TheKi-102b in its ground attack form entered service around November 1944, a few seeing action at Okinawa but the majority being retained to defend the homeland from the expected invasion.





Caproni Bergamaschi Ca 306/Ca 309/310/314

At the 1935 Milan Exhibition there appeared the prototype of the Caproni Bergamaschi Ca 306 Borea (north wind), a six-passenger low-wing transport, Although built only in small numbers, the Borea was important as the progenitor of a range of light twinengine aircraft manufactured for a wide variety of roles, The first of these was the aptly-named Ca-309 Ghibli (desert wind), 78 of which were built for use in Libya. The military versions were used as light transports or reconnaissance bombers with a lengthened glazed nose, bomb racks, cameras, and with armament comprising three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns. Another model featured a fixed forward firing 20-mm cannon. Seven squadrons equipped with Ghiblis were operational when Italy entered the war in 1940.

Developed in parallel with the Ghibli, the Ca 310 Libeccio (south west wind) was structurally similar to the earlier machine, but was provided with retractable landing gear and po-



A Caproni Ca310 Libeccio (south west wind), is seen in Norwegian service based at Sola airfield near Stavanger. Other countries to acquire the Ca 310 included Peru and Yugoslavia.

wered by two 350-kW (470-hp) Piaggio P. VII C. 35 radial engines. Export deliveries went to Norway, Peru and Yugoslavia, and this last nation also acquired 12 more under the designation Ca 31 Obis; this variant differed primarily by having an unstepped extensively-glazed nose.

The prototype of the Ca SlObis served as a development aircraft for the following Ca 311. As built they were similar to the Ca SlObis, but most were later modified by the introduction of a stepped windscreen, then being redesignated Ca 311M, Defensive armament of this version comprised a Caproni Lanciani turret with a single7.7-mm(0.303-in)machine-gun, complemented by one machine-gun in the port wing root and another firing aft through a ventral hatch. A modified Ca 310 with two Isotta-Fraschini Asso 120 IRCC 40 engines served as the Ca 313 prototype, first flown on 22 December 1939, but France had already confirmed an order for 200 of these aircraft on 1 October, followed closely by British and Swedish orders for 300 and 64 respectively. However, Italy's entry into the war prevented delivery of any of the British machines and France re-ceived only five Ça 313F models, the remainder being diverted to the Regia Aeronautica.

Most extensively built version was the Ca 314. Variants included the Ca 314A or Ca 314-SC (Scorta), a convoy escort/maritime patrol aircraft, the Ca 314B or Ca314-RA (Ricognizione Aerosiluranti) torpedo-bomber and the ground-attack Ca 314C.

Specification CaproniCa314A Type: convoy escort and maritime patrol aircraft Powerplant: two 544-kW (730-hp) Isotta-Fraschini Delta RC 35 12-cylinder inverted-Vee piston engines Performance: maximum speed 395 km/h (245 mph) at 4000 m 395 km/n (245 mpn) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); cruising speed 320 km/h (199 mph) at 4200 m(13,780 ft); service ceiling 6400 m (21000 ft); maximum range 1690 km (1,050 miles) Weights: empty 4560 kg (10,053 lb); maximum take-off 6620 kg (14,595 lb) Dimensions: span 16.65 m (54 ft 7.5 in);



Intended as an aeroplano di combat*timento*, capable of fulfilling the roles of intereceptor fighter, light bomber, or reconnaissance/attack aircraft as required, the prototype Breda Ba.65 made its initial flight in September 1935. Experience in Spain indicated that the Ba.65 was suited only to the attack role, and the type served thenceforth with most of the eight squadriglie attached to the two Regia Aeronautica assault stormi (wings), the 5° and 50°, A second series of 137 air-craft was built by Breda (80) and Cap-roni-Vizzola (57), before production ended in July 1939, They differed from the first production batch by having Fiat A.80 engines. Six Fiat-powered Ba.65s and four more of the Gnome-Rhone-powered version were sent to the Aviazione Legionaria in Spain in 1938.

Following Italy's entry into World War II in June 1940, Ba.65s were in-volved in the fighting in North Africa against the British. They had a low ser-viceability rate in desert conditions and put up an unimpressive performance, The last serviceable aircraft was lost during the British offensive m Cyrenaica in February 1941. A large number of the Ba.65s serv-

ing with Italian units were of two-seat configuration, with an observer/gunner in an open cockpit above the trail-ing edge of the wing. A smaller num-ber of the type had a Breda L type turret, but in either case the observer/ (0.303-in) machine-gun. While offen-sive armament could theoretically comprise up to 1000kg (2,205 lb) of bombs, the load usually carried was up to 300 kg (661 lb) in the fuselage bomb bay or, alternatively, up to 200 kg (441 lb) on underwing racks. Exports included 25 Fiat-powered

Ba.65 two-seaters to Iraq in 1938, two of them dual-control trainers and the remainderwithBredaLturrets;20Ba.65s with Piaggio P.XIC.40 engines to Chile later in the same year, 17 of them sing-le-seaters and three dual-control trainers; and 10 Fiat-powered two-seaters with Breda L turrets to Portugal in



This Caproni Ca31 OM of the 8^a Escuadrilla, Grupo 18, Agrupacion Espanola (the Nationalist airforce), operated in Spain during late 1938.

length 11.80 m(38 ft 8.6 in); height 3.70 m(12 ft 1.7 in); wingarea 39.20 m² (421.96sqft) Armament: two 12.7-mm(0.5-in) machine-guns in the wing roots and one 7.7-mm(0.303-in) gun in a dorsal turret, plus a bombload of 500 kg (1,102 lb)

The Caproni Ca314 was the last and most widely built of the series, and was used in maritime roles as well as for ground attack.





This Breda Ba.65 was flown by the Aviazione Legionaria on the Nationalist side during the Spanish Civil War.



Spanish experience showed theBa.65 to be suitable for ground attack only, although 25 two-seaters were sold to Iraq, where they served in No. 5 (Fighter) Squadron.

November 1939. A single Fiatpowered production aircraft was tested with an American Pratt & Whitney R-1830 engine in June 1937 in anticipation of an order from the Chinese Nationalist government, but this failed to materialize. The Iraqi Ba.65s saw limited action against the British during the 1941 insurrection in that country.

Specification

Breda 65/A.80 (single-seat version) Type: ground-attack aircraft Powerplant: one 746-kW (1,000-hp) Fiat A.80RC.41 radial piston engine Performance: maximum level speed 430km/h(267mph);maximumlevel speed, two-seat version 410 km/h (255 mph); service ceiling 6300 m (20,670ft); range550km(342miles) Weights: empty equipped 2400 kg (5,291 lb); maximum take-off 2950 kg (6,504 lb)

Dimensions: span 12.10 m (39 ft 8.4 in);



length9,30m(30ft6.1in);height 3.20m(10ft6in);wingarea23.50m² (252.96 sq ft)

Armament: two 12.7-mm(0.5-m)and two 7.7-mm (0,303-in) Breda-SAFAT fixed forward-firing machine-guns in wings, plus up to 300 kg (661 lb) of bombs in fuselage bomb-bay and up to TVie Breda Ba.65 served with most of the eight squadrons of the twoRegia Aeronautica assault Stormi (wings). These are from the original batch of 81 aircraft.

200 kg(441 lb) of bombs on underwing racks (usually alternatively)



A propaganda triumph when its appearance was trumpeted by Mussolini's Facist regime in 1936, the Breda Ba.88 Linee (lynx) was a sleek all-metal shoulder-wing monoplane. In April 1937 it established two world speedover-distance records. Regarded as an *aeroplano di combattimento*, suitable for attack, long-range reconnaissance or bombing operations, the Ba.88 then had its military equipment and weapons installed. Immediately, performance and flight characteristics fell off dramatically, but by then production orders were already being executed.

On 16 June 1940, just after Italy's declaration of war on France and her allies, the Ba,88 had its first taste of action. Twelve aircraft from the Regia Aeronautica's 19° Gruppo Autonomo made bombing and machine-gun attacks on the principal airfields of Corsica; three days later nine Ba.88s made a repeat attack, Analysis of these operations showed that the Ba,88 had only limited value, and any remaining doubts were settled when Ba.88s of the 7° Gruppo Autonomo joined action in Libya against the British. Fitted with sand filters, the engines overheated and failed to deliver their designed power. Attacks on targets at Sidi Barram had to be aborted in September 1940, the aircraft failing to gain sufficient altitude or maintain formation, and reaching a speed less than half that claimed by the manufacturers. By mid-November 1940most surviv-

By mid-November 1940 most surviving Ba.88s had been stripped of useful equipment and were scattered around operational airfields as decoys for attacking British aircraft.

Three Ba.88s were modified by the Agusta plant in 1942 to serve as ground-attack aircraft. Wing span was increased by 2.00m (6ft 6.75 in) to alleviate wing loading problems, their



Forever deprecated as a Nazi terror weapon, the Junkers Ju 87 (widely referred to as the Stuka - a contraction of the word Sturzkampfflugzeug) was nevertheless an imaginative weapon of considerable accuracy when oper-ating in skies clear of enemy fighters, Conceived as a form of support artil-lery for the Wehrmacht's Blitzkrieg tactics, the Ju 87 was first flown in 1935 a small number of Ju 87A-ls and Ju 87B-ls being flown by the Legion Con-dor in Spain in 1938-9. To support the invasion of Poland the Luftwaffe fielded all five *Stukageschwader* thus far equipped with Ju 87s, and it was in this campaign that, with little effective opposition in the air, the Stuka's legend was born, With sirens screaming, the cranked-wing dive-bombers wrought havoc among Poland's helpless troops and civilians, effectively destroying the country's lines of communications, bridges, railways and airfields. During the difficult Norwegian campaign the Ju 87R with underwing fuel tanks was introduced to cope with the great dis-tances involved, and in the Battle of Britain this version and the Ju 87B were heavily committed until withdrawn temporarily as a result of losses suffered at the hands of British fighter pilots. At the end of 1941 the Ju 87D, a much cleaned-up version with an up-rated Jumo 211, entered service on the



A Breda Ba.88 of 7° Gruppo, 5° Stormo, based at CastelBenito in Libya.



engines were replaced by Fiat A. 74s, nose armament was increased to four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and dive brakes were installed. These Breda Ba.88Ms were delivered to the 103° Gruppo Autonomo Tuffatori (independent dive-bombing group) at Lonate Pozzolo on 7 September 1943. They were flight-tested by Luftwaffe pilots, but that was the last heard of the Breda Ba.88 which represented, perhaps, the most remarkable failure of any operational aircraft to see service in World War II.

Specification Breda Ba.88 Type: fighter-bomber/reconnaissance aircraft

Powerplant: two 746-kW (1,000-hp) Piaggio P.XIRC.40radialpiston engines

Performance: maximum speed 490 km/h (304 mph); service ceiling 8000 m (26,245 ft); range 1640 km (1,019 miles)

Weights: empty 4650kg(10,251lb); maximum take-off 6750 kg (14,881 lb) Dimensions: span 15.60 m (51 ft 2,2 in); length 10.79 m (35 ft 4.8 in); height 3.10 m (10 ft 2 in); wuigarea 33.34 m² (358.88 sq ft)

Armament: three fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns in nose and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-gun In spite of its sleek, powerful appearance, theBa.88was somewhat less than successful, since the excellent prototype performance declined dramatically once in operational trim. Indeed, so bad was theLince's combat performance that within five months from the start of the war survivors of the initial batch of 80 were gutted and used as ground decoys on airfields.

on trainable mounting in rear cockpit, plus up to 1000 kg (2,204 lb) of bombs in fuselage bomb-bay or, alternatively, three 200-kg (441-lb) bombs carried semi-exposed in individual recesses in the fuselage belly



Russian front, and appeared in North Africa the following year. The Ju 87G, a specialist anti-tank aircraft, featured a pair of 37-mm guns under the wings and achieved spectacular success, particularly in the East. Unquestionably the greatest exponent of the Stuka was Hans-Ulrich Rudel whose personal tally of a battleship, cruiser and a destroyer sunk, and 519 tanks destroyed, far exceeded any other. Total Ju 87 production was said to be 5,709.

Specification Junkers Ju87D-1

Type: two-seat dive-bomber/assault

aircraft

Powerplant: one 1044-kW(1,400-hp) Junkers Juno 211J-1 inverted-Vee piston engine Performance: maximum speed 410 km/h (255 mph) at 3840 m (12,600 ft); cruising speed 320 km/h (199 mph) at 5090m(16,700 ft); service ceiling 7290 m (23,915 ft); maximum range 1535 km (954 miles) Wordsty: ampty acquiring 43000 kg

Weights: empty equipped 3900 kg (8,598 lb); maximum take-off 6600 kg (14,55 lib)

Dimensions: span 13.80 m (45 ft 3.3 in); length 11.50 m (37 ft 8.75 in); height 3,90 m (12 ft 9.5 in); wing area 31.90 m^z The Stuka established its reputation in the hands of the Condor Legion in Spain. Here a formation of Ju 87B-ls approaches its target.

(343.38 sq ft)

Armament: two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) forward-firing MG 17 machine-guns in wings and twin 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 81Z machine-guns in rear cockpit, plus a maximum bombload of one 1800-kg (3,968-lb) bomb beneath fuselage, or various alternative loads beneath fuselage and wings, including antipersonnel bombs



Junkers Ju 88P

GERMANY

Although the Junkers Ju 88 was origi-nally intended to perform the dual roles of level and dive bombing, the early versions were seldom employed in the ground-support role in the same manner as the Ju 87 dive-bomber, being largely confined to level bomb-ing attacks for which its excellent performance rendered it ideally suited. It was not until 1942, with the increasing ferocity of fighting on the Eastern Front, that attention focussed on a de-Front, that attention focussed on a de-dicated ground-attack version, the Ju 88P, The prototype Ju88P VI, modified from a standard Ju 88A-4, featured a single 75-mm (2.95-in) KwK 39 gun housed in a large fairing under the fuselage, and during trials against cap-tured T 24 tanks of Poobler in 1042 tured T-34 tanks at Rechlin in 1943 promising results were obtained. A small number of Ju 88P-1 aircraft fol-lowed, featuring the 'solid' nose of the C-senes Zerstörer, armour protection for the engines and the more suitable semi-automatic PAK 40L 75-mm antitank gun; production amounted to ab-out 40 aircraft, these being distributed between the Versuchskommando für Panzerbekämpfung, the Panzerjäger-staffel 92 and 6./KG 3 for operational trials and development of tactics as train-busters, this role becoming in-creasingly important on the Eastern Front.

The Ju 88P-1 proved both cumbersome and vulnerable, and was soon followed by the Ju 88P-2 with a large fairing offset' to port under the fuselage mounting a pair of 37-mm BK 3.7 can-non. The higher muzzle velocity proved more effective against Soviet armour and also prompted the air-



craft's use by Erprobungskommando 5 as a bomber-destroyer; the aircraft had lost the necessary manoeuvrability for air combat, however. The Ju 88P-3, with further increased armour protection for the crew, was delivered one Staffel in each of the Nachtschlachtgruppen (night ground-attack groups) I, 2, 4, 8 and 9 for combat use on the Eastern Front, in northern Nor-way (NSGr 8) and Italy (NSGr 9). Some success was achieved by these units, but is an effort to improve the accession. but in an effort to improve the aircraft's performance the Ju 88P-4 was introduced with a much smaller gun fairing mounting a single 50-mm BK5 gun, and at least one Ju 88P-4 was equipped with a 6.5-cm RZ 65 solid-fuel rocket-launcher with a 22-round magazine. The Ju 88P-4 was also planned to mount

an 88-mm Düka 8.8 U-boat gun as well as various types of flame-thrower; none of these reached operational units, however, and by the time that NSGr 2 was moved to the West late in 1944 few, if any, Ju 88Ps remained in service

Specification

JunkersJu88P-3 Type: three-crew ground attack aircraft Powerplant: 999-kW (1,340-hp) Junkers Jumo 211J-2 inverted V-12 piston engines Performance: maximum speed 360 km/h (224 mph) at 1600 m (5,250 ft); climb to 2700 m (8,860 ft) in 10.6 minutes; service ceiling about 5500 m (18,045 ft); normalrange 1580 km(982

The Junkers Ju 88P-3 featured increased armour protection for the crew and it packed a devastating punch of twin 37-mm cannon in the ventral fairing. It was delivered to five Nachtschlachtgruppen.

miles)

miles) Weights: empty about 11080 kg (24,427 lb); maximum take-off about 12670 kg (27,932 lb)Dimensions: span 20.00 m (65 ft 7.5 in); length 14.85 m (48 ft 8.5 in); height 4.85 m (15 ft 11 in); wing area 54.56 nf (587 30 cg ft) (587.30 sq ft) Armament: two 37-mm BK Flak 18 cannon in a fairing under the front fuselage, and up to six 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns on trainable mountings in the cockpit



GERMANY Focke-Wulf Fw 190

A cantilever low-wing monoplane of stressed-skin construction, the pro-totype Focke-Wulf Fw 190 was rolled out in May 1939 and the first flight took place on 1 June 1939, A second aircraft, the Fw 190 V2, flew in October 1939, corred with two 12 cm (0.51 in) MG armed with two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns. Initial production ver-sion was the Fw 190A-1 which, flown by 6,/JG 26, first clashed with RAF Supermarine Spitfires on 27 September 1941. Fighter-bomber versions in-cluded the Fw 190A-5/U6 and the longrange Fw 190A-5/U8, and the Fw 190Arange FW 190A-5/08, and the FW 190A-5/U11 close-support aircraft carried a 30-mm MK 103 cannon beneath each wing, The Fw 190A-5/U14 and Fw 190A-5/U15 were both torpedo-bomber variants, able to carry an LT F5b and LT 950 torpedo respectively, and a 30-mm MK 108 cannon mounted in the outboard wing position was stan in the outboard wing position was stan-dard for the Fw 190A-5/U16.

dard for the Fw 190Å-5/U16. In late 1943 several Fw 190A-7s were modified by the installation of Junkers Jumo 213A V-12 engines to serve as Fw 190D-0 prototypes, Thus was derived the Fw 190D-9 production version, known popularly as the 'long-nose 190' or 'Dora9'. A 300-litre (66-Imp gal) drop tank or a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb could be carried on each under-wing rack. Variants included the Fw 190D-12, which was essentially a ground-attack aircraft with additional ground-attack aircraft with additional

The Fw 190 was modified to produce a series of highly successful fighter-bombers. This taxiing Fw 190A-5/U8 carries a crew member to give guidance to the pilot inside. 336



The Focke-Wulf Fw 190A-5/U8 was the long-range figh ter bomber varian toftheFwl 90A-5 introduced in early 1943. Engine overheating, which had been a problem with previous models, had been overcome by the introduction of a new mounting which positioned the engine further forward.



armour protection for the engine, and armed with two MG 151720s in the wings and a single Mk 108 cannon firing through the spinner. However, the Fw 190D had been preceded into service by the Fw 190F-1, a specialized ground-attack version which was introduced in early 1943; generally similar to the Fw 190A-4, it differed by having additional armour protection for the cockpit and powerplant, the outboard 20-mm cannon deleted, and an ETC 501 bomb rack installed beneath the fuselage. The Fw 190F-2 introduced a bubble canopy, and the Fw 190F-3 could carry a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb beneath the fuselage and, in the Fw 190F-3/R1 and Fw 190F-3/R3 versions, four ETC 50 underwing bomb racks or two similarly-located 30-mm MK 103 cannon. The Fw 190F-8/U2 and the Fw 190F-8/U3 were fitted with the TSA bomb sight for anti-shipping strikes with, respectively, a 700-kg (1,543-lb) BT 700 or a 1400-kg (3,086-lb) BT 1400 weapon. Alphabetically the

Henschel Hs 123

Designed to an official requirement for a dive-bomber, issued in 1933, the Henschel Hs 123 single-bay sesquiplane was of all-metal construction, with fabric covering used only for the rear portions of the wings and the con-trol surfaces, Powered by a 485-kW (650-hp) BMW 132A-3 radial engine, (650-np) BMW 132A-3 radial english, the prototype flew in 1938 and quickly established its superiority over the riv-al Fieseler Fi 98. The third prototype was the first to be armed, carrying two fixed forward-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns in the fuselage top decking. The first three aircraft were flown to Rechlin for testing in August 1935, in the course of which activity two of them were destroyed when their wings came off in dives. A fourth prototype tested successfully the structural changes introduced to overcome this problem and initial pro-duction orders were placed for the Hs 123A-1, which retained the blistered cowling of the second and third prototypes, rather than the NACA cowling of the first. Power was provided by the BMW 132Dc radial engine and, in addition to the two fixed MG 17 machine-guns, a mounting for a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb or an external fuel tank (S51-lb) bomb or an external fuel tank was included beneath the fuselage, and four 50-kg (110-lb) bombs could be carried on underwing racks. The Hs 123 was built at Henschel's Schönefeld and Johanmsthal factories in Berlin, but although the company built two prototypes of an improved Hs 123B version with the 716-kW (960-hp) BMW 132K anging the second baving BMW 132K engine, the second having two additional MG 17 machine-guns and an enclosed cockpit, the Luftwaffe expressed its satisfaction with the Junkers Ju 87 and production ended. The Hs 123A first entered service with 1./StG 162 in the autumn of 1936, although its career as a front-line dive-bomber was short-lived because the Junkers Ju 87A Stuka began to replace it in 1937. Five 123As were supplied to the Legion Condor in Spain in Decem-ber 1936; the type also saw operational Poland during the closing months of 1939 and in the campaigns in France and Belgium during the spring of 1940. It was withdrawn finally in 1944. last of the Fw 190s, and a specialized ground-attack version like the F-senes which it preceded into service, the Fw 190G-1 fighter-bomber was derived from the Fw 190A-5, but carried a 1800-kg (3,968-lb) bomb which necessitated the introduction of strengthened landing gear; wing-mounted armament was reduced to two MG 151/20 cannon, and the Junkers-designed wing racks accommodated two 300-lite (66-Imp gal) drop tanks.

Specification Focke-WulfFw 190D-9 Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 1324-kW(1,776-hp) Junkers Jumo 213 A-1 inverted-Vee piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 685 km/h (426 mph) at 6600 m (21,655ft);climbto6000m(19,685ft)in 7 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 12000m(39,370ft);range835km(519 miles)

Weights: empty 3490 kg(7,694 lb);



maximum take-off 4840 kg (10,670 lb) Dimensions:span 10.50 m(34 ft 5.4 in); length 10.20 m (33 ft 5.6 in); height 3.35 m(11 ft Oin); wingarea 18.30 m² (196.99 sq ft) Armament: two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 machine-guns and two 20-mmMG

TWoFw 190F-8s set off on a bombing mission in the USSR in 1944 carrying 250-kg bombs.

151 cannon, plus one 500-kg (1,102-lb) SC500 bomb

Above: The Henschel H s 123 dive bomber entered service in 1936, butwas soon overshadowed by theju 87 Stuka, which joined the Luftwaffe the followingyear. Tested in Spain, it saw operational service in Poland and in the campaign in the West in 1940.

Specification Henschel Hs 123a-l Type: dive-bomber/close-support aircraft

Powerplant: one 656-kW (880-hp) BMW 132Dc radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 340 km/h (211 mph) at 1200 m (3,935 ft); cruising speed 315 km/h (196 mph) at 2000 m (6,560 ft); service ceiling 9000 m (29,530 ft); range 855 km (531 miles) Above: An Hs 123A of 7. Staffel, Stukageschwader 165 'Immelmann' in 1937. Plans for an Hs 123B with increased armament and enclosed cockpit were cancelled after theju 87 was introduced.

Weights: empty 1500 kg (3,307 lb); maximum take-off 2215 kg (4,883 lb) Dimensions: span, upper 10.50 m (34 ft 5.4 in) and lower 8.00 m (26 ft 3 in); length 8.33 m (27 ft 4 in); height 3.20 m (10 ft 6 in); wing area 24.85 m² (267,49 sq ft)

Armament: two fixed forward-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in)MG 17machineguns, plus provision for 450 kg (992 lb) of bombs



Three Henschel Hs 123s pose for the camera in pre-war colours. Production ceased after only one year.



Henschel Hs 129

GERMANY

Henschel was one of four companies (the others being Focke-Wulf, Gotha and Hamburger Flugzeugbau) to which, in April 1937, the Reichsluftfahr-tministerium issued a spécification for a twin-engine ground-attack aircraft. It was required to carry at least two 20mm MG FF cannon and to have extensive armour plating protection for crew and engines. The two designs for which development contracts were awarded on 1 October 1937 were the Focke-Wulf Fw 189C and Henschel Hs 129. The latter was a Friedrich Nico-laus design with a light alloy stressed-blin forward fright alloy stressed skin fuselage of triangular section. It contained a small cockpit with a restricted view, necessitating the removal of some instruments to the inboard sides of the engine cowlings. The windscreen was made of 75-mm (2.95m) armoured glass and the nose section was manufactured from armour plating. Nose armament comprised two 20-mm MG FF cannon and two 7.92-mm (0.31-m) MG 17 machine-guns. Although the Henschel aircraft was considered to be underpowered and to have too small a cockpit, the company was awarded a contract for eight pre-production aircraft, two of which were converted at Schönefeld to accept Gnome-Rhône 14M 4/5 radial engines. It was with this powerplant that 10 Hs 129B-0 development aircraft were delivered from December 1941; armament comprised two 20-mm MG 151/20 cannon and two 7,92-mm (0.31in) MG 17 machine-guns. The production Hs 192B-1 series became operational on the Eastern Front, where the type was to be used most widely, although it served also in North Africa, Italy, and in France after the D-Day landings.

By the end of 1942 the growing capa-bility of Soviet tank battalions made it essential to develop a version of the Hs

GERMANY

129 with greater fire-power, leading to the Hs 129B-2 series which was intro-duced into service in the early part of 1943. They included the Hs 129B-2/R1 which carried two 20-mm MG 151/20 which carried two 20-mm MG 151/20 cannon and two 13-mm (0,51-in) machine-guns; and the Hs 129B-2/R3 with the two MG 13s deleted but equipped with a 37-mm BK 3.7 gun. Final production variant was the Hs 129B-3 of which approximately 25 were built and which carried an elec-tro-pneumatically operated 75-mm BK gun. gun.

Specification HenschelHs129B-1/R2 Type: single-seat ground-attack aircraft Powerplant: two 522-kW(700-hp)

Gnome-Rhône 14Mradial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 407 km/h (253 mph) at 3830 m



TheHenschelHs 129 was designed to a 1937 specification for an armoured, twin-engined ground attack aircraft. Far superior to Allied equivalents, it showed how much importance the Germans attached to close air support.

(12,565ft);serviceceiling9000m (29,525ft);range560km(348miles) Weights:empty3810kg(8,400lb); maximum take-off 5110 kg (11,266 lb) Dimensions: span 14,20 m (46 ft 7,1 in); length 9.75 m (31 ft 11.9 in); height 3.25 m(10 ft8 in); wing area 29.00 m² (312.16 sq ft) Armament:two20-mmMG151/20 cannon, two 7,92-mm (0.31-m) MG 17 machine-guns and one 30-mm Mk 101 cannon



to deal with heavily-armoured Soviet tanks.





Messerschmitt Bf 110

Like so many German aircraft which underwent adaptation for service in operational roles other than those for which they were originally intended, the Messerschmitt Bf 110 Zerstörer (destroyer, or heavy fighter) had proved unsuitable in the role of day bomber escort when confronted by bomber escort when contronted by modern interceptor single-seat fighters, but came to be widely used in the ground attack/fighter-bomber role. During the Battle of Britain Bf HOCs and Ds of V(Z)/LG 1, II/ZG 26 'Horst Wesel', I/ZG 76, and 1. and 27 ErpGr 210 carried out numerous fighter-bomber attacks, the latter *Staf*-ful being components of a Gruppe *feln* being components of a *Gruppe* specifically created to introduce fighter-bombing/pathfinding tactics to the Luftwaffe under Hauptmann Wal-ter Rubensdörffer (who was killed fol-lowing a raid on Croydon on 15 August 1940).

The first dedicated fighter-bomber version of the Bf 110, after the Bf 110C-4B and D-2 sub-series adaptations, was the Bf 110E series, and this version equipped the two ground-attack units deployed in the East when Operation 'Barbarossa' was launched against the

USSR on 22 June 1941. These were Zer-störergeschwader 26 'Horst Wessel' and Schnellkampfgeschwader (fast bomber wing) 210, the latter having been created out of ErpGr 210, ex-panded to *Geschwader* proportions and equipped with Bf 110E-1 aircraft following the failure of the Me 210 to meet operational demands. These were soon joined by Bf110E-equipped

Above: the Messerschmitt Bfll OC-2 was widely used in the ground attack role. This is an aircraft of II/ZG 1 over Italy in 1943.

Right: A close-up of the BK 37-mm (1.45-in) anti-tank gun fitted to some Bfll OG-2s. No heavier weapons were introduced, as the Bfll Os were diverted to the night-fighter role.



Staffeln of 1 I/ZG l, and were heavily committed during the early fast-* advancing offensives, attacking Soviet aircraft on their airfields as well as softskinned transport vehicles with deluges of fragmentation bombs.

The E-senes was joined early in 1942 by sub-variants of the DB 605B-powered Bf llOG-senes. The Bf HOG-2 was widely used in the ground attack/anti-tankrole, the R1, R2 and R3 *Rustsatz* field kit introducing 37-mm Flak 18 and 30-mm MK 108 cannon to the Bf 110's armament. Towards the end of 1942, however, these guns began to fail to penetrate Soviet tank armour, particularly in the case of the arrival of the T-34 tank, and much less reliance came to be placed on the Zer-störergruppen in the ground attack function. In any case almost all Bf 110 production was by then being distributed among night-fighter units for the defence of Germany against the grow-

GERMANY



A Messerschmitt BfllOE of 8./ZG 26 based at Berca, North Africa, in September 1942. It is armed with the powerful MK 101 30-mm cannon, forusein the tank-busting role.

ing offensive by RAF Bomber Command. Only in the closing weeks of the war, when the Allies were jamming the night-fighters into helplessness, were the surviving Bf 110s ordered to pursue night ground-attacks against the advancing Allied armies, but by then the RAF de Havilland Mosquitoes ruled the night skies over Germany.

Specification MesserschmittBf110C-4/B Type: two-seat ground attack fighterbomber Powerplant: two 895-kW (1,200-hp) Daimler-Benz DB 601N inverted V-12 piston engines Performance: maximum speed 473 km/h (294 mph) at sea level; climb to 1650 m (5,415 ft) in 3.8 minutes;serviceceiling8300m(27,230ft);

normal range about 790 m (490 miles)

Weights: empty 5200 kg (11,464 lb); maximum take-off 6910 kg (15,234 lb) Dimensions: span 16.28 m (53 ft 4.75 in); length 12.10m (39 ft 8.5 in); height 3.51 m (11 ft 6 in); wing area 29.27m (2120 cm stress); 38,37m² (413.0 sq ft) Armament: two20-mmMGFFcannon andfour7.92-mm(0.31-in)MG 17 machine-guns in the nose and twin 7.92-mmMG81 guns in the rear cockpit, plusracksfortwo250-kg(551-Ib) bombs under the wing roots

Messerschmitt Me 210 and Me 410

The Germans pinned high hopes on the Messerschmitt Me 210, which first flew on 2 September 1939, as an ultimate replacement for the Bf 110. However, after the prototype (with twin fins and rudders, like the Bf 110) displayed chronic instability and later crashed during flutter trials (even after resort to a large single fin and rudder), development was slow, It was not until the end of 1940 that a few preproduc-tion aircraft were delivered to Erpro-bungsgruppe 210, the unit that had been formed to introduce the aircraft into operational service before the Battle of Britain, The principal ground attack variants were the Me 210A-2 with DB 601Aa engines and the Me 41ÛC-2 with DB 605B engines; these started to equip II/ZG 1 on the Eastern Front shortly after the German attack on the USSR opened but, following a number of fatal accidents when pilots lost control in shock stalls during ground attacks, the aircraft was quickly withdrawn from operational use. By the time a remedy had been found, in mid-1942 (by fitting wing slats), some 600 aircraft had been completed and the majority of these underwent modification.

In the event no more than 258 Me 210s ever reached the Luftwaffe and few of the modified aircraft equipped fully-operational ground-attack units. This was because, by 1943, interest centred on the Me 410 Hornisse (hornet), which was in effect a DB 603Apowered Me 210 with lengthened engine nacelles and all the stability-associated modifications found essential in the earlier aircraft. By 1943 the operational distinction between closesupport and tactical bombing had become blurred in the Luftwaffe and, although the Me 410A equipped 5./KG 2 at Lechfeld, and 2.(F)/122 and III/ZG 1 in the central Mediterranean, only the operational sorties by the last-named unit could be described as 'close support' of the German army. Another bomber unit, I/KG 51 'Edelweiss', was equipped with Me 410As in June 1943 for night raids over the UK (and, on account of its excellent performance, proved a tough adversary even for the RAF's de Havilland Mosquito night-fighters); however, I/KG51 switched to the tactical role at the time of the Nor-

mandy landings and became very active over the invasion area. Of the total of 1,160 Me 410s produced, not more than about 200 ever equipped groundattack units, the remainder serving as conventional medium-level light bombers, reconnaissance aircraft and as bomber-destrovers in the air defence of the Reich.

Specification

Messerschmitt Me 410A-1 Type: two-seat fighter/fighter-bomber Powerplant: two 1305-kW(1,750-hp) Daimler-Benz DB 603A inverted V-12 piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 638 km/h (396 mph) at 6700 m (21,980 ft) or 549 km/h (341 mph) at sea level; climb to 6700 m (21,980 ft) in 10.7 minutes; service ceiling 10000 m (32,810ft);normalrange1480km(920 miles)

Weights: empty 6050 kg (13,3381b); maximum take-off 10530 kg (23,215 lb) Dimensions: span 16.35 m (53 ft 7.75 in); length 12.41 m (40 ft 8.5 in);



height 4, 28 m (14 ft 0.5 in); wing area 36.19m² (389.6 sq ft) Armament: two MG 151/20 20-mm cannon and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns m the nose and single 13-mm(0.51-m)MG131 heavy machine-gun in each of two remotely-controlled FDL 131 barbettes on the sides of the centre fuselage, plus a bombloadofuptotwo 1000-kg (2,205-Ib) bombs internally, or up to 10 50-kg (110-lb) bombs internally and on external racks

This Me 210A-1 of III/ZG 1 was based in Tunisia during the final stages of the battle for North Africa, during April 1943. The aircraft were used in the Zerstorer/ground attack role.

Seen during the aircraft's trials, one of the eight pre-production Me 210A-Ös is seen in formation with an Me210A-1, the nearer of the two. Seen in retrospect the craft was unsuccessful from the first.



Allied Ground Attack Aircraft

The early ground attack aircraft of the war were easy pickings for air and ground defences alike, but later designs overcame these deficiencies by strength and speed. Here we describe the main types employed by the Allies along with their development and deployment.



The Hawker Typhoon was a devastating asset to the advancing Allied forces. Its 76.2mm (3in) rockets made it a pioneer of today's strike aircraft, such an essential concept in modern warfare.

Continuing the 'trench fighter' concept of World War I, most fighter aircraft of the warring nations in World War II were adapted to carry weapons with which to support their ground forces, both above the battlefield itself or at the enemy's immediate rear. Although the ground support tactic had been pursued by the Luftwaffe as an inherent feature since its formation in 1934, and demonstrated by the Legion Condor during the Spanish Civil War, the RAF was slow to convert its fighters to ground attack aircraft, preferring to employ specialist light bombers in the task; and when its Fairey Battles were shown to possess neither the speed nor defensive ability to survive enemy fighters and Flak, the Hawker Hurricane eventually took over, using guns and bombs in the cross-Channel sweeps that started in 1941.

In the early stages of the war, however, the UK and her fast diminishing European Allies were thrown almost entirely on the defensive, and such campaigns were not conducive to the use of fighter aircraft in the ground attack role, but rather in disputing enemy air superiority. Only when the Allies were ready to take the initiative, at first in isolated operations, such as at Dieppe, and later in major campaigns in North Africa and ultimately throughout Europe, did the ground attack aircraft really come into its own. All manner of specialist support tasks were undertaken, including bombing, rocket-firing, smokelaying, tactical reconnaissance, anti-tank attack, and so on. What had euphemistically been termed the 'army co-operation' by the RAF for 20 years was now deemed a major strike element of the ground offensive.

The Hawker Typhoon, a relative failure in its original role as an interceptor, was shown to be a devastating ground attack fighter, and can now be seen as the prototype of a new generation of strike aircraft, its rudimentary 76.2mm (3in) rockets presaging a new concept of artillery that would dominate the battleground of armour and entrenched or concrete defences. Indeed, the speed of land advances during the final year of the war in Europe and the Far East was directly proportional to the weight of tactical air support, whether by hordes of Soviet Shturmoviks in the Ukraine or by Hurricanes over Rangoon.



Originally designed and introduced into service as the RAF's first purpose-builttwin-engine night-fighter in 1940, the Bristol Beaufighter was impressive the Bristol Beaufighter was impressive from the outset as a rugged, powerful and heavily-armed aircraft. Its long-term success in this role was to some extent compromised by poor radar, however, and by the time this short-coming had been overcome the de HavillandMosquitonight-fighter, with much improved performance, had ar-rived. Therefore, although remaining in service as a night-fighter overseas, from the autumn of 1942 the Beaufight-er started service in the strike role,

er started service in the strike role, As early as March 1941 a Beaufight-As early as March 1941 a Beaufight-er had undergone trials as a torpedo bomber, and in September 1942 a Beaufighter Mk VIC was first armed with rocket projectiles. Two months la-ter the first Beaufighter anti-shipping strike wing was formed at North Co-ates, Lincolnshire, comprising No. 143 Squadron with fighters, No. 236 Squad-ron with bombers (carrying two 113ron with bombers (carrying two 113kg/250-lb bombs under the wings) and No. 254 Squadron with torpedo Beaufighters. The rocket-firing Beaufighters (dubbed the Flakbeau as its task during strike sorties was to attack defending Flak ships and bat-teries) entered squadron service in March 1943.

The first Beaufighter strike aircraft were all Mk Vis, although specific aircraft were designated for bomb-, rocket- or torpedo-carrying. In June 1943 the first Beaufighter TF.Mk X aircraft entered service with No. 39 Squadron in the UK or ANin the UK and No. 47 Squadron in North Africa; this version, with nose-mounted ASV radar, could carry combinations of all these weapons and was particularly effective against Axis shipping in the Mediterranean in 1943.

It was in South East Asia, however, that the Beaufighter earned lasting



Envisaged as a replacement for the famous Hawker Hart and Hind light bombers of the early and mid-1930s, the Fairey Battle was selected as the cornerstone of the rapidly-expanding RAF and was intended to equip the large number of light bomber squadrons during the latter part of that decade. When the war started, however, the Battle was already obsolescent and the Battle was already obsolescent and was particularly vulnerable in the con-text of German *Blitzkrieg* tactics. Be-fore the opening of the great German assault in the West on 10 May 1940, 10 Battle squadrons (Nos 12, 40, 88, 98, 103, 105, 142, 150, 218 and 226) had been sent to France to support the Brit-ish Expeditionary Force, and were committed to action in the face of over-whelming enemy air superiority. whelming enemy air superiority. Already unescorted daylight bombing operations had resulted in heavy losses (as early as 30 September 1939 four outoffive No. 150 Squadron Battles had been shot down in a single raid), and, although escorts had been provided during the winter, little could be done to protect the slow bombers when the storm burst in the spring. Carrying no more than four 113-kg (250-lb) bombs at an operating speed of about 278 km/ h (160 mph), the Battles were sent against key river bridges being used by enemy armoured columns advancing through Belgium. In an attack by



fame as a strike fighter: here it was called 'Whispering Death' by the Japanese following a series of surprise strikes on enemy depots in the Bur-mese jungle. Early operations in-volved strikes against Japanese coas-tal shipping sailing along the Burma coast, but in due course, in the face of persistent attacks by the Beaufighters and other Allied aurcraft and other Allied aircraft, this traffic dwindled, leaving the Beaufighter free to engage land targets with cannon, rockets and bombs, a task they con-tinued to perform right up to the end of the war.

Specification Bristol Beaufighter TF.Mk X Type: two-seat strike fighter

The Bristol Bea ufigh ter was built in A ustralia and used by theRAAF against the Japanese in theFarEast theatre alongside the RAF machines. They came to be one of the most effective attack aircraft in theFarEast and their metal structure was suited to the climate.

Powerplant: two1,770-hp(1320-kW) Bristol Hercules XVII air-cooled radial Performance: maximum speed 488 km/h (303 mph) at 395 m (1,300 ft); climb to 1525 m (5,000 ft) in 3 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 4570 m (15,000 ft); range 2365 km (1,470 miles) Weights: empty 7076 kg (15,600 lb); maximum take-off 11431 kg (25,200 lb) Dimensions: span 17,63 m (57 ft 10 in); length 12.70 m (41 ft 8 in); height 4.83 m (15 ft 10 in); wing area 46,73 m² (503 sq ft)

Armament: four 20-mm Hispano cannon in nose and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in dorsal hatch, plus either one 45.7-cm(18-in) torpedo, or eight 27,2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles, or two 227-kg (500-lb) and two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs

A German train is blasted by an RAF Beaufighter over Norway in 1943. The Beaufighter became the prime strike aircraft early in the war before such types as the Mosquito came to the fore.





No. 12 Squadron against the Maastricht No. 12 Squadron against the Maastricht bridges, carried out in the face of heavy Flak and fighter opposition, almost all the bombers were shot down, the RAF's first Victoria Crosses of World War II being awarded post-humously to Flying Officer D.E. Gar-land and Sergeant T. Gray. Four days later 71 Battles from Nos 12, 103, 105, 150 and 218 Squadrons were assem-150 and 218 Squadrons were assembled for an attack on German pontoon bridges in the Sedan area; no fewer than 40 aircraft failed to return. The survivors of the squadrons were with-drawn from France, but several of them, based in England, continued to attack German-held ports on the Channel Coast until the threat of inva-sion receded. Thereafter the Battle was relegated to training and targettowing duties, many being shipped to Canada where they served with air gunnery schools. The Battle was an anachronism and

Fairey Battle of No. 106 Sqn as it appeared in 1938 whilst stationed at Abingdon. TheBa ttle was extremely vulnerable to both air and ground fire due to its sluggish performance, and its combat career was cut short in 1940.

its shortcomings should have been anticipated long before the traumas of May 1940. It was, after all, powered by the same engine as the single-seat Hurricane, yet with a crew of three and 60 per cent heavier when fullyequipped, it was sent aganst singleseat fighters of twice its performance and expected to defend itself with only two rifle-calibre machine-guns.

Specification Fairey Battle Type: three-seat light bomber Powerplant: one 1,030-hp(768-kW Rolls-Royce Merlin II liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed

388 km/h (241 mph) at 3050 m (10,000 ft); initial climb rate 280 m (920 ft) per minute; service ceiling 7620m(25,000 ft); range 1450 km(900 miles)

Weights:empty 3015 kg(6, 647 lb);maximum take-off 4895 kg (10,792 lb) Dimensions:span 16.46 m (54 ft 0 in); length 12.85 m (42 ft 1% in);height 4.72 m (15 ft 6 in); wing area 39.20 m^2 (422 sq`ft)

Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in starboard wing and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in rear cockpit, plus a bombload of four 113-kg (250-lb) bombs carried internally



Rugged and combat-proven with flying colours in the Battle of Britain, the Hawker Hurricane was the natural choice of aircraft with which to carry the war back to the Germans when the RAF began to venture on to the offensive with cross-Channel attacks after

sive with cross-Channel attacks after the winter of 1940-1. The Hurricane Mk II, with a more powerful Merlin XX engine, began appearing in the autumn of 1940, the Hurricane Mk IIB introducing a 12-gun wing, and the Hurricane Mk IIC an arrangement of four 20 mm canpon the armament of four 20-mm cannon, the latter being regarded as a very heavy punch for a single-seater. Stemming from early tests to fit long-range tanks to enable Hurricanes to reinforce the Middle East with minimum refuelling stops, the Hurricane Mk IIB was soon equipped with wing racks to carry a pair of 113-kg (250-lb) or, later 227-kg (500-lb) bombs, and it was this version (500-16) bombs, and it was this version that went into action as the 'Hurribom-ber' with No. 607 Squadron on 30 Octo-ber 1941 in 'Channel Stop' anti-shipping strikes, later being joined by Nos 175 and 402 Squadrons. Hurricanes were particularly active in the ground-attack role in North Afri-ca from mid-1941 and it was in this theatre that the next version, the Hurri-cane Mk IDD tank-buster made its first

cane Mk IID tank-buster, made its first impact; armed with a pair of 40-mm

Vickers anti-tank guns under the wings, aircraft of No. 6 Squadron were used to excellent effect in support of used to excenent effect in support of the Free French forces in the Battle of Bir Hakeimin 1942. By 1943, outclassed as a pure interceptor, the .Hurricane was the RAF's first single-seater to be fitted with 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket pro-jectiles, using these weapons on op-erations for the first time against the Hansweert Canal lock gates in the Netherlands on 2 September 1943. Ground-attack Hurricanes con-

March the previous year there had appeared a new version, the armoured Hurricane Mk IV in which a business like in the second second second second appeared a new version, the armoured Hurricane Mk IV in which a 'universal' wing was introduced, allowing application of bombs, anti-tank guns, rockets, smoke-laying equipment, drop tanks and other store combinations to be carried, and this verThe achievements of the Hurricane in the early desert war led to its being adapted to carry two 40-mm cannon under the wings for tank-busting, this version being known as the MkllD. This example served with No. 6 Sqn in 1942.

sion continued in service in the Far East until the end of the Pacific war. Hurricane Mk IVs fought with out-standing success in the final advance in Burma, one of their great achievements being the destruction of 13 Japanese tanks by No. 20 Squadron in a single attack during the advance on Rangoon.

Specification Hawker Hurricane Mk IIB

Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 1,280-hp(955-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin XX liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine

Performance: maximum speed

549 km/h (341 mph) at 6555 m (21,500 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9 minutes; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); range 740 km (460 miles) Weights: empty 2604 kg (5,740 lb); maximum take-off 3649 kg (8,044 lb) Dimensions: span 12.19 m (40 ft 0 in); length 9,75 m (32 ft 0 in); height 4.00 m (13 ft W_z in); wing area 23.92 m² (257.5 sq ft)

Armament: 127.7-mm(0.303-m) machine-guns in the wings, plus an external load of up to two 227 kg (500b) bombs, small bomb containers, smoke-laying equipment, six27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles or two longrange fuel tanks

Hurricane MkllCs saw service in the Far East as light ground attack aircraft. They carried an armament offour 20-mm cannon and could also carry small bombs. As here, long range fuel tanks helped the Hurricane reach the enemy

Below: Ready for take-offfrom an improvised sand strip in the Western Desert, Hurricane MkllDs such as these proved of enormous help to the ground forces in suppressing Axis armour. They were cumbersome, and easy meat for German fighters, so top cover was necessary.





Immortalized as one of the greatest fighters of all time, the Supermarine Spitfire was also widely used as a fighter-bomber, although in this role it

righter-bolmber, atthough in this role it fell far short of the Hurricane, being tricky to fly with bombs attached, whether under fuselage or wings. With the adoption of the Spitfire Mk V as Fighter Command's standard single-seat fighter in 1941, the Spitfire Mk VC became the fighter-bomber varion, canable of carving a single version, capable of carrying a single 227-kg (500-lb) bomb centrally under the fuselage or two 113kg (250 lb) bombs under the wings. Most Spitfire Mk VCs featured clipped wings for

Mk VCs featured clipped wings for better low-altitude performance, not being expected to engage enemy fighters above about 1525 m (5,000 ft). Originally a hastily-introduced answer to the Focke-Wulf Fw 190A, the Spitfire Mk IX was intended to operate at medium and high altitudes but, like the Spitfire Mk V, was also built in clipped-wing form (Spitfire L F.Mk IX) and entered service with a F.Mk IX) and entered service with a total of 27 squadrons of the RAF in the UK, Middle East and Far East. With a 1,720-hp (1283-kW) Merlin 66, this ver-sion had a top speed without bombs of 650 km/h (404 mph) and could carry two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs under the wings; normal gun armament was two 20-mm and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns, but the Spitfire Mk IXE sub-variant had

but the Spittire MR IXE sub-variant had the four rifle-calibre guns replaced by two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns. Whereas the Spitfire Mk IX had been a hasty adaptation of the Spitfire Mk V to take the Merlin 61/66 series engine, the Spitfire Mk VIII was de-signed from the outset for this engine and included other refinements, in-cluding a retractable tailwheel; all ware equipmed for tropical service were equipped for tropical service and therefore served mainly in the

Mediterranean and Far East theatres, the majority of them equipping fighterbomber squadrons. The Griffon 65-powered Spitfire Mk

XIV served as both a fighter and a fighter-bomber, entering service in mid-1944 in the UK. Among their out-standing achievements in the latter role was the heaviest single RAF fighter-bomber attack of the war when, on 24 December that year, 33 Spitfire Mk XIVs of Nos 229, 453 and Splittle Mk AIVs of Nos 229, 455 and 602 Squadrons, each carrying a 227-kg (500-lb) and two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs, attacked a V-2 rocket-launching site in the Netherlands. Final fighter-bomber version of the

Spitfire to see service during the war Spitfire to see service during the war was the Packard Merlin 266-powered Spitfire Mk XVI, whose sub-variants were the same as for the Spitfire Mk IX, and could be fitted with four under-wing rails for 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles in addition to an underfuselage 227-kg (500-lb) bomb. At the end of the war in Europe fighter-bomber Spitfire Mk XVIs equipped 11 squadrons of the 2nd Tactical Air Force.

Specification Supermarine Spiffire Mk XVI Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 1,720-hp (1283-kW) Packard Rolls-Royce Merlin 266

INo. 74 Sqn new the Spitfire LF.MkXVIE for only a couple of months at the end of the war on fighter-bomber sweeps through Germany. TheMkXVI had a Packard-built Merlin and could carry 113-kg (250-lb) bombs or rocket projectiles.



liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 652 km/h (405 mph) at 6705 m (22,000ft);climbto6095m(20,000ft)in 6 minutes 42 seconds; service ceiling 12650 m (41,500 ft); range without external tanks 690 km (430 miles) Weights: empty 2547 kg (5,615 lb); weights. enfly 2547 kg (5,013 h), maximum take-off 4311 kg (9,505 h) Dimensions: span, clipped 9.96 m (32 ft 8 in); length 9.55 m (31 ft 4 in); height 3.85 m(12 ft 7% in); wingarea21.46 m² (231 sqft)

Armament: two 20-mm and four 7.7-

Although usually used for providing top cover for the Hurricanes in Italy, these Spitfire Mk Vs of the SAAF carry 113-kg (250-lb) bombs on the cent reline rack. After releasing the bowher the prime for culd stress bombs, the aircraft could strafe targets at will.

mm (0.303-in) or two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns, plus one 227-kg (500-lb) and two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs, or as an alternative to the wing bombs four 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles



Compromised from the outset by a host of design and development dif-ficulties, and no less by a disastrously accelerated engine development that left unsolved numerous weaknesses when it entered service, the Hawker Typhoon was intended to replace the Hurricane as an interceptor. However, after the anti-climactic debacle over Dienpe and its singularly disappoint-Dieppe and its singularly disappoint-Typhoon came to be recognized as potentially an effective ground-attack fighter and, following trials at Bos-combe Down in 1942, it resumed cross-Channel operations carrying a pair of 113-kg (250-lb) bombs to sup-plement its four 20-mm Hispano cannon armament. Flying alongside the aged 'Hurnbombers', Typhoon Mk IB fighter-bombers of Nos 175, 181 and 245 Squadrons continued the 'Channel operations throughout 1943, Stop' operations throughout 1943, while others ventured over enemy-occupied France and the Low Coun-tries, attacking airfields, road and rail traffic and other key targets. Early operations had shown the Typhoon Mk IA, with its wing arma-mentof 12 7,7-mm (0.303-in) Browning machine-suns to be relatively ineffec-

machine-guns, to be relatively ineffec-tive in the ground-attack role, and this version was discontinued. Another weakness was found to lie in the joint of the tail unit to the rear fuselage, numerous early accidents being ascribed to the entire tail unit becoming detached



in flight, for which a crude remedy was effected by simply riveting numerous plates around the joint. An early oper-ational problem lay in the Typhoon's superficial resemblance to the Focke-Wulf Fw 190, resulting in a number of aircraft being shot down by 'friendly' guns, until prominent black and white recognition stripes were painted under the Typhoon's inner wing sections.

Foralltheseunfortunatetribulations the chunky aeroplane emerged in 1944 as one of the most powerful weapons in the Allies' armoury when the Normandy invasion was launched in June that year. With a bombload progressively increased to 907 kg (2,000 lb), the Typhoon was also used with devastating effect as a rocket-firing fighter, eliminating vital enemy coastal radar stations before the land-

ings themselves and destroying German armoured concentrations as the Allies broke out of the beach-head. Always something of a handful to fly, the Typhoon nevertheless provided an active and mobile artillery for the Allies as they surged through northern Europe in the last nine months of the war.

Specification

Hawker Typhoon Mk IB Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 2,180-hp (1626-kW) Napier Sabre II liquid-cooled H-24 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 652 km/h (405 mph) at 5485 m (18,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 6 minutes 12 seconds; service ceiling 10365 m (34,000 ft); range with bombs



Close-up of the business end ota Typhoon MklB, showing the four Hispano cannon.

820 km (510 miles) Weights: empty 3993 kg (8,800 lb); maximum take-off 6341 kg (13,980 lb) Dimensions: span 12.67 m (41 ft 7 in); length 9.73 m(31 ft 11 in); height 4.66 m (15 *R3Vz* in); wing area 25.92 m² (279 sq ft)

Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm cannon, plus either two 454-kg (1,000-Ib) bombsoreight 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles



Bell P-39 Airacobra

The radical Bell P-39 Airacobra, with midships engine and tricycle landing gear, enjoyed a disappointing career as a fighter with the American and British air forces as a result mainly of the abandonment of the turbosupercharger which had promised to bestow excellent performance at high altitude; this equipment was in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match up to RAF requirements for an interceptor. The upshot of this was a gradual change to the ground-attack role in American service, and disposal of very large numbers to the Soviet Union from 1942 onwards, 4,773 of the 9,558 built being supplied to that nation, mainly through Iran, but also over the Trans-Siberian railway, More than 200 Bell Airacobras were also shipped to the USSR in the North Cape convoys. P-39s entered service with the V-VS early in 1943, the principal variant being the P-39N which featured a hub-firing 37-mm cannon, two nose-

P-39s entered service with the V-VS early in 1943, the principal variant being the P-39N which featured a hubfiring 37-mm cannon, two nosemounted 12.7-mm (0.5-m) and four wing-mounted 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns; a single 227-kg (500-lb) bomb could be carried under the fuselage.

fuselage. In Soviet service the P-39 was used initially as a pure fighter, but gradually



mands of the massive ground battles that raged on the Eastern Front. Often the P-39s would be called on to carry out specific bombing tasks, after which they would revert to fighters to provide cover while subsequent bombing attacks went in. The majority of P-39 *polks* were deployed on the central and southern fronts, and numerous Soviet pilots achieved considerable success in the aircraft; Captain Gngori Rechkalov scored 44 of his 58 air victories in a P-39 with the 9th Guards Fighter Division, and Aleksandr Pokryshkin, who commanded a P-39 *eskadril m* the 216th Guards Fighter Division's 16th Polk and later became the Soviet Union's second highest scoring pilot, shot down 48 of his 59 victims whileflyingP-39s, manyofthese falling in the course of dual-role fighter/ bombing missions. For all the P-39's

Pokryshkin) and was used mainly in the ground attack role.

wastage through accidents was by all accounts very high, relatively inexperienced pilots finding the aircraft tricky to handle and, with the big Allison engine located behind the cockpit, forced landings and other landing mishaps were frequently fatal. A much smaller number of the later but related Bell P-63 Kingcobra was also supplied to the Soviet Union, this aircraft being equipped to carry three 227-kg (500lb) bombs.

Specification Bell P-39N Airacobra Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant:one 1,200-hp(895-kW) Allison V-1710-85 liquid-cooled V-12

Performance: maximum speed 642 km/h (399 mph) at 3355 m (11,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 5 minutes 20 seconds; service ceiling 11735m(38,500 ft);range1205km(750 miles)

Weights: empty 2562 kg (5,645 lb); maximum take-off 3720 kg (8,200 lb) Dimensions: span 10.36 m (34 ft 0 in); length 9.19 m (30 ft 2 in); height 3.78 m (12 ft 5 in); wing area 19.79 m² (213sqft)

Armament: one hub-firing 37-mm cannon, two nose-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and four wing-mounted 7,62mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, plus a single 227-kg (500-lb) bomb carried under the fuselage



Although firmly rooted among the first generation of monoplane fighters of the late 1930s, the famous Curtiss P-40 family underwent progressive modernization, and as each version became outmoded by later fighters, it came to be employed as a passable fighter-bomber. Adopted as the USAAC's standard fighter and subject of heavy British purchasing in 1940, the early P-40B, P-40C and Tomahawk entered service in 1941, the first RAF squadron to receive the latter being No. 112 in the Middle East. The Tomahawk's performance as an interceptor was disappointing, being generally inferior to the Hurricane Mk II, and it was therefore employed mainly for ground attack, although the armament of six rifle-calibre machine-guns was far from adequate. Tomahawks (and the equivalent P-40B/CS) were shipped to the USSR and Turkey, and were flown by American pilots in the Pacific and South East Asia. The P-40D represented something of a transformation, with the Allison engine installed in a shortened nose, fuselage guns removed and the radiator moved forward. Known as the Warhawk in American service (as were all P-408) and Kittyhawk in RAF service, this and subsequent similar versions were built in very large numbers up to 1944, from mid-1942 being equipped as fighterbombers in the USAAF, RAF and other Allied air forces to carry up to three 227-kg (500-lb) bombs under fuselage and wings; later versions could carry a 454-kg (1,000-lb) bomb under the fuselage. They were particularly active in the close-support role in North Africa after the victory at Alamein and the 'Torch' landings, and in the campaigns in Sicily, Italy and the Balkans. The Packard built Merlin was used

in the American P-40F and P-40L versions, but the P-40N (of which 5,219 were produced) reverted to the Allison engine and this served from 1943

The KittyhawkMk IV was the RAF equivalent of the P-40NWarhawk, and is shown here in the markings of No. 112 Sqn in 1944.

until the end of the war, Despite its widespread use as a fighter-bomber the P-40 was not generally appreciated as a result of its control sluggishness and lateral trim changes as speed built up in a diving attack; the latter behaviour, which gave rise to excessive yawing at the moment of bomb release, made accurate bombing extremely difficult, and P-40s were more usually employed for attacks on larger rather than smaller targets.

Specification

Curtiss P-40N Warhawk Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 1,360-hp(1015-kW) Allison V-1710-81 liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine Performance: maximum speed 609 km/h (378 mph) at 3200 m (10,500ft); climbto4570m(15,000ft) in 6 minutes 49 seconds; service ceiling 11580m(38,000ft); rangeoninternal fuel 547 km (340 miles) Weights: empty2722 kg(6,0001b); maximum take-off 5171 kg (11,400 lb) Dimensions: span 11.38m(37ft4in);

Bearing the famous shark's teeth markings of No. 112 Sqn, these Tomahawks are ready for take-off at SidiHaneish in the autumn of 1941. The difference in nose shape to the later Kittyhawk is obvious, with the chin radiator being much smaller. length 10,16 m (33 ft4 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft4 in); wing area 21.92 n/ (236 sq ft) Armament: six wing-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in)machine-guns, plusone454-kg (1,000-lb) bomb under the fuselage and two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs under the wings



USA Douglas A-20 Havoc/Boston

Perpetuating a misconception of the nature of modern warfare during the late 1930s, the American 'attack¹ bomlate 1930s, the American 'attack¹ ber was envisaged as a means of saturating fixed enemy defences in the immediate area of the ground battle, and took little account of the swift movement of armies so capably demons-trated during the German conquests of 1939-41. Moreover the Douglas A-20, known as the Havoc and Boston by American and British air forces respectively, was so unwieldy that it could only be employed over a bat-tleground in the face of enemy air opposition provided it was furnished with strong fighter escort.

Nevertheless production momen-tum already gained by British and French orders at the beginning of the war resulted in the A-20 being available in quickly growing numbers when the United States entered the conflict. And, despite fairly heavy los-ses early on, the type eventually came to play an important tactical role, being used first as a 'light-medium' bomber for attacks on fixed battlefield targets, such as forward enemy landing grounds, road, rail and river bridges

and vehicle parks, etc. The RAF was the first to use the air-craft, as the Boston (after a nightfighter version, confusmgly known as the Havoc, had been largely super-seded in 1941) early in 1942; indeed the first combat use of the aircraft by the Americans in Europe involved RAF Bostons being flown from the UK by an American squadron in July that year,

From late in 1942 both the British and American air forces flew the Boston and A-20 on close-support duties, par-ticularly on 'softening up' raids before assaults by ground forces. RAF Bos-tons in particular were much in evidence during the numerous combined operations launched across the Chan-nel in 1942-4, and were also used to lay smoke screens to cover amphibious landings. The A-20G introduced a



Douglas Boston MklHA ofNo. 342Sqn 'Lorraine', RAF, based at Hartford Bridge (today called Blackbushe). Aircraft from this squadron were the first French-flown aircraft to arrive back in France after D-Day.



'solid' nose and dispensed with the bomb aimer, a battery of cannon or machine-guns being substituted for true ground-attack work. In the Pacific theatre A-20s were widely used for low-level attack, using fragmentation bombs to good effect over Japanese shipping and airfields. RAF Boston Mk IV and Boston Mk V aircraft served with the 2nd Tactical Air Force and the Desert Air Force in Italy in the closesupport role right up to the end of the war against Germany.

Specification Douglas A-20G Havoc

Type: three-seat attack aircraft Powerplant: two 1,600-hp (1194-kW) Wright Cyclone R-2600-23 air-cooled radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 546 km/h (339 mph) at 3780 m (12,400 ft); climb to 3050 m (10,000 ft) in 7 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 7650 m(25,100 ft); range 1755 km (1,090 miles)

Weights: empty 7250kg(15,984lb); maximum take-off 12338 kg (27,200 lb) Dimensions: span 18.69 m (61 ft 4 in); length 14.63 m (48 ft 0 in); height 5.36 m (17 ft 7 in); wing area 43.11 n/ (464 sq ft)

wholly intact. It was for this reason, and the length of time taken to reach the front line from bases in the distant rear, that A-26 attacks were largely confined to fixed targets such as airfields

One of the tasks for the airborne forces during the D-Day invasion was smoke-laying. These two Boston Mk Ills are equipped with four pipes under the fuselage for providing smoke over the beach-head.

Armament: up to eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in nose and two in rear dorsal position (later aircraft had electrically-operated turret), plus up to 1814 kg (4,000 lb) of bombs, comprising four 227-kg (500-lb) bombs internally and two 454-kg (1,000 lb) bombs on wing racks

USA

Douglas A-26 Invader

The demarcation between the true ground-support aircraft and the so-called light bomber was conveniently defined by the American 'attack designation; nevertheless the Douglas A-26 Invader leaned much closer to the latter category in that its battlefield operations were more of the set-piece strike and less of the ad hoc attack.

Although three parallel versions were originally conceived (a night-fighter, a level bomber and an attack aircraft) the last-named version was selected for initial production and eventually entered combat service as the A-26B with the US 9th Air Force in Europe in November 1944. This ver-sion carried six heavy machine-guns in the nose, sometimes supplemented by eight in underwing packs, and by locking the dorsal turret guns to fire for-ward, making a total of 16 forward-firing guns! The cabin and fuel tanks were heavily armoured to withstand ground small-arms fire, and a total of 1814kg (4,000 lb) of bombs was ear-

ned internally. Though obviously a very powerful weapon to unleash over the battlefield (and even more so when underwing 127-mm/5-in rocket projectiles were

added to its arsenal) the Invader enjoyed only partial success as a truly tactical support aircraft in Europe, being found generally unsuitable for operations from forward airfields unless such facilities were captured and bridges, and these were more fre-

This formation of A-26s of the 386th Bomb Croup en route to Germany in April 1945 contains aircraft with both glazed nose for bomb-aimer and solid nose packed with machine-guns. They also carry machine-gun packs under the wings.



quently and effectively struck by the larger resources of medium bombers available,

available, For the island-hopping' nature of the Pacific war against Japan, the USAAF employed the A-26C which retained a bombardier in place of the nose gun battery to facilitate bombing from medium altitude, and as such the Invader was operated almost exclusively as a conventional medium bomber,

Specification Douglas A-26B Invader Type: three-seat tactical support aircraft Powerplant: two 2,000-hp (1492-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-27 air-cooled radial piston engines

Douglas A-26B Invader of the 552nd Bomb Squadron, 386thBomb Group, 9th Air Force based at Bea umont-sur-Oise in April 1945. The Invader also saw valuable service over the hotly contested islands of Japan during the last few months of the

Performance: maximum speed 572 km/h (355 mph) at 3660 m (12,000 ft); climb to 3050 m (10,000 ft) in 8 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 6735m (22,100 ft); range 2255 km (1.400 milec) ,400 miles) (1,400 miles) Weights: empty 10147 kg(22,370 lb);

maximum take-off 15876 kg (35,000 lb) Dimensions: span 21,34 m (70 ft 0 in); length 15.24 m (50 ft 0 in); height 5.64 m (18 ft 6 in); wing area 50.17 n/ (540 sq ft)

Armament: six fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm(0.5-in) machine-guns in nose

and two in each of dorsal and ventral turrets, plus an internal bombload of 1814 kg (4,000 lb) and an external load of up to 907 kg (2,000 lb) of bombs, or up to 16 127-mm (5-in) rocket projectiles

322369



The Lockheed P-38 Lightning is, like its famous partners (the Republic P-47 and North American P-51), best reand North American P-51), best re-membered as a pure fighter both in the European and Pacific theatres. Yet from mid 1942 the P-38F destined for the USAAC possessed external racks for up to 907kg (2,000 lb) of bombs. (Although the Lightning was originally ordered for the RAF, the ban on export of turbosuperchargers so compromised the aircraft's performance that it did not enter British service.)

USAAF fighter groups began flying P-38F aircraft in Europe and North Africa during 1942, but the aircraft proved disappointing in combat against German fighters, and it was in the last stages of the Tunisian campa-gin that the aircraft began to demons-trate its capabilities as a groundsupport fighter, bombing and machine-gunning the Axis forces in their final withdrawal to Tunis and Bizerta.

The introduction of the much improved P-38J (identifiable by its 'chin' proved P-38J (identifiable by its chin radiators) resulted in a new lease of life as an escort fighter, particularly during the 1943 daylight Boeing B-17 and Con-solidated B-24 raids over Europe, but in 1944, as deliveries of P-47s and P-51s were stepped up to the UK and the Mediterranean, the P-38J and the more powerful P-38L version came to be used more and more in the ground-attack reals being canattack role, both versions being cap-able of lifting a pair of 726-kg (1,600-lb) bombs. The P-38L was also modified to carry 10 69.8-mm (2.75-in) rockets on 'Christmas-tree' tiers under the wings; it was also the first Allied fighterbomber to drop napalm bombs on the

Germans in the latter half of 1944. The ability of the P-51 D to escort the daylight heavy bombers all the way to Berlin rendered use of the P-38 as a long-range fighter superfluous, and the type was employed almost exclu-sively as a support fighter-bomber during the last six months of the war, and a new tactic emerged involving the use of a two-seater P-38 'lead ship' complete with bombardier; the air-craft would lead a formation of smgle-

TheLigh tninghad exceptional performance for a twin-engined aircraft and the secret of this lay in the small fron tal area made possible by the twin boom layout. The position of the racks for either bombs or, as here, fuel tanks is clearly visible.

A theatre in which the P-38 excelled was the Mediterranean. ThisP-38L of the 94th Figh ter Group is having a bomb winched on to its wing rack somewhere in Italy. During the height of the campaign, operations continued through the night.

seaters and, using its very accurate Norden bomb sight, would control the bombing of the entire formation. A refinement of this, involving bombing-through-overcast (BTO) radar in the lead ship, was intended to enable close support to be given in conditions of bad weather over the ground battle, but in one of the only occasions it was employed with any numbers of P-38s (during the Battle of the Ardennes) heavy casualties were caused when most of the bombs dropped fell among American armoured vehicles.

Specification Lockheed P-38L Lightning Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: two 1,475-hp (1100-kW) Allison V-1710-111/113 liquid-cooled inline piston engines Performance: maximum speed 667 km/h (414 mph) at 7620 m (25,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in Due to its size and performance, the P-38 Lightning proved an excellent ground a ttack aircraft, able to carry rockets and bombs large distances into enemy territory. This is a P-38L serving with the 97th FS, 82ndFG, USAAF.



7 minutes; service ceiling 13410 m (44,000 ft); range on internal fuel 730 km(454 miles) Weights: empty 5806 kg(12,800 lb); maximum take-off 9798 kg (21,600 lb) Dimensions: span 15.85 m (52 ft0 in); length 11,53 m (37 ft10in);height $3.00 \,\mathrm{m}(9 \,\mathrm{ft} \, 10 \,\mathrm{in});$ wing area $30.42 \,\mathrm{m}^2$

(327.5 sq ft) Armament: one 20-mm and four 12.7mm (0.5-in) guns in the nose, plus a bombload of two 726-kg (1,600-lb) bombs, or 10 69,8-mm (2.75-in) rocket projectilestogether with two 1173-litre (258-gal) drop tanks if required



Republic P-47 Thunderbolt

The big Republic P-47 Thunderbolt served for more than a year before being seriously considered for service as a ground-support aircraft; indeed, after considerable misgivings as to whether it would be able to match the nimble German interceptors in dogfighting, it came to represent a vital and effective long-range escort for the American day bombers over Europe during 1943, As mass production got under way (a total of 15,579 being ultimately produced) the P-47D-25 introduced underwing bomb racks capable of mounting a pair of 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs, in addition to a 568litre 125-gal drop tank under the fuselage. In due course the P-47D-30 was capable of carrying up to 1134 kg (2,500 lb) of external ordnance, including up to 10 127-mm (5-in) rocket projectiles.

A total of 826 Thunderbolts was delivered to the RAF, of which the majority were equivalent to the P-47D-25 and designated Thunderbolt Mk II. They entered service with the RAF in India and Burma in the summer of 1944, eventually serving with Nos 5, 30, 34, 42,60,79,81, 113, 123, 131, 134, 135, 146, 258, 261 and 615 Squadrons, Flying over the Burma jungle the 'cab rank' patrols that were being used to such good effect by Hawker Typhoons in Europe, these excellent fighter-bombers gave constant support to the 14th Army during its final victorious advance towards Rangoon in the last year of the war. Time and again the Thunderbolts were called down by the mobile control officers to eliminate some Japanese strongpoint with guns and bombs. The P-47 and the Typhoon were the best American and British fighter-bombers of the year.



P-47D (razorback) of the 19th Fighter Squadron, 218th Fighter Group, based on Saipan island in the Marianas during July 1944. The 'Jug' was a hardy fighter, and the fierce war fought in the Far East suited its strength and speed.



As the P-51D took over in the long-range escort role, more P-47s were released to a ttack duties. This example served with the 352nd Fighter Squadron, 353rd Fighter Croup at Gaydon atthe time of the Normandy invasion, and features the 'bubble'cockpit.

Specification Republic P-47D-25 Thunderbolt Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 2,000-hp (1492-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-59 air-cooled radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 689 km/h (428 mph) at 9145 m (30,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9 minutes; service ceiling 12800 m (42,000 ft); range on internal fuel 765 km (475 miles) Weights: empty 4536 kg (10,000 lb); maximum take-off 8808 kg (19,400 lb) Dimensions: span 12.42 m (40 ft 9 in); length 11.00m (36 ft 1 in); height 4.32 m (14 ft 2 in); wing area 27.87 n/

This 12th Air Force P-47 in Italy demonstrates the three main weapons of the wartime fighterbomber, namely machine-guns, bombs and rockets. Such aircraft were the workhorses of the Allied drive up the Italian mainland. (300 sq ft) Armament: eight fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in wings, plus two 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs or six 69.8-mm (2.75-in) rocket projectiles under the wings



Vultee Vengeance

Powerfully influenced by the successes achieved by the German Junkers Ju 87 dive-bomber in the early months of the war, the British in 1940 ordered several hundred Vultee V-72 aircraft from the USA, a type that had not then been selected for the US Army Air Corps, and production lines were established at Vultee's Nashville plant and the Northrop plant at Hawthorne, California. Before the first British aircraft was delivered in 1942, however, the United States had entered the war, and further aircraft were ordered for the USAAF, The American aircraft (designated the A-31 and A-35, but generally referred to as the V-72) did not match up to expectations and almost all were relegated to targettowing and other training duties from the outset,

The Vengeance saw considerably more service in the RAF, a total of 1,205 being delivered, the Vengeance Nik I, Vengeance Mk II and Vengeance Mk III corresponding to the American A-31, and the Vengeance Mk IV to the A-35. Tests with the first Vengeance Mk Is led to numerous alterations, and it was not until late 1942 that deliveries started in earnest. By that time the tactical weakness of the dive-bomber had been recognized, and it was decided not to employ the Vengeance in Europe where it would be easy prey for the excellent German fighters. In-

stead the type was sent to equip RAF squadrons in India and Burma where, operating under top cover provided by Hawker Hurricanes (and later by Supermarine Spitfires and Republic Thunderbolts), it would represent the



best weapon against difficult jungle

targets. The Vengeance was first in action in July 1943 in Burma, having started to replace the veteran Bristol Blenheim with the RAF; it eventually equipped four squadrons (Nos 45, 82, 84 and 110) as well as several in the Indian Air Force. As expected, however, the Vengeance proved extremely vulnerable in the presence of Japanease fighters and so seldom ventured abroad without strong fighter escort.

Ilyushin 11-2

The Soviet Ilyushin 11-2 succeeded where the British Fairey Battle had failed. Immense strength and armoured protection, powerful arma-ment and committal to combat under heavy fighter protection all combined to render the *bromrovannyi shturmo*vik (armoured assault aircraft) a decisive weapon in the Soviet armoury during the final two years of the war in Europe.

Christened the 'flying tank¹ by the Soviet infrantrymen, and the *schwar-zer Tod* (Black Death) by the men of the German army, the 11-2 originated as a two-seater but was modified to a single-seater and in this form was en-tering service with the V-VS at the time of Germany's invasion of the USSR on 22 June 1941. However, in those early months of almost total German air supremacy the early II-2s emerged amidst a welter of criticism, being found to lack punch against the enemy's armoured fighting vehicles (their prin-cipal targets) and hopelessly vulnerable in the presence of enemy fighters.

The aircraft accordingly underwent rapid redesign, the great armoured 'bath' that constituted the pilot's cock-pit being extended to accommodate a rear gunner with heavy-calibre machine-gun. The 1,680-hp (1253-kW) AM-38 engine was replaced by a 1,750-hp (1306-kW) AM-38F, and the two wing-mounted 20-mm ShVAK cannon gave place to high muzzle velocity 23-mm VYa guns.

The new two-seater II-2m3 entered service from August 1942, and thereafter gun, bomb and rocket armament underwent progressive increase as the production accelerated. By the winter of 1943-4 vast numbers of II-2m3s were in service (some sources put the number as high as 12,000), and remained in constant use up to and beyond the end of the war in Europe. Their use in combat was almost invari-ably confined to attacks from extremely low level, often no more than 6 m (20 ft), the favourite tactic being to circle to the rear of enemy forces in single line-ahead stream to attack the thinlyarmoured rear of German tanks. Many shturmovik pilots were holders of the Gold Star of Hero of the Soviet Union, and women pilots were by no means rare. The II-2m3 proved to be ex-tremely popular among its crews, parThe type did nevertheless prove very effective during the Arakan campaign, and in a number of successful raids destroyed a large number of Japanese vehicles and quantities of stores being assembled in the jungle. By the last year of the war conven-

tional fighter-bomber tactics were seen as the best means of ground support, and demands for the Vengeance diminished rapidly. By mid-1945 most had been relegated to target-towing duties.

Specification Vultee Vengeance Mk I Type: two-seat dive-bomber owerplantone1,700-hp(1268-kW) Wright R-2600-Á5B-5 air-cooled radial

piston engine Performance: maximum speed 449 km/h (279 mph) at 4115 m (13,500 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 11 minutes 18 seconds; service ceiling 6795 m(22,300 ft);range 1930km(1200 miles)

Weights: empty 4672 kg (10,300 lb);

maximum take-off 7440 kg (16,400 lb) Dimensions: span 14,63 m (48 ft 0 in); length 12.12 m (39 ft 9 in); height 3.91 m (12ft 10in); wing area 30.84 m (332 sq ft)

Armament: four wing-mounted 7.62mm (0.3-in) machine-guns and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns in the rear cockpit, plus a bombload of four 227-kg (500-lb) bombs carried internally



An ll-2m3 in late 1944 on the Eastern front. Soviet gunners often removed the rear cockpit canopy to give them a better field of fire.



During the winter, thell-2s were quickly painted with a soluble white scheme over their normal camouflage. This 11-2 served over the battlefields of Stalingrad in 1943.

ticularly on account of its ability to survive battle damage, many aircraft re-turning to base riddled by enemy ground fire, the armoured 'bath' alone remaining unscathed. More Il-2s were built (36,183) than any other single type of aircraft.

Specification

Ilyushin Il-2m3 (late model) Type: two-seat assault aircraft Powerplant: one 1,770-hp(1320-kW) Mikulin Am-38F liquid-cooled inline Performance: maximum speed 404 km/h (251 mph) at 760 m (2,500 ft); service ceiling 5945 m (19,500 ft); range 600 km (375 mles) range 000 km (3/5 miles) Weights: empty 4525 kg (9,976 lb); maximum take-off 6360 kg (14,021 lb) Dimensions: span 14.60 m (47 ft 10% in); length 11.60 m (38 ft0'/a in); height 3.40 m (11 ft 1 Vzin); wing area $38.54m^2$ (414.4 sq ft)



Armament: (typical) wing-mounted armament of two 37-mm (1.46-in) and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) guns, and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun in the rear cockpit, plus 200 2.5-kg (5.5-lb) PTAB hollow-charge anti-tank bombs, oreight RS-82 or RS-132 rocket projectiles

Developed in turn from the LaGG-1 and LaGG-3 of 1940-1, and the LaG-5 of 1941, the La-5 passed its state accept-ance trials in May 1942 and, in view of the successive disasters being suf-fered at the hands of the Luftwaffe, was ordered into large-scale production, more than 1,000 aircraft being comArmed with heavy cannon and rockets, and with bomb racks under the wings, thell-2s of the V-VSflew over all the battlefields of the Eastern Front in vast numbers. This ability to absorb massive amounts of battle damage made them popular with their crews.

pleted in the first six months, Despite some early troubles with the M-82 radial, in a most advanced installation, the La-5 entered squadron service with the V-VS in the autumn of 1942 and first saw wide-spread combat during the Stalingrad campaign that started in November

Lavochkin La-5 and La-7

Syemyon Lavochkin's excellent fighters, the Lavochkin La-5 and La-7, were among the best Soviet fighters produced during the war, their re-latively simple, mainly wooden structure assisting the production of large numbers, and their large, air-cooled radial engines making for ease of maintenance in the bleak, cold Russian winters.

that year. The La-5 was essentially a low-altitude fighter, well capable of holding its own against the Focke-Wulf Fw 190 and Messerschmitt Bf 109 below about 3700 m (12,140 ft) and it was its good performance at low level that encouraged the La-5's employment in the ground-support role; in the great Battle of Kursk at least two regiments of La-5s were flown as anti-tank aircraft with rockets and hollow-charge bombs, which were particularly effective against lightly-armoured fighting vehicles. The La-5FN (forsirovannyi nyeposredstvenno, or direct fuelinjection engine) was the most widely used sub-variant,

In mid-1944 a development of the La-5, the La-7, was introduced with numerous alterations, such as improved location of oil cooler intakes and changes in the cockpit outline, However, despite its improvements, production of the La-5FN was not terminated and both aircraft continued to operate side-by-side for the remainder of the war. The La-7 was seldom employed in the ground-attack role, usually being flown as top cover while the earlier aircraft attacked German army targets with the growing arsenal of assault weapons. Almost all the most famous Soviet pilots flew La-5s and La-7s, among them Colonel Generals Ivan Kojedub (who destroyed 62 German aircraft, including a jet Me 262 while flying Las) and Aleksandr Pokryshkin



Only a marginally later design than the British Fairey Battle, the Soviet Sukhoi Su-2, designed by Pavel Sukhoi, previously of the Tupolev design bureau, entered service with the V-VS early in 1941 but, as far as is known, was not encountered during the Winter War which ended the previous year. Derived from Sukhoi's ANT-51 and designated BB-1 during its early trials, the aircraft was fairly efficient by current standards once the M-87 engine had been replaced by the M-88 and then the M-88B. Tactical concepts changed swiftly during the first two years of the war, however, and the use by the Germans of large forces of single-seat fighters in support of their advancing armies came as a body blow to the Soviets in mid-1941. Despite being further improved by installation of the 1,000-hp (746-kW) M-88B radial, the Su-2 was found to be desperately vulnerable and virtually unable to defend itself with its single small-calibre machine-gun in the unwieldy manual ly-operated dorsal turret. Estimates suggest that about 100 were in service with the *Frontovaya Aviatsya* at the time that the German army rolled into the Soviet Union in June 1941, but that dozens were shot down by Flak and fighters in the first few disastrous weeks; moreover, such was the generally poor standard of training in the Soviet air force that the Su-2 proved almost useless as a weapon against mobile battlefield targets. The parlous state of the Soviet air-

The parlous state of the Soviet aircraft industry in 1941 prevented much being done to remedy the immediate lack of suitable ground support aircraft (until production of the two-seat II-2m3 could be stepped up) and recourse was made to further attempts-to im-



(59 kills); both these pilots were threetime recipients of the Gold Star, the only airmen thus decorated.

Specification

LavochkinLa-SFN Type: single-seat fighter-bomber Powerplant: one 1,650-hp(1230-kW) Shvetsov M-82FN (ASh-82FN) aircooled radial piston engine Performance: maximum speed 647 km/h (402 mph) at 5000 m (16,405ft);climbto 5000m(16,405ft)in 4 minutes 42 seconds; service ceiling 10000m(32,810ft);range700km(435 miles)

Miles) Weights: empty 2800 kg (6,173 lb); maximum take-off 3360 kg (7,408 lb) Dimensions: span 9.80 m (32 ft 2 in); length 8.67 m (28 ft 5 '/a in); height 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in); wing area 17,59 m² (189.34sqft)

Armament: two 20-mm ShVAK cannon and either four 82-mm (3.23-in) RS-82 rockets or four 150-kg (331-lb) bombs; later aircraft had two 23-mm NS This LavochkinLa-SFN was presented to the V-VS by a Mongolian collective. The La-5 was a good all-round aeroplane and its low-level performance suited it well to the ground-attack role, often carrying rocket launchers.



Usedinmuch the same roving role as the Typhoon and Thunderbolt, the La-5/7 series saw much action during the victorious advance to

Berlin, flying ahead of the Soviet tanks and attacking German positions with light bombs and rockets.



prove the Su-2 by installation of the 1,520-hp (1134-kW) M-82 radial and freguent deletion of the dorsal turret, but with little tactical benefit. Accepting that losses would remain high, the Soviets therefore simply loaded the aircraft with further bombs and rockets on the supposition that the more explosive delivered against the enemy the better the chances of some degree of success. This version, as well as the completely redesigned Su-6, were abandoned in favour of all-out dependence on the II-2m3. The Su-2 probably did not survive in production bevond mid-1942.

Specification

Sukhoi Su-2 (lateproduction) Type: two-seat close-support aircraft Powerplant: one 1,520-hp (1134-kW) Shvetsov M-82 air-cooled radial piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 486 km/h (302 mph) at 1525 m (5,000 ft);

A SukhoiSu-2 with the 746-kW(1000hp) M-88B radial engine. Owing to the type's obsolescence and vulnerability, engines of increasing power were tried, but without success. When the 11-2 became generally available, the Su-2 was relegated to second-line units. service ceiling 8800 m (28,870 ft); range with bomb load 1100 km (683 miles)

Mic3) Weights: empty 3273 kg(7,216 lb); maximum take-off 4700 kg (10,362 lb) Dimensions: span 14.30 m(46 ft 11 in); length 10.46 m(34 ft 3% in); height 3.80 m (12 ft 6 in); wing area 29,0 m² (312.1sqft)

Armament: four fixed forward-firing 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns in wings and one or two in dorsal turret, plus a bombload of 400 kg (882 lb) By 1942, the Su-2 was receiving such a battering from the German ground and air forces that it was quickly withdrawn to second-line units. This aircraft served with one such unit in the Sverdlovsk area in the winter of 1942.

carried internally and either bombs or rockets up to about 500 kg (1,102 lb) carried externally



Night-Fighters

World War II saw the development of night-fighting from a very imprecise, hit-or-miss art using rudimentary equipment in hastily converted aircraft, to a refined science using highly developed tactics in purpose-built aircraft equipped with sophisticated radar and weapons.

When World War II started in September 1939 no air force was equipped with aircraft specifically designed for the nightfighting role. Only the UK had woken up to the fact that, with the raison d'être of the modern air force being offensive bombing operations, such aircraft would be needed urgently; most air forces made do by using night-flying day fighters, in conjunction with ground searchlights. While the RAF was conducting experiments with rudimentary airborne radar in a handful of obsolescent Bristol Blenheims, the Bristol aircraft company was hard at work developing the Bristol Beaufighter, the world's first dedicated night-fighter to carry radar, produced entirely on their own initiative. This entered service during the Battle of Britain and first saw combat in the German night Blitz of 1940-1.

From these small beginnings came an entirely new science of aircraft interception that has continued to advance ever since: the science of locating the enemy on ground radar, guiding the fighter towards its target by means of ground controllers and, eventually, vising airborne radar, closing to within range of the fighter's own weapons for the kill. Although more within the scope of the bombers' operations, the night-



Britain led the way in pioneering night-fighters to intercept enemy raiders. The Boulton Paul Defiant was an early design which was to be eclipsed by the Bristol Beaufighter and the de Havilland Mosquito in its highly effective night-fighter variant.

fighter crews had to contend with a growing, parallel science of countermeasures, as the bombers began to include equipment able to blind the ground radar and to provide warning of the approach of a night-fighter.

Although the British advanced relatively quickly with successively improved Beaufighters and de Havilland Mosquitoes (as well as discarding the outmoded Blenheims, Boulton Paul Défiants and Hawker Hurricanes), and unquestionably led the world in night-fighting techniques and

technology (until the arrival of the American-developed centimetric AIMk X), Germaningenuity produced highly efficient night-fighter adaptations of the Messerschmitt Bf 110 and Junkers Ju 88; these two aircraft, together with the excellent Heinkel He 219, provided the backbone of the Reich's night-fighter defence between 1942 and 1945. Not surprisingly, with so many RAF heavy bombers operating almost nightly over Europe during this period, there came onto the scene numerous Luftwaffe night-fighter

pilots whose individual victory scores far eclipsed any achievements of their Allied counterparts, it being fairly commonplace for German pilots to destroy four or more Avrò Lancasters and Handley Page Halifaxes on a single sortie; once they had entered the great bomber stream their victory bag was limited only by their use of ammunition and fuel. Moreover, the development of the upward-firing cannon (not to mention fairly efficient airborne radar) enabled the Germans to destroy RAF bombers in such a way that the British 'didn't know what had hit them'.

Elsewhere, with concerted night operations conducted on a much lesser scale until the onset of the great American night offensive against Japan in 1944, night fighting demanded less attention to sophisticated equipment and tactics than in Europe, although these were quickly introduced when the Boeing B-29 started operations. By and large, during the first two years of the Pacific War, neither Japan nor the United States engaged in significant night bombing, and accordingly did little until 1943 to introduce specialist night-fighters, the Douglas P-70 (though widely employed) being unequivocally a makeshift adaptation of a light bomber.



The Bristol Blenheim entered RAF service as a light bomber in 1937 and, despite great hopes for the type, it was becoming outmoded from the day it arrived, being under-armed and therefore capable of carrying no more than a puny bombload by later standards. It was a neat and compact sign, however, and lent itself to furtified evelopment as a bomber, the Blenheim Mk IV with lengthened nose joining the RAF in 1939. In the realization that the Blenheim Mk I would quickly be superseded, plans were put in hand to introduce it as a night fighter for service with Fighter Command, and in December 1938 four squadrons (Nos 23, 25, 29 and 64) started taking deliveries. Most of these early aircraft were ex-Bomber Command aircraft with sealed bomb doors and bomb gear removed; their armament remained a single fixed forwardfiring 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Browning gun ad a Vickers K' gas-operated gun of the same calibre in the dorsal turret. These four regular squadrons, together with Nos 600, 601 and 604 of the Auxiliary Air Force (re-equipped in the following month) were employed principally to work up and calibrate the new CH coastal radar chain being built at top speed along the UK's south and east coasts, Early in 1939, however, there became available the first of 200 gun packs, each containing four Browning guns and manufactured by the Southern Railway's depot at



Ashford, Kent, and by the outbreak of war in September 1939 most converted Blenheim Mk I (now termed Blenheim Mk IF) aircraft had been modified to have such a pack fitted under the fuselage nose. Meanwhile one flight from No. 25 Squadron had had its Blenheims modified with the first 'breadboard' examples of airborne interception radar, and these were undergoing faltering trials over the Thames Estuary in collaboration with the Bawdsey Manor CH coastal radar when war broke out. In due course this radar was standardized to become AI Mk III and was fitted in about two dozen Blenheims, most of the remainder being flown by the Fighter Interception Unit (FIU). Several other Blenheim night-fighter squadrons (among them Nos 68, 145, 219 and 222) were formed, but they were mostThe nose transmitter and wing receiver aerials (antennas) of the early AI Mk III radar can be seen on this Blenheim MkIF, which in 1941 was training crews at No. 54 Operational Training Unit, RAF.

ly short-lived. At the time of the Battle of Britain night-fighter Blenheims soldiered on in search of the small numbers of German night raiders, and on 21/22 July 1940 an aircraft of the FIU made history when it became the first employing AI radar to destroy an enemy raider (a Dormer Do 17) at night. Possessing very pedestrian capabilities, the Blenheim could scarcely catch any of the modern aircraft of 1940 and, although it achieved further victories during the German night *Blitz* of 1940-1 (indeed, formed the backbone of the UK's night defence), it was gradually phased out of service with the arrival of the powerful BristolBeaufighter.

Specification Blenheim Mk IF Type:three-seatnight-fighter Powerplant: two 840-hp (626-kW) Bristol Mercury VIII nine-cylinder aircooled radial piston engines Performance: maximum speed 418 km/h (260 mph) at 4265 m (14,000 ft); initial climbrate 488 m (1,600 ft) perminute; service ceiling 8230 m (27,000 ft); normal range 1770 km (1, 100 miles)

(14,000ft); initial climbrate 488m (1,600ft) perminute; service ceiling 8230 m(27,000 ft); normal range 1770 km (1,100 miles) Weights: empty 3651 kg(8,0501b); maximum take-off 5489 kg (12,100 lb) Dimensions: span 17.17 m(56 ft 4 in); length 12.45 m(40ft 10 in); height 3.00m(9 ft 10 in); wing area 43.57 m² (469 sq ft)

Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gunsinventraltrayfiring forward, and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in dorsal turret



First flown in prototype form on 17 July 1939, the Bristol Beaufighter took over the task of night-fighter defence from the makeshift Bristol Blenheim Mk IF fighter during the Germannight *Blitz* of the winter of 1940-1. Powered initially by 1,400-hp (1044-kW) Bristol Hercules III sleeve-valve radiais, the Beaufighter Mk IF was equipped with AI Mk IV radar (characterized by a 'broad-arrow' transmitter aerial on the aircraft's nose) and, having undergone initial operational trials with the Fighter Interception Unit during the latter stages of the Battle of Britain, started delivery to RAF night-fighter squadrons in September 1940. Lack of fami-



liarity with AI radar resulted in few combat successes during 1940, but in the last three months of the *Blitz* the Beaufighter began taking an increasing toll of German bombers, Home This Beaufighter MkII served with one of the RAF's Polish squadrons, No. 307, from August 1941 until about mid-1942, when theHercules-engined Beaufighter Mk VIF began to replace this Merlin-engined version.

night-fightersquadronsequipped with Beaufighter Mk IFs included Nos 25,



29, 68, 141, 153, 219, 256, 600 and 604. Production was stepped up, and included 1,000 aircraft ordered from the 'shadow' factories, the 51st and subsequent aircraft being armed with six wing-mounted 7.7mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in addition to the four belly-mounted 20-mm cannon to guard. Delays with improved Hercules radiais resulted in the Rolls-Royce Merlin XX V-12 engine being selected to power the Beaufighter Mk II, the first production example of which was flown at Futon on 22 March 1941; the type entered Fighter Command service with No. 255 Squadron in July, followed by the Polish-manned No. 307 Squadron in August, and Nos 96 and 125 Squadrons in 1942. The Beaufighter Mk III (a lightened version) and the Beaufighter Mk IV (with Rolls-Royce Griffon engines) did not materialize as such, although a Beaufighter Mk II was experimentally flown with Griffon IIB

Three AI Mk IV-equipped Beaufighter Mk Is of No. 600 Sqn are seen here on a mission from Colerne during the winter of 1940-1.